E ective action approach to the Leggett's mode in two-band superconductors

S.G. Sharapov<sup>1</sup>, V.P. Gusynin<sup>2</sup>, y and H. Beck<sup>1z</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institut de Physique, Universite de Neuchâtel, 2000 Neuchâtel, Switzerland

<sup>2</sup> Bogolyubov Institute for Theoretical Physics, Metrologicheskaya Str. 14-b, Kiev, 03143, Ukraine

(Dated: March 22, 2024)

We investigate a collective excitation (Leggett's mode) corresponding to small uctuations of the relative phase of two condensates in two-band superconductor using the elective \phase only" action. We consider the possibility of observing Leggett's mode in M gB $_2$  superconductor and conclude that for the known at present values of the two-band model parameters for M gB $_2$  Leggett's mode arises above the two-particle threshold.

PACS numbers:  $74.20\,\mathrm{Fg}$ ,  $11.10\,\mathrm{W}$  x K eywords: two-band system , Leggett's mode, M gB  $_2$ 

#### I. INTRODUCTION

The problem of collective modes in superconductors is almost as old as the microscopic theory of superconductivity. Bogolyubov [1] and Anderson [2] discovered that density oscillations can couple to oscillations of the phase of the superconducting order parameter via the pairing interaction. In a neutral system these collective soundlike oscillations are called Bogolyubov-Anderson-Goldstone (BAG) mode. In a charged system the frequency of this mode is pushed up to the plasm a frequency due to the long-range Coulomb interaction [3].

Despite the fact that the basic physics of the BAG and plasm a modes in superconductors is well understood many years ago, it appears that a more modem approach provides even better insight to the origin and universal character of these modes allowing also to tackle less settled questions. A main idea beyond this approach is rather simple: since the collective modes present usually low energy degrees of freedom, to study them it is su cient to have only an elective Lagrangian (or action) that describes low-frequency, low-wavelength dynamics of the phase of the pairing eld instead of working with the original Hamiltonian of the system. (Note that although plasmons are in general high-energy excitations, they still can be treated using an elective theory [4].)

The most convenient way of deriving such a Lagrangian is to change the variables (see, for example, [4]) for the complex pairing eld!  $\exp(i)$  with real modulus and phase. The simultaneous transformation for the ferm i eld!  $\exp(i)$  allows from the beginning to separate in the Hamiltonian the only phase degree of freedom relevant for the elective theory. In the theory of superconductivity this replacement of the variables that resembles a gauge transformation has, in fact, a long history and it was probably restly used in [5] and then in [6] (see also Refs. in the review [7]). More recently this transformation was used by many authors. For example, in [8] it was used to study the problem of the Galilean invariance of the elective Lagrangian at T=0. The nite temperature time-dependent elective actions for the phase eld in s-wave [9] and d-wave [10] neutral superconductors were derived addressing an old problem of time-dependent generalization of the GL theory. It is also convenient to investigate the plasma mode within this formalism taking into account the long-distance Coulomb repulsion between electrons [4, 6] (see also more recent papers [11, 12]).

Besides these rather commonly known modes there are also other collective modes such as Carlson-Goldman mode [13] which can appear when the Coulomb interaction gets screened and Leggett's mode [14] which is present in two-band superconductors. Recently Carlson-Goldman mode has been studied in d-wave superconductors [15] and colour superconductivity [16] using the approach based on the modulus-phase variables, but to the best of our knowledge Leggett's mode has not been considered yet using this transform ation. Thus it would be interesting and instructive to apply this method to obtain Leggett's mode.

Physically Leggett's mode [14] is a collective excitation corresponding to small uctuations of the relative phase of two condensates in a clean two-band superconductor. There are some indications that M  $gB_2$  superconductor with  $T_c=39K$  [17] discovered about one year ago is an example of such a two-band system. Thus the main results of the present paper are two-fold and can be sum marized as follows.

