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#### Abstract

W e investigate schem es to dynam ically create $m$ any particle entangled states of a two com ponent B ose E instein condensate in a very short tim e proportional to $1=\mathrm{N}$ where N is the num ber of condensate particles. For sm allN we com pare exact num erical calculations w ith analyticalsem iclassical estim ates and nd very good agreem ent for $\mathrm{N} \quad 50 . \mathrm{W}$ e also estim ate the e ect of decoherence on our schem e, study possible scenarios for $m$ easuring the entangled states, and investigate experim ental im perfections.


PACS num bers: 03.75 Fi , $42.50 .-\mathrm{p}, 42.50$.C t

## I. IN TRODUCTION

The creation of $m$ any particle entangled states in $m$ acroscopic system $s$ is one of them a jor goals in the stud-

 bles allows to investigate the boundary betw een quantum physics and classicalphysics and, possibly, could also
 The experim ental creation of $m$ any particle entangle$m$ ent could also lead to the realization of several of the \G edankenexperim ents" proposed in the early days of quantum theory $[\underline{q}]$. A lso, apart from the fundam ental physicalinterest in entanglem ent, the whole eld ofquantum com puting and quantum inform ation is based upon the ability to create and controlentangled states [10 ${ }^{-1}$ ].
$T$ he experim entalachievem ent of atom ic B ose $£$ instein condensation (BEC) [ $\left.1 \overline{1}_{-}^{1}\right]$ has opened fascinating possibilities for studying quantum properties of a m acroscopic num ber of cold quantum degenerate atom $s$ in the laboratory. Interesting aspects of $m$ any particle entanglem ent can be studied by using condensatesw ith intemaldegrees of freedom $[12,11,1$ has been show $n$ that the coherent collisional interactions in a BEC allow to generate substantial $m$ any-particle entanglem ent in the spin degrees of freedom of a twocom ponent condensate [ [ L$]$ during the free evolution of the condensates.

The extrem ely long coherence time in a BEC is one of the key features $m$ aking the proposals for engineering m any particle entanglem ent in a BEC feasible [191]. H ow ever, one stillhas to $m$ ake sure that (i) the creation of the m any particle entangled state takes place on a tim e scale $m$ uch shorter than the coherence tim e, and (ii) the produced $m$ any particle entangled states are robust against decoherence, i.e., particle loss should not destroy the entanglem ent.

In this paperwew illinvestigate in detaila schem e that allow s to create $m$ any particle entangled states in a tw ocom ponent BEC interacting $w$ ith a classical laser eld or m icrow ave eld on a tim e scale proportional to $1=\mathrm{N}$ w ith $N$ the num ber of condensate particles (see also [ $\left.{ }_{2}^{2} \underline{1}_{1}^{1}\right]$ ). Let us brie y explain the basic idea of this schem e. If we
concentrate on the dynam ics of the intemal states of the condensate (e.g. atom ic hyper ne levels) the_ H am iltonian $H$ of the system is given by (cf. Sec. IIIA

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=S_{z}^{2}+S_{x} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is determ ined by the interaction strengths betw een the condensate particles and is the Rabi frequency of the extemal eld interacting with the condensate. Here the angular m om entum operator $\mathrm{S}=$ $\mathrm{fS}_{\mathrm{x}} ; \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{y}} ; \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{g}$ is de ned by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{x}=\frac{1}{2}\left(a^{y} b+b^{y} a\right) ; \\
& S_{y}=\frac{i}{2}\left(b^{y} a\right. \\
& \left.a^{y} b\right) ; \\
& S_{z}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a^{y} a & d b b) ;
\end{array},\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

where a (b) are bosonic destruction operators for particles in intemal state A (B) w ith a xed spatial mode function $A(B)$. W e consider the situation, where initially allthe condensate particles are in intemalstate $\mathrm{i}_{4} \mathrm{i}$. $T$ his state corresponds to an eigenstate of $S_{z} w$ ith eigenvalue $\mathrm{N}=2$. Then a $=2$ pulse is applied to the condensate which brings each particle in a superposition state ( $\mathcal{A} i+\beta i$ ) $=\overline{2}$ corresponding to an eigenstate of $S_{x} w$ ith eigenvalue $N=2$. The intemal wave function $j$ i of the condensate is thus given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
j(t=0) i=\frac{1}{2} \frac{2^{N} N!}{2^{y}}+b^{y^{N}} \text { jvaci; } \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where juaci is the vacuum state.
To get a qualitative understanding of the subsequent tim e evolution of $j$ ( $t$ )i we use the fam iliar phase m odel [211, $\left.122^{\prime 2}\right]$ where one replaces $S_{z}$ ! id and $S_{x}$ ! $\mathrm{N}=2 \cos { }^{\wedge}$, with the relative phase between the two condensate com ponents, in the H am iltonian and nds


$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}=\frac{@^{2}}{\varrho^{2}}+\frac{\mathrm{N}}{2} \cos ^{\wedge}: \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e note that the eigenstates of ${ }^{\wedge}$ given by $j i$
$m$ e ${ }^{\mathrm{im}} \quad \mathrm{N}=2 \quad \mathrm{~m}$ 我 $\mathrm{N}=2+\mathrm{m} \mathrm{i}_{B}$ are entangled states
of particles in states A and B tion on these eigenstates gives the phase wave function
$(\mathrm{t} ; ~)=\mathrm{h} j(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{i}$. For large condensate particle num ber $N$ the phase wave function corresponding to the initial state $(0 ;)$ is well approxim ated by $a_{p}$ narrow $G$ aussian wave-packet w ith a width of $=1=\bar{N}$ centered at $=0$, i.e. a maxim um of the phase potential $V\left({ }^{\wedge}\right)=S \cos { }^{\wedge}$ as schem atically shown in $F$ ig. ${ }^{\prime} 1 \mathbf{1} a$. T he time evolution due to $H$ will rst squeeze this wave packet due to the harm onic term sof $V$ ( $\wedge$ ) resulting in an increased width. Then the anharm onicity of $V(\wedge)$ becom es $\dot{m}$ portant and the $w$ ave packet splits up as show $n$ in $F$ ig. ${ }^{1} 1$ packets of opposite relative particle num ber ( $m$ easured by $S_{z}$ ) since they $m$ ove in opposite direction. Their superposition is thus a m acroscopically entangled state. In the rem ainder of this paper we will investigate the process described above quantitatively. In particular, wew ill use a sem iclassicalapproxim ation which allow s to analytically estim ate properties of the $m$ any particle entangled states like the positions ofm axim a in the relative particle num ber distribution.

