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A bstract
W e relate the quantum dynam ics of the BoseH ubbard model (BHM) to the sem iclassical non linear equations that describe an array of interacting B ose condensates by im plem enting a standard variational procedure based on the coherent state $m$ ethod. W e investigate the dynam ics of the two-site BHM from the purely quantum view point by recasting rst the $m$ odelw ithin a spin picture and using then the related dynam icalalgebra. T he latter allow s us to study thoroughly the energy spectrum structure and to interpret quantally the classical sym $m$ etries of the two-site dynam ics. The energy spectrum is also evaluated through various approxim ations relying on the coherent state approach.

## 1 Introduction

T he sim plest w ay to describe an array of interacting bosonic wells is represented by the second quantized H am iltonian for charged bosons

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{B H}={ }_{i}^{X}\left[n_{i}+U n_{i}\left(n_{i} \quad 1\right)\right] \frac{T}{2}{ }_{h i ; j i}^{X} a_{i}^{+} a_{j}+a_{j}^{+} a_{i} ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the operators $n_{i} \stackrel{:}{=} a_{i}^{+} a_{i}$ count the num ber of bosons at the lattice site $i$, and the destruction (creation) operators $a_{i},\left(a_{i}^{+}\right)$obey the canonical com mutation relations $\left[a_{i} ; a_{j}^{+}\right]=i j$. The param eters $T, U>0$, and represent the hopping $a m-$ plitude, the strength of the C oulom b on-site repulsion, and the chem ical potential, respectively.
 w as originally derived as the lattice version of the second quantized $j j^{4}\{$ eld theory used to m odel super uids adsorbed in porous $m$ edia. It describes in fact a gas of identical charges that hop through a D dim ensional lattice, and exhibit a repulsive action whenever two (or m ore) charges are situated at the sam e site. The bosonic character of the lattice stands in the fact that, in principle, an unlim ited num ber of charges is allow ed to occupy each lattice sites. On this account the BHM is able to $m$ im ic appropriately various features of system s such as granular superconductors, short-length superconductors and Josephson junction arrays, hence deserving a grow ing attention in the recent years (see references in $R$ ef. $\left.{ }_{[=1}^{2}\right]$ ). For the sam e reason the BHM seem s to supply the appropriate theoretic fram ew ork in which describing an array of interacting B ose $E$ instein condensates.

T he recent years have been characterized by rem arkable progresses in constructing experim ental devices able to con ne som e hundreds ofbosons so as to form real B ose E instein condensates (BEC) [3] B . This has prom pted as well the investigation of $m$ ore structured situations in which tw o condensates (regarded as sites or wells
led by condensed bosonsw ith in the BHM picture) can interact via tunneling processes [il ]. In particular, a quite rich scenery of dynam icalbehavions has been found to characterize the two-site case (nam ed boson Josephson junction) in Ref. [ु] [, where tw o coupled G ross $P$ itaevskii equations for tw o condensate $w$ avefiunctions are assum ed as the $m$ inim al interaction schem e w hereby describing interw ell processes. $T$ his represents how ever the m ost general array of coupled BEC's so far realized even if BEC's w ith com plex architectures have been constructed [ī̄], and a large am ount ofboth experim ental and theoretic work has been devoted to construct arrays w thin the physics of uncondensed ultracold atom $s$ in optical lattioes (see Refs. $\left[\begin{array}{l}\overline{7} \\ \hline\end{array}\right],[-\overline{1}[1]$ and references therein).

D espite its alm ost purely theoretic value, it is interesting to ilhustrate for conceptual reasons the link between the BHM and a system of $m$ any bosonic wells regarded as interacting B ose condensates. W e reconstruct such a correspondence in Sec. 2 for the general case and em ploy it in Sec. 3 to show how the two-site BHM coincides, in a sem iclassical picture, w ith a tw o-condensate system.

In this paper we shall focus our attention on the quantum dynam ics of the twosite case. C lassically, the problem is known to be integrable since the dynam ics of the com plex site variables $z_{1}, z_{2}$ required to account for the system state (these identify $w$ th the $w$ avefiunctions of the tw o-condensate system) can be recast in term $s$ of two action-angle variables by exploiting the constant of motion $N \quad \dot{J} \jmath^{?}+$ $\dot{k}_{2}$ J. The reliability of the classical picture com es, of course, from the fact that indeed the operators $n$, counts a large num ber of particle and thus identi es $w$ ith its sem iclassical counterpart j $\bar{k} \cdot \frac{\jmath}{}{ }^{?}$ via the correspondence schem e discussed in Sec. 2.

A sm aller num ber of particles per site $m$ akes reasonable recovering the quantum picture both because this is experim entally possible, and because it is interesting to investigate thoroughly the changes in the structure of the quantum states when going from the pure quantum regim e to situations $w$ ith an interm ediate, $m$ ore or less pronouced, sem iclassical character. M oreover, it is important to recall that the BHM exhibits a zero tem perature -T phase diagram which contains regions of nite size (lobes) where the system loses its super uid character becom ing an insulator $[\overline{11}, \overline{2}]$. In this respect we are interested in $m$ aking evident the cause of the presence of insulator regions in the phase diagram in relation w ith the structure of the tw o-site $m$ odel eigenstates.

In Sec. 3 we review the sem iclassical form of the two-site dynam ics and im plem ent the standard requantization procedure for com paring it to the spectrum provided by the exact quantum treatm ent. In order to study the quantum dynam ics of the two-site BHM in Sec. 4 we represent the H am iltonian w ithin a spin algebra realization based on the generators of su (2), in which the index of the algebra representation is proportional to the totalboson num ber N. This establishes the equivalence betw een the usual description of the BHM H ibert space through the bosonic Fock basis and a sim pli ed picture relying on the angular $m$ om entum eigenstates. T he latter, in tum, allow s one to use the su (2) coherent states (w here the conservation of the total boson num ber has been already inconporated) when perform ing the classical lim it.

The spin picture also provides the basis for developing in Sec. 5 the single-boson picture of the system dynam ics. This am ounts to reconstructing the exact dynam ical algebra [i] $]$ of the tw O-site B HM. Explicitly, the m odel is reform ulated w ithin an en larged algebra \{which identi es with su ( $N+1$ ) in its fiundam ental representation \{ where the two-site H am iltonian takes the form of a m any-boson H am iltonian on a $(\mathbb{N}+1)$-site lattioe whose population is form ed by a unique (e ective) boson. The algebraic form ulation we introduce is viable to BHM'swith more than two site and provides a deeper insight of the dynam ics com plexity from the group-theoretic standpoint. M oreover, it appears particularly advantageous in studying the quantum counterpart of the nonintegrable classic dynam ics of the N -site BHM (N $>2$ ). $T$ his problem willbe considered elsew here.

At the operational level, such an approach entails a reform ulation of the quantum dynam ics in term $s$ of a (classical) $H$ am ittonian which exhibits a quadratic dependence on the com ponents of the su (2) picture states. In particular, such an H am iltonian is em ployed in Sec. 6 to analyse various dynam ical regim es and to recognize the distinctive characters of both the super uid and the insulator behavior.

