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#### Abstract

W e discuss the in uence of boundary conditions on the continuum lim it of the sixvertex $m$ odel by deriving a variational principle for the associated height function $w$ ith arbitrary xed boundary conditions. We discuss its consequences using the known phase diagram of the six-vertex $m$ odel. In som e particular cases we com pute explicitly the corresponding partial di erential equations by $m$ eans of the B ethe A nsatz.


## 1. Introduction

The six vertex model, a well-known integrable $m$ odel of tw o-dim ensional statistical $m$ echanics, has been solved w ith various types ofboundary conditions: in the originalsolutions, w th periodic boundary conditions (PBC) ) [in ' boundary conditions [1, terestingly enough, in the latter case, even \bulk" quantities tumed out to be di erent than w th P BC.O ne possible way to understand th is is to notige that the six-vertex model possesses at each vertex a constraint: equality of the num ber of incom ing and outgoing arrow s . This conservation of arrow s renders the usual theorem sofstatisticalm echan ics which ensure the existence of a them odynam ic lim it with bulk quantities that are independent of the boundary conditions inapplicable (som e energies being in nite); and it e ectively creates a non-locality of the degrees of freedom (or of the $m$ oves from an algorithm ic point of view ) which is expected to create sensitivity on boundary conditions. This raises the general problem of the e ect of boundary conditions on the therm odynam ic lim it of the six-vertex $m$ odel. Im portant questions such as the com putation of the bulk free energy, as well as of som e local quantities (local polarization) w ill be addressed here. W e shall try to give a qualitative understanding of the physical phenom ena involved, as well as som e explicit calculations whenever they are possible.

We shall consider in this paper xed boundary conditions (FBC) from which most boundary conditions can be derived. A swe shall see, the $m$ ain idea is that these boundary conditions induce bcal polarizations ${ }^{1 / 1}$ These are responsible for spatial phase separation: considering a continuum lim it with a proper scaling for the dom ain in which the $m$ odel is de ned and for the lim iting conditions at its boundary, we shall see that several phases $m$ ay coexist in this dom ain. Depending on the phase diagram (that is on the value of the Boltzm an weights de ning the $m$ odel), the phases have de nite boundaries in the case of second order phase transition, whereas in the case of rst order the phases freely $m$ ix.
$T$ he techniques we shall use are strongly inspired by the recent developm ents in the eld of dim ers / dom ino tilings! in random tilings [ī] a particular case of the six-vertex $m$ odel, corresponding to a special set of values of the B oltzm an weights.

[^0]T he plan of the article is as follow s . In section 2 , we shall de ne the six-vertex m odel w ith generalFBC and try to justify a con jectured variationalprinciple for the description of its them odynam ic lim it. Then we shall analyze the phase diagram of the $m$ odel in the three regim es that it possesses and give explicit equations for the variational problem in som e cases (section 3). F inally, we shall conclude in section 4 w ith som e com $m$ ents on the applications of this variational principle (in particular in relation to con jectures $m$ ade in

2. T he six-vertex model and its variationalprinciple

The six-vertex $m$ odel is de ned on a regular square lattice. For xed boundary conditions ( $F$ BC ) the lattige w illbe contained in a dom ain $D$ of the plane which is assum ed, for sim plicity, to be convex. For technical reasons which willbecom e clear below , we m ust also consider in parallelthe m odelw ith periodic boundary conditions (PBC), in which case the lattice is on a torus. The lattige spacing is called .

The con gurations of the $m$ odel are obtained by assigning arrow s to each edge of the lattice (see Fig. 1). T he FBC m ean that the arrow s at the boundary of $D$ are supposed to be xed once and for all.


Fig. 1: A con guration of the six-vertex model.

The partition function is then obtained by sum $m$ ing over allpossible con gurations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}=\underset{\text { arrow con }}{\mathrm{X}} \underset{\substack{\text { gurations vertex v2 }}}{\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{v})} \tag{2:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the statistical weights $w(v)$ are assigned to each vertex $v$ of the lattice. These are given in term $s$ of the arrow $s$ around the vertex according to

where $a, b, c$ are positive real num bers. These being the only con gurations that respect the conservation of arrow $S$, all the other w eights are zero.

O ne can consider the arrows as vectors in the plane with unit length; then the polarization of a subdom ain $D^{0} \quad D$ is de ned as

$$
P\left(D^{0}\right)=\frac{1}{N\left(D^{0}\right)} X_{\text {edge e2D0 }}^{X} p(e)
$$

where $N\left(D^{0}\right)$ is the num ber of vertioes in $D^{0}$, and $p(e)$ is the arrow of edge e. N ote that w ith FBC, the total polarization per vertex $P \quad P(D)$ is $x e d$, but not w th PBC. In the latter case it is natural to introduce an electric eldE coupled to the polarization:

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
\text { X } & \exp \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{e}) & \mathrm{Y}  \tag{2:3}\\
\text { arrow con gurations } & & \text { edge e2D } & \text { vertex v2D }
\end{array}
$$

(we set for now the tem perature to one. it will be restored when needed). In the case of PBC it is known that the them odynam ic lim it is well-de ned; that is, independently of the shape of the torus, when one sends its linear sizes to in nity there is a unique free energy per vertex

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(E)=\lim _{N} \frac{1}{N} \log Z(E) \tag{2:4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N=N(D)$ is the num ber of vertioes of the lattice. O ne also de nes for future use the Legendre transform of $F$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(\mathbb{P})=F\left(\mathbb{E}^{\prime}\right)+E \quad P \tag{2:5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P$ and $E$ are related by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \Psi^{i} i=\frac{@ F}{@ E^{2}} \tag{2:6}
\end{equation*}
$$

A $s$ is well-know $n, G$ is the free energy per vertex at xed totalpolarization.
In the case of FBC, one also de nes the free energy per vertex to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{F B C}=\frac{1}{N} \log Z_{F B C} \tag{2:7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the subscript FBC rem inds us that $G$ depends on a choice of the arrows at the boundary of .