The paper is organized as follow s: In Sec.' 'II we w ill introduce the model. W e derive a tw o m ode $H$ am iltonian describing a two com ponent condensate interacting $w$ ith a laser or $m$ icrow ave eld. Then we discuss the phase m odel already used in the introduction and present a sem iclassicalm odel. In Sec.'IIIT we de ne the most com $m$ on types of $m$ any particle entangled states and give a short overview of recent proposals for entanglem ent creation in BEC's. W e present our results in Sec. 'IV- and Sec.V!' w here we com pare the properties of the $m$ any particle entangled states found by num erical solution of the exact Schrodinger equation $w$ th the sem iclassical esti$m$ ates. Furthem ore in Sec. $\overline{\mathrm{V}} \ddagger$ we im prove the schem e by one-axis-pre-squeezing. Finally, in Sec. IN we discuss the stabillty of the $m$ any particle entangled states under decoherence and investigate possible $m$ easurem ent strategies to identify the entangled states. A lso we study the in uence of im perfections in the extemal eld on our schem e.

## II. $\mathrm{M} O \mathrm{DEL}$

In this section we present the $m$ odel used to study the two com ponent condensate interacting $w$ ith an extemal eld. W ew rite dow $n$ the $H$ am iltonian for this system and use the two m ode approxim ation to obtain a sim pli ed description of the system in term sofangularm om entum operators. Then we introduce the phase m odel and a sem iclassicalm odel.


FIG.1: Schem atic tim e evolution using the phase-m odel. (a) $T$ he in itialstate is a G aussian w ave packet centered at a m aximum of the phase potential. (b) Thetim e evolution according to H splits the w ave packet into a superposition of tw o w ave packets $m$ oving in opposite direction.

## A. H am ilton ian

We consider a two com ponent BEC consisting of N atom $s$ in two di erent hyper ne states $\nexists i$ and $\mathcal{B} i$ coupled by a Ram an laser or $m$ icrow ave eld (intemal
 system is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}+\mathrm{H}_{\text {ext }} ; \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H_{A}$ and $H_{B}$ describe the two com ponent condensate and $H_{\text {ext }}$ the interaction $w$ ith the extemal eld. In second quantization the term $s$ are given by ( $h$ 1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{k}=d^{3} r_{k}^{y} \frac{r^{2}}{2 m}+V_{k}+\frac{X}{\frac{U_{k l}}{2}} \underset{k}{y}{ }_{k}^{\#} \quad(5) \\
& H_{\text {ext }}=\frac{1}{2} d^{Z} r^{h} \underset{A}{Y} \quad B \quad R e^{i t}+\underset{B}{Y} \quad A \quad R^{+i t^{i}} \text { : }
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $k \quad k(r)(k=f A ; B g)$ are bosonic eld operators that annihilate a particle at position $r$ in the hyperne state $k i$. $T$ he trapping potential for atom $s$ in state k is denoted by $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{k}} \quad \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{k}}(r)$ and their m ass is m . T he interaction strengths are given by $U_{A A} ; U_{B B}$ and $U_{A B}$ for collisions betw een particles in state A, B and interspecies collisions, respectively. The ective $R$ abi frequency $R$ is assum ed to be positive, real, and position independent, i.e., we neglect the $m$ om entum transfer induced by the extemal eld. The detuning of the eld from resonance is denoted by .

## 1. Two m ode approxim ation

W e assum e that the spatial degrees of freedom can be described using one spatialm ode function for each com -


$$
\begin{equation*}
A(r)=a_{A}(r) \text { and } B_{B}(r)=b_{B}(r) \text {; } \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k(r)$ are realnorm alized w ave functions and $a$, (b) are bosonic annihilation operators destroying a particle in the intemalstate A, (B).T hey obey the usualbosonic com $m$ utation relations $\left[a ; a^{y}\right]=1,\left[b ; b^{y}\right]=1$, $[a ; b]=0$ and $\left[a ; b^{y}\right]=0$.

## 2. A ngular $m$ om entum representation

W e use the angular mom entum operators $\mathrm{S}=$ $\left(S_{x} ; S_{y} ; S_{z}\right)$ de ned in Eq. (2, $\underline{Z}_{1}$ ) and the eigenstates of $S_{z}$ w ith eigenvahe n :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { jni } i_{z}=p \frac{a^{y}{ }^{N=2+n} b^{y}{ }^{N=2 n}}{(N=2+n)!(\mathbb{N}=2 \quad \mathrm{n})!} \text { jvaci; } \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The operators $S$ ful $l l$ the standard angular $m$ om entum commutation relations $\left[S_{i} ; S_{j}\right]=i S_{k}$, with $i ; j ; k$ cyclic. From the $H$ eisenberg uncertainty principle we nd
$S_{i}^{2} \quad S_{j}^{2} \quad \frac{1}{4} j h S_{k} i \jmath$.

## 3. Two m ode H am iltonian

U sing Eqs. $(\underline{2}(1)(\underline{q})$ the H am iltonian reduces (up to a constant) to
$H=S_{z}+S_{z}^{2}+S_{x} ;$
where $=!_{A} \quad!_{B}++\left(u_{A A} \quad u_{B}\right)(\mathbb{N} \quad 1)=2$, and $=\left(u_{A A}+u_{B B} \quad 2 u_{A B}\right)=2 w$ ith. The single particle ground state energies $!_{A}$ and $!_{B}$, the coupling $u_{k 1}$ and the e ective R abi frequency are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
!_{k} & =d^{3} r_{k} \frac{r^{2}}{2 m}+V_{k} \quad k ; \\
u_{k l} & =U_{k l} d^{3} r j_{k} \jmath^{3} j_{1} \jmath^{2} ; \\
& =Z^{R} d^{3} r_{A}: \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

In the follow ing we w ill consider the situation, where > 0 and $=0$.

