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A bstract

W e report on calculations of the form ation energies of several [100] and [110] oriented step structures on biaxially stressed Siand Ge (001) surfaces. It is show n that a novel rebonded [100] oriented single-height step is strongly stabilized by com pressive strain com pared to $m$ ost well-know $n$ step structures. W e propose that the side walls of \hut"-shaped quantum dots observed in recent experim ents on SiG e/Si m s are m ade up of these steps. O ur calculations provide an explanation for the nucleationless grow th of shallow m ounds, w ith steps along the [100] and [110] directions in low - and high m is $t \mathrm{~m}$ s, respectively, and for the stability of the (105) facets under com pressive strain.

Strain induced self－assem bly is actively being pursued as a technique for the fabrica－ tion of nanoscale electronic deviges and $m$ em ories that have the potential to bring higher speed to inform ation processing and higher areal and volum etric capacity to inform ation storage．In the past few years，signi cant advances have been $m$ ade on both the techno－ logicaland fundam ental aspects of self assem bly．On the technological side，it has becom e possible to prepare regular spatial arrays of nanostructures．

Several basic issues conceming the physical mechanism sinvolved in the di erent stages of the form ation and $m$ orphological evolution of the nanostructures are only now becom ing clear．The initial stages of epitaxial nanostructure form ation rem ains least well understood．O f particular interest here，recent experim ental w ork 畒i－ī］has revealed that（105）oriented quantum dots in SiGe e Si m s grow from shallow precursor mounds whose sidew alls are m ade up of widely spaced steps that are oriented in the［100］or［110］ directions for low or high Ge com positions，respectively．These observations cannot be understood on the basis of a com petition betw een surface energy increase involved in cre－ ating the $w$ alls of the dots and the strain energy reduction through elastic relaxation．Such a com petition would lead to a nucleation barrier for the form ation of the islands，while the experim ents clearly show that the stepped $m$ ounds em erge as a natural instability w thout any such barrier tī＇

Recently we have dem onstrated that nucleationless grow th of stepped mounds can be understood by including the physics of surface steps，in particular the dependence of their form ation energy on strain and their interactions［⿶凵1．1．In this letter，we calculate the param eters that characterize step form ation and interactions in order to understand the stabilization of［100］or［110］steps on（2 1）reconstructed Si and Ge（001）surfaces at di erent levels of biaxial strain．The strain dependence of the step form ation energy is determ ined by the disposition of the atom ic bonds in the vicinity of the step－edge；if there is signi cant rebonding such that these bonds are stretched（com pressed）relative to the bonds in the bulk $m$ aterial，a com pressive（tensile）$m$ ism atch stress tends to lower their form ation energy［－］－］．T he interactions between steps include the repulsive dipolar
interactions [ī], which depend on the local step density, and the non-local attractive interactions due to the force $m$ onopoles induced by the $m$ ism atch strain [ $\left[\frac{0}{1}\right]$. The surface energy of a stepped surface that is oriented at a sm all angle with respect to the (001) direction can be w ritten by inchuding the step form ation and dipolar interaction energies as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(;)=0() \cos +\left(1+\tilde{\sim}_{1}\right) j \sin j+3 \frac{j \sin j^{3}}{\cos ^{2}} ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is the biaxial surface strain, 0 is the surface energy of the (001) surface, 1 is the form ation energy of a step, $\tilde{\sim}_{1}$ is a $m$ easure of the sensitivity of the form ation energy of a step to strain and 3 characterizes the strength of the step-step dipolar interactions. Since the attractive $m$ onopole induced interactions favor a stepped surface over a at surface, stepped $m$ ounds can grow w thout any nucleation barrier if the surface energy of their sidew alls becom es low er than that of the (001) surface [-1.]. It can be seen from ([1]) that this indeed happens for stepped surfaces with orientations in the range $j \ll c$, where
$q-()={ }_{3}$, if the condition () $1_{1}+\tilde{1}_{1}<0$ is satis ed. In the present work we show by $m$ eans of atom istic sim ulations that this condition is satis ed for the $m$ ism atch strains of interest.