## 2 A rrays of condensates w ith in the B H M picture

The link that relates the B H M to a system of interacting boson condensates is easily established by im plem enting the $m$ ethod used in $R$ efs. $\overline{[ }, 1,1 \overline{1}]$ for investigating the quantum dynam ics ofm any-particle lattioe m odels. To this end the one-dim ensional (1D ) H am iltonian derived from (1 $\overline{1}$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{c}=\mathbb{X}_{j=1}^{M} \quad n_{j}+U n_{j}\left(n_{j} \quad \text { 1) } \quad \frac{T}{2} a_{j}^{+} a_{j+1}+a_{j+1}^{+} a_{j} \quad ;\right. \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

su ces to generate a chain of M interacting wells nam ely the generalization of the case w ith tw o interacting condensates.
$T$ he $m$ ethod just $m$ entioned com bines the generalized coherent state picture of quantum system $s$ w th the tim e-dependent variational principle (TDVP) [9] 1 , and allows one to reform ulate the quantum dynam ical problem relative to ( $\mathbf{Z}_{1}$ ) by a sem iclassical ham iltonian dynam ics in term $s$ of the expectation values

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{j}=h Z \dot{a}_{j} \mathrm{z} i ; z_{j}:=h Z \dot{a}_{j}^{+} \text {乙 i } \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

 $T$ he so-called trialm acroscopic wave function $z$ i here is assum ed to have the form
 tions of the standard equation $a_{j} \dot{z}_{j} i=z_{j} \dot{z}_{j} i$ for each $j$. The dynam ical equations for $z_{j}$ (those for $z_{j}$ are obviously obtained by com plex conjugation)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{in} z_{j}=\left(2 U \dot{K}_{j} \tilde{J}^{\rho} \quad\right) z_{j} \quad \frac{T}{2}{ }_{i 2(\mathrm{~J})}^{\mathrm{X}} z_{j} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

are derived $[\underline{[ }]$ ] from the sem iclassical H am iltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{C}(Z ; Z) \quad X_{j} \quad\left(\quad+U \dot{\mathcal{H}}_{j} j^{2}\right) \dot{k}_{j} \jmath^{2} \quad \frac{T}{2} \quad z_{j} z_{j+1}+z_{j+1} z_{j} \quad ; \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

through the standard form ulas $z_{j}=f z_{j} ; H$ c $g$ based on the canonicalP oisson brackets $f z_{j} ; z \cdot g=(i=h) j ; \cdot$. It is easily checked as well that the basic feature $\left.\mathbb{H}_{\mathrm{c}} ; \mathrm{N}\right]=0$ of the quantum system has a classical counterpart given by $\mathrm{fH} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{c}} ; \mathrm{N} \mathrm{g}=0$, where $N \quad, ~ \dot{j}, ~ f . T h e i d e n t i$ cation of $z_{j} w$ ith the $w$ avefunction of the $j$-th condensate establishes the link claim ed above, show ing explicitly that the e ective dynam ics of B H M generates the classical dynam ics of coupled condensates.

## 3 Sem iclassicalpicture of the tw o-w ell sy stem

It is interesting to derive the tw owell dynam ics from the general case of the $\mathrm{M}-$ site lattice described by H am ittonian ( $\overline{5} \overline{1})$. Upon assum ing $\mathrm{z}_{2 \mathrm{~s}}=\mathrm{z}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{z}_{2 s+1}=$ $\mathrm{z}_{1}$ (suppose that M is even and the lattioe is endowed w th periodic boundary condition), ( $\overline{\underline{1}} \mathbf{1})$ leads to the tw o-site H am iltonian
while (4) reduce to the tw o equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{in}_{\mathrm{z}_{2}}=\left(2 \mathrm{U} \dot{\mathrm{Z}}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{J}} \quad\right)_{\mathrm{Z}} \quad \mathrm{~T} \text { Z } \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

This am ounts to considering a special solution characterized by two independent com plex variablesw ith $\dot{k}_{1} \jmath+\dot{\zeta}_{2} \xlongequal{\jmath}$ representing the constant ofm otion. R em arkably, the sam e equations are obtained independently when assum ing $H$ to be the two-site H am iltonian equipped with the Poisson brackets $f z_{a} ; z_{b} g=a b=i h, a ; b=1 ; 2$. It is easily recognized that $q$-site models, where $q p=M$ for somep 2 N , can be achieved by follow ing the sam e procedure $(z+k q \quad, 0 \quad k \quad p, 1$ and that their dynam ics can alw ays intenpreted as solutions of the $\mathrm{M}-$ site m odel exhibiting the discrete translation symmetry $z_{j+k q}=z_{j}, 8 j, 0 \quad k \quad p$. The physical intempretation of such a sym $m$ etry is given by the Fourier m odes description of the dynam ical variables $\bar{M} b_{q}={ }_{j} z_{j} \exp [i q j]$, where $q:=2$ q=M,j;q2[1;M],
 one nds $b_{0} \quad \bar{M}\left(z_{1}+z_{2}\right)=2$, and $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{M}}=2 \quad \bar{M}\left(z_{1} \quad z_{2}\right)=2$ that im ply

$$
z_{1}=\left(b_{0}+\left(q_{1}=2\right)=\left(2^{p} \bar{M}\right) ; z_{2}=\left(b_{0} \quad p_{1}=2\right)=\left(2^{p} \bar{M}\right):\right.
$$

Therefore the tw o-site $m$ odel represents the sim plest possible way to construct an excited state $w$ ith respect to the condensed $m$ acroscopic state characterizing the zero tem perature regim e where $z_{j}=b_{0}=\bar{M}, 8 j$. H am iltonian ( $\overline{\text { G/ }}$ ) can be rew ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H} \quad \frac{\mathrm{U}}{2} \mathrm{~N}^{2} \quad \mathrm{~N}+\frac{\mathrm{U}}{2} \mathrm{D}^{2} \quad \mathrm{~T}^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{\mathrm{~N}^{2} \quad \mathrm{D}^{2}} \cos (2) ; \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$


 $T$ he new coordinates are equipped w th the P oisson brackets

$$
\mathrm{f} ; \mathrm{N} \mathrm{~g}=0=\mathrm{f} ; \mathrm{Dg} ; \mathrm{f} ; \mathrm{D} g=1=\mathrm{h}=\mathrm{f} ; \mathrm{N} \mathrm{~g} ;
$$

that provide the equation of $m$ otions

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=2 T \mathrm{P} \overline{N^{2} \quad D^{2}} \sin (2) ;-=\quad U D \quad \frac{T D}{N^{2} D^{2}} \cos (2) ; \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

the dot denotes the tim e derivative $d=d \quad w$ ith $:=t=h$. Based on the com plete integrability of the system, and proceeding along the sam e lines of $R$ ef. [1] $]$, one can reduce the above tw o equations to a unique one

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}^{2}=4 \mathrm{E} \quad \mathrm{UN}^{2}=2+\mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{UD}^{2}=2^{2}+4 \mathrm{~T}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~N}^{2} \quad \mathrm{D}^{2}\right) ; \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{H}$ is a given value of the energy, which reproduces the result achieved in $R$ ef. [ [1] İ1]. G oing to its second order version

$$
\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{a} \mathrm{bD}^{2} \mathrm{D} ;
$$

where $a=4 U \quad 4 T^{2}, b=2 U^{2}$, and $=E \quad U^{2}=2+N$ (reduced energy), allow $S$ one to represent its solution in term $s[121]$ of the elliptic cosine an $(x ; k)$. T his has the form $D(t)=A$ an $[(0) ; k]$, in which $A^{2}=\left(1+a={ }^{2}\right)=\left(b{ }^{2}\right), k=\left(1+a={ }^{2}\right)=2$, and is a scale tim e that can be xed arbitrarily [12 $\underline{2}_{1}^{-1}$.