## B. Phase m odel

In the introduction we used the phase $m$ odel $\left[\begin{array}{lll}{[12} & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \\ 2\end{array}\right]$ to qualitatively explain how the tim e evolution according to $H$ can be deployed to create $m$ any particle entangled
states. To be m ore precise the continuous eigenstates of are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
j i=p \frac{1}{2}_{n=N=2}^{+N=2} e^{\text {in }} \underset{n}{ } i_{z} \text { : } \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing the relation $S_{z} j i=$ i@ $j i$, neglecting term $s$ of order $1=\mathrm{N}$ and for $\mathrm{N}=2$ we can rew rite the H am iltonian $\left.\mathrm{H} \quad{ }_{[2}^{2} \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$ in the phase representation as given in Eq. ( $\mathbf{Z}_{1}$ ). The Ham iltonian H describes a single ctitious particle m oving as a pendulum [24, 2-2 term of $H$ can easily be identi ed as the kinetic energy of the particle and the second term is a conservative periodic potential. For large N 1 the initial phase wave function ( $0 ; ~) \quad h \quad j(t=0) i$ is well approxim ated by a narrow gaussian w ave-packet

$$
\begin{gather*}
(0 ; ~)=\frac{1}{2^{N+1}}{ }_{n=N=2}^{+X^{N}=2} N=N^{N} \quad e^{1=2} e^{i n} \\
2^{2 \quad 1=4} e^{\frac{2}{4^{2}}}: \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

The width of the G aussian is $=1=\frac{p}{N}$. The phase $m$ odel is valid for $N$ [ 1.1 . Since $w e$ do not $w$ ant to restrict ourselves to this case we will now derive a sem iclassicalm odel valid for arbitrary .

> C. Sem iclassicalm odel

The many particle entangled state we are interested in are superposition states of two wave packets centered at two di erent relative particle num bers. W e want to use a sem iclassicalm odel to estim ate the position of the $m$ axim a of these $w$ ave packets. $W$ e assum e the collective spin $S$ to behave like a classicalquantity, which is possible if the discreteness of the energy levels is negligible i.e. for $\mathrm{N} \quad 1$. Furtherm ore the sem iclassical treatm ent will only be valid as long as interference e ects are negligible. For the initial state $w e$ consider it $w$ illtum out that such interference e ects becom e im portant for $t$ im es $t>2 t_{c}$, where $t_{c}$ de ned in Eq. (301) is the tim e it takes to create the $m$ any particle entangled state.

U nder these conditions we replace the spin operator $S$ by c-num bers

$$
\begin{equation*}
S!\frac{N}{2}(\sin \cos ; \sin \sin ; \infty): \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies the factorization of expectation values of products of operators like e.g. hf $S_{x} ; S_{y}$ gi by $2 \mathrm{hS}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{ihS} \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{i}$. From the $H$ eisenberg equations ofm otion for the operator S given by
we obtain for the tim e evolution of the angles ;

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dt}} \quad=\frac{\mathrm{N}}{2} \quad 2 \cos \quad \begin{align*}
& !\sin  \tag{14}\\
& !\cot \cos
\end{align*}
$$

with ! $2=\mathrm{N}$. The corresponding vector elds are shown in Fig. ${ }^{2}$ zin for di erent values of!.

From the continuous $W$ igner function $W{ }_{t}\left[\underline{2 n}_{1}^{-1}\right]$ de ned as the Fourier transform of the quantum characteristic function $W_{t}$ given by

$$
\begin{align*}
W_{t}(n ;) & =(2)^{2} d^{Z} d n{ }^{Z} \tilde{W}_{t}\left(n^{0} ;{ }^{0}\right) e^{+i\left({ }^{0}{ }_{n} n^{0}\right)} ; \\
W_{t}\left(n^{0} ;{ }^{0}\right) & \left.=h(t) j e^{i\left(n^{0}\right.}{ }^{0} S_{z}\right) \\
j & (t) i ; \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

we get the initial Liouville distribution on the sphere as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}=0}(;)=\frac{1}{\sin } \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{t}=0}(\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{S} \cos ;): \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the initial state $j(t=0) i$ the Liouville distribution is well approxim ated by a $G$ aussian of narrow radial w idth $=1=\overline{\mathrm{N}}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{0}(;)=N e^{\frac{2}{2_{N} N^{2}}\left(S s_{0}\right)^{2}} \frac{1}{22^{2}} e^{\frac{1}{2^{2}\left(\cos ^{2}+{ }^{2}\right)}: ~} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sem iclassical tim e evolution of the Liouville distribution is then given by

$$
\begin{array}{r}
P_{t}(;)=\frac{1}{\sin } \mathrm{~d}^{0} \sin 0^{Z} d{ }^{0} P_{0}\left({ }^{0} ;{ }^{0}\right)  \tag{18}\\
\left(\tilde{\sim}_{t}\left({ }^{0} ;{ }^{0}\right)\right)\left(\tilde{\sim}_{t}\left({ }^{0} ;{ }^{0}\right)\right) ;
\end{array}
$$

where $\tilde{t}_{t}\left({ }^{0} ;{ }^{0}\right) ; \tilde{r}_{t}\left({ }^{0} ;{ }^{0}\right)$ are the classical tra jectories of a ctional particle starting at $t=0$ from ${ }^{0}$; ${ }^{0}$, i.e. solutions of Eq . (14, $\left.\mathbf{1}^{\prime}\right)$. The sem iclassical expectation value of an operator $C$ ( S ) is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
h C(S) i_{t}= & Z_{0}^{Z} d \sin d P_{t}(;) \\
& C \frac{N}{2}(\sin \cos ; \sin \sin ; \cos ):(19)
\end{aligned}
$$

III. ENGINEERING MANYPARTICLE ENTANGLED STATES

In this section we rst de ne di erent kinds of $m$ any particle entangled states and review several schem es for engineering entanglem ent in two com ponent BECs. We then study in detaila schem ew hich allow s the production of a $m$ any particle entangled state in a two com ponent BEC at a tim e scale proportional to $1=\mathrm{N}$.