Finally, we need to de ne the height function $h$ associated to a con guration of the six-vertex modelw ith FBC; it is a function de ned on the faces of the lattice, such that $w$ hen one $m$ oves from a face to one of its neighbors, $h$ increases by if the arrow in betw een points right (and of course decreases by if it points left). $h$ is only de ned up to a global constant. Sim ilarly, there is a boundary height function $h_{0}$ de ned as above on the faces surrounding $D$, and which is xed up to a constant when the boundary arrows are xed. In the end, the whole $m$ odel can be recast as a height $m$ odel: the con gurations are now
 neighboring faces $f$ and $f^{0}$, and the boundary condition $h_{j e D}=h_{0}$. The Boltzm an weights are the direct translation of those of the originalm odel.

Now we are ready to take the continuum lim it for FBC. It is obtained by sending the lattioe spacing to zero, keeping the dom ain $D \quad x e d . W$ hat happens to the boundary conditions? Since they can be speci ed by the boundary height function ho, we assum e that the latter converges to a given function $h_{0}$ de ned on the boundary @D. It is im portant to notioe that typical con gurations of the height function will also be described by sm ooth

 $w$ here $x$ and $y$ are coordinates along the directions of the lattioe, so that $h w i l l b e$ (alm ost everywhere) di erentiable.
$M$ ore precisely, let us consider the average height function hh ( $x ; y$ ) i, which plays the role of one-point correlation function in the $m$ odel. In the continuum lim it, hhi becom es a m acroscopic quantity. In fact, its derivative is nothing but the average localpolarization:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\varrho}{@ x} \operatorname{hh}(x ; y) i=h P_{y}(x ; y) i  \tag{2:8a}\\
& \frac{\varrho}{@ y} h(x ; y) i=\quad h P_{x}(x ; y) i \tag{2:8b}
\end{align*}
$$

P( $x ; y$ ) being de ned by

$$
P(x ; y) \quad \lim _{\left.D 0_{( } ; y\right)} P\left(D^{0}\right)
$$

where $D^{0}$ is a m acroscopic patch around ( $\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y}$ ) whose size tends to zero.
$T$ he im portant consequence of this observation is that the sum $m$ ation over $h$ in the partition function willbe dom inated by con gurations near localm inim a due to a steepest descent phenom enon. For the rest of this section we shall assum e that we are in a nondegenerate situation where the globalm inim um is unique and can be identi ed with the average height function hhi (we shall have to dealw ith degenerate situations later on).

In order to go on we need an expression for the contribution to the free energy of con gurations near a given height function $h(x ; y)$. W e com e to them ain hypothesis of th is reasoning: we assum e that the only e ect of the FBC is to create localpolarizations. Thus, in every sm all patch $D^{0}$ of the dom ain $D$ in which one can consider the localpolarization $P$ to be approxim ately constant, the free energy in $D^{0}$ is a function of $P$ only. Now we already encountered a m odelw hich is explicitly translationally invariant (i.e.w ith constant local polarization) : the m odelw ith PBC, in which one can constrain the polarization to the sam e value P by Legendre transform. W e therefore conjecture the equality of free energies per vertex in these two situations as we take the continuum lim it. There are $m$ any consistency checks of this hypothesis, som e of which we shall see below. The free energy being extensive, we reach the conclusion that the contribution of con gurations near $h(x ; y)$ is given by

$$
\frac{\mathrm{ZZ}}{\frac{d x d y}{2} G\left(\quad @_{y} h(x ; y) ; @_{x} h(x ; y)\right), ~}
$$

where $\left.G\left(P_{x} ; P_{y}\right) \quad G P^{\prime}\right)$ is the free energy per vertex with PBC at xed polarization $P$ de ned earlier. N ote again that since $h$ is assum ed to be 1-亡 ipschitz it is alm ost everyw here di erentiable and the integral has a m eaning.

Eq. (2.d) is all we need to provide our variational principle. It is clear now that the typical height function hi is simply obtained by minim izing (2. $2 .$. functions $h$ satisfying the boundary condition $h_{j \varrho D}=h_{0}$. A nd the free energy per vertex is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{F B C}=m_{h} \text { in }^{Z Z} \frac{d x d y}{A(D)} G\left(Q_{y} h(x ; y) ; Q_{x} h(x ; y)\right) \tag{2:10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where A (D) is the area of the dom ain D.Eq. (2.1]) is our key formula.
$M$ inim izing the functional above leads to interesting questions. Indeed it is known that if $G\left(P_{x} ; P_{y}\right)$ is a tw ice di erentiable strictly convex function of $P_{x}$ and $P_{y}$ then $h(x ; y)$ is the unique solution of an elliptic partial di erential equation (PDE), nam ely:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@^{2} G}{@ P_{y}^{2}}\left(\varrho_{y} h ; @_{x} h\right) @_{x x} h \quad \frac{@^{2} G}{\varrho @_{x} @ P_{y}}\left(@_{y} h ; @_{x} h\right) @_{x y} h+\frac{@^{2} G}{@ P_{x}^{2}}\left(\quad @_{y} h ; @_{x} h\right) @_{y y} h=0 \tag{2:11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It tums out that for no region of the param eters of the $m$ odel is it fully the case; how ever the problem s are m ore or less severe depending on the regim $e$. The general principles of statisticalm echanics ensure that the function $G$ is convex, but there is the possibility of singularities (in our case, as we shall see, the endpoints $f_{x} j=f_{y} j=1$ as well as the specialpoint $P_{x}=P_{y}=0$ ); and of linear parts (corresponding to the $m$ ixing oftw o phases at a rst order transition). W e are thus led to the study of the phase diagram of the $m$ odel, which will be the ob ject of the next section.

