# The approach to steady state in m icroem ulsions under shear ow

Domenico Suppa

Theoretical Physics, University of Oxford, 1 Keble Road, OX1 3NP, U.K.

#### A bstract

We present an analitical study of the dynam ical process of the approach to steady state for a driven di usive system represented by the microemulsion phase of a temary mixture. The external applied eld is given by a \plane C ouette" shear ow and the problem is studied within the fram ework of a time-dependent G inzburg-Landau model. A Fokker-P lanck equation for the probability distribution of the concentration uctuations is developed in a self-consistent one-loop approximation and solved exactly, giving an analytical expression for the dynam ic correlation functions of the system . For comparison to experimental work, we also show grey-scale plots of the scattering function at di erent times during the dynam ical process for two di erent shear rates.

Keywords: Non-equilibrium Statistical Mechanics, Complex Fluids PACS: 6120Gy, 82.70.-y, 83.50Ax

#### 1 Introduction

Temary m ixtures made up of oil, water and surfactant agent are of considerable interest for both fundam ental issues as well as for applications [1{3]. In these systems, three-phase coexistence is commonly observed between a waterrich phase, an oil-rich phase and a microemulsion. The latter is a mesoscopic structured phase where coherent domains of oil and water on scales between 100 and 1000 A form disordered isotropic intertwined structures on larger scales [4]. A very similar mesoscopic structure is observed in sponge phases in acqueous amphiphilic solutions [5,6] and in hom opolymer blends containing small amounts of diblock copolymers which act as a surfactant [7{11].

Em ailaddress: suppa@thphys.ox.ac.uk (Dom enico Suppa).

After the earlier work by Gompper and Shick [12] in which they demonstrate that the failure of the microemulsion to wet the oil-water interface is a consequence of the microemulsion internal structure, various theoretical advances on similar ternary systems have been made [13{16]; in particular Kielhom and Muthukum ar [16] have investigated the weak segregation behaviour of a ternary mixture of A hom opolymer, B hom opolymer and AB diblock copolymer, showing several features which are identical to those seen in traditional oil/water/surfactant systems. These amphiphilic systems are now receiving renewed attention, since several light and neutron scattering experiments revealed the hallmarks of glassy dynamics, in the sense of large relaxation times, on their mesoscopic structures  $[17\{22]$ . Other ways of establishing non-equilibrium states with slow dynamics are also possible. For instance, microemulsions can be driven to a slow out of equilibrium stationary regine by a weak shear. This perturbation accelerates the dynamics in such a way that the structural relaxation time decreases with increasing shear strength (a phenom enon usually known as shear-thinning). The shear ow can therefore be used as a probe of the nonequilibrium properties of these system s by adopting the shear rate as a control parameter [23]. Several scattering experiments have shown the very complex and diverse elects of shear ow on these system s after the steady-state has been reached [24[32]: nonlinear e ects due to the ow give rise to strongly an isotropic suppression of the composition uctuations; the phase diagram is a ected by the ow which can induce order-disorder transitions; the rheological behaviour is highly non-New tonian with signi cant intriguing shear-thinning and shear-thickening behaviour. In the following, we shall not useful to refer to the concentration uctuation (equal time) correlation function, calling it \structure factor", although such a name usually indicates the density uctuation.