In order to im plem ent the requantization precedure a la B rillouin E insteinK eller [1]

$$
\begin{align*}
& =0 ; D=0 ; \quad(m \text { inim } u m)  \tag{11}\\
= & =2 ; D=\quad \mathrm{D} \frac{N^{2} \quad(T=U)^{2}}{} ; \quad(m \text { axim } a)  \tag{12}\\
= & =2 ; D=0 ; \quad \text { (saddlepointsif } T=U<N) \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith (reduced) energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{TN} ; \quad \mathrm{M}=\frac{\mathrm{U}}{2}\left(\mathrm{~N}^{2}+\mathrm{T}^{2}=\mathrm{U}^{2}\right) ; \quad \mathrm{S}=+\mathrm{TN} ; \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. The structure of the $D$ phase space depends on the param eter $:=$ $T=N \mathrm{U}$. For $<1=2$ the dom ains containing the $m$ axim a (consider, for exam ple, those related to $==2$ represented in Fig. 1a) are con ned by a separatrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{M}^{()}()=N^{q} \overline{1} 4^{2} \cos ^{2}(2) ; \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th $=+1(=1)$ for the upper (low er) m axim a, that corresponds to the energy
$=\mathrm{UN}^{2}=2$. Such disjoint dom ains are separated by a region for $w$ hose orbits can increase inde nitely. A nother pair of separatrioes con ne the curves encircling
the $m$ inim um. These are obtained from (\%) by setting the reduced energy equal to $s$ and read

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.D_{m}()=\mathrm{P}_{\overline{2} N} 1 \quad \cos ^{2}(2) \quad \cos (2)^{q} \overline{\cos ^{2}(2)+(1 \quad 2}\right)^{1=2}: \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $=1=2$ the separatrices of the tw $\circ \mathrm{m}$ axim a intersect in $=2, D_{m}=0$ thus elim inating the possibility ofballistic evolution of the phase. At the sam e tim e (see Fig. 1b) they $m$ erge $w$ ith the $m$ inim um separatrices ( $\overline{1} \bar{G})$.

For $>1=2$ twotbranch separatrix ( $\left(\overline{1} \overline{5}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ form $s$ a unique curve which is displaced vertically and join the upper boundary of the phase space ( $D_{M}=N$ ) to the lower one ( $D_{M}=N$ ). The values in the interval $1=2 \ll 1$ correspond to situations in which a new eight-shaped separatrix encircles the two maxim a (see Fig. 2a) and divides the curves of $m$ otion that locally sourround each $m$ axim a from those that contain both. Equation ( $\left.\overline{1} \bar{G}_{1}\right)$ still describes such a separatrix centered in the saddle point $\left(D_{m}=0, \quad==2\right)$, but it is convenient to introduce the variable $:=\quad=2$ in view of the fact that ( $\left.1 \begin{array}{ll}1\end{array}\right)$ is now negative. In the lim it ! $(1=2)^{+}$the vertical separatrix and the eight-shaped separatrix m erge, while for ! 1 the maxim a $m$ erge (see $F i g .2 b$ ) thus generating a con guration $w$ ith a unique maxim um which characterizes 1.

A $n$ approxim ate evaluation of the energy leveldistribution can be easily obtained for curves ofm otion close to the extrem alpoints just introduced. U pon expressing D as a function of
w ith $=1$ and $c()=\cos (2)$, the requantization procedure is enacted by setting I

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D} \mathrm{~d} \quad 2(\mathrm{n}+1=2) ; \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{n} 2 \mathrm{~N}, \quad$ is som e isoenergetic closed path, and the constant $h$ is m issing since $D$ is a dim ension less action variable.

In general, the exact calculation of the above integral is quite di cult. T herefore it is convenient to reduce $H$ to a diagonal quadratic form in proxim ity of both $m$ in im a and $m$ axim $a$. In the case of the $m$ inim $u m(D=N \quad 1 ; 1)$ the resulting H am iltonians reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{m}}, \frac{\mathrm{UN}^{2}}{2} \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{~T}+\quad)+\frac{\mathrm{U}}{2}\left(1+\mathrm{D}^{2}+2 \mathrm{TN} \quad 2 ;\right. \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

while the $m$ axim a entail a quadratic H am iltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{M}}, \mathrm{UN}^{2} \quad \mathrm{~N}+\frac{\mathrm{T}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{U}}+\frac{\mathrm{U}}{2}\left[1 \quad{ }^{2}\right] \mathrm{p}^{2} \quad 2\left(\mathrm{~T}^{2}=\mathrm{U}\right)^{2} ; \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 1: (a) left gure ilhustrates the $m$ in im um separatrix (dotted lines) and the two separatrioes (dashed lines) associated to each maxim um pair for < 1=2; (b) right gure show $s$ the $m$ erging of separatrioes for $=1=2$.


Figure 2: (a) left gure illustrates the eight-shaped separatrix encircling each $m$ axim um pair for $1=2 \ll 1$; (b) right gure show s the phase space for $>1$.
w th $\mathrm{p}:=\left[(\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{N}) \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 2\end{array}\right)^{1=2}\right] \quad 1, \quad, \quad=2$. U nder such approxim ations the integral (1]) can be easily calculated and provides the approxim ate form of the spectra

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{m}(n)=U N^{2} \frac{1}{2} \overline{U N} \quad+\quad \overline{(1+\quad)}(2 n+1) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{M}(n)=U^{2}{ }^{\prime \prime} 1 \quad \frac{}{U N}+\frac{2}{2} \quad p \overline{1}{ }^{2}(2 n+1): \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the $m$ in $\dot{m} u n$ and the $m$ axim a, respectively.
$T$ he case $w$ hen the $H$ am iltonian exhibits tw $o m$ axim $a$ is quite interesting in that the presence of pairs of isoenergetic tra jectories (spatially disjoint) suggests the possibility for the system to undergo a tunneling e ect when considered quantum m echanically. Indeed this is the case for $\mathrm{s} \ll \mathrm{m}$, and $1=2 \ll 1$ where isoenergetic trajectories appear around the points

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{R}}=\left(+\mathrm{N}{ }^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{1 \mathrm{r}^{2}} ; \quad=2\right) ; \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{L}}=\left(\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{1 \mathrm{r}^{2}} ; \quad=2\right) ;
$$

in the phase plane (D ; ). D ue to the tunneling e ect, close to the value $=1=2$ the rst order corrections in h m ust be accounted when approxim ating the energy levels.

A simple way to evaluate such an e ect is obtained via the follow ing standard schem e [1] $\overline{1}]$ show ing how the tunneling probability is nonvanishing when the barrier that separates the $m$ otions around $P_{R}$ and $P_{L}$ is nite. In this case a new relevant contribution (to the second order in the perturbative approxim ation) m ust be added


Let $H_{R}$ and $H_{L}$ be the quadratic approxim ation of $H$ around $P_{R}$ and $P_{L}$, respectively, and $j_{R} i$ and $j_{L} i$ the eigenstates of $H_{R}$ and $H_{L}$ corresponding to the sam e eigenvalue $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{M}}(\mathrm{n})$; the two eigenvalues:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E \quad(n)=E_{M}(n) \quad h^{2} \quad R \frac{d R^{2}}{d D} \quad \text {; } \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is the crossing point $(D ;)=(0 ;=2)$ porrespond then to the sym $m$ etric/antisym $m$ etric states: $j \quad i=\left(j_{R i} \quad j_{L} i\right)=\overline{2}$. At the second order we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{R} \frac{d_{R}}{d D}=\frac{!}{2 h} \exp \frac{1}{h}_{a}^{Z_{a}} d D j p(D) j ; \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where! $\quad\left(U^{p}-\frac{2}{2}\right)=(2 T), p(D) \quad 2!h n=2 \quad 2!D^{2}=U \quad 1=2$, and the inversion points $D=$ a of the $m$ otion along $D$ have been issued from ${ }^{\prime}(1-1)$ by setting $=2$ in $D^{+}()$.