Let us conclude here by describing how the results for periodic and anti-periodic boundary conditions $m$ entioned in the introduction can be recovered in a self-consistent way in our form alism . W ith PBC, one can still de ne a height function on a fundam ental dom ain, say $(x ; y) 2[0 ; L] \quad\left[0 ; L^{0}\right]$; how ever, the boundary conditions now read

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(x=L ; y)=h(x=0 ; y)+L P_{y} \quad h\left(x ; y=L^{0}\right)=h(x ; y=0) \quad L P_{x} \tag{2:12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the total polarization per vertex $P$ is arbitrary. The free energy is now given by
 clearly satisfy these, and, for given $P_{x}$ and $P_{y}, m$ inim ize ( $2.1-1$ ) due to the convexity of G. This $m$ eans that the local polarization is constant and we im $m$ ediately recover the free energy G ( $\Psi^{\prime}$ ); in particular the unconstrained free energy $F$, obtained by m inim izing over P , is sim ply $G(0)$. M odi ying slightly the argum ent leads to an identical result for anti-periodic or free boundary conditions.
3. B ethe A nsatz solution and phase diagram

The six-vertex $m$ odelbeing integrable, one $m$ ight think that a closed expression exists for the free energy $F\left(E^{\prime}\right)$ of the six-vertex $m$ odel $w$ ith PBC in an electric eld. In fact, even though $B$ ethe $A$ nsatz equations exist for an arbitrary $\operatorname{eld} E$, only for $E=0$ can they be solved in the therm odynam ic lim it. W e brie y review here the B ethe A nsatz equations.

C onsider the six vertex modelw ith PBC on a rectangle of size $N=N \quad M . O$ ne alw ays starts by using a transfer $m$ atrix form ulation of the PBC partition function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}=\operatorname{trT}^{\mathrm{M}} \tag{3:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T$ is the usual transfer $m$ atrix, acting on $\left(C^{2}\right)^{N}$ that is on row $s$ of vertical arrow $s$ of the lattice. The goal is to diagonalize T ; the largest eigenvalue w ill then provide us w ith the free energy in the therm odynam ic lim it. D ue to the conservation of arrow s (and the PBC), the number $n$ of up arrows is independent of the row and we can $x$ it. $n$ being related to the verticalpolarization by $P_{y}=1 \quad 2 n=N$, the verticaleletric eld plays no role and can be set to zero; so that the resulting free energy $\mathrm{F}^{N}=\quad \lim \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{N}} ; \mathrm{M}!1 \frac{1}{\mathrm{~N} M} \log Z$ is a $m$ ixed function of $P_{y}$ and $E_{x}$, related by Legendre transform to both functions $\mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{x}} ; \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{y}}\right.$ ) and $G\left(P_{x} ; P_{y}\right)$ de ned earlier.
$T$ here are $m$ any equivalent diagonalization procedures. In the coordinate approach (see for exam ple $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { [̄] }\end{array}\right]$ ), one $m$ akes an A nsatz on the wave function of the $n$ up arrows. It is characterized by $n$ distinct $m$ om enta $k_{i}$; these have to satisfy constraints (Bethe A nsatz Equations, BAE) obtained by having one spin up circle around the strip of width N , interacting $w$ ith all the other spins up:

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{i k_{j} N}=\underbrace{Y^{n}}_{\substack{\ddots=1 \\ 16 j}} B\left(k_{j} ; k_{\bullet}\right) \quad j=1 ;::: ; n \tag{3:2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(p ; q)=\frac{1+\exp \left(4 E_{x}+i(p+q)\right)}{1+\exp \left(4 E_{x}+i(p+q)\right)} 22 \exp \left(2 E_{x}+i p\right) ~ 2 e x p\left(2 E_{x}+i q\right) \tag{3:3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{a^{2}+b^{2} d^{2}}{2 a b} \tag{3:4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he eigenvalue of the transfer $m$ atrix is then given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=a e^{E_{x}}{ }_{j=1}^{Y^{M}} L\left(k_{j}\right)+b e^{E_{x}}{ }_{j=1}^{Y^{n}} M\left(k_{j}\right) \tag{3:5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
L(k) & =\frac{a=b+\exp \left(i k+2 E_{x}\right)}{\exp \left(i k+2 E_{x}\right) a=b} 1 \\
M(k) & \left.=\frac{b=a+\exp (i k}{\exp (i k} 2 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)  \tag{3:6b}\\
\hline i k=a & 2
\end{array}
$$

In order to w rite dow $n$ the nalform ula for the free energy, it is convenient to introduce som em ore notations. W e set

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=e^{a} \quad b=e^{b} \quad c=e e^{c} \tag{3:7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and split the contribution to the polarization of an arrow into its two endpoints, so that the energies of the six vertex con gurations becom e


Then as M ! 1 one can w rite
2
3

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{N}=\lim _{N!} m \operatorname{in} 4 \underbrace{}_{1} \frac{1}{N}_{j=1}^{X^{n}} \log j\left(k_{j}\right) j_{4} \quad \frac{1}{N}_{j=1}^{X^{n}} \log \mathrm{M}^{M}\left(k_{j}\right) 5^{5} \tag{3:8}
\end{equation*}
$$

 $m$ inim ize $F^{r}$.

There is an obvious sym m etry $P_{y} \$ \quad P_{y}$, and in what follow $s$ we shall alw ays restrict ourselves to $P_{y} \quad 0$, that is $0 \quad n \quad N=2$. This upper bound on $n$ appears naturally in the BAE.Several scenarios can occur: the largest eigenvalue of $T$ can be attained by the trivial state $w$ ith all spins up ( $n=0$ ); it can correspond to the number $n$ reaching its $m$ axim um value $n=N=2$; or it can correspond to a state $w$ ith an interm ediate num ber $0<\mathrm{n}<\mathrm{N}=2$. A fter Legendre transform ation, sim ilar phenom ena take place for $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{x}} \cdot \mathrm{We}$ are therefore led to the follow ing de nitions:

There $m$ ay be regions of for which the polarization is constant and satis es $f_{x} j=$ $P_{y} j=1$. This corresponds to a frozen phase with all the arrow $s$ aligned: we call it the ferroelectric phase ( $F$ ). W e can distinguish which vertex con guration (from 1 to 4) is favored by the subscript: $\mathrm{F}_{1}, \mathrm{~F}_{2}, \mathrm{~F}_{3}, \mathrm{~F}_{4}$.

There $m$ ay be regions oEf for which the polarization is constant and is zero: we call it the antiferroelectric phase (AF).

