## Folding Langmuir M onolayers

W eixing Lu\*, Charles M.K nobler\*, and Robin F.Bruinsm a\*\* Departments of Chemistry and Biochemistry\* and Physics\*\* University of California, Los Angeles, California 90095-1569

M ichaelD ennin and M ichaelTwardos Department of Physics and A stronomy and Institute for Interfacial and Surface Science University of California, Irvine, CA 92697-4575. (January 13, 2022)

The maximum pressure a two-dimensional surfactant monolayer is able to withstand is limited by the collapse instability towards formation of three-dimensional material. We propose a new description for reversible collapse based on a mathematical analogy between the formation of folds in surfactant monolayers and the formation of G ri th C racks in solid plates under stress. The description, which is tested in a combined microscopy and theology study of the collapse of a single-phase Langmuir monolayer of 2-hydroxy-tetracosanoic acid (2-0 H T C A), provides a connection between the in-plane rheology of LM 's and reversible folding.

68.18.Jk,68.37.-d,83.60.Uv,87.68.+z

The collapse of deform able structures under stress has fascinated scientists and engineers since 1744 when Euler presented his linear stability analysis of the large-scale structural failure of plates, known as the buckling instability [1]. In the physics literature, recent research in this area has focused on the local structure of crum pled surfaces [2] and on the geom etry of the fold lines [3]. Langmuir monolayers (LM 's), insoluble surfactant lm s resting on an air-water interface, constitute a natural arena for the study of the collapse of surfaces at the molecular level. LM's are stable at low surface pressures , but collapse into the third dimension when su ciently com pressed. W hile collapse can occur by the nucleation and growth of the bulk phase, Euler-type buckling phenom ena are well docum ented [4]. Linear stability analysis [5] shows that when the surface tension = w ofa LM vanishes (with w 72 m N = m the surface tension of water), the air-water interface indeed should become unstable against mechanical buckling. How ever, the collapse of LM 's exhibiting buckling-type phenom ena actually takes place for positive surface tensions in the range of 1-10 m N /m, which casts doubt on Euler buckling as the underlying mechanism. The introduction of spontaneous curvature into the free energy of the Im does lower the instability threshold, but only by a small am ount [6].

R ecent experim ental studies dem on strated that certain of these LM 's undergo reversible collapse, i.e., with little or no hysteresis in the pressure-area isotherm s. A lthough this was rst seen under conditions where there is coexistence in the LM between an isotropic liquid phase and islands of a condensed phase [7,8] it has also been observed for a one-component monophasic material [9]. During reversible collapse, large isolated folds into the subphase appear at the collapse point; they remain fully connected to the monolayer and open reversibly when the

In is re-expanded. There is special interest in reversible folding because of the recent proposal [10] that it plays an essential role in the functioning of lung surfactant.

The aim of this letter is to propose that reversible collapse in LM 's is unrelated to the (linear) Euler instability but instead to a general, non-linear instability of deform able surfaces with self-attraction. This instability is closely related to a classical problem in structural failure of plates under stress, namely crack form ation. The \m apping" between the two problem s can be tested experimentally and provides a scenario for reversible fold form ation in term s of the in-plane rheology of LM 's.

The mechanism is shown in Fig.1a. Assume a rectangular, self-adhering elastic sheet of thickness d with a two-dimensional (2D) shearm odulus G, an area modulus K, a bending modulus and a self-adhesion energy per unit area W. For LM's consisting of long-tailed surfactant molecules, W = 2 can be identified as the free energy cost of creating a unit area of hydrocarbon surface exposed to air, i.e., with the surface energy  $_{\rm H}$  of a hydrocarbon uid (for a wide range of hydrocarbons  $_{\rm H}$  lies in the limited range of 25 4 m N =m [11]).

Let this sheet be stretched along the y direction by a traction force per unit length with the sheet width along the x direction kept xed. Now create a bilayer fold of length L along the x direction, with a fold pro leU (x). This means that the y-component of the in-plane elastic displacement eld undergoes a mathematical discontinuity U (x) along the fold line. Let <sub>ij</sub> be the contribution to the elastic stress tensor introduced by the fold, so <sub>ij</sub> = 0 far from the fold. Under quasi-static conditions, the elastic force per unit length + <sub>yy</sub> (x) pulling material out of the fold should equal the force per unit length W =2 pulling material into the fold. Hence, <sub>yy</sub> (x) must be a constant along the fold line equal to W =2

These unusual boundary conditions on the stress tensor have the same m athem atical form as those encountered in the m aterials-science problem of the form ation of cracks in plates under an external traction force per unit area P, known as G ri th C racks (G C). Traction-induced crack form ation of plates can be considered as the analogue of adhesion-induced fold form ation of a LM, albeit with the sign of the displacement eld and the stresses reversed. For a G C, the boundary condition along the crack surface states that the total stress must vanish along the crack so  $_{yy} = P$ . By replacing P with W = 2 in the corresponding expressions for a G ri th C rack [1] we obtain the following prediction for the shape of a fold in a solid LM :

$$U(x)=L = \frac{W=2}{Y} \frac{P}{1 (2x=L)^{2}}$$
(1)

with Y = 4K G = (K + G) the (two-dimensional) Young's M odulus. Folds in solid LM's thus should have a sem ielliptical shape with a ratio = U (0)=L between short and long axes that should be a fold-independent m aterial parameter. A coording to Eq.1, fold form ation indeed can start for positive surface tensions , i.e. when the surface tension drops below W = 2, which corresponds to surface pressures exceeding  $_{\rm W}$   $_{\rm H}$  = 53 4 m N=m.