## 4 The spin picture of the quantum dim er

The H am iltonian of a lattice form ed by tw o sites (dim er) reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{U}\left(\mathrm{n}_{1}^{2}+\mathrm{n}_{2}^{2}\right) \quad(+\mathrm{U}) \mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{~T}\left(9 \mathrm{a}_{2}^{+}+\mathrm{a}_{2} \mathrm{a}_{1}^{+}\right) ; \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where now $N \quad n_{1}+n_{2}$, whereas the factor $T$ of the hopping term in place of $\mathrm{T}=2$ is the only di erence distinguishing the open chain case from the case w ith the periodic boundary conditions $a_{M}+j=a_{j} w$ ith $M=2$. Upon introducing the two-boson realization of the su (2) generators

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(a_{1} a_{2}^{+}+a_{2} a_{1}^{+}\right) ; J_{2}=\frac{1}{2 i}\left(a_{1} a_{2}^{+} \quad a_{2} a_{1}^{+}\right) ; J_{3}=\frac{1}{2}\left(n_{2} \quad n_{1}\right) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

which satisfy the com m utators

$$
\left[J_{1} ; J_{2}\right]=i J_{3} ;\left[J_{2} ; J_{3}\right]=i J_{1} ;\left[J_{3} ; J_{1}\right]=i J_{2} ;
$$

and the $C$ asim ir equation $C \stackrel{\vdots}{=} J_{1}^{2}+J_{2}^{2}+J_{3}^{2} \quad J_{4}\left(J_{4}+1\right) w$ ith $J_{4}=\frac{1}{2}\left(n_{2}+n_{1}\right)$, H am iltonian (2-15) can be rew ritten in the nonlinear form

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=2\left[\mathrm{UJ}_{4}^{2} \quad(+\mathrm{U}) \mathrm{J}_{4}+U \mathrm{~J}_{3}^{2} \quad \mathrm{~T} \mathrm{~J}_{1}\right]: \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

This fact has tw o consequences: $F$ irst, the size of the $H$ ilbert space of the system, encoded in the eigenvalue J of $\mathrm{J}_{4}$, is related explicitly to a m acroscopic feature of the system since $2 J_{4}$ coincides w ith the total particle num ber operator $N$. The latter naturally plays the role of constant of $m$ otion $w$ thin our group-theoretic picture in that $J_{4}$ is a group central elem ent that is $\left[J_{4} ; J_{a}\right]=0, a=1 ; 2 ; 3$. M oreover, $\left[\overline{2}_{1} \overline{1}_{1}\right)$ show $s$ how, due the nonlinear term $J_{3}^{2}, H$ cannot be diagonalized via a sim ple unitary trasform ation of the group $S U(2)$. In view of the nonlinearity of (27 $\overline{1})$ ) the problem of diagonalizing $H$ for any value of $J$ can be faced either by expressing $J_{3}^{2}$ (and $J_{1}$ ) linearly in term $s$ of the generators of a larger (dynam ical) algebra, or by constructing approxim ation procedures capable of retaining the relevant features of the spectrum. The latter point of view is assum ed in this Section.

C onsider nst the low part of the spectrum of $H$, the features of which should be relevant to the zero tem perature phase diagram of the BH model. The spin form ulation of the problem allow s one to im plem ent an approxim ate approach based on the C asim ir operator. In fact, the observation that the classical energy m in im um is reached for $J_{3}=J_{2}=0$, and $J_{\bar{\beta}}=+\bar{C}$, where $C=J_{1}^{2}+J_{3}^{2}+J_{2}^{2}$ is a constant, leads to approxim ate $J_{1}$ as $J_{1}, ~ \bar{C}\left[1 \quad(1=2 C)\left(J_{3}^{2}+J_{2}^{2}\right)\right]$ which im plies

$$
\begin{equation*}
H \quad 2 \mathrm{U} \mathrm{~J}_{4}^{2}(+\mathrm{U}) \mathrm{J}_{4}+\mathrm{U} \mathrm{~J}_{3}^{2} \quad \mathrm{~T}^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{C}} 1 \frac{1}{2 \mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{~J}_{3}^{2}+\mathrm{J}_{2}^{2}\right) \quad: \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

The variables $J_{3} ; J_{2}$ (considered "sm all") account for $s m$ all displagem ents around the energy $m$ inim um. At the quantum level, the inequalities $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{s}} i \quad \overline{\mathrm{C}}$ conceming the expectation values of $J_{s}$, w ith $C=J(J+1)=N(\mathbb{N}+2)=4 \gg 1$, and $s=2 ; 3$ $m$ ake natural to adopt the perturbative schem $e w$ here the operator $J_{2}$ and $J_{3}$ can be treated as canonically con jugate variables since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathrm{J}_{2} ; \mathrm{J}_{3}\right]^{\prime}+\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{\mathrm{C}} ; \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereas

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[J_{3} ; J_{1}\right]=+{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{~J}_{2}=\mathrm{P} \overline{\mathrm{C}}\right)^{\prime}, 0 ;\left[\mathrm{J}_{2} ; \mathrm{J}_{1}\right]=\stackrel{\mathrm{P}}{\mathrm{i}} \overline{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{~J}_{3}=\mathrm{P} \overline{\mathrm{C}}\right)^{\prime}, 0 ; \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

are considered vanishing when com pared w ith $\left(\underline{2} \bar{q}_{1}\right)$ since $h J_{s} i=\overline{\mathrm{P}} \ll 1$. Then, after labelling by the integer $p$ the eigenstates of the harm onic oscillator term $\left[1+\mathrm{T}=\left(2 \mathrm{U}{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{C}}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{J}_{3}^{2}+\mathrm{W}^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{2}^{2}\right]$ contained in $(\underline{2} \overline{\mathbf{q}})$, where $\mathrm{W}^{2}=\left[1+2 \mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{C}}=\mathrm{T}\right]^{1}$, its eigenvalues are found to be $\overline{\mathrm{C} W}(2 p+1)$ so that the spectrum of $H$ reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{p}=\frac{U}{2} N^{2} \quad(+U) N \quad 2 T^{p} \bar{C}+T \quad 1+\frac{p}{C} \frac{2 U}{T}{ }^{\frac{1}{2}}(2 p+1): \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

The approxim ation just implem ented is of course exact for $U N=0$. H ence its natural range of validity seem s to be given by the condition $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{N} \mathrm{U} \quad 1$, which can be interpreted sem iclassically as the requirem ent that the expectation value of the hopping term $T \not J_{1} i j^{\prime} \quad T N=2$ is greater than the ( $m$ axim um value of the) C oulomb expectation value $\mathrm{UhJ}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{i}^{\prime} \mathrm{UN}^{2}=4$. This appears to be consistent w ith the fact that the harm onic oscillator form of the above spectrum is originated by the spectrum of $J_{1}$ which is both linear and bounded from below. W hen $T=N \mathrm{U}<1$ the spin structure of the problem filly em erges since $J_{3}^{2}$ introduce the in uence of its quadratic degenerate spectrum .