On leave of absence from Bogolyubov Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kiev, Ukraine; Electronic address: Sergei. Sharapov@unine.ch

 $<sup>{}^{</sup>y}$ E lectronic address: vgusynin@ bitp kiev.ua

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>z</sup>E lectronic address: Hans.Beck@unine.ch; URL: http://www.unine.ch/phys/theocond/

i) Leggett's mode is obtained using the modulus-phase variables in the path integral formalism;

ii) It is considered whether this mode can be observed in M  $gB_2$  superconductor.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we introduce the two-band model and represent the partition function of the system using the Hubbard-Stratonovich transform ations for pairing and C oulomb parts of the interactions. In Sec. III we express the elective thermodynamical potential of the system in the modulus-phase variables and obtain the system of equations for the superconducting gaps. Then we separate the part which describes the collective phase modes. In Secs. IV and V we derive the dispersion law for Leggett's mode in neutral and charged systems, respectively. Sec. VI we investigate the possibility of observing Leggett's mode in MgB<sub>2</sub> superconductor. We conclude in Sec. VII with a discussion and summary of our results.

#### II. M ODEL TW O-BAND HAM ILTONIAN AND HUBBARD-STRATONOVICH TRANSFORM ATIONS

Let us consider the following action (in our notations the functional integral is expressed via e<sup>S</sup>)

where the Hamiltonian H () is

Here  $_{i}$  ( ;r) is a ferm ion eld with the spin = ";#, , i; j = 1;2 is the band index, $_{i}$ Tk) =  $k^{2}$ =2m  $_{i}$  is the dispersion law in i-th band with the elective mass of the carriers  $m_{i}$ , is the imaginary time and  $V_{ij}$  ( $r_{1}$ ;  $r_{2}$ ) =  $V_{ij}$  ( $r_{1}$ ;  $r_{2}$ ) is an attractive short-range potential,  $V_{c}$  ( $r_{1}$   $r_{2}$ ) is the long range C oulomb interaction,  $r_{2}$  is the neutralizing background charge density. Throughout the paper we call the superconducting system neutral if the last term of Eq. (2) is omitted and charged if this term is taken into account. Even in the latter case the whole superconductor remains, of course, neutral due to the neutralizing ionic background. Throughout the paper  $r_{2}$  =  $r_{2}$  units are chosen.

Introducing N am bu variables

$$i(;r) = \begin{cases} i''(;r) \\ y \\ i\#(;r) \end{cases}; \qquad i''(;r) = \begin{cases} y \\ i''(;r) \end{cases} = i\#(;r)$$
 (3)

we rewrite the action as a sum

$$S = S_0 + S_{pair} + S_C \tag{4}$$

of free

$$S_{0} = \begin{cases} Z & Z & X^{2} \\ d & dr & \sum_{i=1}^{y} (x) [\hat{I} + G_{i} (ir) & ir) \end{cases}$$

$$S_{0} = \begin{cases} X_{i} & X_$$

pairing

$$S_{pair} = \begin{cases} Z & Z & X^{2} \\ d & dr & V_{ij} & \frac{y}{i} + i(x) & \frac{y}{j} & j(x); \end{cases}$$
(6)

and Coulomb

parts. Here =  $(_1 \quad i_2)=2$ , (=1;2;3) are Paulim atrices.

The easiest way to treat  $S_{pair}$  is to introduce Hubbard-Stratonovich elds  $_{i}$  for each band, so that

where the coupling constants  $g_{ij}$  are expressed in terms of the original constants  $V_{ij}$ 

$$g_{11} = V_{11} \quad 1 \quad \frac{V_{12}^2}{V_{11}V_{22}} \quad ; \quad g_{22} = V_{22} \quad 1 \quad \frac{V_{12}^2}{V_{11}V_{22}} \quad ; \quad g_{12} = V_{12} \quad 1 \quad \frac{V_{12}^2}{V_{11}V_{22}} \quad :$$
 (9)