Theoreticale orts to understand these complex problem shave also been m ade intensively. A mean eld theory able to describe the scattering function of a diblock copolymer in the presence of a steady hom ogeneous ow eld was developed by Fredrickson [33] as a generalization of the equilibrium theory of Leibler  $[\beta 4]$ . The theory predicts the wave vector dependence of the scattering intensity and the distribution function of composition uctuations in the disordered phase. The concept of an increase of the order-disorder transition tem perature due to the shear was st studied for sm all shear rates by Cates and M ilner [35] who applied a self-consistent Hartree approximation to the nonequilibrated system and solved for the shear e ect on this Hartree term . A m ore general self-consistent approach, not limited to small shear rates and with predictions which are in good agreem ent with experim ental results [36[38], was developed by H uang and M uthukum ar [39] who studied num erically the e ect of shear rate on order-disorder and order-order transitions involving lam ellar and cylindricalm orphologies as well as the dependence of steady-state scattering function on shear. A one-loop self consistent G inzburg-Landau m odel for describing the steady-state rheological behavior of oil/water/surfactant m ixtures under a plane C ouette shear ow was considered by P atzold and D aw son [40] who gave a num erical evaluation of the structure factor together with an analytical analysis in the limit of vanishing shear rate \_. Their m odel was exactly solved in [41] for any value of \_ show ing that in a speci c shear range the structure factor is characterized by four pronounced peaks in the plane of the shear and ow directions. This phenom enon, which was already predicted by D rolet et al. in a slightly di erent m odel [42] and also reported in scattering experiments on segregating m ixtures [30,25], was interpreted in term s of a com plex spatial pattern where interfaces with two di erent orientations coexist, with relative abundance tuned by \_.O n the other hand, the relaxation dynam ics of m icroem ulsions towards a steady state, which can be extrem ely slow, is also very intriguing: in fact, the absence of tim e translation invariance gives rise to a com plex behaviour where di erent m odes relax on di erent tim e scales and di erently com pete with the hydrodynam ics tim e scales.

A system atic study of the dynam ical behaviour of microem ulsions and sponge phases in thermal equilibrium and in the presence of hydrodynamic modes has been developed by Hennes and Gom pper in [43]: they use a eld-theoretic perturbation theory which makes use of \response elds " $[44{46}]$  and whose key ingredient is a self-energy matrix having a block structure identical to the Gaussian correlation and response matrix. W ithin that fram ework, they were able to calculate the frequency-dependent (com plex) viscosity, the sound velocity and damping in a one-loop approximation. However, the macroscopic relaxation of the system and the role of the di erent time scales that are involved are most clearly shown by the evolution of the scattering intensity and, in particular, its asymptotic behaviour for short and large times. This was calculated in [43] by using the rst Born approximation for evaluating the correlation m atrix and, as it was already pointed out by those authors, it is not clear to what extent such behaviour relies on the adopted approxim ation. As a result, a self-consistent calculation of the dynam ic behaviour of m icroem ulsions and sponge phases is expected to clarify this in portant point.

O f concern in this paper is the m icroem ulsion phase only and its slow relaxation dynam ics towards the steady state, associated with composition uctuations under a plane C ouette shear ow .W e will focus on the time-dependent static structure factor (i.e. the average of the concentration uctuation at equal time, whose time dependence comes from the transition from equilibrium to steady state), and perform a self-consistent one-loop calculation within the m odel previously adopted in [41], which has the signi cant feature that results can be form ulated in terms of the physical correlation lengths, thereby m aking direct comparisons to experiment possible. The aim of the present work is to contribute in m aking a contact between the m esoscopic structural characteristic of m icroem ulsions and the general m acroscopic dynam ic features of soft m aterials. The plan of this paper is as follows. In Sec. 2 we present the adopted G inzburg-Landau m odel for the m icroem ulsion and derive the Fokker-P lanck equation for the probability distribution of composition uctuations. In Sec. 3 we obtain its dynamic solution which gives an analytical expression for the time-dependent static structure factor. We discuss the scattering function convergence towards its steady-state value and show its morphologies during the relaxation process. In Sec. 4 we draw our conclusions.

### 2 Ginzburg-Landau M odel

W e shall consider a binary uid of oil and water at 50:50 concentration, with the addition of non-ionic surfactant agent, in them alequilibrium at a tem perature  $T > T_c$ . In order to describe the temary mixture, we adopt a G inzburg-Landau model with a single scalar order parameter ' representing the local concentration di erence between oil and water components. The following coarse-grained G inzburg-Landau free-energy, rstly proposed by G om pper and Schick [3], has been used extensively for investigations of the static structure and phase behavior of these systems [47,3,12]:

$$F['] = {}^{Z} d^{3}x f(') + \frac{1}{2}g(') j\tilde{r}' j^{2} + \frac{c}{2}(r^{2}')^{2}$$
(1)