The im portance of the condition $T=N \mathrm{~N} \quad 1$ is even $m$ ore evident when describing the spectrum glose to the $m$ axim um energy con guration. In this case the approxim ation $J_{1}, \quad \overline{\mathrm{C}}\left[1 \quad(1=2 \mathrm{C})\left(J_{3}^{2}+J_{2}^{2}\right)\right] \mathrm{m}$ akes appear in H the ham onic oscillator term $[T=(2 U \bar{C}) \quad 1]\left[J_{3}^{2}+{ }^{2} J_{2}^{2}\right]$ where ${ }^{2}=T=(T \quad 2 \mathrm{U} \overline{\mathrm{C}})$ which involves a spectrum of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{q}=\frac{U}{2} N^{2} \quad(+U) N+2 T^{p} \bar{C} \quad T 1 \quad \mathrm{P} \bar{C}_{T}^{2 U}{ }^{\frac{1}{2}}(2 q+1): \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{N} \mathrm{U}<1$ the system no loger exhibits a uniquem axim um so that the oscillator approxim ation of the problem does not work: the squared frequency ${ }^{2}$ becom es im aginary. T he spin picture thus appears as the correct approach for understanding quantum $m$ echanically the degeneracy caused by the presence of two (classical) sym $m$ etric $m$ axim a for $T=N U<1$.

## 5 T w o-w ell system dynam ical algeb ra

### 5.1 Single-boson picture

C onsidering the subalgebra $s u_{N}(2)$, in the spin representation $J=N=2 w$ here $N$ is the total num ber of boson, w ithin the algebra $A=s u(M)$ allows us to recast the nonlinear $H$ am iltonian $H$ in term $s$ of a linear com bination of generators belonging to A. The latter is therefore a dynam ical algebra for the system. T he sim plest possible choice for $A$ is provided by the two-boson operator realization of $s u_{Q}(M)$ the generators of which have the standard form

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{i j}:=b_{i}^{+} b_{j} ;(i \in j) ; H_{i j}:\left(b_{i}^{+} b_{i} \quad \text { of } b_{j}\right)=2 \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith $1 \quad i ; j \quad M$, and satisfy the commutation relations

$$
\left.\left.\mathbb{E}_{i j} ; \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{kl}}\right]=\frac{1}{2}\left({ }_{j k} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{ik}} \quad{ }_{j 1} \mathrm{E}_{i 1}+{ }_{i 1} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{lj}} \quad{ }_{i k} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{kj}}\right) ; \mathbb{E}_{i j} ; \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{kl}}\right]={ }_{j k} \mathrm{E}_{i l} \quad{ }_{i 1} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{kj}}:
$$

$T$ he index $Q$ eigenvalue of the invariant operator $\left.N_{b}={ }^{P}{ }_{i} b_{i}^{+} b_{i}\left(\mathbb{N}_{b} ; E_{i j}\right]=0\right)$ that selects the speci c representation of su ( $M$ ) considered, denotes the total num ber of particle associated w th such a bosonic realization of su $(M)$. In fact, the dim ension of the $H$ ibert space basis $B(M ; Q)=f \eta_{1} ;::: ; n_{M} i ;{ }_{i=1}^{M} n_{i}=Q g$ is given by $\operatorname{dim} B(M ; Q)=(Q+M \quad 1)![(M \quad 1)!!]$, where the basis states are de ned as $\eta_{1} ;::: n_{M} i=\quad M \quad{ }_{i=1} \eta_{i} i$ and the number operator states $\eta_{j} i$ ful 1 the equations $b_{i} \eta_{i} i=P \overline{n_{i}} \eta_{i} \quad 1 i$ and $\varliminf_{i}^{+} \eta_{i} i=P \overline{n_{i}+1} \eta_{i}+1 i$.

Setting $M=N+1$ (recall that $N$ is the boson num ber of the tw ow ell system ) and $Q=1$ one selects the fundam ental realization of $s u(M)$, whose oneboson quantum states $j 0 ;::: 1 ; 0::: i(\operatorname{dim} B(N+1 ; 1) \quad N+1)$ are in one-to-one correspondence $w$ ith the colum $n$ vector standard basis whose $m$ atrix form reads f $1 \mathrm{li}:=(1 ; 0:::)^{\mathrm{T}} ; \mathfrak{R i}:=$ $(0 ; 1:::)^{T} ;::$ :qi $:=(0 ;::: 1 ; 0:::)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{g}$. T he generators of the resulting m atrix realization of $\operatorname{su}_{1}(\mathbb{N}+1)$ have the form
拒 ij 离
where $1 \quad \mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{p} \quad \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{N}+1$. The states $j$; m i constituting the standard basis of $s u_{N}(2)$ can be sim ilarly realized w ithin the colum $n$ vector basis figi; $1 \quad q \quad N+1 g$ via the identi cation jJ;miliwith $m=J+1 \quad$ q. The ensuing $m$ atrix version of the $s u_{N}$ (2) generators reads
thus entailing that $J_{+}$and $J_{3}$ can be rew ritten $w$ ithin su $(M)$ (recall that $M \quad N+1$ ) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.J_{+}=\sum_{q=1}^{N+1 q} \frac{q(2 J+1}{} \quad q\right) E_{q q+1} ; J_{3}=\underbrace{N X^{+1}}_{q=1} \frac{J+1}{M} q_{4}^{2} Q 2_{j=1}^{X^{M}} H_{j q}^{5} ; \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. Form ally, this tums out to be equivalent to representing our quantum description in the fiully bosonic form, w ith

$$
\left.J_{+}=\prod_{q=1}^{M X^{+1} q} \overline{q(2 J+1} \quad \text { q) }\right)_{q}^{+} \cdot b_{q+1} ; J_{3}=\prod_{q=1}^{x^{+1}}(J+1 \quad q) n_{q} ;
$$



$$
\begin{equation*}
H=C(J)+2 U \int_{q=1}^{\mathrm{NX}^{+1}} \mathrm{~m}^{2}(\mathrm{q}) \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{q}} \quad \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{q}=1}^{\mathrm{NX} \mathrm{X}^{+1}} R(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{J})\left(\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{q}}^{+} \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{q}+1}+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{q}+1}^{+} \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{q}}\right) ; \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where R $(q ; J):=\left[(J+1=2)^{2} \quad(m(q) \quad 1=2\}\right]^{1=2}, m(q):=J+1 \quad q$, and $C(J)=$ $2\left[\mathrm{U}^{2} \quad(+\mathrm{U}) \mathrm{J}\right]$. The related dynam ics is now described by states

$$
\begin{equation*}
j i={ }_{q=1}^{N X^{+1}} q_{q}^{+} j 0 ;::: ; 0 i ; \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

that, due to the norm alization condition, turm out to de ne them anifold ${ }^{P} \underset{q=1}{N+1} j_{q}{ }^{〔}$ in the bosonic H ilbert space. Such a manifold is an hypersphere in $\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{N}+1}$ and represents the e ective space in which the quantum dynam ics of $H$ can be reform ulated in a classical form in such a way to $m$ antain a com plete equivalence $w$ ith the original quantum problem. In fact the classical dynam ics thus obtained actually concems the tim e evolution of the components of $j$ i once the initial condition $j$ (0)i at $t=0$ has been assigned.
 as a set of equations of $m$ otion

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{-m}=2 \mathrm{Um}^{2} \quad \mathrm{~m} \quad \mathrm{~T}\left[\mathrm{~J}(\mathrm{~m}+1) \mathrm{m}+1+{ }_{J}(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{m} 1\right] ; \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have adopted the notation $m:=q$, and ${ }_{J}(m):=R(q ; J)$ with $m:=$ $J+1$ q, in order to $m$ ake the present form alism closer to the spin picture introduced previously. Equations ( H am iltonian

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{m}=\mathrm{N}=2 \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

representing the energy expectation value h $\ddagger \mathrm{j} i \quad \mathrm{H}$ [ ], provided the Poisson structure f q; $\mathrm{pg}=\mathrm{qp}_{\mathrm{p}}=$ ih is assum ed. T he eigen frequencies associated to ( $\overline{3} \overline{Q_{1}}$ ) and the related periodic orbits coincide $w$ ith the eigenvalues and the eigenstates of $\left[\overline{3}_{1} \overline{1}_{1}\right)$, respectively. T he latter are obtained from the solution of the secular equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
E X_{m}=2 \mathrm{Um}^{2} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{m}} \quad \mathrm{~T}\left[\left[_{J}(\mathrm{~m}+1) \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{m}+1}+{ }_{J}(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{m}} 1\right] ;\right. \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is easily derived from the equations ofm otion ( $3 \bar{Z}_{1}$ ). T he eigenstates com ponents $X_{m}$ in Eqs. $\left(\overline{3} \bar{S}_{1}\right)$ can be show $n$ to be real.