For the repulsion part only one Hubbard-Stratonovich eld' is necessary:

$$S_{c} ('; _{i}; _{i}^{y}) = X Z Z Z Z$$

$$= d dr_{1} dr_{2} \frac{1}{2}e' (; _{1})V_{c}^{1} (r_{1} _{2})e' (; _{2}) + ie' (; _{1}) = \frac{y}{i}(x)_{3} _{i}(x) n (_{1} _{2}) :$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}e' (; _{1})V_{c}^{1} (r_{1} _{2})e' (; _{2}) + ie' (; _{3}) = \frac{y}{i}(x)_{3} _{i}(x) n (_{1} _{2}) :$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}e' (; _{1})V_{c}^{1} (r_{1} _{2}) = \frac{y}{i}(x)_{3} _{i}(x) n (_{1} _{2}) :$$

$$= \frac{y}{i}(x)_{3} _{i}(x) n (_{1} _{2}) :$$

$$= \frac{y}{i}(x)_{3} _{i}(x) n (_{1} _{2}) :$$

Thus the partition function of the system can be presented as

$$Z = D_{i}D_{j}D'D_{i}D_{j}^{Y} \exp[S_{0}(i; \frac{y}{i}) + S_{pair}(i; \frac{y}{i}; \frac{y}{i}) + S_{C}('; \frac{y}{i}; \frac{y}{i})];$$
(11)

## III. EFFECTIVE POTENTIAL IN THE MODULUS-PHASE VARIABLES

#### A. Modulus-phase variables

The modulus-phase variables i and i in two bands are introduced exactly as discussed in [7]:

$$_{i}(;r) = _{i}(;r) \exp[i_{i}(;r)];$$
  $_{i}(;r) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i_{i}(;r)=2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i_{i}(;r)=2} & i(;r); \end{pmatrix}$  (12)

making the moduli  $_{i}$  of the pairing eld real. We note that since we restricted our analysis to T < T<sub>c</sub> in zero external eld, so that no vortices are present, the phases  $_{i}$  (;r) are non-singular, so that the transformations (12) do not change the magnetic eld \seen" by quasiparticles. Now absorbing  $g_{11}$  and  $g_{22}$  in  $_{1}$  and  $_{2}$  and integrating out neutral fermions  $_{i}$  we obtain (see e.g. [7, 15, 19])

$$Z = {}_{i}D {}_{j}D {}_{j}D {}' \exp[ ({}_{i}; {}_{i}; {}')]$$

$$(13)$$

with the e ective therm odynamical potential

$$(i;i;') = \begin{bmatrix} Z & d & dr & \frac{2}{1} + \frac{2}{g_{22}} & \frac{2g_{12}}{g_{11}g_{22}} & \frac{2g_{12}}{g_{11}g_{22$$

where the Green's function

$$G_{i}^{1} G_{i}^{1} G_{i}^{1} G_{i}^{1} = \hat{I}_{0}^{2} + \frac{r^{2}}{2m} + \frac{r^{2}$$

with

$$_{i}$$
 (0  $_{i}$ )  $_{3}$   $\frac{i0}{2}$   $_{i}$   $_{i}$ 

that depends only on the time and space derivatives of  $_{i}$ , but not on the phase  $_{i}$  itself. Then we can represent as the sum

$$(i;i')'_{kin}(i;0_{i};')+_{pot}(i;i');$$

$$(17)$$

w here

is the sum of the energies of the phase uctuations in each band and

$$pot(i;i') = \frac{\frac{2}{1}}{g_{11}} + \frac{\frac{2}{2}}{g_{22}} \frac{2g_{12}}{g_{11}g_{22}} = \frac{2g_{12}}{g_{11}g_{22}} = \frac{1}{2} cos(1 - 2) = \frac{1}{2$$

In  $_{pot}$  the most important for the appearance of Leggett's mode term is the Josephson coupling energy of the condensates in two bands. This term explicitly depends on the relative  $_{1}$   $_{2}$  phase of two condensates.

