## Large-N $\beta$ -function for superconducting films in a magnetic field

A.P.C.  $Malbouisson^{(a)}$ 

(a) CBPF/MCT - Rua Dr. Xavier Sigaud 150, Urca, Rio de Janeiro CEP 22290-180-RJ, Brazil. E-mail: adolfo@lafex.cbpf.br

Abstract By considering the large-N Ginzburg-Landau model, compactified in one of the spatial dimensions, we determine the beta-function and find an infrared stable fixed point for a superconducting film in presence of an external magnetic field, for dimensions 4 < D < 6. We find that this fixed point is independent of the film thickness (L). For  $D \leq 4$ , severe infrared divergences appearing under the form of divergence of the integral in the quantity a(D) defined after Eq.(14), forbid an analogous analysis. For the physical dimension D = 3 we conclude that if a transition exists, it should not be a second order one.

PACS number(s): 74.20.-z, 05.10Cc, 11.25.Hf

PACS number(s): 74.20.-z, 05.10Cc, 11.25.Hf

It is usually assumed that it is a good approximation to neglect magnetic thermal fluctuations in the Ginzburg-Landau (GL) model, when applied to study high temperature superconductors. This is due to the fact that these materials have a very large Ginzburg parameter, typically  $\kappa \sim 100$ . This problem has been investigated by a number of authors, both in its single component and in its N- component versions. A large account on the state of the subject and related topics can be found for instance in refs. [1-7] and other references therein. In particular in ref. [6] a large-N theory of a second order transition for arbitrary dimension D is presented and the fixed point effective free energy describing the transition is found. This author claims that it is possible that in the physical situation of N = 1, a mechanism of reduction of the lower critical domension could allow a continuous transition in D = 3. Here we investigate a confined version of the model studied in ref. [6]. We investigate the large-N limit of the Ginzburg-Landau model, the system being confined between two parallel planes a distance Lapart from one another. Studies on confined field theory have been done in the literature since a long time ago. In particular, an analysis of the renormalization group in finite size geometries can be found in ref. [8]. This study is performed using a modified Matsubara formalism to take into account boundary effects on scaling laws.

In this note we use an extended compactification formalism in the framework of the effective potential, introduced in a recent publication [9], where the Euclidean massive  $(\lambda \varphi^4)_D$  vector *N*-component model has been considered, and a study of the system subject to confinement on a *d*-dimensional subspace,  $d \leq D$ , has been performed. This allowed to generalize to a *d*dimensional subspace, previous results in the effective potential framework for finite temperature and spacial boundaries. In particular it has been shown how a compactification mechanism applies to the study of spatially confined systems, for finite or zero-temperature field theories. This mechanism generalizes and unifies results from a recent work on the behaviour of field theories in presence of spatial planar boundaries [10,11], and previous results in the literature for finite temperature field theory, as for instance in [12]. In the present work our aim is to show how the above mentioned formalism can be used to study in a field theoretical framework, effects associated to spacial confinement, for a model that allows a non-perturbative approach and is supposed to have a direct physical interpretation. We consider the vector N-component Ginzburg-Landau model in presence of an external magnetic field at leading order in  $\frac{1}{N}$ , the system being submited to the constraint of being confined between two parallel planes a distance L apart from one another. For  $\kappa \gg 1$  the Hamiltonian density of the GL model in an external magnetic field can be written in the form,

$$\mathcal{H} = |(\nabla - ie\mathbf{A})\phi|^2 + m^2|\phi|^2 + \frac{u}{2}|\phi|^4,$$
(1)

where  $\nabla \times \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{H}$  and  $m^2 = a(T - T_c)$  with a > 0. This model Hamiltonian describes superconductors in the extreme type II limit. In the following we assume that the external magnetic field is parallel to the z axis and that the gauge  $\mathbf{A} = (0, xH, 0)$  has been chosen. We will consider the model (1) with N complex components and take the large N limit at Nu fixed. If we consider the system in unlimited space, the field  $\phi$  should be written in terms of the well known Landau level basis,

$$\phi(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \int \frac{dp_y}{2\pi} \int \frac{d^{D-2}p}{(2\pi)^{D-2}} \hat{\phi}_{l,p_y,\mathbf{p}} \chi_{l,p_y,\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{r}), \quad (2)$$