In the [110] direction, we w ill only consider the double-height B -type (D B ) steps [9] ; these steps are known to be lower in energy than the com bination of altemating singleheight SA and SB steps for vicinal angles that are $m$ ore than about $1^{0}-2^{0}$. In the [100] direction we consider two kinds of steps: (1) zig-zag $S A+S B^{[100]}$ steps proposed by C hadi [9] $]$ and experim entally observed by $\mathrm{W} u$ et.al. [101] and (2) straight steps on which the dim er row s of the adjoining terraces arrive at the steps at an angle of $45^{\circ}$. If we focus attention on the fam ily of (10n) surfaces to which the (105) surface belongs, there are two distinct low-energy step structures that can be obtained by rem oving every other atom from the step-edge in order to reduce the num ber of dangling bonds, as show $n$ in Fig. ${ }_{1}$ In. The key di erence between the two structures is that in one of them, which we call single-height rebonded (SR ), there are atom s at the edge of the step bonded to atom s on the adjoining terraces, while this type of rebonding is absent in the single-unrebonded
(SU ) step (refer to Fig.'in in . The structure of the (105) facet $m$ ade up of SU and SR steps correspond to the reconstructions for this surface proposed by M o et. al. [īil] and K ohr and D as Sarm a [1]ī], respectively.

W e determ ine the step form ation and interaction energies using the follow ing procedure: the surface energies of various stepped surfaces are rst determ ined as a function of applied biaxial strain for both the ( 10 n ) and ( 11 n ) fam ilies as shown in $F$ ig. $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{h}}$. The step form ation and interaction energies are then extracted from the surface energy (; ) using (1]). The strain dependent step form ation energies for various step structures calculated using the Terso (T 3) ' the step form ation energies can be reasonably approxim ated by a linear relation assum ed in (그) w ith sm all deviations that can be attributed to non-linear e ects, particularly at
 also show ed alm ost linear dependence of the form ation energies w ith strain. T he param eters 1 and $\tilde{1}_{1}$, obtained by using a linear $t$ to ( ), along with the dipolar interaction strength 3 are given in Table I.

If we focus attention on the [100] oriented steps in $S i$, we nd that the form ation energies of the SR steps are low er than those for the $S U$ and $S A+S B{ }^{[100]}$ steps for com pressive $m$ ism atch strains. This can be understood by analyzing the bond lengths at the step-edge (refer to Fig. $i_{-1}^{1}$ ) ; since there are several stretched bonds in the SR structure, we expect its energy to be lower in com pressive strains than the SU structure. A though the zig-zag $S A+S B{ }^{[100]}$ steps are energetically low er than the SR steps in the absence of strain, F ig. $\underline{I}_{1}^{2}$, show stat a m odest am ount of com pressive strain ( $0.3 \%$ in $S$ i) stabilizes the $S R$ steps over these steps. Table I also show s that while the form ation energies of the SR steps on an unstrained surface are larger that the corresponding values for the D B steps, the strain sensitivity $\tilde{1}_{1}$ for the SR steps is about 50\% larger in both Si and Ge . This im plies that the SR steps are preferred over the DB steps at large values of com pressive strain, w ith one key di erence betw een Siand Ge. U sing both the SW and T 3 potentials, we nd that the form ation energies of the SR steps fall below the energies for D B steps
when strains becom em ore than about 1\% and about 4\% in Siand Ge, respectively (refer to the insets in Fig.'

T he above observations have im portant im plications for the grow th of stepped is-
 indicative of the trends in $10 w-m$ is $t \mathrm{~ms}(1 \%-2 \%)$, it is clear that the [100] SR steps should be observed during early stages of island grow th since their form ation energies are low est am ong all the cases that we have considered. W hile we are not aw are of a direct experim ental observation con $m$ ing this prediction, our picture is consistent $w$ ith the experim ents of Sutter and Lagally [iיָ] who nd that in SiGe m swith $25 \%$ Ge content, the stepped $m$ ounds are $m$ ade up of [100] oriented $m$ onolayer steps. Experim ents that can identify the surface structure of these stepped $m$ ounds $w$ ill be invaluable in resolving the issue. In distinct contrast to the low m is t m s , experim ents on pure $\mathrm{Ge} \mathrm{e}_{-}^{\prime}[\mathrm{B}]$ and Si capped Ge [ $[\overline{4}]$ islands show that the stepped $m$ ounds are $m$ ade up of [110] oriented steps [1] $\overline{1}]$ ]. These observations can also be understood on the basis of the form ation energy of the SR and D B steps in Ge shown in Fig.'in. Here, the SR steps do not becom e favorable untilm ism atch strain becom es close to $4 \%$ and $5 \%$ in the T 3 and $S$ W potentials respectively; since the strains in these $m$ ounds should be less than the $m$ ism atch betw een Siand Ge ( $42 \%$ ), the observation of [110] oriented steps are in agreem ent w ith our calculations.