### B. The system of gap equations

As pointed out in [14] while  $V_{11}$  and  $V_{22}$  are completely xed by the physics of the problem, the phase of  $V_{12}$  is a matter of convention (corresponding to the choice of the relative phases of the B loch waves in the two bands). As in [14] we choose  $V_{12}$  to be real and positive. In this case the condition of minima of pot with respect to  $v_{12}$  gives  $v_{12} = v_{12}$ , so that the system of the gap equations  $v_{12} = v_{12}$  has the form

where N  $_{i}$  = m  $_{i}p_{F}$   $_{i}$  = (2  $^{2}$ ) is the density of states (per spin) in i-th band (p $_{F}$   $_{i}$  is the Ferm im om entum) and

$$F(_{i}) = \begin{bmatrix} Z_{!D} & d & p & \frac{1}{2 + \frac{2}{i}} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2 + \frac{2}{i}} & tanh & \frac{2T}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$
(21)

with the Debye frequency,  $!_D$  which is for simplicity assumed to be the same in each band.

This system of the equation can be transformed to the standard form derived by Moskalenko [20] and Suhlet al. [21]

It appears, however, that in contrast to Leggett's approach [14] there is no need of explicit use of the system (22) to obtain the spectrum of collective excitations. In what follows we assume that the values of the superconducting gaps 1 (T) and 2 (T) can, in principle, be determined from the system (22) or taken directly from the experiment.

#### C. E ective potential for the collective m odes

Since the values of the gaps are xed, i.e. there are no amplitude uctuations that is reasonable for T  $T_c$  it is su cient to consider only the part of elective potential depending on  $T_c$  in and  $T_c$  in  $T_c$  it is

Following the same route as described, for example, in Refs. [9, 10, 15], we arrive at the following frequency-momentum representation

$$f_{i}''g = \frac{T}{8} \int_{n=1}^{R^{i}} \frac{dK}{(2)^{3}} (K)^{4}e^{2}V_{c}^{1}(K)'(K) + \frac{8g_{12}}{g_{11}g_{22}} \int_{1/2}^{1/2} (I(K)) \int_{2}^{1/2} (K)'(K) \int_{2}^{1/2} (K)'(K)'(K) \int_{2}^{1/2} (K)'(K) \int_{2}^{1/2} (K)'(K) \int_{2}^{1/2} ($$

where the Josephson term was expanded up to quadratic term and unimportant constant was dropped out. The matrix M  $_{\rm i}$  in (23) is

$$M_{i}^{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 2i_{n} & 33 & (K) + i & (K) & K & i_{n} & K & i_{n$$

and we introduced short-hand notations  $K=(i_n;K)$  with  $_n=2$  nT and K being 3D vector (sum m ation over dum my indices ; = 1;2;3 is implied). In Eq. (24)  $_0^i=_0^i$  is the bare (unrenormalized by the phase uctuations) super uid sti ness, where the current-current polarization function,  $_0^i=_0^i$ , is

w ith the Ferm ivelocity  $v_{Fi}(k) = 0$  if  $k = k_{Fi}$  (here if k = 1); the density-density polarization function, is

and the density-current polarization function,  $i_{03}$  is

in Eqs. (25) - (27) is given by

where the neutral ferm ion G reen's function coincides with the usual G reen's function of the BCS theory

$${}^{i}G(i!_{n};k) = \frac{i!_{n}\hat{T} + {}_{3i}(k) {}_{1}{}_{i}}{!_{n}^{2} + {}_{i}^{2}(k) + {}_{i}^{2}}; !_{n} = (2n+1)T; (29)$$

 $^{\mathrm{i}}$  above is the rst order contribution to the super uid sti ness:

$${}^{i}_{0} = \frac{Z}{(2)^{2}} n_{i}(k) m_{i}^{1} = \frac{n_{i}}{m_{i}} ;$$
 (30)

with

$$n_{i}(k) = 1 - \frac{i(k)}{E_{i}(k)} \tanh \frac{E_{i}(k)}{2T}; \qquad E_{i}(k) = \frac{q}{i(k) + \frac{2}{i}};$$
 (31)

W riting Eq. (23) we om itted the time derivative term linear in the phase (see e.g. [10, 11]) which is irrelevant for the present analysis.