where f (') is a polynom ial function of ' which has three m inim a ' $_1 < '_2 < '_3$ , with ' $_2 = 0$ , corresponding to the three hom ogeneous bulk phases, oilrich, m icroem ulsion and water-rich respectively. M oreover g(') =  $g_0 + g_2'^2$ , with  $g_0 < 0$  and  $g_2 > 0$ , in order to grasp the experimental evidence that the peak in the structure factor in the oil and water phases is at zero wavevector (so that g(') must be su ciently positive there), while the peak is at nonzero wavevector in the m icroem ulsion (so that g(') m ust be negative there). The last term, with a coe cient c > 0, m akes stable the free-energy at large m om enta and weights the curvature of the interfaces where surfactant gathers. A s we are concerned with the m icroem ulsion phase, i.e. the disordered but structured region of the phase diagram where a > 0 and a stability condition  $4ac - g_0^2 > 0$  is fullled, we will use a piecew ise form of f('):

$$f(') = \frac{a}{2}'^{2} + \frac{b}{4!}'^{4}$$
(2)

as proposed by Patzold and Dawson [40], where the quartic term has been included in order to capture the uctuation e ects in the critical region. A l-though local concentration of surfactant does not appear in the free-energy, its average value is taken into account through the coe cients  $g_0$  and c: in particular the negative value of  $g_0$ , known as the surface tension parameter, allows us to model a characteristic feature of the microemulsion phase, i.e.

the tendency of surfactant to create spontaneously interfaces. More re-ned models should include an additional order parameter to describe the density of surfactant (for a review about this point see [3]). In the following we will be concerned with the response of the system to a plane Couette shear ow where the average velocity eld is expressed as

$$\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}) = \underline{\gamma} \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{x}} \tag{3}$$

where \_ is the shear rate and has the dimensions of a frequency. The reference fram e adopted is such that the x-axis is along the ux, the y-axis is along the velocity gradient and the z-axis is along the vorticity direction.  $e_x$  appearing in Eq. (3) is the unit vector in the ux direction. We will only consider shear ows such that the molecular relaxation times are less than the inverse of the shear rate \_, i.e. the D eborah num ber D = - < < 1.M oreover, we will assume that, due to the highly viscous nature of the mixture, the uctuations of the velocity eld are small and that their coupling with the uctuations of the order parameter is negligible [33,48]. Under these assumptions it is legitim ate to apply the stochastic model given by 0 nuki and K aw asaki [49] and study in the momentum space the composition uctuations by the following Langevinlike equation

$$\frac{\theta}{\theta t}'(\tilde{k};t) = -k_{x} \frac{\theta}{\theta k_{y}}'(\tilde{k};t) \qquad k^{2} \frac{F}{\prime(\tilde{k};t)} + \tilde{k};t) \qquad (4)$$

where the O nsager coe cient is assumed to be a constant at a tem perature T su ciently higher than T  $_{\rm c}$  (this assum tion cannot be extended to hom opolymer mixtures where a k-dependent O nsager coe cient must be used [50]) and

F = ' is the usual therm odynam ic force. is a stocastic white noise describing therm all uctuations into the system : it is G aussian distributed with m ean zero and variance constructed to satisfy a (generalized) uctuation-dissipation theorem [51]:

$$h (k;t)i = 0$$
(5)

h 
$$\tilde{k}$$
;t)  $\tilde{k}^{0}$ ;t)  $i=2$   $k^{2}$   $\tilde{k}+\tilde{k}^{0}$  (t t) (6)

As usual, it is assumed that the uctuating force is uncorrelated in time, which implies that one considers times much larger than the characteristic times during which internal modes equilibrate. Thereby, the stochastic process becomes G aussian and M arkovian [52]. In order to linearize the problem, we further assume that it is legitimate to approximate the term  $F['] = '(\tilde{k};t)$ as  $(k)'(\tilde{k};t)$ , where  ${}^{1}(k)$  can be regarded as a static susceptibility that depends on the correlation functions evaluated in the steady state. A selfconsistent one-loop approximation gives

$$(k) = a^{R} + g^{R}k^{2} + ck^{4}$$
(7)

with 
$$a^{R} = a + b = 2 S_{0}^{1} + g_{2} S_{2}^{1}$$
,  $g^{R} = g_{0} + g_{2} S_{0}^{1}$ , and  
 $S_{n}^{1} = \lim_{t \ge 1} \frac{Z}{(2 - )^{3}} j \tilde{k} j^{n} C(\tilde{k}; t)$ 
(8)