## A. M any particle states

W e introduce di erent kinds of $m$ any particle states which willbe used to characterize the states encountered in the num erical calculations perform ed in the subsequent section $\sqrt{V}$

1. C oherent spin states (C SS)

The C SS [20] are eigenstates of the angularm om entum operator $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}_{1}} \quad \mathrm{n}_{1} \quad \mathrm{~S}$ w th eigenvalue $\mathrm{N}=2$, where n is a unit vector pointing in the direction (; ). These states are com pletely uncorrelated and can be w ritten as a separable product of single-particle states given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
j ; i & e^{i S_{z}} e^{i S_{y}} j^{j+N=2 i_{z}} \\
= & \frac{1}{N!} \cos \overline{2}^{i=2} a^{y}+\sin -e^{+i=2} b^{y}{ }^{N} \text { jaci } \\
= & \cos -e^{i=2}-A \operatorname{A}+\sin -e^{+i=2} B i^{N}: \quad \text { (20) }
\end{aligned}
$$

CSS are $m$ inimum uncertainty states $w$ th $S_{n_{3}}^{2}=$

$$
\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}_{3}}^{2}=\mathrm{N}=4, \text { where } \mathrm{n}_{1} ; \mathrm{n}_{2} ; \mathrm{n}_{3} \text {, are unit vectors or- }
$$ thogonalto each other.

## 2. Spin squeezed states (SSS)

 ance com pared to that of the CSS in one of the spincom ponents $S_{n_{2}}$ or $S_{n_{3}}$ whereas the variance in the other orthogonalcom ponent is correspondingly enhanced. T he am ount of squeezing is determ ined by the squeezing param eter given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
2=\min _{\mathrm{n}_{1 ; 2 ; 3}} \frac{\mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{n}_{1}}\right)^{2}}{\mathrm{hS}_{\mathrm{n}_{2}} \mathrm{i}^{2}+\mathrm{hS}_{\mathrm{n}_{3}} \mathrm{i}^{2}} ; \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

If ${ }^{2}<1$ the state of the atom $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ is non $\{$ separable (i.e. entangled) as has been shown in [1] [1]. The param eter ${ }^{2}$ thus characterizes the atom ic entanglem ent, and we refer to states w ith ${ }^{2}<1$ as $\backslash$ spin squeezed states" .

W e also note that this param eter determ ines the am ount of noise-reduction in atom ic clocks [311]. There-
 $m$ ight prove useful in enhancing the accuracy of these atom ic clocks.

## 3. M axim ally entangled states (MES)

A second type ofm acroscopically entangled states can be written as

$$
\text { IGHZi} i_{N}=\frac{1}{P_{2}} \nexists i^{N}+B i^{N}
$$



FIG.2: Vector eld (arrows) and trajectories (solid curves) of Eq. (1-1 for three di erent coupling strengths: a) $!=0: 14, \mathrm{~b}$ ) ! = 1 and c) ! = 1:87, where ! $2=\mathrm{N}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{1}{P_{\overline{2}}}\left(j+N=2 i_{z}+j N=2 i_{z}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{P_{\overline{2}}}(j=0 ;=0 i+j=;=0 i)(22)
\end{aligned}
$$

They are a generalization for N particles of the well known G H Z-state of three particles [1] be seen from Eq. (22) these states can be written as either a coherent superposition of $N$ particles being in the state A and N being in state B or as a coherent superposition of two CSS pointing in opposite directions on the B loch-sphere.

> B. Schem es for producing $m$ any particle entanglem ent

There have been several proposals of how to engineer $m$ any particle entanglem ent in BECs. Basically these schem es can be divided into two di erent kinds. The rst possibility is to engineer the ground state of the system to be an entangled state. $T$ his can be done by appropriately $m$ anipulating the interaction betw een the particles $2 \bar{G}$, [32]. The entangled state is then created by cooling the system to its ground state. The second possibility is to control the dynam ics of the system such that an initially separable state evolves coherently into an entangled state


## 1. Therm odynam ical Schem es

For $>0$ and $=0$ the ground state of the H am ilto-
 $j 0 i_{z}$, with half of the atom $s$ in 7 i $i$ and the others in $\mathcal{B} i$. As rst noted by $C$ irac et al. [2] for $<0$ and $j \ll N=2$ the ground state of the system corresponds to an entangled state and a maxim ally entangled state of the form Eq. (22) is attained for zero coupling (i.e. ! 0). The m a jor obstacle in cooling to the ground state is the sm all energy gap betw een the ground and the rst excited state which scales like.

## 2. D ynam ical Schem es

O ne of the simplest ways $\left.\overline{2} \overline{0} \overline{0}_{1}^{1}\right]$ to obtain a $S S S$ is to start from a one com ponent $\overline{B E C}$ in A (corresponding to a CSS $\mathrm{j}=2 i_{z}$ ), to apply a fast $=2$ pulse ( $N$ ) that rotates the CSS on the Bloch sphere by an angle $=2$ around the y -axis aligning it along the x direction (corresponding to $\mathrm{j}=2 i_{x}$ ). The subsequent evolution due to the $H$ am iltonian $H$ ( $w$ th $=0$ ) establishes correlations am ong the particles, creating an SSS w ith a squeezing param eter $\quad\left(3=N \mathcal{N}^{\beta=3}=2\right.$ for large $N$ [ 3 d] on a tim e scale $t 2 \quad 3^{=6}=N^{2=3}$. Taking into account the spatial degrees of freedom and inelastic collisions w ith background particles still allow $s$ for considerable squeezing of the SSS as was more recently shown in $\left.[12,17,1]_{1}\right]$. A s noted by M lm er et al. $\left[3 \mathrm{~B}_{1}^{1}\right]$ and C astin $\left.{ }^{[13} 5_{1}^{1}\right]$ one-ax is squeezing also provides a perfect GHZ-state at a much later tim e $t==2$ neglecting, how ever, the spatial degrees of freedom and decoherence processes.