where  $\chi_{l,p_y,p_z}(\mathbf{r})$  are the Landau level eingenfunctions given by

$$\chi_{n,p_y,p_z}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^l}l!} \left(\frac{\omega}{\pi}\right)^{1/4} e^{i(\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{u}+p_yy)} e^{-\omega(x-p_y/\omega)^2/2} \times H_l\left(\sqrt{\omega}x - \frac{p_y}{\sqrt{\omega}}\right),$$
(3)

with energy eigenvalues  $E_l(|\mathbf{p}|) = |\mathbf{p}|^2 + (2l+1)\omega + m^2$ and where  $H_l$  are the Hermite polynomials. In the above equations  $\mathbf{p}$  and  $\mathbf{u}$  are (D-2)-dimensional vectors.

Now, let us consider the system confined between two parallel planes, normal to the z-axis, a distance L apart from one another and use Cartesian coordinates  $\mathbf{r} = (z, \mathbf{z})$ , where  $\mathbf{z}$  is a (D-3)-dimensional vector, with corresponding momenta  $\mathbf{k} = (k_z, \mathbf{q})$ ,  $\mathbf{q}$  being a (D-3)-dimensional vector in momenta space. In this case, the model is supposed to describe a superconducting material in the form of a film. Under these conditions the generating functional of the correlation functions is written as,

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int \mathcal{D}\phi^* \mathcal{D}\phi exp\left(-\int_0^L dz \int d^{D-3}\mathbf{z} \,\mathcal{H}(|\phi|, |\nabla\phi|\right),\tag{4}$$

with the field  $\phi(z, \mathbf{z})$  satisfying the condition of confinement along the z-axis,  $\varphi(z = 0, \mathbf{z}) = \varphi(z = L, \mathbf{z})$ . Then the field representation (2) should be modified and have a mixed series-integral Fourier expansion of the form,

$$\phi(z, \mathbf{z}) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_n \int \frac{dp_y}{2\pi} \int d^{D-3} \mathbf{q} \ b(\mathbf{q}) \times e^{-i\omega_n x - i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{z}} \tilde{\varphi}_l(\omega_n, \mathbf{q}),$$
(5)

where  $\omega_n = 2\pi n/L$ , the label *l* refers to the Landau levels, and the coefficients  $c_n$  and  $b(\mathbf{q})$  correspond respectively to the Fourier series representation over *z* and to the Fourier integral representation over the *D*-3-dimensional **z**-space. The above conditions of confinement of the *z*dependence of the field to a segment of length *L*, allow us to proceed with respect to the *z*-coordinate, in a manner analogous as it is done in the imaginary-time Matsubara formalism in field theory. The Feynman rules should be modified following the prescription,

$$\int \frac{dk_z}{2\pi} \to \frac{1}{L} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} , \qquad k_z \to \frac{2n\pi}{L} \equiv \omega_n. \tag{6}$$

We emphasize that here we are considering an Euclidean field theory in D purely spatial dimensions, we are not working in the framework of finite temperature field theory. Temperature is introduced in the mass term of the Hamiltonian by means of the usual Ginzburg-Landau prescription. In the following we consider only the lowest Landau level l = 0. Thus, limiting ourselves to an analysis at the lowest Landau level (LLL), we obtain that the effective  $|\phi|^4$  interaction is given in momentum space and at the critical point by

$$U(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{u}{1 + N u \omega e^{-\frac{1}{2\omega}(p_x^2 + p_y^2)} \Sigma(p)},$$
(7)

where  $\Sigma(p)$ , the single one-loop buble is given by,

$$\Sigma(p) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^1 dx \int \frac{d^{D-3}k}{(2\pi)^{D-3}} \times$$

$$\times \frac{1}{\left[k^2 + \omega_n^2 + p^2 x (1-x)\right]^2}.$$
(8)