In Eq. (8), C  $(\tilde{k};t) = h' (\tilde{k};t)'$  (  $\tilde{k};t$ ) is the time-dependent static structure factor and is a cuto proportional to the inverse of the length of the surfactant molecule. It is worth to note that, apart from the presence of renorm alized <sup>1</sup> (k) preserves the same functional expression of the equilibrium coe cients, scattering function rstly deduced by Teubner and Strey in their sem inal paper [47]. Such a form yields for a > 0,  $q_0 < 0$  and c > 0 a single broad scattering peak at a non-zero wavevector, and a k  $^4$  decay at large k which are properties experim entally observed for a variety of m icroem ulsions containing comparable amounts of water and oil [53{56]. The present Hartree approxim ation has been used by Huang and Muthukum ar (see [39] and references therein) for studying the steady-state structure factor in block copolymers. In the nonequilibrium problem in which we are interested in this paper, it will be used to study the dynamical process of the approach to the steady state. It represents the main simplication in our model and it is deemed to be adequate only close to the steady state (see also [57] and references therein, where a sim ilar argum ent was used to study the approach to the steady state of staggered non-equilibrium particle system s and Ising chains). Therefore, the linearized Langevin equation for the compositions uctuations is written as

$$\frac{\theta}{\theta t}'(\mathbf{k};t) = -\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{\theta}{\theta \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{y}}}'(\mathbf{k};t) \qquad \mathbf{k}^{2} \quad (\mathbf{k})'(\mathbf{k};t) + \quad (\mathbf{k};t)$$
(9)

The set of Eqs. (5)-(9) is equivalent to the following Fokker-P lanck equation for the probability distribution of the '((k), P('((k));t) [58]:

$$\frac{\theta}{\theta t} P('(\tilde{k});t) = \frac{Z}{(2)^{3}} \frac{d\tilde{k}}{f'(2)^{3}} f \frac{d\tilde{k}}{f'(\tilde{k})} \left[ -k_{x} \frac{\theta'(\tilde{k})}{\theta k_{y}} + j\tilde{k} \frac{2}{f} (k)'(\tilde{k}) + \frac{1}{f'(\tilde{k})} \right] g P('(\tilde{k});t)$$
(10)

Eq. (10) completely de nes the stochastic relaxation process in which we are interested and represents the starting point for the analysis of the time-dependent static structure factor that will be presented in the next session.

## 3 Results and Discussion

Eq. (9) states a linear dependence of ' (K;t) from the noise [K;t): as the noise is G aussian distributed, then the probability distribution P [' (K;t)] must be G aussian at each time. In fact the steady solution of Eq. (10), which represents the probability distribution for the eld ' in the steady state, is given by

$$P_{0}('_{\tilde{k}}) = \frac{1}{\det C_{0}(\tilde{k})}^{!} \exp^{4} \frac{1}{2}^{Z} \frac{d\tilde{k}}{(2)^{3}} \frac{'(\tilde{k})'(\tilde{k})}{C_{0}(\tilde{k})}^{3}$$
(11)

where  $C_0$  stays for the steady-state structure factor and has the following expression [41]

$$C_{0}(k) = \int_{0}^{2^{2}} dt e^{-R_{t} (k())d} jk(t) j$$
(12)

where

$$\Re$$
 (t) =  $k_x ; k_y + \frac{-k_x t}{2} ; k_z$  (13)

and

$$! (k) = k^2 (k)$$
 (14)