Even sm aller squeezing param eters can be obtained by engineering two particle interactions resulting in a H am iltonian of the form $\mathrm{H}_{\text {int }}=\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{z}}^{2} \quad \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{y}}^{2}\right)$ as recently proposed in $[14,1,1]$ and thus im plem enting two-axissqueezing [ 3 d $]$ ]. In $[18]$ interm ediate $m$ olecular states of two-atom s are used to create a H am iltonian of the form $H_{\text {int }}$ while in [14 $\left.1_{1}^{1}\right]$ this is achieved by applying a series of laser pulses to the tw o-com ponent B E C . A s discussed by Law et al [3G] tuming on a sm all coupling $\quad \mathrm{N}$ can be used to fiurther im prove the squeezing properties. G ordon et al. [201] show ed num erically that for N Schrodinger Cat states can be obtained on short time scales.

The $m$ ain task of the rem ainder of this paper is to investigate in detail dynam ical schem es which create m any particle entangled states of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{C} \text { at }(D) i_{N}=\frac{1}{P^{2}}+\frac{D}{2}+\frac{D}{2} z ;  \tag{23}\\
& \operatorname{XCat}() i_{i_{N}}=\frac{1}{\overline{2}} \frac{+}{2} ; 0+\frac{}{2} ; 0 \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

on a time scale proportional to $1=\mathrm{N}$ using the H am i-
 superposition of eigenstates of the operator $S_{z}$ characterized_ by a \distance" D. The other entangled state Eq. (2 $\mathbf{2}^{\prime}$ ') is a supenposition of two CSS separated by an angle. N ote that for $D=0$ and $=0$ these states are not entangled, whereas for $\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{N}$ and $=$ they coincide form ing an MES.

## IV . SEM ICLASSICALRESULTS

In this section we rst identify three di erent coupling regim es, for which the evolution of the initial state has a qualitatively di erent behavior. Then we derive analytical approxim ations for the tim e scales on which the $m$ any particle entangled states E q. (23) are form ed, and the attainable separation $D$ as a function of . We nd that for $=N=2$ states close to MES are created on a tim e scale $t_{c}=\ln (8 N)=N$.

> A. C oupling regim es

A s can be seen from Fig. $\overline{1} \overline{1}$, the vector eld ( $\overline{1} \overline{4}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) on the B loch-sphere is qualitatively di erent for $2=\mathrm{N} \quad!<$ 1 (weak coupling regim e) and ! > 1 (strong coupling regim e). W e w ill thus investigate these tw o regim es separately and also look at the interm ediate case ! = 1 (critical coupling).

In the weak coupling regim e the trajectories passing through the $m$ axim um of our initial distribution at $=$ $=2 ;=0, m$ ake a full revolution in . The separatrix separates the rotationalm odes of the pendulum from the oscillatory ones. The rotationalm odes of the pendulum rotate continuously either clockw ise-or counter-clockw ise (tra jectories in the lower/upper half plane of outside the separatrix), whereas the oscillatory $m$ odes oscillate around $==2$ (trajectories inside the separatrix), as shown in $F$ ig. rst squeezes our initial state along the separatrix. T hen tw o elongated peaks aligned along the -axis centered at
$=\quad$ appear, respectively (see F ig ${ }_{2}^{1-1} \mathrm{Ba} 2-\mathrm{b} 2$ ). From the reduced density distribution

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{sc}}(\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{t})=\frac{\mathrm{Z}}{\mathrm{~d}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}}^{\sim}(\mathrm{n} ;) ; \\
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}}^{\sim}(\mathrm{n}=\cos ;)=\sin P_{t}(\cos ;) ; \tag{25}
\end{gather*}
$$

we nd good agreem ent w ith the $W$ igner distribution for


In the strong coupling regim e the tra jectories passing through $=2$; $=0$, do neither perform a full revolution in nor separate the rotational from the oscillatory m odes any m ore as can be seen from F ig. ${ }_{\mathrm{I}}^{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{c}$. In fact, there are no rotationalmodes for $>\mathrm{S}$. H ow ever,
rst the in itial state again is squeezed like in the weak coupling regim e (see Fig. 'ilial,b1). Then tw o well separated gaussian peaks -one on the northem and another at
the southem hem isphere appear. In contrast to the w eak coupling regim e they are now centered at $=0$ as shown in $F$ ig. 'A $\mathrm{a} 2, \mathrm{~b} 2$. This distribution corresponds roughly to a state of the form Eq. (24) as shown in Fig. (17b4.

B . D istance

According to the sem iclassical time evolution the largest distance $D$ of the $m$ any particle entangled state is equivalent to the largest separation of the separatrix at $=$ in the weak coupling regim e and at $=0$ in the strong coupling regim e. By using the conservation of energy $E(;)=\mathbb{N} \cos ()=2\}+N \sin () \cos ()=2=$ $\mathrm{N}=2$, we nd the shape of the separatrix

$$
\cos []=\frac{1!^{1} \cos ^{2}}{\mathrm{sin}}, \quad,
$$

It follow s that in the w eak coupling regim e the distance D is given by (cf. A ppendix ${ }^{3}$ !