For the purpose of completeness we give the explicit expressions for the polarizations (25) – (27) in Appendix A. These expressions are important if, for example, one is interested in the damping of the collective modes. In what follows we consider these polarizations in the hydrodynamic limit,  $_n=0$  and K ! 0 at T=0. In this case  $_{133}^{13}(0;0)=_{2N_{1}}^{1}$ ,  $_{133}^{13}(0;0)=_{2N_{1}}^{13}$ ,  $_{133}^{13}(0;0)=_{2N_{1}}^{13}$ ,  $_{133}^{13}(0;0)=_{2N_{1}}^{13}$ ,  $_{133}^{13}(0;0)=_{2N_{1}}^{13}$ , while in [14] the density of states per particle was used.

As was mentioned above, calculating the values  $^{i}$   $_{33}$  (0;0) and  $^{i}$  (0;0) there is no need to substitute the gap equations (22) since the coupling constants  $V_{ij}$  do not enter  $^{i}$   $_{33}$  (0;0) and  $^{i}$  (0;0), but enter only in the Josephson coupling term . By contrast, the original derivation of Leggett [14] (see after Eq. (3.8)) explicitly uses the system (22). Note that in a more simple case of one band system the Josephson term is absent and one immediately gets the BAG mode without referring to the gap equation [8].

We note also that while  $^{i}$   $_{03}$  is zero for the case considered in the present paper, this term is crucial for the existence of Carlson-Goldman mode [13, 15].

Having the general representation for the therm odynamical potential  $f_{i}$ ; g we are ready to obtain the spectrum of collective excitations. We start with a more simple case of a neutral superconductor.

#### IV. COLLECTIVE EXCITATIONS IN A NEUTRAL SUPERCONDUCTOR

To consider neutral superconductor one can form ally set e=0 in (23), so that the term s with the electric potential disappear from the equations and we arrive at

$$f_{i}g = \frac{T}{8} \sum_{n=1}^{X^{i}} \frac{Z}{(2)^{3}} \frac{dK}{(2)^{3}} \frac{8V_{12}}{V_{11}V_{22} V_{12}^{2}} + \sum_{i=1}^{1} (K)M_{i}^{1} (K);$$
(32)

w here

$$M_{i}^{1} = {}^{2i}_{n}_{33} (K) + {}^{i}_{30} (K) K K {}^{i}_{n} K {}^{i}_{30} (K) i_{n} K {}^{i}_{30} (K)$$
 (33)

As was already mentioned, we consider M  $_{i}$  in hydrodynam ic (  $_{n}$  = 0, K  $_{i}$  0)  $\lim$  it at T = 0:

$$M_{i}^{1} = 2N_{i}(_{n}^{2} + c_{i}^{2}K^{2});$$
(34)

where  $c_i^2 = v_{\rm F}^2$  is the velocity of the BAG mode in i-th band, so that Eq. (32) becomes

$$f_{i}g = \frac{T}{8} \int_{n=1}^{X^{i}} \frac{Z}{(2)^{3}} \int_{1}^{1} (K) \int_{2}^{1} (K) \int_{2}^{1} (K) \int_{2}^{1} (K)$$
(35)

with

$${}^{1} = {}^{M} {}^{1} + {}^{A} {}^{A} {}^{A} {}^{A} {}^{1} + {}^{A} {}^{i} + {}^{A} {}^{i}$$