To test the proposed mapping, we examined folding in a relatively simple system that involves only a single com ponent and a single m onolayer phase. The collapse of 2-hydroxytetracosanoic acid (2-OH TCA) is characterized by the reversible appearance and grow th of large folds projecting into the subphase [9]. Folds can be observed by light-scattering m icroscopy (LSM) { which is sensitive to height di erences { with the resulting im ages providing a projection of the fold on the air-water interface. As shown in Fig. 1b, the shape of folds near onset indeed can be tted to a sem i-ellipse. The growth of a number of folds upon area reduction was recorded on videotape, with the simultaneous changes in the fold width U (0) and the fold length L, obtained from a t of the fold to a sem i-ellipse, determ ined by a fram e-byfram e analysis of the images. Figure 2 shows U (0) vs. L for three representative folds. As predicted, U (0) depends linearly on L for each of the folds and the slopes are essentially identical, 0:25 0:5. The intercepts are

zero within the (lim ited) precision of the data.

In order to compare the measured values of and that predicted by Eq.1, we studied the rheological properties of 2-0 H TC. From the pressure-area isotherm, we obtained an area compression modululus of 610 10 m N = m near the collapse pressure. Using a Couette viscometer, designed for rheology studies of LM 's [12], we measured the frequency-dependent complex shear modulus G (!) near the collapse pressure over a frequency range of 0.001 to 0.1 Hz. As shown in Fig. 3, the real (elastic) part G<sup>0</sup>(!) of the shear modulus varies between 0.4 and 7 m N/m over this frequency range, while the in aginary (dissipative) part G<sup>00</sup>(!) varies between 1.6 to 16 m N/m. In another experiment the relaxation of shear stress was monitored by rotating the outer cylinder with

a constant rate of strain of 0:005 s<sup>1</sup> for 30 s while m onitoring the stress on the inner cylinder. The outer cylinder was suddenly stopped, and the stress on the inner cylinder was measured for an additional 30 s. The resulting stress relaxation could be tted by a single relaxation time  $_{\rm s}$  = (24 2) s. These results indicate that 2-O H TCA has to be considered as a 2D viscoelastic m aterial, probably due to a signi cant area concentration of 2D structural defects such as grain boundaries.

In order to describe fold form ation in a self-adhering viscoelastic sheet, we continued to use Eq.1 but simply replaced the shearm odulus G by jG (!t) jwith t = 2 = !t the age of the fold. For a M axwellian viscoelastic m aterial, with a single stress relaxation time s, this procedure reproduces Eq.1 in the short time limit while in the long time limit it leads to a semi-elliptical ow pro-

le V (X) U (X)= $_{s}$ , consistent with fold form ation in a self-adhering 2D uid sheet having a surface viscosity = G  $_{s}$ . Using Fig. 3 to estimate jG (! $_{t}$ )j, with t of the order of  $10^{2}$  s, together with our earlier result W = 2 = 13 2 m N=m, we obtain for a value of the order of 0.6. This is in rather reasonable agreem ent with the observed range but a precise com parison clearly requires a consistent description of fold growth in selfadhering viscoelastic sheets.

If we accept the mapping between LM folds and GC's, then we can use the extensive literature on GC's to describe the form ation of reversible folds. For a GC, the stress eld diverges near the crack endpoints as  $1=r^{1=2}$ , and the divergence of the stress leads to a zone of plastic deform ation or fracture near the endpoints. For viscoelastic LM 's, we must expect sim ilar plastic high stress zones at the endpoints, except that the lm m ay be buckled out of the plane in order to reduce this stress. The stress divergence near GC endpoints produces a \crackwidening force" f(L) = L proportional to the crack length L [1], which should be present as well for folds. W e indeed observed a steady lateralw idening of the giant folds when we reduced the LM area. This fold-widening force, is counteracted by the fold line tension , due the highly curved edge that borders the fold (see Fig. 1A). W ithin the Helfrich description of LM 's [13], the fold line tension is related to the LM bending energy and the

In thickness d by =2d. M easurem ents of the bending m odulus by di use x-ray scattering [14] on LM 's with tail lengths similar to 2-O H TCA lead to values for in the range of 200 – 500  $k_{\rm B}\,T$ .

Because of the competition between the two forces, a fold with L > = w ill grow spontaneously while for L <= a fold will shrink. The critical fold length L = =and the nucleation energy barrier E = 1=2 L can be obtained from the corresponding expressions for GC's [1]:

$$L = \frac{2}{(W = 2)^2}$$
(2)

The param eters entering Eq. 2 are experim entally acces-

sible. For a viscoelastic LM we replace G by  $\frac{1}{5}$  (!f) with 2 =! f the formation time of the fold (of the order of seconds or faster).