is the therm aldecaying rate, i.e. the rate at which order parameter uctuations having a wavelength = 2 = k relax at them all equilibrium (in the absence of ow) [59,49]. Eqs. (7), (8) and (12) form a self-consistent relationship which accounts for the uctuations under shear. By consideration of Eq. (12) it is apparent that for > > ! (k) the composition uctuations will be strongly distorted by the ow, i.e. the ux forces the  $C_0(k)$  to be highly anisotropic. The integral in Eq. (12) is clearly positive, as it should be for a variance, and it is possible to verify that the solution (12) is unique: in fact every other solution di erent from that does not recover the 0 mstein-Zemike form in the lim it \_! 0 [49]. It is worth to note that  $C_0$  ( $\tilde{K}$ ) does not depend on the initial conditions. It will be shown in the following that the steady-state structure factor can be obtained from the time-dependent static one through a limit calculation (t! 1) after which the correlation loses the memory of its initial value. Because of the M arkovian character of the stochastic process and the translational invariance (see [49]), we make the following ansatz for the timedependent probability distribution of the eld ' during the dynam ical process

of the approach to steady state, that will be com pletely proved a posteriori:

$$P('(\tilde{k});t) = G(t) \exp^{4} \frac{1}{2}^{Z} \frac{d\tilde{k}}{(2)^{3}} \frac{'(\tilde{k})'(\tilde{k})}{C(\tilde{k};t)}^{3}$$
(15)

where G (t) =  $\stackrel{h}{1=}$  det C (K;t) is a norm alization factor, and C (K;t) is the time-dependent static structure factor, which is given by the time-dependent solution of the following equation

$$\frac{\partial C(\tilde{K};t)}{\partial t} = -k_x \frac{\partial C(\tilde{K};t)}{\partial k_y} \qquad 2! (k) C(\tilde{K};t) + 2k^2$$
(16)

Eq. (16) can be obtained form ally by taking the time derivative of C (K;t) = h' (K;t)' (K;t)i and using the linearized Langevin Eq. (9), provided a regularization procedure is used for dealing with the di culties coming from the fact that time di erentiation and averaging do not commute. Such a regularization entails de ning h' (K;t) (K;t)i =  $\lim_{t^0! t} h'$  (K;t) (K;t<sup>0</sup>)i (t t<sup>0</sup>), where the presence of the step function (t t<sup>0</sup>) rejects the causality of the Langevin equation, and then setting this equal to  $\frac{1}{2}h$  (K;t) (K;t)i [60]. The rst and second term in the R H S. of Eq. (16) are the convection term and the di usion term respectively, while the third term is the uctuation source. W hen the shear rate is large enough, the hydrodynam ic force strongly interferes with the therm aldecaying process and a nonlinear e ect of suppression of the composition uctuations takes place. We shall begin by solving the hom ogeneous problem :

$$\frac{\partial C(\tilde{K};t)}{\partial t} = k_{x} \frac{\partial C(\tilde{K};t)}{\partial k_{y}} = 2! (k) C(\tilde{K};t)$$
(17)

$$C(\tilde{k}; 0) = C_{in it}(k^2)$$
 (18)

where  $C_{\text{init}}(k^2) = 1 = (a + g_0 k^2 + c k^4)$  is the well known isotropic scattering function of the m icroem ulsion at initial time, in the absence of ow [47,3], and its projection on each Cartesian plane is that of a circular volcano with radius proportional to the inverse correlation length of the system, as it is shown in Fig. (1).

The solution of the hom ogeneous problem is found by using the method of characteristics and it has the following form :

$$C_{hom} (k;t) = C_{init} (k^{2} (t)) \exp^{4} (t t^{0}) dt^{05}$$

$$(19)$$



Fig.1. The structure factor at initial time.

where ! (k) has been de ned in Eq. (14). The full solution of Eq. (16) with the initial condition given in Eq. (18) is

$$C (k;t) = C_{hom} (k;t) + \int_{0}^{Z^{t}} jk(m) je^{R_{m}} (k(j)) dm$$
(20)

