

Takahashi Integral Equation
and High-Temperature Expansion of the Heisenberg Chain

Masahiro Shiroishi and Minoru Takahashi
Institute for Solid State Physics, University of Tokyo,
Kashiwanoha 5-1-5, Kashiwa, Chiba, 277-8581 Japan
(Dated:)

Recently a new integral equation describing the thermodynamics of the 1D Heisenberg model was discovered by Takahashi. Using the integral equation we have succeeded in obtaining the high temperature expansion of the specific heat and the magnetic susceptibility up to $O((J=T)^{100})$. This is much higher than those obtained so far by the standard methods such as the linked-cluster algorithm. Our results will be useful to examine the various approximation methods to extrapolate the high temperature expansion to the low temperature region.

PACS numbers: 75.10.Jm, 75.40.Cx, 05.30.-d

The spin-1/2 Heisenberg chain is the one of the fundamental models which has been continuously investigated in the realm of the magnetism in low dimensions. In 1931, Bethe constructed the eigenstates for the isotropic case [1], which was later generalized to anisotropic cases such as the XXZ and the XYZ chain (see [2]). The method, which is nowadays called Bethe ansatz method, has provided us vast information on the model. Especially the thermodynamics has been studied through the Thermodynamic Bethe Ansatz (TBA) equations [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7] and the Quantum Transfer Matrix method (QTM) [8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16].

Quite recently, one of the authors (MT) has found yet another integral equation [17], which determines the free-energy of the XXZ chain. The integral equation consists of one unknown function and is very simple. We have found that it actually gives the same numerical values for physical quantities as those calculated by the TBA equations and the QTM method. In fact the equation was originally discovered in an attempt to simplify the TBA equations [17]. Soon after that it was derived also from the fusion relation of the QTM [18].

In this letter, as one of the applications of this integral equation, we study the high temperature expansion (HTE). As is well known, there is a systematic way to calculate the HTE for any lattice models based on the linked-cluster algorithm [19, 20]. Particularly, in the case of the XXZ chain, the HTE has been achieved up to $O((J=T)^{24})$ [20]. We have succeeded in obtaining the HTE to much higher order, namely, up to $O((J=T)^{100})$ by use of the new integral equation. Below we shall report the result for the isotropic XXZ model. The generalization to the anisotropic models will be published in a separate paper.

chain is defined by

$$H = \sum_{j=1}^N S_j^x S_{j+1}^x + S_j^y S_{j+1}^y + S_j^z S_{j+1}^z - \frac{1}{4} h \sum_{j=1}^N S_j^z; \quad (1)$$

where $S_j^{x,y,z}$ are the local spin-1/2 operators acting on the site j . We assume the periodic boundary conditions $S_{N+1} = S_1$. Note that in our definition, the coupling constant J is positive for the ferromagnetic case and negative for the antiferromagnetic case.

Takahashi's integral equation for the isotropic XX case is given by

$$u(x) = \frac{2 \cosh h=T}{\int_C \frac{1}{x-y-2i} \exp \left(\frac{2J=T}{(y-i)^2 + 1} \right)} \\ + \frac{1}{x-y+2i} \exp \left(\frac{2J=T}{(y-i)^2 + 1} \right) \frac{1}{u(y)} \frac{dy}{2i}; \\ f = T \ln u(0); \quad (2)$$

where the contour C is a loop surrounding the origin in a counterclockwise manner. The equation can be solved numerically.

In the following we derive the HTE of $u(x)$. Actually what we have to do is only to assume $u(x)$ in the form

$$u(x) = \exp \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(x) (J=T)^n \right) \\ = e^{a_0(x)} \left(1 + a_1(x) J=T + a_2(x) + \frac{1}{2} a_1(x)^2 (J=T)^2 \right. \\ \left. + a_3(x) + a_2(x) a_1(x) + \frac{1}{6} a_1(x)^3 (J=T)^3 + \dots \right) \quad (3)$$

and substitute it into the equation (2). Then by comparing the same order of $J=T$ in the LHS and the RHS, we can get the equations, which, to our surprise, determine the functions $a_n(x)$ recursively. For example, from the 0-th order, we get immediately

$$a_0(x) = \ln(2 \cosh h=T) : \quad (4)$$

Similarly by comparing the 1-st order, we have an equation,

$$\begin{aligned} a_1(x) = & \frac{1}{4 \cosh^2 h=T} \int \left[\frac{2}{y(y+2i)(x-y-2i)} \right. \\ & + \left. \frac{2}{y(y-2i)(x-y+2i)} \right] \frac{dy}{2i} \\ & \int \left[\frac{1}{x-y-2i} + \frac{1}{x-y+2i} \right] a_1(y) \frac{dy}{2i} : \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Noting that the second term in the RHS vanishes because the integrand is regular at $y=0$, we can calculate $a_1(x)$ explicitly as

$$a_1(x) = \frac{1}{\cosh^2 h=T} \frac{1}{x^2 + 4} : \quad (6)$$

Repeating the similar procedures we can derive each $a_n(x)$ successively. For example, we have found

$$\begin{aligned} a_2(x) = & \frac{1}{4 \cosh^2 h=T} \frac{x^2 + 12}{(x^2 + 4)^2} \\ & \frac{1}{4 \cosh^4 h=T} \frac{x^2 + 6}{(x^2 + 4)^2}; \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} a_3(x) = & \frac{1}{24 \cosh^2 h=T} \frac{3x^4 + 36x^2 + 160}{(x^2 + 4)^3} \\ & + \frac{1}{4 \cosh^4 h=T} \frac{x^4 + 11x^2 + 36}{(x^2 + 4)^3} \\ & \frac{1}{24 \cosh^6 h=T} \frac{3x^4 + 30x^2 + 80}{(x^2 + 4)^3}; \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