### 5.2 Spectrum structure

T wo sym m etries characterize the structure of the eigenstates. T he rst is realized via the action of the unitary transform ation $U_{1}: \exp \left[i J_{1}\right]$ which takes $J_{3}$ into $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{~J}_{3} \mathrm{U}_{1}^{+}=\mathrm{J}_{3}$ so that $\left.\mathbb{H} ; \mathrm{U}_{1}\right]=0$. The action of $\mathrm{U}_{1}$ on the standard basis is given by $U_{1}$ in $i=\exp [i \mathrm{~J}] j \mathrm{mi}$. This corresponds to implem enting the component
 in that ${ }_{J}(m+1){ }_{J}(m)$ for each $m$. Each eigenstate has therefore a de nite sym $m$ etry character which we m ake explicit by w riting the eigenstates as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi i_{+}={ }_{m}^{X} S_{m}(E) \text { ini; } S_{m}=+S m ;  \tag{40}\\
& \Psi i=X_{m}^{X} A_{m}(E) \text { in } i ; A_{m}=A_{m} ; \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

in the sym $m$ etric and antisym $m$ etric case, respectively. T he energy spectrum is not degenerate in that one has that $E E^{0}$ for any pair of states $\neq i_{+}$and $\Psi_{i}{ }_{i}$.

A further sym $m$ etry is obtained by com bining the action $U_{3} J_{1} U_{3}^{+}=J_{1}$ of $U_{3}:=$ $\exp \left[i J_{3}\right]$ on $T J_{1}$ in $H$, w ith the change $T$ ! $T$ which restores the initial form of $H$. The corresponding change of the eigenstate com ponents $X_{m}$ ! $\exp [i m] X_{m}$ is easily derived from the fact that the standard basis is acted on by $U_{3}$ according to
 the sign of $T$ is reversed as well.

An im portant feature of the eigenvalues structure is recognized by com paring the secular equations for $\mathcal{F} i_{+}$and $\ddagger i . W$ e rst consider the case when $J$ is a half of an odd integer. T hen equations $(\underline{3} \overline{\underline{9}})$ can be w ritten in the reduced form

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\left(2 U \mathrm{~m}^{2} \quad E\right) \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}} \quad \mathrm{~T}\left[\left[_{J}(\mathrm{~m}+1) \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}+1}+{ }_{J}(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}} \quad 1\right] ;\right. \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ th $C=A ; S$, for $1=2<m \quad J$, while for $m=1=2$ one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\left[2 \mathrm{U}(1=2)^{2} \quad E\right] C_{1=2} \quad T^{h}{ }_{J}(3=2) C_{3=2}+\quad{ }_{J}(1=2) C_{1=2}^{i} ; \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=1(+1) \mathrm{m}$ ust be taken in the antisym m etric (sym m etric) case. The rem arkable consequence of the presence of in (4) (4) is that one $m$ ay distinguish the eigenvalues associated w ith the sym $m$ etric states from those related to the antisym $m$ etric ones depending on the sign of. H ow this happens is clearly show $n$ by the eigenvalues equation. T he latter is obtained iteratively via the recurrence equation for the determ inant of the associated secular equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.d \mathbb{E} ; m]=\left(2 U m^{2} \quad E\right) d \mathbb{E} ; m+1\right] \quad \mathbb{T}^{2}{ }_{J}^{2}(m) d \mathbb{E} ; m+2\right] ; \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

which term inates with $d \mathbb{E} ; J]=2 U J^{2} E$, starting from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.0=\left(\mathrm{U}=2 \quad \mathrm{E}+\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{J}}(1=2)\right) \mathrm{d} \mathbb{E} ; 3=2\right] \quad \mathrm{~T}^{2} \underset{\mathrm{~J}}{2}(1=2) \mathrm{d} \mathbb{E} ; 5=2\right]: \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

 For $=0$ no di erence distinguishes the sym $m$ etric case from the antisym $m$ etric case that therefore exhibit pairs of eigenstates $w$ th the sam e eigenvalues. A s soon as is sw itched on each eigenvalues bifurcates relatively to the two possibilities
$>0$ and < 0. For sm all values of $T$ the leading order in reduces to

$$
0=\left(\mathrm{U}=2 \quad \mathrm{E}+\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{J}}(1=2)\right) \quad \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{m}>1=2}\left(2 \mathrm{Um}^{2} \quad \mathrm{E}\right):
$$

T here results that only the tw o low est eigenvahes are distinguished from those of the unperturbed case. Increasing $T$ allow s one to im prove the resolution: for exam ple, to the order $\mathrm{T}^{2}$, the four low est eigenvalues are separated.

The same schem e holds when $J$ is integer ( $m=0 ; 1 ; 2::$ :) but the hierarchy described above exhibits tw o special cases, for $m=0 ; 1$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
0=\quad \mathrm{E} \mathrm{C}_{0} \quad \mathrm{~T}_{J}(1) \mathrm{C}_{1} ;  \tag{46}\\
0=(2 \mathrm{U}  \tag{47}\\
\mathrm{E}) \mathrm{C}_{1} \quad \mathrm{~T}\left[\begin{array}{l}
J \\
(2) \\
\left.\mathrm{C}_{2}+\quad{ }_{J}(0) \mathrm{C}_{0}\right] ;
\end{array}\right.
\end{gather*}
$$

w ith $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{A} ; \mathrm{S}$, whereas Eqs. (42̄) account for $2 \mathrm{~m} \quad \mathrm{~J} . \mathrm{The}$ param eter m ust be set equal to one in the sym $m$ etric case ( $C=S$ ), while in the antisym $m$ etric case $(C=A)$ the expected elim ination of the com ponent $A_{0}$ is realized by setting $=0$. It follow s that the $H$ ilbert space dim ension decreases w hile the secular equation $w$ ill have a degree dim in ished of one. Explicitly, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.0=E d \mathbb{E} ; 1] \quad 2{ }_{J}^{2}(1) T^{2} d \mathbb{E} ; 2\right] ; \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

which reduces to $0=\mathrm{dE} ; 1$ ] for ! 0 . In the sym $m$ etric case a $(J+1)$ th degree


For T su ciently sm all the energy levels appear to be structured in doublets (see Fig. 3) that $m$ im ick the em ergence of the energy degeneracy actually reached only
for $\mathrm{T}=0$. The eigenvalues of the doublet sector satisfy the inequality $\mathrm{E}>\mathrm{TN}$, consistently w th the role played classically by the saddle point energy s of form ula (1-4). Thus the doublet num ber decreases when $T$ is increased (see $F$ igs. 4, 5). $T$ his e ects issues from the fact that both and are multiplied by $T$ in (45) and (4 $\overline{4}$ ), respectively. The lim it T ! 0 thus inconporates the lim its ; ! 0 whereby the degeneracy crops up (each $E$ is associated to a pair $\mathcal{F}$ i). The eigenstates generated (in the samelim it) $\ddagger i \quad$ (jn i j mi)= $\overline{2}$ have the sam e selfenergy $E(0)=C(J)+2 \mathrm{Um}^{2}$ of the noniteracting $m$ odel (com petition am ong the $m \mathrm{~m}$ odes sw itched o by $T=0$, that is, no interw ell dynam ics).