Finally, in regions off where the polarization is non-constant, there is no particular order and we call this the disordered phase (D).

The phase diagram depends very $m u c h$ on the value of the param eter (de ned by $\left.\left.(\overline{3} .4)^{\prime}\right)\right)$, and we shall exam ine three cases separately. N ote that in what follow s , we shall alw ays assum e a > b due to the sym $m$ etry betw een $a$ and $b$. M ore detailed inform ation on the phase diagram can be found in rī $\overline{1} \overline{1}]$.

### 3.1. The regím e $>1$

$T$ his is one of the two \low tem perature regim es". A s in all other regim es, it seem s im possible to derive exact expressions for the free energy in arbitrary eld. Instead, it is natural to look for a low tem perature expansion. Let us start at zero tem perature, that is $=+1$. In order to draw the zero tem perature phase diagram, it is su cient to com pare the four energies and pick the low est. This leads to F ig. 2 .


Fig. 2: Phase diagram as ! +1.

There is no bulk entropy and the free energy per vertex is simply $F=m$ in $(1 ; 2 ; 3 ; 4)$. $T$ he Legendre transform ation is highly degenerate since the whole regions $F_{i}, i=1 ;:: ; 4$ correspond to the points $f_{x} j=f_{y} j=1$. The square $\left(P_{x} ; P_{y}\right) 2[1 ; 1] \quad[1 ; 1]$ is now divided into tw o regions, $P_{x} \quad P_{y} 7$ 0 and where the phases $\mathrm{F}_{1}, \mathrm{~F}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{F}_{3}$ or $\mathrm{F}_{4} \mathrm{~m}$ ix. In these regions G is linear, CfF ig. 3 .
${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ ad we chosen $\mathrm{b}>$ a it would have been $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{x}}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{y}} 70$.


F ig. 3: Free energy as a function of the polarization at $=+1$.
T he expression of the free energy:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(P_{x} ; P_{y}\right)=a+\frac{b \quad a}{2} P_{x} \quad P_{y} j \tag{3:9}
\end{equation*}
$$

leads, for $F B C$, to the $m$ inim ization of the follow ing quantity:

$$
I=\quad d x d y j_{y} h+@_{x} h j
$$

where we recall that $h$ is de ned on a convex dom ain $D$, is xed to be $h$ on the boundary ofD, and satis es 报hj 1 , 酸hj 1 .
$W$ em ake the change of variables: $u=x \quad y, v=x+y$ so that $I={ }^{R} \quad \operatorname{dudv}{ }_{j}{ }_{u} h j$. The integral over $u$ is clearly $m$ in $\dot{m} u m$ when $@_{u} h$ has xed sign. Let us call $u(v)<u_{2}(v)$ the intersections of @D and the $v=$ cst line; we see that for xed boundary values ho ( $u_{1}(v)$ ) and $h_{0}\left(u_{2}(v)\right)$, the $m$ inim $u m$ is

$$
I=\quad d v h_{0}\left(u_{2}(v)\right) \quad h_{f}\left(u_{1}(v)\right) j
$$

How $m$ any functions realize this $m$ inim um ? O ne can alw ays choose $h$ to be linear in $u$ at xed v:

$$
h(u ; v)=\frac{u \quad u_{1}(v)}{u_{2}(v)} u_{1}(v) \quad h_{0}\left(u_{2}(v)\right) \quad \frac{u \quad u_{\underline{L}}(v)}{u_{1}(v) \quad u_{\underline{L}}(v)} h_{0}\left(u_{1}(v)\right)
$$

$T$ his function is $1-$ ipschitz if $h_{0}$ is. H owever, for a generic function $h_{0}$, this $w$ ill not be the only choice, and in fact there will be an in nity of possible $h$; this is related to the non-strict convexity of $G$, which is itself related to the fact that the phase transitions of Fig. 2 are rst order.

The nal expression for the FBC free energy for FBC at $=+1$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{F B C}=a+\frac{b \quad a}{2} \quad \frac{d v}{A(D)} n_{0}\left(u_{2}(v)\right) \quad h_{\theta}\left(u_{1}(v)\right) j \tag{3:10}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is how ever im portant to understand that the degeneracy in the choice ofh is an artefact of zero tem perature, and is lifted by any nite value of. Indeed, let us now look at a typical phase diagram when $1 \ll+1$ (Fig.4).


Fig. 4: Phase diagram in the $>1$ regim e.
There is a rst order transition left betw een phases $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ on a nite interval; how ever the triple points have been replaced with disordered regions $w$ ith second order phase transitions at the boundary. The result, at the level of the Legendre transform, is that the two at faces of $G\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{x}} ; \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)$ acquire some curvature which $m$ ake them strictly convex in the two regions $P_{x} \quad P_{y} 70$. There rem ains only a one-dim ensional at valley between the two. Thus, we are led to the conclusion that in each of the spatial regions which correspond to $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{x}} \quad \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{y}} 70$ (which are determ ined, as stated earlier, by the sign of $\left.h_{0}\left(u_{2}(v)\right) \quad h_{b}\left(u_{1}(v)\right)\right)$, $h$ is either \saturated" ( $\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{h} j=1$ or $\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{h} j=1$ ), or the solution of an elliptic PD E. The zero tem perature lim it of these equations is given by the rst correction to the free energy. H ere we shall not attem pt the calculation of this correction since it is fairly involved, and a sim ilar (though technically easier) calculation will be perform ed in the $m$ ore interesting regim e $<1$. N ote nally, that in the (non-generic) case of boundary conditions such that there are regions for which $h_{0}\left(u_{2}(v)\right)=h_{0}\left(u_{1}(v)\right)$, there is of course a unique way of $m$ inim izing the free energy for all $>1$, which corresponds to rem aining in the at valley $\left(\mathbb{Q}+@_{y}\right) h=0\left(m\right.$ ixture of $F_{1}$ and $\left.F_{2}\right)$.

### 3.2. The regin e < 1

This is traditionally called the antiferroelectric regim e, but in an electric eld a disordered phase and even ferroelectric phases can occur.