W hile a negative step creation energy w ould lead to form ation ofsurfaces w ith closely spaced steps, repulsive interactions increase as the spacing between the steps becom e sm aller. This com petition leads to an optim um slope, which can be determ ined using (ī1) as $\quad q-()=3 \quad 3$, energies for $G$ e show $n$ in $F$ ig. ${ }^{1} \mathbf{L}_{1}$, w were at $4 \%$ strain the (105) and (115) surfaces are seen to be the optim um facets in the (10n) and (11n) directions respectively. In the case of the (11n) surfaces, the (115) surface corresponds to closest spacing ofD B steps (the (114) and (113) surfaces are reconstructed so that the steps lose their identity). In the presence of com pressive strains, the (105) surface w ith $S R$ steps has a sm aller surface energy than both the high index (10n) surfaces show in $F$ ig. $\overline{\text { en }}$, and the low index (103) surface. It
can also be seen from Fig. 1 the energy of the (115) surface throughout the range of interest, while it falls below the energy of the (117) at a strain of about 3\%. In the case of $S i$, we nd a sim ilar trend w ith the (105) surface falling below the (117) surface close to $1 \%$. These observations indicate that the (105) facet $m$ ade up of SR steps is stabilized by com pressive strains in both low - and high m is t Sige m s. On the other hand, the (105) surface with the SU steps proposed by M o et.al. is stabilized by tensile rather than com pressive stresses since the bonds at the step-edge are in com pression (refer to Fig. (in). W hile the results in the present work are obtained using em pirical potentials, we have veri ed by means of $a b$ initio sim ulations that the atom $s$ at the edges of the SR steps in the (105) surface are indeed in a stretched state. The details of these calculations w ill be published elsew here.

In sum $m$ ary, the nucleationless grow th ofstepped $m$ ounds can be understood on the basis of strain dependence of [100] and [110] oriented steps on (001) surfaces. The rebonding at the edge plays an im portant role in stabilizing stepped surfaces in the presence of strain. The com petition between repulsive step interactions and strain induced low ering of step form ation energies leads to optim um low-energy orientations such as (105). Further work that analyzes the ect of surface segregation on step form ation energies willbe invaluable in gaining deeper insight into early stages of quantum dot form ation in $\operatorname{SiGe}$ and other alloys.

A cknow ledgm ents : T he research support of the N ational Science Foundation through grant CM S-0093714 and the B row n U niversity M R SEC P rogram, under aw ard D M R -0079964, is gratefully acknow ledged.