Because the nucleation barrier diverges at W = 2 equal to , Eq. 2 explains why the collapse of 2-OH TCA required signi cantly higher pressures than the nom i-<sub>н</sub> = 53 4 m N = m as predicted nalonset value w by Eq.1. Next, since form ation times are short com pared to the stress relaxation time,  $f_{G}$  (!<sub>f</sub>) j is of the order of 100 nN/m or higher. Equation 2 then predicts that the critical fold length L should be in the range of 100 A or longer and that the nucleation energy barrier E should be in the range of 20  $k_B T$  or higher. It follows that fold formation in solid or viscoelastic LM's cannot proceed spontaneously but requires the presence of large, pre-existing structural defects. We were not able to identify the nature of these defects with LSM . Diam ant et al. [15] proposed that form ulti-com ponent LM 's, phase boundaries would be natural sites for the nucleation of folds (due to di erences in spontaneous curvature between phases). This explanation cannot hold for m onophasic m aterials, like 2-0 H TCA, but buckling along grain boundaries may play a similar role.

We conclude by noting that, according to Eq.2, out-ofplane reversible folding should be sensitively dependent on the in-plane rheological properties of the LM. If fold form ation is desirable, as in the case of lung surfactant, than this could be achieved with additives such as cholesterol that increase the uidity of a surfactant layer, and hence reduce  $j_{\rm G}$  (!f) without a ecting the structural integrity of the lm.

A cknow ledgements: This work was supported in part by National Science Foundation grants CH 0079311 (C M K .) and CTS 0085751 (M D .). We would like to thank T.W itten for helpful comments and Sam Shinder for assistance in the analysis of the fold im ages.

(O xford, N ew York, Pergam on Press 1970). [2] For a review : D .N elson in Statistical M echanics of M em -

[1] L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, Theory of Elasticity,

- branes and Surfaces, Vol. 5, Jenusalem W inter School for Theoretical Physics, 1988. Edited by D. Nelson, T. Piran, and S.W einberg (World Scientic, Singapore, 1988).
- [3] B A. D iD onna, T A. W itten, S.C. Venkataram ani, and E M. K ram er, Phys. Rev. E 65, 016603/1-25, (2002).
- [4] H.E.Ries, Jr.Nature 281, 287 (1979).
- [5] S.T.M ilner, J.F. Joanny, and P.P incus, Europhys.Lett. 9, 495 (1989).
- [6] J.-G.Hu and R.G ranek, J.Phys. IIF rance 6, 999 (1996).
- [7] M M. Lipp, K.Y.C. Lee, D.Y. Takamoto, J.A. Zasadzinski, and A.J.W aring, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 1650 (1998).

- [8] A.Gopal, K.Y.C.Lee, J. Phys. Chem B 105, 10348 (2001).
- [9] C.Ybert, W eixing Lu, G.M ller, and C.K nobler, J.Phys. Chem. B 106 (8), 2004 (2002).
- [10] H.E.W arriner, J.Ding, A.J.W aring, and J.A.Zasadzinski, Biophys J. 82, 835 (2002), and references therein.
- [11] J. Israelachvili, Interm olecular and Surface Forces, Ch. 14 (A cadem ic Press, N.Y., N.Y. 1985).
- [12] R S.G haskadviand M .D ennin, Rev.Sci. Instr. 69, 3568 (1998).
- [13] C G ourier, J.D aillant, A Braslau, M A Iba, K Quinn, D. Luzet, C.B lot, D. Chatenay, G.G nubel, J.F. Legrand, and G.Vignaud, PhysRev Lett. 78, 3157 (1997).
- [14] C.Gourier, M.Alba, A.Braslau, J.Daillant, M.Goldmann, C.M.Knobler, F.Rieutord, G.Zalczer, Langmuir 17, 6496 (2001).
- [15] H.Diam ant, T A.W itten, C.Ege, A.Gopal, and K.Y.C. Lee, Phys. Rev. E 63, 061602 (2001); H D iam ant, T A. W itten, A.Gopal, and K.Y.C.Lee Europhys.Lett. 52, 171 (2000).




FIG.1. (a) Schematic diagram of a fold showing the position-dependent fold width U (x) and the fold length L. (b) Light scattering m icroscope in age of an early giant fold in 2-0 H TCA. Its length is 65 m. The fold is bent in a nearly horizontal plane beneath the monolayer. The line is a semi-ellipse tted to the fold pro le.




FIG.2. U (x=0) vs. L for three folds. The data were obtained from a fram e-by-fram e analysis of videotapes of during fold widening under compression and are given in numbers of pixels.




FIG.3. Values of G  $^0$  (lower line) and G  $^{00}$  (upper line) in m N/m as a function of the oscillation frequency for 2-O H TCA at 20 C at a pressure of 60 m N/m.