W e start once again w the zero tem perature lim it, which corresponds to ! 1 . In this lim it, and in zero eld, the sum $m$ ation over six vertex con gurations is dom inated by the contribution of the ground state, which is, up to a reversal of arrow s, given by Fig. 5, that is purely $m$ ade of type con gurations.


Fig. 5: A ntiferroelectric ground state.


Fig. 6: Phase diagram as ! 1 .
The fill phase diagram at $T=0$ is sim ply obtained, just as in the case ! +1, by $m$ in $\dot{m}$ izing the energy of the six types of vertioes, see F ig. 6 .

W e note that there are rst order phase transitions from antiferroelectric to ferroelectric phases. A s before, we expect them to create degeneracies in the variational problem. In term s of the polarization $P$ (see Fig. 7), we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(P_{x} ; P_{y}\right)=c+\frac{a}{2} f_{x}+P_{y} j+\frac{b}{2} P_{x} \quad P_{Y} j \tag{3:11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dom ain D will now be divided into four subdom ains depending on the sign of $\left(@_{\mathrm{x}} \quad @_{\mathrm{y}}\right) \mathrm{h}$ and $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{x}}+@_{\mathrm{y}}\right) \mathrm{h}$. In each of these subdom ains, the free energy being linear, there is generically an in nite num ber of functions $\mathrm{h} m$ inim izing it and satisfying the constraints $\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{hj} 1, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{hj}$ 1. The explicit determ ination of the subdom ains is however not as sim ple as in the ferroelectric phase and can only be achieved on a case-per-case basis.


Fig. 7: Free energy as a function of the polarization at $=1$.


Fig. 8: P hase diagram of the $<1$ regin e.
Let us now switch on the tem perature. W hen $1 \ll 1$, as we can see on Fig. 8, there is an interm ediate disordered phase which sm ooths the transitions to second order.

It is convenient at this point to reintroduce a tem perature $T=1=$, so that the weights becom e

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=e^{a=T} \quad b=e^{b=T} \quad c=e^{c=T} \tag{3:12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sim ilarly to the regim e > 1, we expect the rst low tem perature correction to liff the degeneracy and produce the PDE satis ed by h as T ! 0 in the disordered regions. Let us now tum to this calculation.

Because of the sym $m$ etries of the phase diagram 6, we can choose to expand around any of the four triple points. $N$ ote that these points are not equivalent from the point of view of the Bethe A nsatz. W e choose $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{x}}$ near $\frac{a^{2}}{2}$; as a consistency check, if $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{y}}$ is
allow ed to vary one should recover a value of $E_{y}$ near $\frac{a+b}{2} \quad c$. A lso, this corresponds to the region $f_{x} j \quad P_{Y}$ (cfFig. 7).

First we consider the simpli cation of the Bethe A nsatz in the lim it T ! 0.A ssum ing


$$
B(p ; q) \quad i \quad i(p \quad q)
$$

so that the B ethe A nsatz Eqs. ( (1-2 $^{-1}$ ) have a straightforw ard solution. Explicitly, taking the logarithm and introducing half-integers $I_{j}$ (so that the $2 I_{j}$ have the opposite parity ofn), we nd

$$
\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathbb{N} \quad \mathrm{n})=2 \mathrm{~F} \quad \mathrm{~K}
$$

$\underset{\mathrm{P}}{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{K}={ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{j}}$ is the total m om entum, which is itself quantized: $\mathrm{K}=2 \mathrm{I}=\mathrm{N}$ with $I={ }^{P}{ }_{j} I_{j}$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{j}}=\frac{2}{\mathrm{~N} \quad \mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{j}} \quad \mathrm{I}=\mathrm{N}\right) \tag{3:13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The ground state is obtained by choosing the $k_{j}$ as close to zero as possible, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{j}=\frac{2}{N \quad n} \quad j \frac{n+1}{2} \quad j=1::: n \tag{3:14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular the edge of the $\backslash$ Ferm isea" is $Q$ where

$$
Q=\frac{1 P_{y}}{1+P_{y}}
$$

N ext we consider the behavior of the energy functions ( $\overline{3} \mathbf{Z}=\overline{\mathrm{G}})$ as $\mathrm{T}!~ 0 . W$ e introduce a scaling variable $u$ such that $2 E_{x}=a \quad b+T u$; then Eqs. ( $\overline{3}=\mathrm{G}$ ( $)$ becom e

$$
\begin{align*}
L(k) & =\frac{c^{2}=a b}{e^{u} e^{i k} 1}  \tag{3:15a}\\
M(k) & =\frac{c^{2}=a b}{e^{u} e^{i k} 1} \tag{3:15b}
\end{align*}
$$

If $u>0 a^{E_{x}=T} \quad b e^{E_{x}=T}$ so that it is the rst term in Eq. ${ }^{\prime}(3-5)$, involving $L(k)$, that dom inates; whereas if $u<0$ it is the second term, involving $M(k)$.


$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{r}=1 \quad T \frac{n}{N}_{Q}^{Z} \frac{d k}{2 Q} \log \frac{c^{2}=a b}{e^{u} e^{i k}} 1 \tag{3:16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his is a dilogarithm integral; expanding in powers ofe ${ }^{u}$ results in

$$
F^{\sim}=\frac{1}{2} P_{Y}(a+b \quad T u)+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & P_{y} \tag{3:17}
\end{array}\right)_{c} \quad{\frac{1+P_{y}}{2}}_{m=1}^{X^{1}} \frac{e^{m u}}{m^{2}} \sin (m Q) \quad u>0
$$

$N$ ote that $E_{Y}=\frac{\varrho F^{r}}{\varrho P_{y}}=\frac{a^{+} b^{2}}{2} \quad c+O(T)$, as expected.
If $u<0$, expanding $M$ in powers of $e^{u}$, one nds the sam e expression but $w$ ith $u$ replaced w ith u. It is a nice consistency check of our approach that these tw o expressions are analytic continuations of each other as $u$ crosses zero. Indeed it is clearly continuous at $u=0$, and the two explicit expressions of its derivative

$$
\left.P_{x}=\frac{2}{T} \frac{@ F^{r}}{@ u}=\begin{array}{ccc}
\left(P_{y} \frac{1+P_{y}}{} \arg (1\right. & e^{u} \text { iq }
\end{array}\right) \quad u>0
$$

tum out to be equal. In fact, the relation between $u$ and $P$ can be rew ritten $m$ uch $m$ ore conveniently as

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{u}=\frac{\sin \frac{1 P_{x}}{1+P_{y}}}{\sin \frac{1+P_{x}}{1+P_{y}}} \quad P_{x} j \quad P_{y} \tag{3:18}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\begin{equation*}
G=P_{y a}+\left(1 \quad P_{y}\right) c \quad P_{x}=P_{y} \tag{3:19}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is what is expected ( rst order phase transition between phases $F_{1}$ and AF). A sim ilar results holds for u! 1 .