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=N^{p} \overline{!(2 \quad!)} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and in the strong coupling regim e the angle betw een the two CSS states is

$$
\begin{equation*}
=2 \arcsin (\mathrm{p} \overline{!(2 \quad!)):} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the weak coupling regim e the $m$ axim um distance $D$ increases w ith ! until! = 1 where $D$ takes its largest possible value $\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{N}$ (see Fig . 5a). Thus for ! $=1$ we obtain a state close to a M ES. For larger couplings (! > 1) a superposition state of the form Eq. (24) is created. The angle decreases with increasing ! until at $!=2$ no supenposition is obtained according to Eq. (2d). E xact num erical calculations show that for ! 2 m acroscopically entangled states of the latter form are still obtained but w ith
C. T im e Scales

W e will now focus on the required time $t_{c}$ to create a $m$ any particle entangled state by using the sem iclassical tim e evolution of the wave packets form ing the superposition states. It is crucial that $t_{c}$ is short com pared to decoherence tim es to successfiully create $m$ any particle entanglem ent.
$T$ he tim e $t_{c}$ is approxim ately equal to the tim e needed to \travel" along the separatrix from the point lying at the distance from $=0 ;==2$ to cos $=\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{N}$, i.e., the point w here the $m$ any particle entangled state form s . C ombining Eqs. (14, 27,128 ) one obtains (cf. Appendix

Bis
where the last approxim ation is valid for 2N (2 !) 1. W e notice that $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{c}}$ scales as $1=\mathrm{N}$ and reaches its m inim um value for! 1, i.e., near the critical coupling, see Fig. 5b.

## V. NUMERICALRESULTS

W e calculated num erically the solution of the Schrodinger equation for the exact $m$ odel Eq. (i, a moderate num ber of particles $N$ up to $10^{3}$. $\overline{\mathrm{A}}$ comparison of the exact results $w$ th the approxim ations of Sec. 'ITV' y yields good agreem ent already for N 50. A lso, we calculate the overlap of the cat-states $j$ i with the states de ned in Eqs. (23, (24, ), i.e., their delity

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{D}=\dot{j} h C a t(D) j \text { if }: \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furtherm ore we nd a partial revival of the initial wave function at timet $2 t$. W e characterize this revivalby the overlap w ith the in itialC SS state R given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\nrightarrow(0) j(t) i j^{2}=j \hat{j} N=2 j(t) i j^{2}: \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since R tums out to be larger than 1=2 for 0:1 < ! < 1:9 it is useful for $m$ easurem ent purposes, especially for checking the coherence of an M ES, as described in detail in Sec . N IIB
A. C om parison of Sem iclassicalw ith E xact Results

W e calculate num erically the solution of the Liouville equation Eq. (18) and com pare it w th the exact dis-
 $0<!<2$. From Figs. lent agreem ent betw een the two results for $\mathrm{N} \quad 50$ and $t<2 t_{c}$. A fterw ards interference e ects become im portant and the sem iclassicalm odel breaks dow $n$ as show $n$ in $F$ igs. Kina $a-b 3$, ina $a-b 3$. A s can be seen by com paring Figs. ${ }^{-1 / 2} a 4-64$ and $\bar{F}$ igs. initial state of about 55\% [90\% ] for the exact solution in the weak [strong] coupling regim $e$, whereas the overlap of the sem iclassicalsolution $w$ th the initial state is only about 10\%.

N ext we proceed to check the validity of Eqs. (28) for $D$ and $t_{c}$. Num erically we nd $D$ and $t_{c}$ by looking for the $m$ axim $u m F_{D}(t)>F_{0}(t)$. The num erical values for $D$ and $t_{c}$ agree very well $w$ th the analytical expressions Eqs. ( 28


FIG. 3: Com parison of the evolution of the system for $!=0: 14$ (weak coupling) and $\mathrm{N}=50$. The exact discrete $W$ igner function $W_{t}(n$; ) is plotted in (a1-a3) com pared w ith the Lioville distribution $P_{t}^{r}(\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{cos} ;)$ in (b1-b3) at three characteristic tim es. 1) $t=t_{c}=2$ show ing a SSS; 2) $t=t_{c}$ show ing the $m$ acroscopic supenpositon state; and in 3) $t 2 t_{c}$ show ing the revival. a4) exact discrete num ber distribution $\left.P(n ; t) \quad \dot{\text { inh }} \mathrm{j}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{i} j^{2} ; \mathrm{b} 4\right)$ reduced density distribution $P_{s c}(n ; t)$.

## B . C ritical C oupling

W e see from $F$ ig. 5 that for the critical coupling ! $=1$ we obtain the largest possible $D=N$, a short creation time $t_{C}$, the best delity $F_{D}$, and the largest value for the partialrevivalR. A lso the decrease of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{D}}$ w ith increasing num ber of particles $N$ is slow est at the critical coupling. In $F$ ig. ${ }^{1}{ }^{1} \overline{1}$, we plot the tim e evolution show ing the in itial squeezing follow ed by the creation of a state close to M ES and the partial revival of the initial state $w$ th $R=79 \%$.
VI. PRE-SQUEEZING

W e investigate if better results for $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{D}}$ are obtainable by adjusting the initial variances $S_{y} ; S_{z}$ by squeez-


FIG. 4: Sam e as Fig. ${ }^{13} \mathbf{1}$, but for $!=1: 87$ (strong coupling).
ing. W e choose one-axis-squeezing, which is easily im ple$m$ ented by tuming $o$ the extemal eld after the initial $=2-p u l s e$ for a time. A fterw ards a second pulse with angle is applied before the system is evolved according to Eq. ( $\mathbf{g}_{1}$ ). W e optim ize and for obtaining the largest $F_{D}$ and restrict ourselves to the $m$ ost interesting case ! = 1. The results are shown in Fig. stantial im provem ent in the obtainable delity $F_{D}$ can thus be reached by pre-squeezing, (cf. Fig. icic) which, furthem ore, decreases $m$ uch slower w ith increasing $N$ than without pre-squeezing.
VII. D ISC U SS IO N
A. Stability under D ecoherence

A major problem of creating a $m$ acroscopic entangled state is decoherence, a ecting every realistic system. For instance the entanglem ent in an MES is destroyed by losing a single particle, as is easily show $n$ by calculating

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim_{A}^{E}=\frac{a j G H Z i_{N}}{j \dot{j a j G H Z i_{N} j j}}=\nexists A i^{N}=N: N=\sum_{z}^{E} ; \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

a)

b)




FIG. 5: C om parison of the exact w ith the sem iclassical results. a) A ttainable distance $D$ as a function of !, exact solution for $\mathrm{N}=16$ (dashed curve), $\mathrm{N}=128$ (dash-dotted curve), $N=1024$ (dotted curve), and sem iclassical result (solid curve). b) Time tc as a function of ! : exact solution (dashed curves) com pared w ith the sem iclassical result (solid curves) for $N=16 ; 128 ; 1024$. c) $T$ he $m$ axim um delity $F_{D}$ as a function of!. d) RevivalR for $\mathrm{N}=16$ (dashed curve), $\mathrm{N}=128$ (dash-dotted curve), and $\mathrm{N}=512$ (dotted curve).
w ith $\mathrm{N}^{\sim}=\mathrm{N} \quad 1$ and $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{Ni}_{z}$ the eigenstate of $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{z}}$ for N particles.