The sum over n and the integral over k can be treated using the formalism developed in [9]. The starting point is an expression of the form,

$$U = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \int \frac{d^{D-1}k}{(an^2 + c^2 + \mathbf{k}^2)^s},$$
(9)

which, using a well-known dimensional regularization formula [8], can be written in the form,

$$U = f(D,s)g^{s}Z_{1}^{c^{2}}(s - \frac{D-1}{2};a),$$
(10)

where f(D, s) is a function proportional to  $\Gamma(s - \frac{D}{2})$ and  $Z_1^{c^2}(s - \frac{D}{2}; a)$  is one of the Epstein-Hurwitz zeta -functions defined by,

$$Z_K^{c^2}(u; \{a_i\}) = \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_K = -\infty}^{+\infty} (a_1 n_1^2 + \dots + a_K n_K^2 + c^2)^{-u},$$
(11)

valid for Re(u) > K/2 (in our case Re(s) > D/2). The Epstein-Hurwitz *zeta*-function can be extended to the whole complex *s*-plane and we obtain, after some manipulations [9],

$$U = h(D,s) \left[ 2^{-(\frac{D}{2}-s+2)} \Gamma(s-\frac{D}{2}) (m/\mu)^{D-2s} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\frac{m}{\mu^2 nL})^{\frac{D}{2}-s} K_{\frac{D}{2}-s} (mnL) \right],$$
(12)

where

$$h(d,s) = \frac{2}{2^{D/2-s-1}\pi^{D/2-2s}} \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)}$$
(13)

and  $K_{\nu}$  are the Bessel functions of the third kind. Applying formula (12) to Eq.(8) the result is,

$$\Sigma(p) = (2\pi)^{\frac{10-D}{2}} \left[ 2^{\frac{-D}{2}} a(D) \Gamma\left(\frac{6-D}{2}\right) (p^2)^{\frac{D-6}{2}} + \int_0^1 dx \sum_{n=1}^\infty \left(\frac{\sqrt{p^2 x (1-x)}}{nL}\right)^{\frac{D-6}{2}} \times K_{\frac{D-6}{2}} \left(nL\sqrt{p^2 x (1-x)}\right), \right]$$
(14)

where  $a(D) = \int_0^1 dx [x(1-x)]^{\frac{D-6}{2}}$ .

If an infrared stable fixed point exists, it would be possible to determine it by an study of the infrared behaviour of the beta-function, *i.e.*, in the neighbourhood of  $|\mathbf{p}| = 0$ . Therefore we should investigate the above equations for  $|\mathbf{p}| \approx 0$ . We start from (14), using an asymptotic formula for small values of the argument of Bessel functions,

$$K_{\nu}(z) \approx \frac{1}{2} \Gamma(\nu) \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{-\nu} \quad (z \sim 0; \quad Re(\nu) > 0), \quad (15)$$

which allows after some straightforward manipulations, to write Eq.(14) for  $|\mathbf{p}| \approx 0$  in the form,

$$\Sigma(p) \approx (2\pi)^{\frac{10-D}{2}} \left[ 2^{\frac{D-4}{2}} a(D) \Gamma\left(\frac{6-D}{2}\right) |\mathbf{p}|^{D-6} + L^{6-D} 2^{\frac{D-10}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{D-6}{2}\right) \zeta(D-6) \right],$$
(16)

valid for *odd* dimensions D > 7, due to the poles of the  $\Gamma$ and  $\zeta$  functions. We can obtain an expression for smaller values of D performing an analytic continuation of the Riemann *zeta*-function  $\zeta(D-7)$  by means of the reflexion property of *zeta*-functions,

$$\zeta(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(z/2)} \Gamma(\frac{1-z}{2}) \pi^{z-\frac{1}{2}} \zeta(1-z),$$
(17)

which gives,

$$\zeta(D-6) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{D-6}{2})} \Gamma(\frac{7-D}{2}) \pi^{\frac{2D-15}{2}} \zeta(7-D).$$
(18)

Inserting Eq.(18) in Eq.(16), and remembering the definition of a(D) after Eq.(14), we obtain an expression valid for 4 < D < 6,

$$\Sigma(p) \approx A(D) |\mathbf{p}|^{D-6} + B(D, L), \qquad (19)$$

where,

$$B(D,L) = \pi^{\frac{D-3}{2}} L^{6-D} \Gamma\left(\frac{7-D}{2}\right) \pi^{\frac{2D-13}{2}} \zeta(7-D) \quad (20)$$

and

$$A(D) = (2\pi)^{\frac{10-D}{2}} 2^{\frac{4-D}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{6-D}{2}\right) a(D).$$
(21)