Taking the lim it ! 1 , it is straightforward to verify that C (k;t) ! C<sub>0</sub>(k), i.e. the time-dependent eld distribution P ('(k);t) converges (in a weak sense) at in nite time towards the steady-state distribution P<sub>0</sub>('(k)): this justi es a posteriori the previous ansatz (see Eq. (15)). Eq. (20) is the principal result of this paper: it represents an analytical expression for the timedependent static structure factor of m icroem ulsions in the presence of a plane C ouette shear ow .W e shall now consider the behaviour of the structure factor for short times. In this lim it we have

$$C (k;t) = C_{hom} (k;0) + k^{2} \stackrel{h}{1} C_{init} (k^{2}(t)) (k) \stackrel{i}{t} + \frac{dC_{init} (k^{2})}{dk^{2}} - k_{x} k_{y} t + O (t^{2})$$
(21)

i.e. the scattering intensity grows linearly in t. A linear dependence on time t has been originally predicted by Hennes and G ompper in [43] within a di erent model. Nevertheless, the present self-consistent calculation di ers from the former one in two in portant respects: rstly, the expression given in Eq. (21) shows a dependence on mode-coupling terms through (k) that does not appear in [43]: their presence is likely to be important in predicting the correct approach to the steady state. Secondly, the third term on the R H S. of Eq. (21) explicitly breaks the isotropy of the short time behaviour of the scattering function, unless  $k_x k_y = 0$ . The signi cance of this anisotropic term is apparent in the following analysis and is amenable to experimental test. The predictions of our model are now investigated on the strongly structured m icroem ulsion whose steady-state characteristic features have already been studied in [41] (to which we also refer for details on the speci c values adopted for the system parameters). The role of the shear rate \_ as a control parameter for probing the nonequilibrium properties of microemulsions has been already discussed in the Introduction. Therefore, we will now use two distinct values of the shear rate (\_ = 2 and \_ = 8) which, even if both belonging to the region in which shear-thinning behaviour is observed, still produce very di erent mesoscopic structures into the system. The characteristic morphologies of the time-dependent static structure factor projections on both the planes  $k_z = 0$  and  $k_y = 0$ , are shown in Fig. (2) (for \_ = 2) and in Fig. (3) (for \_ = 8) at four di erent times. The rst time (t = 100) is chosen to



Fig. 2. Projections of the time-dependent static structure factor on the planes  $k_z = 0$  (left column) and  $k_y = 0$  (right column) at di erent times t= 100, 300, 500, 1000 (from top to bottom). The value of the shear rate is \_= 2.

be su ciently small to observe the early deform ations of the initial isotropic volcano shape, while the last one (t = 1000) is such that the steady-state morphologies previously found in [41] are recovered. The e ect of the ow on the shape of the structure factor is to stretch it along a line oriented at 135° to the  $k_x$ -axis and rotate it towards the  $k_y$ -axis ( $k_z$ -axis) in the  $k_z = 0$  plane ( $k_y = 0$  plane). At any xed time during the relaxation dynam ics, the degree to which the scattering function is stretched and rotated depends on the value of \_ and grow s with it. It is worth to stress that the short-time morphologies in the  $k_y = 0$  plane are less anisotropic than those in the  $k_z = 0$  plane as a result of the absence of the term / \_ k\_x k\_y t in Eq. (21) when  $k_y = 0$ : the predicted di erent degree of isotropy should be observable in scattering experiments and its possible con mation would represent an important test of the present theory. Finally, the steady-state morphology of the structure factor strongly depends on \_: in the case \_ = 2 the predicted four peaks structure



Fig. 3. Projections of the time-dependent static structure factor on the planes  $k_z = 0$  (left column) and  $k_y = 0$  (right column) at di erent times t= 100, 300, 500, 1000 (from top to bottom). The value of the shear rate is \_= 8.

can be related to a biphasic region with di erent allignment while above a certain shear rate the microemulsion is predicted to transform into a lamellar phase oriented along the ow, as it is observed for  $\_$  = 8.

## 4 Conclusions

In the present paper we have studied the response ofm icroem ulsion to the sudden application of a steady plane C ouette shear ow .A nalytical expressions for the dynam ic scattering function and the distribution function of com position uctuations have been developed and their convergence to steady-state values proven. The prediction of the model have been investigated on a structured m icroem ulsion whose time-dependent static structure factor has been evaluated and explicitly shown at di erent times during the relaxation dynam ics towards the steady state. The present results can be tested in neutron or X – ray scattering experiments through which vital inform ations can be gained to further developing the analysis of the dynam ical process.

