A m plitude coda of classical waves in disordered m edia

M auro S.Ferreira and Gerrit E.W.Bauer Department of Applied Physics and Delft Institute of M icroelectronics and Submicron Technology, Delft University of Technology, Lorentzweg 1, 2628 CJD elft, The Netherlands (December 27, 2021)

The propagation of classical waves in the presence of a disordered m edium is studied. We consider wave pulses containing a broad range of frequencies in terms of the congurationally averaged G reen function of the system. Damped oscillations in the time-dependent response trailing behind the direct arrival of the pulse (coda) are predicted, the periods of which are governed by the density of scatterers.

W ave propagation in disordered media is a broad research topic, with many applications. Electron transport in mesoscopic systems, light di usion in opaque media, or acoustic propagation in the subsurface of the earth are examples of the interdisciplinary character of this general subject. All these examples share a number of common properties, the most important being that they are governed by wave equations. Methods and techniques used in one

eld of study can in principle be applied to others, and such an approach has proved successful in the past. A nderson localization [1] for instance, was originally discovered in the quantum realm of electrons but later understood to be a general wave phenom enon [2[4].

O ther contributions from traditionally quantum m ethods into the study of classical waves are the diagram m atic perturbation technique [5] and the random m atrix theory [6]. W hereas the latter explains some universal features in the response of a disordered environm ent which are independent of the detailed structure of the system, the m icroscopic nature of the form er allows a m ore speci c m odelling of the m edium. If one w ishes to im age the m edium by observing the signal which is generated by a source and scattered by the system inhom ogeneities, the desired inform ation m ust be sought in the non-universal part of the m easurem ents.

In addition to studies of classical wave propagation based on nearly monochromatic sources, the time-dependent response of a short pulse containing a broad range of frequencies is also of considerable interest. This is typically the case in seism is studies of the earth. Excited either by earthquakes or by articially controlled explosions, seism is waves travel long distances before being registered by an array of detectors. The measurements consist of time-dependent functions describing how the subsurface responds to the excitations. The portion of the wave lagging behind the rst arrivals (the so-called coda) is delayed by being repeatedly scattered by interfaces and inhom ogeneities and therefore carries information about the medium [7]. Only recently it has been realized that the code of seism is waves can be used for mapping the subsurface of the earth as well as locating earthquake centres [8].

Unlike their quantum counterparts, the amplitude of classical waves can be probed quite trivially. This is the case for seism ic and acoustic waves in general. Ultrasonic wave amplitudes are also observable and have been used to investigate multiple scattering e ects in disordered media [9,10]. Amplitude and phase of electrom agnetic waves have also been measured in the microwave region and used to treat dynamical aspects of the propagation [11,12]. Such a wide applicability motivates us to study the time evolution of the wave amplitude within a disordered medium.

B earing in m ind the m icroscopic approach m entioned above, we have recently investigated the time response of a disordered m edium composed of sm all spheres (R ayleigh scatterers) [13]. The scatterer is characterized by a set of resonances which appear as poles of the scattering m atrix on the lower half of the complex frequency-plane. A simple expression for the time-dependent wave am plitude is obtained when all but the lowest order poles are discarded. We subsequently considered an ensemble of random ly placed identical scatterers and related som e features of the response to the m icroscopic details of the structure.

In this paper we extend this idea by accounting for all poles of the scattering matrix. On the one hand we loose the sim plicity of the single pole approximation, but on the other the single scatterer contribution is better described by considering scattering events previously neglected. In spite of the som ewhat more complicated formalism, we are still able to relate the delayed-time response to the microscopic parameters of the system in the limit of impenetrable scatterers. O scillations in the time-dependent amplitude of the wave are identiced, the periods of which depend on the concentration of scatterers.