In our schem e, how ever, we expect the e ects of decoherence to be reduced for two reasons. (i) $T$ he $m$ any particle entangled state is created on a very short time scale, for which we expect only few particles to be lost. (ii) Losing a single particle does not com pletely destroy the entanglem ent for $\mathrm{D}<\mathrm{N}$, since

Recently Savage et al. ${ }_{[3} \bar{W}_{1} \overline{1} 1$ discussed the e ects of decoherence due to the presence of non-condensed atom $s$. For low tem peratures the prim ary e ect is to introduce phase-dam ping, which was taken into account by a four m ode m odel in $\left[\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{1}\right]$. They show ed that decoherence effects are negligible for $\mathrm{N} \quad 200$, which indicates that the present schem e could be a robust im plem entation for creating MES.


FIG. 6: Exact probability distribution $P(n ; t)$ for $N=10^{3}$ particles for (a) the in itial state, (b) the state created for ! $0: 14$ corresponding to a distance of $\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{N}=2$, (c) the GH Z like state obtained for ! = 1, and (d) the state for ! 1:87 corresponding to an angle of $=2 \arcsin (1=2)$.


F IG . 7: Exact num ber distribution $P(n ; t)$ as a function of $n$ and $t$ for $!=1$ and $N=2000$.
B. M easurem ent

Bym easuring $S_{z}$ one obtainsP $(n ; t)$ as show $n$ in $F$ ig. ${ }^{\prime \prime}(\underline{q}$. $T$ his, how ever, does not guarantee the state to be $m$ acroscopically entangled, since a com pletely incoherent mixture

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{D}=2 i_{\mathrm{z}} h D=2 j+\frac{1}{2} j \quad D=2 \frac{i}{z} h \quad D=2 j \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIG.8: a) Optim um values for , for di erent values of N . b) $F$ idelity $F_{D}$ as a function of and for $N=400$. c) $C o m-$ parison off ${ }_{D}$ w ithout pre-squeezing (dash-dotted curve), and w ith pre-squeezing (solid curve) as a function of $N$, where the dots $m$ ark the num erically calculated values. d) In itial C SS-Q-function Q ( ; ) ŋ ; jif for optim al pre-squeezing for $N=400$.
gives the sam e result for $P(n ; t)$. To distinguish betw een the tw o cases one has either to do density $m$ atrix tom ography (endoscopy) [3d, $\left.{ }^{3} \underline{2}{ }^{2}\right]$ or $m$ easure the purity of the system.

The latter can be realized by tim e reversalwhich can experim entally be im plem ented by using the extemal eld to apply a series of pulses as show $n$ in A ppendix A. Replacing $H$ by $H$ after tim $e t$ and $m$ easuring $S_{x}$ after $2 t_{c}$ the two cases can be distinguished. For the pure case one obtains alw ays the sam e outcom e $+\mathrm{N}=2$, while for a m ixture $m$ ultiple outcom es are possible. A lso the partial revivalw ith $R>1=2$ could be used to distinguish between the two cases without the di culty of imple$m$ enting $H$.
C. Im perfections in the external eld

Experim entally it is possible to adjust the phase of the $=2$ pulses very precisely, while it is $m$ uch $m$ ore di cult to exactly ful 11 the condition of having a pulse are equal to $=2$. Therefore we investigate the in uence of errors in the pulse-area of the initial $=2-p u l s e ~ o n l y$.
$T$ he radius of the $B$ loch-sphere is $N=2$, while the $w$ idth of the initial distribution is $\overline{\mathrm{N}}=2$. T hus we expect that the required precision in the pulse area scales like $1=\overline{\mathrm{N}}$. W e con m ed this expectation by num erical sim ulation for $s m$ all $N$ up to $N \quad 10^{3}$.

## V III. C O N C LU SIO N

In sum $m$ ary, we have investigated schem es which allow the creation of $m$ acroscopically entangled states $w$ ith a distance $0<\mathrm{D}<\mathrm{N}$ on tim e scales proportional to $1=\mathrm{N}$. W thin a sem iclassical approxim ation we obtained analytical estim ates for $D$ and the time $t_{c}$, which are in excellent agreem ent w ith the num erical results. W e also showed that the delity of these states can be im proved signi cantly by one axis pre-squeezing. W e estim ated the e ect of im perfections and decoherence, and believe that the presented schem e $m$ ight be used to produce $m$ acroscopically entangled states w ith present state of the art technology.
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## APPENDIX A: IM PLEMENTINGTHE HAMILTON IAN H

$F$ irst we investigate the tim e-evolution of $H$ given in Eq. (i, ) for $N$. Then we show how a continuous sequence of pulses of the extemal eld can be used to generate $H$ which is needed to im plem ent the $m$ easure$m$ ent process discussed in Sec. V IIB1. For sim plicity we consider the case $H(=0)=---S_{z}^{2}$.