In fact, with the help of Mathematica, we have calculated $a_n(x)$ up to $n=100$, which provides the HTE for the free energy $f=T = \sum_{n=0}^1 a_n(0) (J=T)^n$ up to $(J=T)^{100}$.

Some of the lower terms are given as

$$\begin{aligned} f=T = & \ln(2 \cosh(h=T)) + \frac{J}{4T}(1-B^2) \\ & + \frac{3J^2}{32T^2}(1-B^4) \\ & + \frac{J^3}{192T^3}(1-B^2)(3+7B^2+10B^4) \\ & + \frac{5J^4}{3072T^4}(1-B^2)(3-B^2-9B^4-21B^6) \\ & + \frac{J^5}{5120T^5}(1-B^2)(1+2B^2) \\ & (15-B^2+21B^4-63B^6) \\ & + \frac{7J^6}{122880T^6}(1-B^2) \\ & (3-35B^2-85B^4-95B^6-30B^8+330B^{10}) \\ & + \dots; \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where we have set $B = \tanh(h=T)$. From the expansion (9), one can get the HTE for other physical quantities.

For example, we list coefficients of the HTE for the specific heat $C = T \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial T^2}$ and the magnetic susceptibility $\chi = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial h^2}$ at zero magnetic field in Table I and Table II. Unfortunately due to the lack of space, we can present the coefficients only up to $O((J=T)^{24})$. The coefficients of higher order will be sent on demand to any interested reader. We remark that the coefficients up to $O((J=T)^{24})$ completely coincide with those given in [20]. (Note the differences of the conventions, $J \neq J$ and $h \neq 2h$.) The terms with the order larger than $O((J=T)^{25})$ are our new results. It will probably be impossible to get the HTE to such a high order using a conventional method.




FIG. 1: Specific heat for the antiferromagnetic XXZ chain at $h=0$.

Usually in order to extrapolate the high temperature expansion series to the low temperature region, some further approximation methods are used. Actually the original series will not converge in the low temperature region (T about less than 0.55), because of the existence of the singularities on the complex plane with respect to the inverse temperature.

Here we have applied the standard Padé approximation to our HTE. The results are shown in Fig. 1,...,Fig. 4.




FIG. 2: Magnetic susceptibility for the antiferromagnetic XXX chain at $h = 0$. (0) is taken from [21].




FIG. 3: Specific heat for the ferromagnetic XXX chain at $h = 0$.

Since we have obtained the HTE up to $O((J=T)^{100})$, the expressions up to $[50;50]$ Pade approximant are available. For comparison, we have also plotted the numerical data calculated by the QTM method [11, 15]. (Note that the physical quantities in Fig. 1,...,Fig. 4 were first calculated by the TBA equations. See particularly [6, 7] for the ferromagnetic case.) From Fig. 1,...,Fig. 4, we find that the $[50;50]$ Pade approximant show good coincidence with data by the QTM to very low temperature region somewhat like $T \approx 0.05$ except for the magnetic suscep-




FIG. 4: Magnetic susceptibility for the ferromagnetic XXX chain at $h = 0$. Note that the plain series of HTE deviates from the QTM data around $T = 0.52$.

tibility for the antiferromagnetic case (Fig. 2.). In that case the logarithm is anomaly around $T \approx 0$ is so strong [14, 15, 16] and it probably prevents the good convergence of the Pade approximation [20].

Apart from the very low temperature region, we have found our higher order Pade approximation gives the physical quantities with extremely high precision. For example, we have estimated the peak position of the specific heat and the magnetic susceptibility for the antiferromagnetic case by use of our $[50;50]$ Pade approximation. The result for the specific heat is

$$\begin{aligned} C^{\text{max}} &= 0.3497121234553176; \\ T^{\text{max}} = J &= 0.4802848685890477; \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

and that for magnetic susceptibility is

$$\begin{aligned} C^{\text{max}} = J &= 0.5877051177413559; \\ T^{\text{max}} = J &= 0.6408510308513831; \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

These values are perfectly identical to the ones in [16], where the non-linear integralequations for the QTM were solved numerically very carefully. (Note that our $C^{\text{max}} = J$ is four times larger than that in [16], because of different normalization factors.) Note also that the peak position of the magnetic susceptibility (11) was first determined in [14].