W e consider a general scalar wave equation in the frequency-dom ain given by the following eigenvalue equation

$$r^{2} + V(x;!) = E_{m}(!) (x;!);$$
 (1)

where $m(\mathbf{r}; !)$ is the wave eld for a frequency ! at position \mathbf{r} . Both the energy E_m and the potential energy V are frequency-dependent and given by $V(\mathbf{r}; !) = \frac{!^2}{c_0^2} \quad 1 \quad \frac{c_0^2}{c^2(\mathbf{r})}$ and $E_m(!) = \frac{!^2}{c_0^2}$. The wave velocity $c(\mathbf{r})$ is position-dependent, c_0 being the velocity for the hom ogeneous background medium. A ssociated with Eq. (1) there is a G reen function G given by

$$G(x;x_{0};!) = \begin{cases} X & \frac{m(x;!)}{m} (x_{0};!) \\ \frac{!^{2}}{c_{0}^{2}} & E_{m}(!) + i \ sgn(!) \end{cases} ;$$
(2)

where is a positive in nitesimal number. G(r;r;) represents the response measured at position r due to a stationary perturbation of frequency ! produced at r_0 . For the sake of sim plicity we assume r_0 at the origin throughout this paper. The Fourier transform to time-dom ain gives the physically relevant quantity G(r;t), namely the response to a pulse containing the full spectrum of frequencies. From this quantity a simple convolution gives the wave am plitude for a pulse of arbitrary shape.

For a disordered system with m any scatterers we focus on the con gurationally averaged G reen function hG (r;t)i. In wave vector K and frequency space, it is given by

$$hG(\tilde{k};!)i = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{C_0} - k^2 - k;!};$$
(3)

where the so-called self-energy (k; !) is a complex quantity. The solution to the disordered problem is determined by the behaviour of the self-energy. For spherically symmetric scattering cross sections (s-wave) and within the independent scatterer approximation [14], valid for a low concentration of small defects n, the k-dependence of the self-energy disappears and becomes

$$(!) = \frac{n c_0 (S_0 (!) - 1)}{2i!};$$
(4)

where S_0 (!) is the s-wave scattering matrix for the individual scatterers. Note that equations (3) and (4) bridge the gap between the microscopic scale, in terms of S_0 of a single in purity, and the macroscopic medium, described by the average G reen function hG i.

The scatterers are modelled by small spherical regions within which the waves propagate with velocity c. The corresponding S-matrix is given by [15]

$$S_{0}(!) = e^{i! d=c_{0}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 2i & A \\ \frac{c_{0}}{c} \cot \frac{! d}{2c_{0}} & i \end{pmatrix}$$
(5)

where d is the diam eter of the scatterer. S_0 has an in nite number of poles in the frequency-dom ain, each one of them on the lower half of the complex frequency-plane. As shown in Ref. [13], a simple expression for the response is obtained when all but the lowest order poles are neglected. Here we want to include all poles of the S-m atrix and investigate the e ect this may bring to the nal response. A fler substituting Eq. (5) into (4), the Fourier transform of Eq. (3) yields the average G reen function hG (r;t) i in position and time dom ain. A itematively, we can look at the scattered response h G (r;t) i, de ned as the di erence in am plitude between the total signal and the in purity-free response, which describes how the scattered portion of the waves evolves in time. Figure 1 shows the calculated h G (r;t) i for a random medium with identical scatterers at n = 5 10^2 and $n = 10^1$ volume concentrations and c = 0 and $c = \frac{1}{5}$. n and c are expressed in units of $(1=d^3)$ and c_0 , respectively, whereas the time is in units of $d=c_0$. The distance r to the source is arbitrarily xed at r = 10d. As expected, the direct arrival of the wave is followed by an exponentially decaying response can be seen in the insets of gure 1. A ithough not shown in the gure, oscillations are also present when $c > c_0$.

To understand the origin of those oscillations it is helpful to simplify the problem and assume that the wave velocity vanishes inside the scatterers, i.e., c = 0. This is equivalent to a set of obstacles which are impenetrable to the waves, modelling for instance strong discontinuities in the constitutive parameters. One example is a solid porous medium

led with rare ed air, or any other environment with scatterers of relative low sound velocity. A Iternatively, in the case of electrom agnetic waves, obstacles with high refractive index are required. With this simplication, the concentration n and the diam eter d are the only variable parameters and the S-matrix becomes $S_0 = e^{i! d=c_0}$. The response hG (K;t)i in wave-number and time domain is an intermediate step towards hG (r;t)i and results from the time Fourier transform of Eq. (3), given by

$$hG(k;t)i = \frac{1}{2} \int_{1}^{Z_{+1}} d! \frac{!}{c_0} \stackrel{\gtrless}{\Rightarrow} \frac{e^{i!t}}{\frac{!}{c_0} k^2 + \frac{n}{2i} e^{i!d=c_0} + 1} \stackrel{9}{\rightleftharpoons} :$$
(6)