#### 5 A cknow ledgm ents

The author is grateful to R. Stinchcom be and L. Porcar for very helpful discussions and encouraging remarks. He also has a great pleasure in acknow ledging the referee for making explicit the correct sense in which the name \structure factor" has been used through the paper.

### References

- [1] J. Meunier, D. Langevin and N. Boccara, Physics of Amphiphilic Layers (Springer, Berlin, 1987).
- [2] M icelles, M em branes, M icroem ulsions, and M onolayers, edited by W . G elbart, D. Roux and A. Ben Shaul (Springer, Berlin, 1995).
- [3] G.Gompper and M.Schick in Phase Transition and Critical Phenomena, edited by C D om b and J Lebow itz (A cademic, London, 1994), Vol. 16, pp.1–176.
- [4] P.G. de Gennes and C. Taupin, J. Phys. Chem. 86, 2294 (1982).
- [5] G.Porte, JP hys. C ond M att. 4, 8649 (1992).
- [6] D.Roux, C.Coulon and M.Cates, JPhysChem. 96, 4174 (1992).
- [7] K.Binder, Adv.in Polym Sci. 112, 181-299 (1994).
- [8] M.Kosinas, J.Chem Phys. 104, 405 (1995).
- [9] D.G. Bucknall, J.S. Higgins and J. Penfold, Physica B 180, 468 (1992).
- [10] M . W agner and B A . W olf, Polym er 34, 1460 (1993).
- [11] J.L.A dam s, D. J.Quiram, W. W. Graessley, R.A.Register and G.R.Marchand, Macrom olecules 31, 201 (1998).
- [12] G.Gom pper and M.Schick, PhysRevLett. 65, 1116 (1990).
- [13] G.Gompper and M.Schick, PhysRevE 49, 1478 (1994).
- [14] B.W idom, Phys. Chem. 100, 242 (1996).
- [15] P.K. Janert and M. Schick, M acrom olecules 1, 137 (1997).
- [16] L.Kielhorn and M.Muthukum ar, JChem Phys107, 5588 (1997).
- [17] D. Choy and S. Chen, PhysRevE 61, 4148 (2000).
- [18] H. Gang, A.H. Krall, H.Z. Cummins and D.A. Weitz, PhysRevE 59, 715 (1999).
- [19] E.Y. Sheu, S.H. Chen, J.S. Huang and J.C. Sung, PhysRev A 39, 5867 (1989).