The splitting of energy levels caused by $T \in 0$ guarantees the im portant feature that eigenstates are structured so as to have com ponents that are either sym $m$ etric or anti-sym $m$ etric w ith respect to the inversion $m!~ m$. A fulldegeneracy, in fact, should allow for the occurrence of states inducing a strong localization in proxim ity of those values of $\mathrm{hJ}_{3} i$ that classically correspond to the energy peak positions. In this case the eigenstates should exhibit a m arked sem iclassical character that corresponds to states where either the com ponents with m $>0$ or those with $m<0$ are strongly depressed in order to perm it the localization e ect.

D espite the absence of states involving a stable localization around one of the tw o energy peaks for energy values $\mathrm{E}>\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{U}$ when $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{UN}<1$, the realization of localized states can be attained by supenpositions of the two states corresponding to the doublets. Each such a pair of states is com posed by a sym $m$ etric eigenstate and an antisymmetric one with an energy gap $E$ which vanishes for $T$ ! 0. The con gurations where the system is localized undergo a periodic revival (w ith a life tim e proportional to $1=E$ ) which ensues from the tim e dependence of the eigenstate interference $m$ echanism.


Figure 3: Energy level distribution for $T=4, U=1, N=41$. The index $m$ 2 [1;42] labels the energy eigenvalues.


Figure 4: Energy level distribution for $\mathrm{T}=24, \mathrm{U}=1, \mathrm{~N}=41$. T he eigenvalues are labelled by the index m 2 [1;42]. Three doublets are still visible form $<6$


Figure 5: $T=60, U=1, N=41$ : the in uence of $U J_{3}^{2}$ in $H$ am iltonian (27) is alm ost negligible.

## 6 D iscussion

 generic state $j i$ as the dynam ics of an ensem ble of linear oscillators with a spacedependent coupling ( $\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{m}$ ) depends on m ) in the linear lattice of $m$ odes. A crtain am ount of inform ation can be extracted from ( $\overline{3} \overline{\bar{q}}$ ) as to the form of the states $j i$ close to the extrem al con gurations.

Let us consider, rst, the case ' 1 (we identify the classical param eter N w th the eigenvalue $\mathrm{N}=2 \mathrm{~J}$ so that $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{UN}$ ). The greatest coe cients of the hopping term $\left(\mathrm{max}[T,(\mathrm{~m})]^{\prime} \mathrm{UN}^{2}=2\right.$ ) are com parable w ith the greatest coe cient of the C oulomb term ( $\mathrm{max}\left[2 \mathrm{Um}^{2}\right]=\mathrm{UN}{ }^{2}=2$ ). On the other hand, such two classes of term $s$ involve di erent com ponents that are labelled by $m$ ' 0 and $m$ ' $N=2$, respectively. Them inim um energy state is therefore characterized by com ponents $m=R_{m} \exp \left(i_{m}\right)$ such that $m \quad m+1$ (the phase-locking involves the $m$ ost negative the hopping tem ), whereas the $m$ odes jin j $N=2$ (j̇ $j^{\prime} N=2$ ) must be $m$ axim ally occupied (strongly depleted). The dynam ics of wealky excited states is expected to exhibit a sim ilarm ode partecipation w ith the breaking of the (collective order of the) phase-locking due to sm all phases' oscillations.

For opposite reasons the $m$ axim um energy state is characterized by an antiferrom agnetic type of phase order $(\mathrm{m}+1=\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{)}$, while both the largest m odes ( $\mathrm{m} \mathrm{j}^{\prime} \mathrm{N}=2$ ) and the m allest ones ( $\mathrm{m} \mathrm{j} j \mathrm{~N}=2$ ) contribute to m axim ize the energy. Such a phase order enables us to recognize the largest com ponents of the maxi-


 diagonal form

$$
H[]^{\prime} C(J)+\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{N}=2}^{\mathrm{K}=2} \mathrm{~h} 2 \mathrm{Um}^{2}+\mathrm{T}\left({ }_{J}(\mathrm{~m})+{ }_{J}(\mathrm{~m}+1)\right)^{i} \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{~J}^{2}:
$$

For large values of $J=N=2$ the labelm can be regarded as a continuous param eter so that the highest weight coe cient $\left[2 U m^{2}+T(J(m)+J(m+1))\right]$ is singled out by di erentiation. This provides $\left.m \quad m \quad\left(\mathbb{N}^{2} \quad T^{2}=U^{2}\right)^{1=2}=2\right]$, 0 where $m=$
 reach to the highest energy con guration. Th is result $m$ atches exactly the classical representation of the $m$ axim a in the phase space (see form ula [1 $\left.\overline{1} \bar{T}_{1}\right)$ ), except that quantum m echanically both the $m$ axim a are involved in the dynam ics. If 1 , the solution $m=0 \mathrm{~m}$ ust be considered which re ects in a consistent way the coalescence of the two (classical) maxim a in a unique one.

The regim e $\quad 1$ is also intersting. This case is quite sensitive to the parity of N since the largest C oulomb term has gained a factor N w ith respect to the
largest T -dependent term. If N is even (i.e. m assum es integer values) the system ground-state must be constructed in such a way that $j$ oj $j \mathrm{~m} j \operatorname{since} j o j d o e s$ not contribute to the C oulom b term. W e thus approxim ate $j$ i by neglecting any $m$ such that $m \in 0$; 1 . The resulting approxim ation of $H$ [ ] reads

$$
H[]^{\prime} C(J)+4 U j_{1}{ }^{\jmath} \quad 4 T_{J}(0) j 1 \text { j }
$$

where we have assum ed $j+{ }^{1} \underline{j} j \quad j \quad j$ and the constraint $2 j+1 \hat{j}+j 0 j=1$ holds. The latter suggests to set $\overline{2} j+1 j=\sin$ and $j \beta j=\cos$ in the above formula, which in tum appears to be minim ized by tg $=\bar{P}^{2} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{J}}(0)=\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{TN}={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2} \mathrm{U}$. The ground-state energy thus reads

$$
E_{g s}=C(J)+\frac{U}{4}\left[1 \quad q \overline{1+\mathrm{tg}^{2}}\right]
$$

that reproduces the sem iclassical value E $\quad \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{J}) \quad \mathrm{TN}$ for $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{U} \quad 1=\mathrm{N}$. If N is odd ( $m=1=2$; $3=2 ;:::$ ) the $C$ oulom b tem never vanishes, and the $m$ ain contributions to ground-state com e from $\quad 1=2$ and $\quad+1=2$, so that the related energy is approxim ated by

$$
H[]^{\prime} C(J)+\frac{U}{2}\left(j \quad 1=2 \jmath^{2}+j+1=2 \jmath^{2}\right) \quad 2 T_{J}(\quad 1=2) j \quad 1=2 \ddot{j}+1=2 \dot{j}:
$$