Finally, solving the equation det  $^{1}$  = 0 for collective modes and making an analytical continuation i  $_{n}$  ! ! + i0 we arrive at

$$!^{2} = \frac{1}{2} !_{0}^{2} + (c_{1}^{2} + c_{2}^{2})K^{2} \qquad !_{0}^{4} + (c_{1}^{2} - c_{2}^{2})^{2}K^{4} \qquad 2!_{0}^{2} \frac{N_{1} - N_{2}}{N_{1} + N_{2}} (c_{1}^{2} - c_{2}^{2})K^{2}$$
(37)

with

$$!_{0}^{2} = \frac{N_{1} + N_{2}}{2N_{1}N_{2}} \frac{8V_{12} \quad 1}{V_{11}V_{22} \quad V_{12}^{2}} :$$
 (38)

(For a direct comparison with [14] recall that the density of states used here is twice less.) In the limit  $K ! 0 (v_{Fi}K ! 0)$  one obtains from (37)

$$!^{2} = c^{2}K^{2}; \quad c^{2} = \frac{N_{1}c_{1}^{2} + N_{2}c_{2}^{2}}{N_{1} + N_{2}} \quad \text{for } \setminus {}^{0};$$

$$!^{2} = !_{0}^{2} + v^{2}K^{2}; \quad v^{2} = \frac{N_{1}c_{2}^{2} + N_{2}c_{1}^{2}}{N_{1} + N_{2}} \quad \text{for } \setminus + {}^{0}:$$
(39)

The rst solution of (39) corresponds to BAG mode, while the second solution is Leggett's mode. This collective mode is only possible if !  $_0^2 > 0$ . Since  $V_{12} > 0$  this implies that Leggett's mode exists for  $V_{11}V_{22} - V_{12}^2 > 0$ .

## V. COLLECTIVE EXCITATIONS IN A CHARGED SUPERCONDUCTOR

As was discussed in Introduction the long-distance Coulomb interaction has a drastic in uence on the BAG mode transforming it in the plasma mode. Here we consider how Leggett's mode is a ected by the Coulomb interaction. Let us rewrite action (23) in the hydrodynamic limit as one matrix

$$f_{i};'g = \frac{T}{8} \sum_{n=1}^{X^{1}} \frac{Z}{(2)^{3}} \frac{dK}{(2)^{3}} {}_{1}(K) {}_{2}(K) e'(K) M {}_{1}4 {}_{2}(K)^{5};$$

$$e'(K)$$
(40)

w here

and M  $_{_{1}}^{_{1}}$  and A are given by Eqs. (34) and (36), respectively. As one can readily see, setting e=0 in Eq. (40) we reduce the action (40) with 3  $_{_{1}}^{_{2}}$  m atrix M  $_{_{1}}^{_{2}}$  that describes the phase uctuations in the charged system to the action (35) with 2  $_{_{1}}^{_{2}}$  m atrix  $_{_{1}}^{_{2}}$  that describes the collective excitations in a neutral system.

A gain the spectrum of collective excitations can be found solving the equation detM  $^{1} = 0$ . Neglecting the inverse of C oulom b interaction in the lim it K !  $0 \ (V_{c}^{1} \ (K) = K^{2} = (4 \ e^{2}) ! \ 0)$ , we obtain

$$^{2} = !_{0}^{2} + v^{2}K^{2}; v^{2} = \frac{(N_{1} + N_{2})c_{1}^{2}c_{2}^{2}}{N_{1}c_{1}^{2} + N_{2}c_{2}^{2}} : (42)$$

Since the inverse Coulomb potential  $K^2$  is neglected, the equation for the collective modes has the only solution describing Leggett's mode. Comparing (39) and (42) one can see that in accordance with [14] the value of  $!_0$  is insensitive to the Coulomb interaction but the velocity v is a ected. It is interesting that the value of v itself does not depend on the explicit form of  $V_c$  (K).