Finally, noting that $u=\frac{b}{T}+2 \frac{@ G}{@ P_{x}}$, we see that Eq. ( 3 . boundary condition ( $\overline{3}$. 1 Id $)$, de nes entirely $G$. The explicit expression involves once again dilogarithm s and will not be written down here. W hat is more interesting is the second derivatives of , which provide the PDE ( (2.1] nd:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{@^{2} G}{@ P_{x}^{2}}=\frac{\sin \frac{2 P_{y}}{1+P_{y}}}{\left(1+P_{y}\right) \sin \frac{\left(1+P_{x}\right)}{1+P_{y}} \sin \frac{\left(1+P_{x}\right)}{1+P_{y}}}  \tag{3:20a}\\
& \frac{@^{2} G}{@ P_{x} @ P_{y}}=\quad-\frac{\sin \frac{2 P_{x}}{1+P_{y}}}{2} \frac{P_{x} \sin \frac{2}{1+P_{y}}}{\left(1+P_{y}\right)^{2} \sin \frac{\left(1+P_{x}\right)}{1+P_{y}} \sin \frac{\left(1 P_{x}\right)}{1+P_{y}}}  \tag{3:20b}\\
& \frac{@^{2} G}{@ P_{y}^{2}}=\frac{-}{2} \frac{\sin \frac{2 P_{x}}{1+P_{y}} \quad P_{x} \sin \frac{2}{1+P_{y}}{ }^{2}+\sin ^{2} \frac{\left(1+P_{x}\right)}{1+P_{y}} \sin ^{2} \frac{\left(1 P_{x}\right)}{1+P_{y}}}{\left(1+P_{y}\right)^{3} \sin \frac{2 P_{y}}{1+P_{y}} \sin \frac{\left(1+P_{x}\right)}{1+P_{y}} \sin \frac{\left(1 P_{x}\right)}{1+P_{y}}} \tag{3:20c}
\end{align*}
$$

 is elliptic.
 in the other three regions by using the various discrete sym $m$ etries of the $m$ odel. $T$ hese expressions becom e singular when one approaches the boundaries $\mathcal{P}_{x} j=\mathcal{P}_{y} j$ th is is to be expected in a perturbative treatm ent around $T=0$, but such singularities should disappear non-perturbatively. On the contrary, the singularity at $P_{x}=P_{y}=0$ should rem ain due to the nature of the phase diagram (existence of an antiferroelectric phase, cfF ig. 8).

### 3.3. The regim e $1 \ll 1$

This is traditionally called the disordered regim e; how ever, as can be seen on the phase diagram ( $F$ ig.9), once the electric eld is tumed on both disordered and ferroelectric phases occur.


Fig. 9: Phase diagram for $1 \ll 1$.

The only di erence w ith the phase diagram for $>1$ is that there is no $m$ ore phase boundary at $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{y}}=0$; instead the neighborhood of this point is entirely inside the disordered phase. T he transitions from disordered to ferroelectric phases are second order. $T$ his $m$ eans that we expect that for given FBC, the dom ain D willbe divided into several zones (w ith de nite boundaries), each corresponding to a phase of Fig. 9: typically the ferroelectric phases will lie close to the boundaries with maxim um slope ( $\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{h}_{0} j=1$ or $j_{y} h_{0} j 1$ ), where theire ect is strongest, while the disordered phase occupies the rem aining space. Inside the disordered zone, the function $h$ w ill satisfy an elliptic PD E .

U nfortunately, as in other phases this cannot be m ade explicit except in one particular case: the \free ferm ion point", which w illbe described separately in the next section. For a general value of betw een 1 and 1 the only exact result that seem s derivable is the low eld expansion of the free energy. This is a standard com putation which w ill be sketched now .
$F$ irst we introduce another param eterization of the weights:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=\sin \frac{-}{2}(1 \quad b=\sin -\quad(1+\quad c=\sin \tag{3:21}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith $1 \ll 1$ (or $1 \ll 0$ once we restrict ourselves to a $>$ b), $0 \ll$, so that


$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{i k_{j}+2 E_{x}}=\frac{\sinh \quad\left({ }_{j}+i=2\right)}{\sinh \quad\left({ }_{j} \quad i=2\right)} \tag{3:22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The BAE becom e:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sinh (j+i=2)}{\sinh (j \quad i=2)} e^{2 E_{x}}{ }^{N}=Y_{\substack{l=1 \\ 16 j}}^{Y^{n}} \frac{\sinh (j \quad .+i)}{\sinh (j} \quad j=1 ;::: ; n \tag{3:23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the functions $L$ and $M$ in term s of which the free energy is express are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
L(~) & =\frac{\sinh (\quad i(=2+1))}{\sinh (i(i=2)}  \tag{3:24a}\\
M() & =\frac{\sinh (\quad i(=2 \quad 1))}{\sinh (i=2)} \tag{3:24b}
\end{align*}
$$

$N$ ote that in the rhs of Eqs. (
Let us now assum e $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{x}}=0$. Then equations ( $\left.\overline{3}-\overline{2} \overline{2}\right)$ are real and their $\backslash$ ground state" solution is given by real $i$. If we further assum e that $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{N}=2$ (that is after Legendre transform ation, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{y}}=0$ ), then it is known that the ${ }_{i} \quad l l$ the entire realaxis in the lim it N ! 1 , and their density is given by a sim ple integral equation. $N$ am ely, by taking the logarithm of (
o( )d = n=N, satis es