For $j j j$ j , one can neglect $S_{z}^{2}$ in (ig). A pulse of angle and phase is characterized by

$$
{ }_{1}^{Z+1} j(t) j d t=>0 \quad(t)=j(t) j j^{i}
$$

and im plem ents the follow ing tim e-evolution

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{U}()=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\left[\cos () S_{x} \sin () S_{y}\right]}: \tag{A1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Itse ect corresponds geom etrically to a counterclockw ise rotation around the unit-vector $\mathrm{n}=(\cos ()$; $\sin () ; 0)$ about an angle. The inverse is found by $U()^{y}=$ $U \quad(\quad)=U_{+}()$. Since a rotation about around the $z$-axis corresponds to three pulses

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{z}()=e^{i S_{z}}=U_{0} \quad \overline{2} \quad U_{\overline{2}}() U \quad \overline{2} ;  \tag{A2}\\
& R_{z}()^{Y}=R_{z}(\quad)=U_{b} \quad \overline{2} \quad U_{\overline{2}}() U \quad \overline{2} ;
\end{align*}
$$

a rotation around an arbitrary vector $n=$ $(\sin \cos ; \sin \sin ; \cos )$ about an angle is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n}()=e^{i n} S=U \quad \overline{2} \quad \overline{2} R_{z}(\quad) U+\overline{2} \quad \overline{2}: \tag{A3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The tim e evolution operator $U$ at tim $\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{M}$ after M anbitrary rotations is given by

$$
\begin{array}{r}
U(t)=U_{p s}()^{M}=Y_{k=1}^{Y_{p s}} U_{p s}() \text { with } \\
U_{p s}()=Y_{j=1}^{Y^{J}} R_{n_{j}}(j)^{y} e^{i S_{z}^{2}=J_{R_{n j}}(j)=e^{i H} \text { eff }:}
\end{array}
$$

By com bining two rotations about around axes $\mathrm{n}_{1} ; \mathrm{n}_{2}$ $(J=2)$ we obtain $H_{e}=\left(S_{n_{1}}^{2}+S_{n_{2}}^{2}\right)=2$, since

$$
\begin{array}{r}
U_{p s}()=e^{i S_{n_{1}}^{2}=2} e^{i S_{n_{2}}^{2}=2} \quad 1 \quad \text { i } S_{n_{1}}^{2}=2 \\
1 \quad i S_{n_{1}}^{2}=2 \quad e^{i\left(S_{n_{1}}^{2}+S_{n_{2}}^{2}\right)=2} \text { (A 4) }
\end{array}
$$

to rst order in . Thus $H_{e}=H$ can be im plem ented (up to a constant) by choosing $\mathrm{n}_{1}=(1 ; 0 ; 0), \mathrm{n}_{2}=(0 ; 1 ; 0)$ and $m$ aking use of the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{2}=S_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}+S_{\mathrm{y}}^{2}+S_{\mathrm{z}}^{2}=\frac{\mathrm{N}}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~N}+2}{2}: \tag{A5}
\end{equation*}
$$

## APPEND IX B: SEM ICLASSICAL <br> CALCULATIONS

In this appendix we will derive the approxim ations of Sec. 'IVI'. F irst we derive the $m$ axim aldistance D given in Eq. (2d) for ! 0 , then we calculate the tim $e t_{c}$ given in Eq. (301) needed to create the $m$ acroscopic supenposition states. For simplicity we restrict _ ; to the intervals 0 , 1 EqS:14). Therefore we have to distinguish for the sign in the second relation of Eq. (27-1) for the two regim es of interest, i.e. for ! 1 only the + sign holds, whereas for ! > 1 both signs hold.

For ! OD corresponds to the maxim um separation along the separatrix for given , i.e.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{max} \mathrm{~N} \text { cos }:  \tag{B1}\\
{[]}
\end{gather*}
$$

U sing Eq. (2]-1 $)$ we obtain the $m$ axim um value for !

## at $=a s$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D=N \cos ^{+}{ }^{+}[=]=N^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{1}(\sin +[=\quad])^{2} \\
& =\quad \mathrm{N} \overline{\mathrm{~N}^{\mathrm{!}+\mathrm{j}!2 j^{2}}}=\mathrm{N} \overline{\mathrm{l}} \overline{(2 \quad!)} \text { : }
\end{aligned}
$$

Sim ilarly for 1 ! 2 we obtain three values for at
$=0$ given by $0=0$ and the points ofm axim um separation for the $m$ inus sign in $E q$. $\left[2 \bar{T}_{1}\right)$. T he separation is given by
$=+\quad=2 \arcsin \frac{!}{2} \frac{j!2 j}{2}$
$=2 \arcsin (!$
$1)=2 \arcsin \mathrm{P} \overline{!(2 \quad!)}$
: (B2)

N ext we calculate the time $t_{c}$ given in Eq. (3"did . U sing Eq. (14), and the conservation of energy as stated in Sec. 'IV BI we obtain $d$ =dt along the separatrix for $0 \quad=2 ; 0$, as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}=\frac{N}{2} \sin =\frac{N}{2} 1 \frac{N^{s} \overline{\cos ^{2}}}{}{ }^{2}: \tag{B3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating this ordinary di erential equation gives

where $z$ ( $t$ ) cos ( $t$ ). For a point on the separatrix at
a distance from $==2 ;=0$, i.e. the peak of the in itial distribution, $\mathrm{z}(0)$ is obtained from the relation

$$
\begin{align*}
2 & =4(\mathrm{~S} \quad \mathrm{~S}(0))^{2}=\mathrm{N}^{2}=2(1 \quad \sin \quad \cos ) \\
& =2 \cos ^{2} \quad=!=2 \mathrm{z}(0)^{2}=!: \tag{B5}
\end{align*}
$$

The tim è needed to travel from $z(0)=\frac{p}{!=2}$ to $z(t)=$ $\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{P} \overline{!(2 \quad!)}$, i.e. the point ofm axim um separation of the tw o num ber state [gaussian distributions] form ing the $m$ acroscopic superposition state ( $(23,12 \overline{4})$ is then obtained using Eq. ( $\mathbf{B}_{-1} \mathbf{I}^{1}$ ) as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{N^{P} \frac{1}{!(2 \quad!)}}{(B 6)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally using $=1=\frac{\mathrm{P}}{\mathrm{N}}$ we obtain Eq. $\left(\overline{3} \underline{O}_{-}^{\prime}\right)$.
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