Including the Coulomb interaction  $V_c$  (K) one can also check that the equation detM  $^{-1}$  = 0 has a plasm a mode as a solution. Indeed considering the simplest  $V_{12}$  = 0 (A = 0) case we obtain the plasm a frequency for in-phase oscillations in both bands

$$_{p}^{2} = 8 e^{2} (N_{1}c_{1}^{2} + N_{2}c_{2}^{2}) = 4 e^{2} \frac{n_{1}}{m_{1}} + \frac{n_{2}}{m_{2}}$$
 (43)

#### VI. IM PLICATIONS FOR M qB 2

There are currently many indications that the recently discovered M  $gB_2$  superconductor [17] can be described by the classical two-gap model [20, 21] which convincingly to the special heat [22] and penetration depth measurements [23]. In particular, in [24, 25, 26] even the values of the coupling constants for the system of equations (22) for the two-gap and two-band model of M  $gB_2$  were given.

We note that one of the alternative explanations of the observed anisotropy of the upper critical eld uses a model with anisotropic s-wave pairing [27] which is also rather close to the two-gap and two-band scenario.

To be experim entally observable Leggett's mode should have the value of  $!_0$  in (42) well separated from the two-particle threshold given by the smallest gap,  $_1$ . Here we estimate the value of  $!_0$  using recently suggested values of the coupling constants that enter the system of equations (22). Introducing the dimensionless coupling constants,  $_{ij}$  =  $N_i V_{ij}$  that are often used for the description of the two-band model, we may rewrite Eq. (38) in the following form

$$!_{0}^{2} = \frac{12 + 21}{11 \cdot 22 \cdot 12 \cdot 21} 4 \cdot 1 \cdot 2 : \tag{44}$$

Our estim ates of  $!_0$  are sum m arized in Table I.

TABLE I: The estimate of the frequency  $!_0$ . The values of the superconducting  $l_1 = 1.8 \text{ m eV}$  and  $l_2 = 6.8 \text{ m eV}$  from [28] are used.

R eference	11	22	12	21	!o,meV	! <sub>0</sub> =2 <sub>1</sub>
Liu, et al. [24] and Barabash [26]	0.96	0.28	0.16	0.22	8.9	2.5
Golubov, et al. [25]	1.017	0.448	0.213	0.155	6.5	1.8

They show that for the values of the two-band model parameters known at present for the two-band model of M gB<sub>2</sub>, Leggett's mode arises above the two-particle threshold,  $!_0=2_1>1$ , and unlikely to be observed. We do not exclude, however, that Leggett's mode can be observed in M gB<sub>2</sub> if the values of the coupling constants are revised, so that the interband coupling constants  $_{12}$  and  $_{21}$  would become smaller allowing  $!_0=2_1<1$ . The observation of Leggett's mode would provide an additional insight to the underlying physics of this superconductor.

#### VII. CONCLUSION

To conclude, we readdressed the collective excitations of the relative phase of the two condensates in a clean two-band superconductor using the e ective \phase action" form alism. This form alism has proved itself as a convenient and econom ic method of the investigation of the collective modes in superconductors. Our estimates of the lowest frequency of Leggett's mode for M  $gB_2$  show that it can hardly be observed in this superconductor.

## VIII. ACKNOW LEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the research project 20-65045.01 by the SCOPES-project 7UKP J062150.00/1 of the Swiss National Science Foundation.

# APPENDIX A: THE EXPRESSIONS FOR POLARIZATIONS ij

The expressions for the polarization functions (for simplicity we om it the band index i) are (see e.g. [9, 10])

and

where (k = 2), E = (k = 2). One can also check that  $_{30}$  (i  $_n$ ; K) =  $_{03}$  (i  $_n$ ; K). The second term in Eqs. (A1) and (A2) gives the contribution of the therm ally excited quasiparticles (i.e. \norm all uid component). This is the term responsible for the appearance of the Landau terms in the electric excited [9, 10].

<sup>[1]</sup> N N . Bogolyubov, V V . Tolm achev, D N . Shirkov, A new method in the theory of superconductivity, (Consultants Bureau, New York, 1959.)