Inserting (20) and (21) in Eq.(7) we have,

$$g(|\mathbf{p}|\approx 0) \approx \frac{u}{1 + Nu\omega \left[A(D)|\mathbf{p}|^{D-6} + B(D,L)\right]}.$$
 (22)

Let us take as a running scale  $|\mathbf{p}|$ , and define the dimensionless coupling

$$g = U_{\sigma}(p_x = 0, p_y = 0, \mathbf{p})\omega |\mathbf{p}|^{D-6},$$
 (23)

where we remember that  $\mathbf{p}$  is a D-3-dimensional vector. Then we obtain straightforwardly the *beta*-function for  $|\mathbf{p}| \approx 0$ ,

$$\beta(g) = |\mathbf{p}| \frac{\partial g}{\partial |\mathbf{p}|} \approx (6 - D) \left[ -g + NA(D)g^2 \right]$$
(24)

from which we get the infrared stable fixed point,

$$g_*(D) = \frac{1}{NA(D)}.$$
 (25)

Previous renormalization group calculations for materials in bulk form in ref. [4] indicated a first order transition for 4 < D < 6. This result has been obtained using an  $\epsilon = 6 - D$  expansion. The same conclusion of a first order transition is obtained in Ref. [1] with a large-N calculation. A large-N analysis and a functional renormalization group study performed in Refs. [5,6,13] leads to an opposite result to that of Ref. [1], concluding for a second order transition in dimensions 4 < D < 6. The same conclusion is obtained in Ref. [7]. The authors in Ref. [5] claim moreover that the inclusion of fluctuations do not alter significantly the main characteristic of the system, that is, the existence of a continuous transition into a spatially homogeneous condensate. Therefore for 4 < D < 6 our result for a film-like material (finite L) is the existence of an infrared stable fixed point, in agreement with those obtained for the material in bulk form  $(L = \infty)$  in Refs. [5–7]. Moreover the fixed point is independent of the film thickness L. This could be interpreted as pointing to a second order transition. However this should be taken as an *indication* not as a demonstration of the existence of a continuous transition. As already discussed in [5,13], even if infrared fixed points exist none of them can be completely attractive, due to the large space of couplings. In this case the existence of an infrared fixed point as found in this paper, does not assure the existence of a second order transition. For D < 4, severe infrared divergences appear under the form of divergence of the integral in the quantity a(D) defined after Eq.(14). We conclude that, for materials under the form of films, as is also the case for materials in bulk form, if there exists a phase transition for  $D \leq 4$ , in particular in D = 3, it should not be a second order one.

This paper has been partially supported by the Brazilian agencies CNPq (Brazilian National Research Council) and FAPERJ (Foundation for the support of research in the state of Rio de Janeiro).

- [1] I. Affleck and E. Brézin, Nucl. Phys. 257, 451 (1985).
- [2] I. D. Lawrie, Phys. Rev. B 50, 9456 (1994).
- [3] I. D. Lawrie, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 131 (1997).
- [4] E. Brézin, D. R. Nelson, and A. Thiaville, Phys. Rev. B 31, 7124 (1985).

- [5] M.A. Moore, T.J. Newman, A.J.Bray, S.-K. Chin, Phys. Rev. B,58, 936 (1998)
- [6] L. Radzihovsky, Phy. Rev. Lett. 74, 4722 (1995); *ibid.* 76, 4451 (1996); I. F. Herbut and Z. Tesanovic, *ibid.* 76, 4450 (1996)
- [7] C. de Calan, A. P. C. Malbouisson, and F. S. Nogueira, Phys. Rev. B, 64, 212502 (2001).
- [8] J. Zinn-Justin, Quantum Field Theory and Critical Phenomena (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1996).
- [9] A. P. C. Malbouisson, J. M. C. Malbouisson, A. E. Santana, Nucl. Phys. B, **631**, 83 (2002)
- [10] A. P. C. Malbouisson and J. M. C. Malbouisson, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 35, 2263 (2002).
- [11] L. Da Rold, C. D. Fosco, and A. P. C. Malbouisson, Nucl. Phys. B 624, 485 (2002).
- [12] G. N. J. Añaños, A. P. C. Malbouisson, and N. F. Svaiter, Nucl. Phys. B 547, 221 (1999).
- [13] T.J. Newman, M.A. Moore, Phys. rev. B, 54, 6661 (1996)