## R eferences

1. P. Sutter and M . G . Lagally, P hys. Rev. Lett. 84, 4637 (2000).
2. R.M.Trom P, F.M . R oss and M . C. Reuter, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 4641 (2000).
3. A . Vailionis, B . C ho, G . G lass, P . D esjardins, D . G . C ahill and J. E . G reene, P hys. Rev. Lett. 85, 3672 (2000).
4. A . R astelli, M . K um m er and H . von K anel, P hys. Rev. Lett. 87, 6101 (2001) .
5. V .B . Shenoy and L.B.Freund, A continuum description of the energetics and evolution of stepped surfaces in strained nanostructures, J. M ech. P hys. Sols. (in press); A copy of this article can be found athtup:/ /arX iv.org/abs/cond-mat/0203514..
6. Y . H . X ie, G . H . G im er, C . R oland, P . J. Silvem an, S.K . Buratto, J. Y . C heng, E. A . F itzgerald, A. R . K ortan, S. Schuppler, M . A . M arous and P . H . C itrin, 73 3006 (1994); C.Roland, M RS Bulletin 21, 27 (1996).
7. V. I.M archenko and A. Ya.Parshin, Sov. Phys. JE TP 52, 129 (1980).
8. J. Terso , Y.H.Phang, Z.Y.Zhang and M .G .Lagally, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 2730 (1995).
9. D . J. Chadi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 59, 1691 (1987).
10. F.W u, S. G . Jaloviar, D.E.Savage and M . G. Lagally, P hys. Rev. Lett. 71, 4190 (1993).
11. Y .W .M O, D .E . Savage, B . S. Sw artzentruber and M . G . Lagally, P hys. Rev. Lett. 65,1020 (1990).
12. K.E.K hor and S.D as Sarm a, J. Vac. Sci. Technol B , 151051 (1997).
13. J. Terso ,Phys. Rev. B , 38, 9902 (1988); Phys. Rev. B, 395566 (1989).
14. F. H. Stillinger and T.A.W eber, Phys. Rev. B, 31, 5262 (1985); K.D ing and H.C.A ndersen, Phys. Rev. B, 346987 (1986).
15. In the case of SR steps there should be an additional term in (1]) that accounts for interaction of the $m$ onopoles due to the discontinuity of surface stress at the step-edge. W e have ignored this term in our analysis of vicinal surfaces with $>3^{0}$ since the $m$ agnitude of this term becom es signi cant for sm all vicinal angles ( < $1^{0}$ ).
16. From the STM im ages of the stepped $m$ ounds in [ī] we have inferred that the [110] oriented steps are of the double-height type.

Table I: Step form ation energies ${ }_{1}$, their derivatives with respect to strain $\tilde{1}_{1}$, and the step-step dipolar interaction coe cient 3 for $S i$ and $G e$ (w th values for $G e$ enclosed in parentheses) calculated using the Stillinger-W eber ( $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{W}$ ) and Terso (T 3) potentials. In order to allow a direct com parison of the form ation energies of di erent step structures, 1 is m easured relative to the form ation energy of the DB step calculated using the T3 potential. A ll quantities are expressed in $\mathrm{meV} / \mathrm{A}^{2}$.

|  | SR |  | D B |  | SU | $S A+S \underbrace{[100]}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | S-W | T3 | S-W | T 3 | T 3 | T3 |
| 1 | 19.9 (29.9) | 5.8 (10.1) | 12.1 (14.8) | 0.0 (0.0) | 46.3 (38.6) | 5.98 (0.96) |
| $\sim_{1}$ | 741 (738) | 1046 (781) | 440 (459) | 667 (501) | -93 (-89) | 523 (385) |
| 3 | 169 (186) | 217 (143) | 239 (189) | 307 (229) | 2 (11) | 585 (382) |

## Figure C aptions

Figure 1: The structure of the [100] oriented single-height rebonded (SR ) step (top) and the unrebonded (SU ) step (bottom ) on a (2 1) reconstructed (001) surface. The dashed line denotes the edge of the step, where the dim er orientation undergoes a $90^{\circ}$ rotation. A tom s are colored according to the num ber of dangling bonds(b) before surface and stepedge reconstructions: red $=2 \mathrm{~b}$, green $=1 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{blue}=0 \mathrm{~b}$. The num bers represent the stretching (in \% ) ofsom e of the bonds relative to the bond-length in the bulk for the $\operatorname{Si}(109)$ surface relaxed using the T 3 potential. N ote that the rebonding of the atom s across the step edge leads to stretching of several bonds in the SR step.

Figure 2: Surface energy of stepped surfaces (in $\mathrm{m} \mathrm{eV} / \mathrm{A}^{2}$ ) consisting of [100]SR (red) and [110] B B (black) steps as a function of the biaxial strain for $G e$, com puted w th the T3 potential. The vicinal angles and surface orientations are indicated in the gure. The insets show the strain dependent form ation energy () (in $m e V / A^{2}$ ) for the three types of steps, D B (black squares), SR (red circles) and SA + SB ${ }^{[100]}$ (blhe triangles) in Siand Ge.
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