For comparison we have also estimated the peak position of the specific heat for the ferromagnetic case as

$$\begin{aligned} C^{\text{max}} &= 0.1342441913136996; \\ T^{\text{max}} = J &= 0.3326119630964252; \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

In conclusion, we have shown that the the new integral equation by Takahashi is very useful to calculate analytically the HTE for the 1D Heisenberg model. As far as we know, the HTE of such a high order as $O((T=J)^{100})$ has not been achieved for any other models except for free ones. We have seen that our HTE data together with Pade approximation, provide the very accurate numerical data for physical quantities to sufficiently low temperatures. There are several further methods to improve the Pade approximation, for example, to allow for the asymptotic expression as $T \rightarrow 0$, etc. (see, for example, [20, 22]). Based on the present results we shall investigate such possibilities in the forthcoming publication.

The authors are thankful to A. K. Lai, Y. Nishiyama and K. Sakai for valuable discussions. This work is supported by Grants-in-Aid for the Scientific Research (B) No. 11440103 from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan.

TABLE I: Series coe cients n for the high tem perature expansion of the speci c heat $C = \frac{P}{n} \frac{n}{n!} \frac{J}{4T} n$ at $h = 0$.

n	n	n
0	0	26
1	0	27
2	6	28
3	-36	29
4	-360	30
5	7200	31
6	15120	32
7	-1848672	33
8	11426688	34
9	594846720	35
10	-11558004480	36
11	-199812856320	37
12	10106191180800	38
13	19376365252608	39
14	-9289795522775040	40
15	12194421136778240	41
16	8791781390116945920	42
17	-310402124957945954304	43
18	-7225535925744106143744	44
19	643407197363813620776960	45
20	9614748354254031214400	46
21	-1279121513829538179364945920	47
22	27962069861743501862336200704	48
23	2398518627113966015427501883392	49
24	-129834725539335848980192847462400	50
25	-349387700064415911285457158144000	51

TABLE II: Series coe cients n for the high tem perature expansion of the m agnetic susceptibility $= \frac{P}{T} \frac{n}{n(n+1)!} \frac{J}{4T} n$ at $h = 0$.

n	n	n
0	1	26
1	4	27
2	0	28
3	-64	29
4	400	30
5	4032	31
6	-89376	32
7	-163840	33
8	26313984	34
9	-191334400	35
10	-9565698560	36
11	210597986304	37
12	3486950684672	38
13	-203634731188224	39
14	-127324657152000	40
15	205019990184689664	41
16	-3169755454477500416	42
17	-20876354110996256448	43
18	8342101083559022592	44
19	175912858271144581529600	45
20	-18366266410738921187573760	46
21	407841013859691009425244160	47
22	38668138493195891009425244160	48
23	-983734184997038611238624428032	49
24	-75650797544886562610211717120000	50
25	462251199058218072886877678154572	51

[1] H . A . Bethe, Z . Phys. 71 205 (1931).

[2] M . Takahashi, Therm odynam ics of One-D imensional Solvable M odels, (Cambridge University Press, Cam bridge, 1999)

[3] M . Takahashi, Prog. Theor. Phys. 46, 401 (1971).

[4] M . Gaudin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 26, 1301 (1971).

[5] M . Takahashi and M . Suzuki, Prog. Theor. Phys. 46, 2187 (1972).

[6] M . Takahashi and M . Yam ada, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 54,

2808 (1985).

[7] P . Schlottmann, Phys. Rev. Lett. 54, 2131 (1985).

[8] M . Suzuki and M . Inoue, Prog. Theor. Phys. 78, 787 (1987).

[9] T . Kom a, Prog. Theor. Phys. 78, 1213 (1987); ibid 81, 783 (1989).

[10] J. Suzuki, Y .Akutsu, and M .W adati, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 59, 2667 (1990).

[11] M . Takahashi, Phys. Rev. B 43, 5788 (1991) ; ibid 44,

- 12382 (1991).
- [12] M . Takahashi et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 746 (1991).
- [13] A . K lum per, Z. Phys. B 91, 507 (1993).
- [14] S. Eggert, I. A eck, and M . Takahashi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73, 332 (1994).
- [15] A . K lum per, Eur. Phys. J. B 5, 677 (1998).
- [16] A . K lum per and D . C . Johnston, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 4701 (2000).
- [17] M . Takahashi, in Physics and Combinatorics, eds. A . K . K irillov and N . Liskova, P 299–304, (W orld Scien-
ti c, Singapore, 2001), cond-mat/0010486.
- [18] M . Takahashi, M . Shiroishi, and A . K lum per, J. Phys. A .
Math. Gen. 34, L187 (2001).
- [19] G . A . Baker, G . S.Rushbrooke, H . E . G ilbert, Phys. Rev.
135, A 1272 (1964).
- [20] A . Buhler, N . E lster, and G . S.Uhrig, Eur. Phys. J. B
16, 475 (2000).
- [21] R . B . G ri ths, Phys. Rev. 133, A 768 (1964).
- [22] A . Buhler, U . Low , and G . S.Uhrig, Phys. Rev. B 64,
024428 (2001).