<sup>[2]</sup> P.W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. B 110, 827 (1958); 112, 1900 (1958).

<sup>[3]</sup> P.M artin, in Superconductivity, edited by R.D. Parks (Dekker, New York, 1969), pp. 371-379.

<sup>[4]</sup> N. Nagaosa, Quantum Field Theory in Condensed Matter Physics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.

<sup>[5]</sup> V. Ambegaokar in Percolation, Localization, and Superconductivity edited by A.M. Goldman and S.A.Wolf (NATO ASI Series, Plenum, New York, 1984).

<sup>[6]</sup> T.V.Ramakrishnan, Physica Scripta T 27, 24 (1989).

<sup>[7]</sup> V M . Loktev, R M . Quick and S.G. Sharapov, Phys. Rep. 349, 1 (2001).

<sup>[8]</sup> IJR. Aitchison, P. Ao, D. J. Thouless, X.-M. Zhu, Phys. Rev. B 51, 6531 (1995).

<sup>[9]</sup> IJR.A itchison, G.M etikas, D.J.Lee, Phys. Rev. B 62, 6638 (2000).

<sup>[10]</sup> S.G. Sharapov, H. Beck, V. M. Loktev, Phys. Rev. B 64, 134519 (2001).

<sup>[11]</sup> A. Param ekanti, M. Randeria, T. V. Ramakrishnan, S.S. Mandal, Phys. Rev. B 62, 6786 (2000).

- [12] L.Benfatto, A. Toschi, S. Caprara, C. Castellani, Phys. Rev. B. 64, 140506 (2001).
- [13] R.V. Carlson, A.M. Goldman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 34, 11 (1975); F.E. Aspen, A.M. Goldman, J. Low Temp. Phys. 43, 559 (1981).
- [14] A J. Leggett, Progr. Theor. Phys. 36, 901 (1966).
- [15] S.G. Sharapov, H. Beck, Phys. Rev. B 65, 134516 (2002).
- [16] V P.Gusynin, IA. Shovkovy, Phys. Rev. D 64, 116005 (2001); Nucl. Phys. A 700, 577 (2002).
- [17] J.Nagamatsu, N.Nakagawa, T.Muranaka, Y.Zenitani, J.Akimitsu, Nature 410, 63 (2001).
- [18] O. Vafek, A. Melikyan, M. Franz, Z. Tesanovic, Phys. Rev. B 63, 134509 (2001).
- [19] V P.Gusynin, V M. Loktev, and S.G. Sharapov, JETP Lett. 65, 182 (1997); JETP 88, 685 (1999).
- [20] V A .M oskalenko, FM M 8,503 (1959).
- [21] H. Suhl, B.T. Matthias, L.R. Walker, Phys. Rev. Lett. 12, 552 (1959).
- [22] F. Bouquet, Y. Wang, R. A. Fisher, D. G. Hinks, J.D. Jorgensen, A. Junod, N. E. Phillips, Europhys. Lett. 56, 856 (2001).
- [23] M.-S.Kim, JA.Skinta, T.R.Lemberger, W.N.Kang, H.-J.Kim, E.-M.Choi, S.-I.Lee, Phys. Rev. B 66, 064511 (2002).
- [24] A.Y. Liu, I.I. Mazin, J. Kortus, Phys. Rev. Lett 87, 087005 (2001).
- [25] A A. Golubov, J. Kortus, O. V. Dolgov, O. Jepsen, Y. Kong, O. K. Andersen, B. J. Gibson, K. Ahn, R. K. Kremer, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 14, 1353 (2002).
- [26] S.V. Barabash, D. Stroud, preprint cond-mat/0202468.
- [27] A J. Posazhennikova, T. Dahm, K. Maki, Preprint cond-mat/0204272.
- [28] H. J. Choi, D. Roundy, H. Sun, M. L. Cohen, S.G. Louie, Nature, 418, 758 (2002); Preprint cond-mat/0111183.