A lthough the spatial part of the Fourier transform remains to be done, Eq. (6) is useful for understanding the time dependence of the response. The frequency integral can be evaluated by contour integration. The poles are at k-dependent frequency values which govern the actual dispersion relation of the wave motion. In the absence of scatterers (n = 0) for instance, the poles are at $! = c_0 k$ and a further Fourier transform into space-dom ain gives the usual free-space solution [16] G (r;t) = $\frac{c_0}{4 r}$ (Qt r). For n \notin 0, the poles acquire a concentration dependence $!_j(k;n)$, where j is a labeling index. In general, the residue R associated with a rst-order pole $!_j(k;n)$ is given by

$$R[!_{j}(k;n)] = \frac{!_{j}(k;n) e^{i!_{j}(k;n)t}}{2 c_{0} 0};$$
(7)

where ${}_{j}^{0}$ stands for the ! derivative of $= \frac{!}{c_{0}}^{3} \frac{!}{c_{0}} k^{2} + \frac{n}{2i} e^{i! d=c_{0}} + 1$ evaluated at $! = !_{j}(k;n)$. The time dependence of the residue is entirely in the exponential $e^{i!_{j}(k;n)t}$. The integral of Eq. (6), written as a sum of residues, is

$$hG(k;t)i = \frac{i X}{c_0} \frac{!_j(k;n) e^{i!_j(k;n)t}}{j} :$$
(8)

In this equation each term gives an exponentially damped oscillatory contribution, whose period and rate of decay depend on the real and imaginary parts of $!_j$ (k;n), respectively. Whether this time dependence persists in the nal response depends on the spatial Fourier transform.

A fler integrating over the angular variables, the remaining transform becomes

$$hG(r;t)i = \begin{cases} X & Z_{+1} \\ & dkA(k;r)e^{i!_{j}(k;n)t}; \\ j & 1 \end{cases}$$
(9)

where A $(k;r) = \frac{ik^2 \sin (kr) ! ! j (k;n)}{c_0 r j}$. Except for the damping component induced by the imaginary part of the pole, the integrand in Eq. (9) is a periodic function of t, oscillating with a frequency given by the real part of ! j (k;n) and with an amplitude governed by A (k;r). For large values of t the exponential oscillates rapidly as a function of k and the dom inant contribution to the integral com es from the vicinity of k-points at which ! j (k;n) is stationary. In other words, the values of k that e ectively contribute to the integral are those satisfying the condition $\frac{d! j}{dk} = 0$. A lthough each pole in Eq. (6) contributes with a di erent term in (9), those meeting the stationary phase condition will dom inate the signal.

The existence of such stationary points is in plied by the oscillations found in Fig. 1 and we now try to locate them . A closer look at Eq. (6) shows that the poles $!_j(k;n)$ are functions of k^2 . Therefore, k = 0 always satis as the stationary phase condition $\frac{d!_j}{dk} = 0$. We assume this value of k as a possible candidate for explaining the observed results in Fig. 1. The corresponding poles are the solutions of a transcendental equation, which can be further simplified if we expand the S-matrix for small !. A ssum ing that n is small, which is the basic condition for the validity of the independent scatterer approximation, the zero order term is su cient and we ind for the real part of the pole that $< [!_j(k = 0;n)] = \frac{c_0-3}{2}(n)^{1-3}$. A ssociated with this pole is a simple expression for the oscillation period T given by

$$T = 2 = < [!_{j}(k = 0;n)] = \frac{4}{C_{0}} \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{n} :$$
 (10)

The predicted oscillation periods based on the stationary phase argument, shown as a continuous line in gure 2, agree very well with the observed periods obtained by numerical integration of the G reen function, represented by the points. A though the stationary phase approximation provides no information about the respective weight of the oscillations, it is su cient to explain their existence in the time response hG (r;t) i. W ith such a simple expression for the period, the oscillations are easily related to a microscopic structural parameter of the system, namely the average separation $a = 1 = \frac{1}{2}$ in between scatterers.