- [20] P. Sollich, F. Lequeux, P. Hebraud and M E. Cates, PhysRev Lett. 78, 2020 (1997); P. Sollich, PhysRev E 58, 738 (1998).
- [21] L.C.E. Struik, Physical aging in amorphous polymers and other materials (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1978).
- [22] J.-Ph. Bouchaud, L.F. Cugliandolo, J. Kurchan and M. Mezard, Spin glasses and random elds, Ed.: A P. Young (World Scientic, Singapore, 1998).
- [23] J.-L. Barrat and L. Berthier, PhysRev E 63, 012503 (2001).
- [24] R.G. Larson, RheolActa 31, 497 (1992); The Structure and Rheology of Complex Fluids (Oxford University Press, 1999).
- [25] X L.W u, D J. P ine and P K. D ixon, PhysRev Lett. 66, 2408 (1991).
- [26] D. Roux, F. Nallet and O. Diat, EurophysLett. 24, 53 (1993).
- [27] F. Boue and P. Lindner, EurophysLett. 25, 421 (1994).
- [28] J. Yam am oto and H. Tanaka, PhysRevLett. 74, 932 (1995).
- [29] S.-E. Phan, Z. Cheng, J. Zhu, P.M. Chaikin, J.H. Dunsmuir, R.H. Ottewill and
   W. B. Russel, Phys. Rev. E 54, 6633 (1996).
- [30] K. Migler, C. Liu and D. J. Pine, Macrom olecules 29, 1422 (1996).
- [31] U. Batra, M. Pitsikalis, S. Sioula, JW. Mays, J.S. Huang and W. B. Russel, M acrom olecules 30, 6120 (1997).
- [32] A. Leon, D. Bonn, J. Meunier, A. Al-Kahwaji, O. Greer and H. Kellay, PhysRevLett. 84, 1335 (2000).
- [33] G.H. Fredrickson, J.Chem Phys. 85, 3556 (1986).
- [34] L. Leibner, M acrom olecules 13, 1602 (1980).
- [35] M E. Cates and S.T. M iher, PhysRev Lett. 62, 1856 (1989).
- [36] K A.Koppi, M.Timel, F.S.Bates, K.A h daland R H.Colby, JPhys.(France) 2, 1941 (1992); K A.Koppi, M.Timell and F.S.Bates, PhysRev.Lett. 70, 1449 (1993).
- [37] N P. Balsara, B. Hammouda, PK. Kesani, S.V. Jonnalagadda and G.C. Straty, Macromolecules 27, 2566 (1994); N.P. Balsara and B. Hammouda, PhysRevLett. 72, 360 (1994).
- [38] A.I. Nakatani, F.A. Morrison, F.A. Douglas, J.W. Mays, C.L. Jackson, M.M. uthukum ar and C.C. Han, J.Chem Phys. 104, 1589 (1996).
- [39] Chien Yueh Huang and M. Muthukum ar, J.Chem Phys. 107, 5561 (1997).
- [40] G. Patzold and K. Dawson, Phys. Rev. E 54, 1669 (1996).
- [41] F. Corberi, G. Gonnella and D. Suppa, PhysRev E 63, 040501 (2000).

- [42] F.D rolet, P.Chen and J.V inals, M acrom olecules 25, 8603 (1999).
- [43] M. Hennes and G. Gom pper, PhysRevE 54, 3811 (1996).
- [44] P.C. Martin, E.D. Siggia and H.A. Rose, PhysRev A 8, 423 (1973).
- [45] R. Baush, H.K. Janssen and H. Wagner, Z. Phys. B 24, 113 (1976).
- [46] C.DeDominicis and L.Peliti, PhysRev B 18, 353 (1978).
- [47] M. Teubner and R. Strey, J. Chem. Phys. 87, 3195 (1987).
- [48] G H. Fredrickson and F.S. Bates, J.Chem Phys. 85, 633 (1986).
- [49] A.O nukiand K.K awasaki, SupplP rog.T heorPhys. 64, 436 (1978); Ann Phys. 121, 456 (1979); PhysLett. 72A, 233 (1979).
- [50] K Binder, J.Chem Phys. 79, 6387 (1983).
- [51] R.Kubo, The Fluctuation D issipation Theorem, Rep Prog Phys. 29 Part I, 255 (1966).
- [52] R.Kubo, M. Toda and N.Hashitsum e, Statistical Physics II, edited by P.Fulde (Springer, Berlin, 1991).
- [53] JH.Schulman, R.Matalon and M.Cohen, Discuss Faraday Soc. 11, 117 (1951)
- [54] D.J. Cebula, R.M. Ottewill, J. Ralston and P.N. Pusey, J.Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. 1 77, 2585 (1981)
- [55] L.Auvray, JP.Cotton, R.Ober and C.Taupin, JPhysChem 88, 4586 (1984); JPhys. 45, 913 (1984).
- [56] F. Lichterfeld, T. Schmeling and R. Strey, J.P. hys. Chem. 90, 5762 (1986), and references therein.
- [57] R B. Stinchcom be, JE. Santos and M D. Grynberg, JP hys A 31, 541 (1998).
- [58] G. Parisi, Statistical Field Theory, Ed.: A dvanced Book Classic, Perseus (1998).
- [59] G.H. Fredrickson, J.Chem Phys. 85, 5306 (1986).
- [60] J.Zinn-Justin, Quantum Field Theory and CriticalPhenomena, Ed.: Clarendon Press (Oxford, 1989); IM. Gel'fand and GE. Shilov, Generalized Functions, Ed.: A cadem ic Press (New York and London, 1964).