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{K} \text { ? } 0= \tag{3:25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ? denotes convolution product, and we give $K$ and directly in Fourier transform : $\hat{K}()={ }^{R} K() \exp (2 i \quad) d=2 \frac{\sinh (=1) \cosh }{\sinh (=)}$ and $\hat{}()=\frac{\sinh (=1)}{\sinh (=1)}$, so that we simply nd $\hat{o}()=\frac{1}{2 \text { cosh }}$ or

$$
\begin{equation*}
0()=\frac{1}{2 \cosh } \tag{3:26}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $n<N=2$, the equation rem ains sim ilar, but only an interval of the real axis, of the form [ $\mathrm{Q} ; \mathrm{Q}]$, is led. The integral equation becom es equivalent to a $2 \quad 2 \mathrm{R}$ iem ann $\{$ H ibert problem which is in general unsolvable. H ow ever in the lim it Q ! 1 (that is $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{y}}$, $\left.E_{y}!0\right)$, the e ect of the intervalendpoints on each other becom e negligible are w e are left w ith a sim ple $W$ iener\{ $H$ opf problem. Let us brie $y$ recall the principle. W e concentrate on one of the two edges, say near $Q$, consider the new density ( ) and notige that its Fourier transform $\wedge() \exp (2 i Q)$ is holom orphic in the half-plane $I m<0$ and bounded by a polynom ial at in nity. The equation in Fourier transform is now :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{K}}^{1}()^{\wedge}()+\wedge()=\hat{o}() \tag{3:27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the unknown function ( ) (the density of \holes") is, in our approxim ation, such that $\wedge() \exp (2 i Q)$ is on the contrary holom onphic in the half-plane $\operatorname{lm}>0$. Solving this equation involves the decom position $\hat{K}()=\hat{K_{+}}()=\hat{K} \quad()$ where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\hat{K}_{+}(i)=\frac{(i=)}{((1=\quad 1=) i) \quad(1=2+i=}\right)  \tag{3:28a}\\
& \left.\hat{K} \quad()=\frac{i}{(1 \quad(1 \quad(1=\quad 1=) i) \quad(1=2 \quad i=}\right) \tag{3:28b}
\end{align*}
$$

and $=i(1=1=) \log (1 \quad=)+i=\log (=)$. In general one $m$ ust also decom pose the right hand side of $(\overline{3} \overline{2} \overline{-1})$, but since $Q$ ! 1 one can consider only the contribution of the dom inant pole at $=\quad i=2$, so that the solution of this analytic problem is elem entary:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\wedge()=e^{2 i \ell(+i=2)} \frac{\hat{k_{+}}(i=2)}{\hat{k}()} \frac{i}{+i=2} \tag{3:29}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\wedge()$ is unde ned since in this Q ! 1 lim it, $\wedge(+Q)$ diverges exponentially as ! 1 ; how ever one can w rite

$$
\begin{equation*}
\wedge()=e^{2 i \ell(+i=2)} \frac{\hat{k}_{+}(i=2)}{\hat{k}_{+}()} \frac{i}{+i=2} \text { deform ed } \tag{3:30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where it is understood that to recover ( ) one m ust integrate on a deform ed contour that goes around the pole $=i=2$.

To recover the expression of $F$ as a function of $P_{y}$ we w rite, rem em bering that there is also a contribution around $=\frac{\mathrm{Z}}{\mathrm{Z}}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{Y} & =2 \quad(0() \quad()) d=2^{\wedge}(0) \\
& =-\frac{4}{e} \quad \frac{\hat{K}_{+}(i=2)}{\hat{K}_{+}(0)} \tag{3:31}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
F^{r} & =F_{0}^{r}+2 \operatorname{Re}(0() \quad()) \log L() d \\
& =F_{0}^{r}+2 \frac{1}{-R e s}=i=2^{\wedge}() \nexists g \mathrm{~g} L(i=2)+
\end{align*}
$$

so that after som e calculations we nd our rst correction to the free energy:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{1}=\frac{-}{4}(1 \quad=) \cos (=2) \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}}^{2} \tag{3:33}
\end{equation*}
$$

 their reality and we can no longer assume that the $i$ are real; instead they will $1 l$ some curve in the complex plane. At rst order in $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{x}}$ the $\mathrm{i} w$ ill be displaced by a purely im aginary value, so that we can w rite

$$
i={ }_{i}^{0}+i E_{x} u(i)
$$

where the ${ }_{i}^{0}$ are the solutions of the BAE at $E_{x}=0$. Expanding the BAE we easily nd the equation for the function $u() \quad() u() ;$ in Fourier transform,

$$
\hat{k}() \hat{u}()+\hat{u}()=2()
$$

w th the usual analyticity constraints on $\hat{u}$ and $\hat{u}^{u}$. The solution is sim ply:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{u}()=-e^{2 i Q} \frac{1}{i 0 \hat{k}+()} \hat{\hat{k}(0)}  \tag{3:34a}\\
& \hat{u}()=-\frac{i}{-} e^{2 i Q} \frac{1}{+i 0} \frac{\hat{k}(0)}{\hat{K}()} \tag{3:34b}
\end{align*}
$$