A simple physical picture for the oscillations in the time-dependent amplitude is as follows. When scattered only once, the S-matrix S₀ induces a phase shift in the wave corresponding to a sign change. A second scattering event restores the original sign, which is again changed by a third scatterer, and so on. In this way, positive and negative contributions to the scattered response occur, depending on the number of scattering events. The maximum probability of being scattered a certain number of times depends on the time lapse, and therefore dictates the overall sign of the nal response. For that reason the sign of the response changes as a function of time and we can also understand why the average distance between scatterers appears as the period of the oscillations. On the opposite side of the velocity spectrum, in nitely large values of c lead to S₀ = e^{i! d=c₀}, causing no sign change in individual scattering events. In this case, no oscillation should occur and, indeed, are not observed in the num erical solution for this limiting case.

Therefore, according to Eq. (10), it m ight be possible to obtain the values of a and n, once such oscillations in hG (r;t)i are observed. W hether this structural information can be extracted from an actual measurement depends on the type of disorder in the system. W ithin the conditions for the validity of the present model, i.e., !d = 1 and a=d = 1, the oscillations do not depend on the distribution of the size of the scatterers.

W ell de ned oscillations in the time-delayed response have been recently observed in ultrasonic waves transmitted across a 2-dimensional disordered structure [10]. They have a di erent origin, but we suspect that the superimposed beating pattern re ects the physics discussed here. This hypothesis could be tested by system atic experiments with di erent concentration of scatterers.

In sum m ary, we have observed oscillations in the time dependent response of a system composed of random ly placed spherical scatterers. Based on the stationary phase approximation, we have identified the origin of the oscillations and derived a simple expression for the periods in the limit of impenetrable scatterers, whose dependence on the mean separation between obstacles was established. It is suggested that such oscillations should be observable in disordered systems providing an indirect way of measuring the density of scatterers. When the scatterers are penetrable the simple analytical result presented here has to be modified, but can still be useful in the comparison to the num erical results.

W e thank Cees W apenaar for critically reading the manuscript. This work is part of the research program of the \Stichting Technische W etenschappen" (STW) and the \Stichting Fundam enteel Onderzoek der M aterie" (FOM). G B.acknow ledges support by the NEDO program NAME.

- [1] P.A.Lee and T.V.Ramakrishnan, Rev.M od.Phys.57,287 (1985)
- [2] D.S.W iersma et al, Nature (London) 390, 671 (1997)
- [3] A. Tourin et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 3637 (1997)
- [4] Ping Sheng, Introduction to W ave Scattering, Localization, and M esoscopic Phenomena (A cadem ic Press, 1995)
- [5] M.C.W. van Rossum and Th.M. Nieuwenhuizen, Rev.Mod.Phys.71, 313 (1999)
- [6] C.W.J.Beenakker, Rev.Mod.Phys. 69, 731 (1997)

[7] R.K. Snieder, Scattering and inverse scattering in pure and applied science Eds. Pike, R. and P. Sabatier, A cadem ic Press, San Diego, 2002

- [8] H. Sato and M. C. Fehler, Seism ic wave propagation and scattering in the heterogeneous earth (Springer-Verlag, 1998)
- [9] J.A. Scales and K. van W ijk, Appl. Phys. Lett. 79, 2294 (2001)
- [10] A.Derode, A.Tourin, and M.Fink, Phys. Rev. E 64, 036605 (2001)
- [11] P.Sebbah, O.Legrand, B.A. van Tiggelen, and A.Z.Genack, Phys. Rev. E 56, 3619 (1997)
- [12] P.Sebbah, R.Pnini, and A.Z.Genack, Phys.Rev.E 62, 7348 (2000)
- [13] G.E.W.Bauer, M.S.Ferreira, and C.P.A.W apenaar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 113902 (2001)
- [14] S.D oniach and E.H. Sondheim er, Green's functions for solid state physicists (1974)
- [15] E.Merzbacher, Quantum Mechanics (Wiley, New York, 1961)
- [16] P.M. Morse and H. Feshbach, M ethods of Theoretical Physics (M cG raw -H ill, 1953)

FIG.1. Scattered response h G (r_i t)i (in units of 10³ d²=c₀) for r = 10 d. The graphs at the top correspond to the case of impenetrable spheres where c = 0. The bottom graphs are for c = c₀=5. The graphs on the left and right are for n = 5 10² (in units of 1=d³) and n = 10⁻¹ (in units of 1=d³), respectively. The insets are amplied by a factor 10.

FIG.2. O scillation periods (in units of $d=c_0$) as a function of the concentration n (in units of $1=d^3$). The continuous line results from the stationary phase aproximation whereas the points are the periods obtained after num erical integration.

Figure 1