In view of the norm alization $j \quad 1=2 \tilde{j}+j+1=2 \tilde{j}=1$, it reduces to

$$
E_{g s} \quad C(J)+\frac{U}{2} \frac{T N}{}^{q} \overline{1+2=N} ;
$$

under the assumption $j \quad 1=2 j=j+1=2 j$.
The characters distinguishing the even case and the odd case suggest the interpretation of such situations in term sof insulator and super uid states, respectively. In the odd case one has $m=1=2 ; 3=2 ;$ :: so that the ground-state is the superposition of the states $\mathcal{N}=2 ; \mathrm{N}=2+1 i$ and $\mathcal{N}=2+1 ; \mathrm{N}=2 i$ which involves a perm anent one-boson tunneling betw een the tw o wells (super uid regim e). In the even case the ground-state essentially coincides with jijoi $\quad \mathrm{N}=2 ; \mathrm{N}=2 i$ which entails a stationary regim e where the tw o bosonic wells are equally lled and the boson tunnelling is not favoured (insulator regim e). It is worth noting that any contribution of the hopping term tums out to be de nitely sm aller than the C oulomb term s nam ely $m \operatorname{ax}\left[T_{J}(m)\right]^{\prime} U=2<m$ in $\left[U m^{2}\right]^{\prime} U$ when one sets $\quad 1=N^{2}$. The ensuing alm ost null im portance of the hopping term is precisely the signal of the transition from the super uid to the insulator regim ewhich is known (see $[\underline{1}[1], \overline{[1}])$, for a given N , to take place at $\quad 1=\mathrm{N}^{2}$.

A sim ple evaluation of the com ponents $X_{m}$ of the eigenstates associated $w$ th the energy spectrum extrem es (w here relative sign of $X_{m}, X_{m+1}$ is de nite) is obtained
by regarding $m$ as a continuous param eter in Equation (3) . In fact, upon setting
 nds

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\left(\mathbb{E} \quad 2 U \mathrm{~m}^{2}+2 J T\right) Y_{m} \quad 2 \mathrm{~T} \frac{d Y_{m}}{d m} ; \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=+1$ ( 1) for the $m$ in $\dot{m} u m$ ( $m$ axim um) energy state. The solution have the form $Y_{m}=C m \exp \left(U m^{2}=2 T\right) w$ ith $=E=(2 T$ ) $J$ and the consatant $C$ is xed by im posing the state norm alization. T he sam e treatm ent can be extended to the interm ediate eigenstates but the sign oscillation of $X_{m}$ 's, know $n$ from num erical calculation of eigenstate, requires a more accurate reform ulation of Eqs . ( $\overline{3} \overline{\mathrm{~g}} \overline{\mathrm{I}}^{\prime}$ ) also involving a second derivative term .

## 7 C onclusions

In th is paperwe have focused our attention on the quantum aspects of the dynam ics of a tw o-site B ose $H$ ubbard $m$ odel. The latterm im idss the interaction of tw o BEC's via tunneling e ect. This has led us to study thoroughly the nontrivial structure of the energy spectrum the upper part of which consists of a series of doublets if < 1, and has suggested the quantal interpretation of the degeneracy characterizing the classical con gurations (a pair of sym $m$ etric orbits is associated to the sam e value of the energy).

The general fram ew ork in which we relate the quantum dynam ics of BEC's to the classical form ulation usually em ployed for $m$ acroscopic condensates has been described in Sec. 2, where the purely quantum modelofm any lattice sites (bosonic wells coupled w ithin the BHM picture) is reduced to a classical lattioe eld theory by means of the TDVP procedure and the coherent state representation of the $m$ acroscopic (quantum) state of the lattice gas. C onversely, such a construction show sthat a generic array of coupled BEC is naturally reconducted to the standard BHM and its generalization to nonperiodic lattioe structures [i[15] w wen considered in a nonclassical regim e (sm all or m esoscopic num ber of bosons per condensate).

Sec. 3 has been devoted to illustrate the classical form of the tw o-site model, its phase space, and the onbit structure therein. A fter discussing the integrable character of the system the dynam ics of w hich is com pletely accounted by canonical variables ,D (see equations (' ${ }_{(1)}^{(9)}$ ), the requantization procedure a la BEK has been im plem ented. This provides integral (1] $\overline{1})$ whose approxim ate solutions give the
 $m$ axim a, respectively. The nice feature that $(\overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{2})$ holds for $<1$ has allowed us to incorporate the exchange e ects via the procedure leading to form ula ( $\left.\overline{2} \overline{3} \overline{3}^{\prime}\right)$. Such a result anticipates the basic trait of the spectrum rep resented by its doub let structure.
$T$ he reform ulation of the tw o-site $m$ odelin term $s$ of a $m$ acroscopic spin has been perform ed in Sec. 4. Such a picture o ens the possibility to approxim ate the energy spectrum in an independent $w$ ay for levels close to the $m$ inim um and $m$ axim um values. The approxim ation well ts the exact energy values for $>1$ and show $s$ im plicitly how the exhaustive investigation of the spectrum unavoidably requires the spin picture. This paves the way for the construction of the dynam ical algebra which is the base for the exact diagonalization of the $m$ odel.

The realization of $s u_{N}(2) w$ thin the larger algebra $s u_{Q}(\mathbb{N}+1)$ in term $s$ of a singleboson representation $(Q=1)$ has been developed in Sec. 5 and supplies the spin operators in the form ( $\overline{3} \overline{4} \overline{4})$. It provides the algebraic fram ew ork where the nonlinearity of $J_{3}^{2}$ is elim inated and the H am iltonian becom es a linear supenposition (see $\left(\overline{3} \bar{S}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ ) of $\operatorname{su}_{1}(\mathbb{N}+1)$ generators. T he procedure is easily generalized to $m$ anysite lattice [1] $\left.{ }_{[1]}^{6}\right]$. T he set-up developed leads to reform ulate the tw o-site m odel as a $(\mathbb{N}+1)$-lattioe $m$ odel described by the quadratic $H$ am ittonian $\left(\overline{3} \overline{J_{1}}\right)$ and a population constituted by a single particle. The spin nonlinear dynam ics has been nally reconducted to a classical problem w ith the quadratic $H$ am iltonian (3'd) depending on the canonical variables j (these are the com ponents of the wavefunction solving the Schrodinger equation) and $j$. The proper m odes of ( $3 \bar{d}$ ) coincides $w$ th the eigenvalues E of H that are grouped in two sectors E $>\mathrm{TN}$ and E $<\mathrm{TN}$ if $0 \ll 1$. The rst sector contains the doublets.

The basic result ilhustrated in Sec. 5 is that the levels of each doublet are associated to a sym $m$ etric state and an antisym $m$ etric state exhibiting alm ost coincident secular equations. T heir di erence, that tums out to be concentrated in one (or two) of the equations form ing the hierarchy of equations for the eigenstate com ponents (see Eqs. $(\overline{4} \overline{3})$ and $[\overline{4} \overline{\bar{q}})-\left(\overline{4} \bar{T}_{1}\right)$ ), has been represented via a param eter which joins analytically the sym $m$ etric and antisym $m$ etric eigenvalues. $T$ his explains the origin of the doublet structure through a bifurcation $m$ echanism which represents the $m$ ain result of the present paper. In Sec. 6 the two site $m$ odel ground-state has been intenpreted for $\quad 1=2$ as a state $w$ th a super uid (insulator) behavior for an odd (even) total particle num ber $N$ thus show ing how the gem of the lobe-like structure of the zero tem perature phase diagram is already present in a two-site lattioe. The $m$ ethod and the analysis developed in the present work can be extended to lattices $w$ ith a number of sites greater than two. The bifurcation mechanism explained above is expected to provide the base for studying the chaotic character of the trim er case. W ork on the three-site case is in progress along these lines [1] $\overline{1}]$.
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