This tim e the correction to the free energy is
Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{2}^{r}=E_{x}+2 i E_{x} d \quad u()(\log L)^{0}()+O\left(E_{x}^{2}\right) \tag{3:35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Rew riting the integral in Fourier transform, we see that its rst tw o dom inant contributions com e from the poles at $=0$ and $=i=2$. The pole at $=0$ compensates exactly the trivialterm $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{x}}$, and we are left with:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{2}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{y}} \sin (\quad=2) \tag{3:36}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that the absolute norm alization of this term is m eaningful.
T he computation can be carried out to the next order in $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{y}}$; how ever it is sim pler to obtain it by $P_{x} \$ P_{y}$ sym metry. Combining Eqs. (3 Legendre transform, we nd:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{x}} ; \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)=\mathrm{G}(0 ; 0)+\frac{-}{4}\left(1=\frac{1}{\operatorname{Cos}(=2)} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}+2 \sin (=2) \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{y}}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{y}}^{2}+\right. \tag{3:37}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the low polarization expansion of G.
$T$ he corresponding linearized equation for $h$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@^{2}}{@ x^{2}} \quad 2 \sin \left(\quad=2 \frac{\varrho^{2}}{@ x @ y}+\frac{\varrho^{2}}{@ y^{2}} \quad h=0\right. \tag{3:38}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a very simple result, which has the following intenpretation: for a low eld we expect the usual conform alinvariance to hold in the continuum lim it. It is known that the phase $j$ j< 1 is critical and its infrared lim it is described by a free $m$ assless boson. H ere, $h$ plays the role of the euclidean bosonic eld. Therefore it must satisfy $h=0$. H ow ever it is well-know $n$ that the spectral param eter creates an anisotropy of the lattice: the tw o directions of the lattice $m$ ust be considered as form ing an angle of $\bar{L}_{2}(1)$, which leads to Eq. (3̄_s-
3.4. The free ferm ion point $=0$
$W$ hen $=0$, that is when the B oltm ann weights satisfy the relation $a^{2}+b^{2}=c^{2}$, the system describes free ferm ions; this is particularly clear w hen one considers the expression (3.3) of the two body interaction in the B ethe A nsatz equations, which becom es sim ply $B=1$; the BAE ${ }^{\prime}(\underline{-} \underline{2})$ them selves becom e

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{i k_{j} N}=(1)^{n} 1 \tag{3:39}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. describe (ignoring the sign issue related to our \bosonic" description of ferm ions) the quantization of the $m$ om enta of free particles. The $k_{j}$ still satisfy an exclusion principle, and the largest eigenvalue of the transfer $m$ atrix is obtained by choosing

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{j}=\frac{2}{N} \quad j \quad \frac{n+1}{2} \quad j=1::: n \tag{3:40}
\end{equation*}
$$

The edge of the Ferm isea is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Q}=\frac{-}{2}\left(1 \quad \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Y}}\right) \tag{3:41}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the free energy is given by an integral of a type encountered above:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{N}=\sum_{Q} \frac{Z}{2} \operatorname{lk} \log \frac{a=b+e^{i k+2 E_{\times}}}{e^{i k+2 E_{\times}} a=b} 1 \tag{3:42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, by taking the Legendre transform and di erentiating tw ice, we obtain the PDE (of the type (2.

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right) \cos ^{2} \frac{h_{x}}{2} h_{x x} \\
& +2 a^{2} \cos \frac{\left(h_{x}+h_{y}\right)}{2} \quad b^{2} \cos \frac{\left(h_{x} \quad h_{y}\right)}{2} \quad \cos \frac{h_{x}}{2} \cos \frac{h_{y}}{2} h_{x y} \\
& +\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right) \cos ^{2} \frac{h_{y}}{2} h_{y y} \tag{3:43}
\end{align*}
$$

It is easy to check that this equation is elliptic for all $h_{x} j<1, h_{y} j<1$. If one sets $a=b$, after rotation of $=4$ one recovers the PDE found in

## 4. C on clu sion

W e have proposed in this paper a variational principle (Eq. $\left.\mathbf{2}^{2} \mathbf{1 0}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ ) for the the sixvertex $m$ odelin the continuum lim it. For generic xed boundary conditions this variational principle has a non-trivial solution, so that the existence of a them odynam ic lim it for the six-vertex model is invalidated. A qualitative discussion of the phase diagram in the various regim es of the $m$ odel has suggested that in general the system undergoes phase separation into regions which belong to one of three phases: two ordered phases (ferroelectric, anti-ferroelectric), and one disordered phase in which one can w rite a partial di erential equation satis ed by the height function (Eq. ${ }^{\prime}(\underline{2}-1)$ ). This leads to a rst open question: it would be nice to have a rigorous proof of the existence of such a phase separation. In particular, it is not obvious at all in our fram ew ork why an anti-ferroelectric region should exist at all, though we con jecture it is generically the case for $<1$. The calculations presented in this paper are essentially a perturbative analysis around zero tem perature, which is highly degenerate and does not help to solve this issue. We have how ever given the explicit PDE in the disordered regions in the lim it ! 1 (Eqs. (3:20)).

It is unclear at the $m$ om ent how useful this variational principle is in given particular cases. O ne application is the dom ain wall boundary conditions mentioned in the introduction. $N$ ote that for $=0, a=b$, this is equivalent to the problem of dom ino tilings
of the aztec diam ond $\left.\underline{-1}_{-1}\right]$, for which the height function is known exactly [ $[\overline{1} \overline{1} 1]$ and solves Eq. ( $\overline{3}-4-3)$. For $>1, \mathrm{a}>\mathrm{b}$, using the form alism of section 3.1, it is very easy to proof
 function is given for all $>1$ by $h(x ; y)=\dot{\mathrm{x}}+\mathrm{yj} 1 \quad \mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y} \quad 1$ (i.e. it m in m izes the free energy am ong the functions with identical boundary values at $\dot{k} j=1, \dot{y} j=1$ ); it corresponds to the non-generic case mentioned at the end of section 3.1 where one rem ains in the at one-dim ensional valley $P_{x}=P_{y}$. Sim ilarly, for ! 1 , one can prove the con jecture of section 5.3 ( F ig. 3) of $[\overline{\mathrm{B}} \overline{\mathrm{i}}]$, w ith m ild assum ptions on the possible form of the AF /F dom ains. O ne should however note that the DW BC, w ith their m axim um slope on allboundaries, are very non-generic boundary conditions, which explains in particular the absence of degeneracy for $=1$. O ther sim ilar boundary conditions whidh give rise to exact determ inant form ulae are introduced in [1]
 they can be obtained from DW BC con gurations by dividing by a certain discrete sym me try which is not spontaneously broken. It would therefore be useful to nd other exactly solvable boundary conditions to provide non-trivial exam ples of the variational principle developed here.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ FBC x in particular the total polarization, but the statem ent is stronger.

