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#### Abstract

In the present Letter we use the $W$ annier fiunction basis to construct lattice approxim ations of the nonlinear Schrodinger equation $w$ ith a periodic potential. $W$ e show that the nonlinear Schrodinger equation $w$ ith a periodic potential is equivalent to a vector lattice $w$ ith long-range interactions. For the case-exam ple of the cosine potential we study the validity of the so-called tight-binding approxim ation i.e., the approxim ation when nearest neighbor interactions are dom inant. T he results are relevant to B ose E instein condensate theory as well as to other physicalsystem s like, for exam ple , electrom agnetic w ave propagation in nonlinear photonic crystals. PACS num bers: $42.65 . \mathrm{k}, 42.50$. A r, 42.81 D p


Interplay between nonlinearity and periodicity is the focus of num erous recent studies in di erent branches of m odem physics. The theory of Bose E instein condensates (BEC) w ithin the fram ew ork of the $m$ ean eld approxim ation $[\underline{11}]$ is one of them. Recent interest in the e ects of periodicity in BEC's has been stim ulated by a series of rem arkable experim ents realized with BEC's placed in a potential created by a laser eld $\overline{L R}_{1}^{-1}$ (the socalled optical lattice). N onlinearity and periodicity have been observed to introduce fundam ental changes in the properties of the system. On the one hand periodicity modi es the spectrum of the underlying linear system resulting in the potential of existence of new coherent structures, which could not exist in a hom ogeneous nonlinear system. On the other hand, nonlinearity renders accum ulation and transm ission ofenergy possible in \linearly" forbidden frequency dom ains; this, in tum, results in eld localization. This situation is fairly general and can be found in other applications, such as the theory of electrom agnetic wave propagation in periodic m edia (so-called photonic crystals) [-3].

The study of nonlinear evolution equations w ith periodic coe cients is a challenging and interdisciplinary problem. This problem cannot be solved exactly in the general case and thus gives rise to various approxim ate approaches. O ne of them, borrowed from the theory of solid state [ $[\underline{4}]$, is the reduction of a continuous evolution problem to a lattioe problem (i.e., reduction of a partial di erential equation to a di erential-di erence one). It tums out that the relation betw een the properties of periodic and discrete problem $s$ is indeed rather deep (for a recent discussion of the relevant connections see e.g., [Eַ'] and references therein) . Follow ing the solid state term inology here we w ill refer to a discrete approxim ation when only nearest neighbor interactions are taken into
account as a tight-binding m odel. T his m odel has recently been em ployed in the description of BEC in an optical lattice [ $\left.{ }^{[6]}\right]$. O ne of the advantages of the lattioe approach is that it allows one to obtain strongly localized con gurations, the so-called intrinsic localized m odes (ㅍM ) (also called breathers) []$\left._{1}\right]$ in a rather sim ple way. These entities correspond to gap solitons of the original continuum $m$ odel. In the above $m$ entioned works a form al analysis has been provided, using a basis of functions strongly localized about the $m$ in im a of the periodic potential. This basis, how ever, has not been presented explicitly and even its existence has not been established.

In this work we propose to use $W$ annier-fiunction (W F) $[4] 1,1]$ as a com plete set of functions localized near the $m$ inim a of the potential, to reduce the evolution of a nonlinear partialdi erentialequation $w$ th periodic coe cients to a nonlinear lattice. W F has recently been used both in connection w ith BEC in optical lattioes $[\overline{9}]$ and in connection to gap solitons in nonlinear photonic crystals [1]. In our case th is approach leads to a vector set of lattioe equations. These lattioe equations exactly correspond to the original continuum problem and the scalar tight-binding approxim ation can be deduced from them under some speci c conditions. C hecking these conditions one can analyse the applicability of the tight-binding $m$ odel. In particular, we argue that although the $\Pi$ M 's reported in [G] do exist, their dynam ics and stability $m$ ust be studied w thin the fram ew ork of a m ore general vector-lattice equation.

Being interested in BEC applications we base our analysis on the ubiquitous example of the nonlinear Schrodinger equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \frac{@}{@ t}=\frac{@^{2}}{@ x^{2}}+V(x)+j \frac{2}{J} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=1$ and $V(x)$ is a periodic potentialV $(x+L)=$

V (x) $\left.{ }^{[1} \underline{4}_{1}^{1}\right]$. C onsider the eigenvalue problem associated w th (1, ${ }^{1}$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} \prime_{k}}{d x^{2}}+V(x)_{k ;}^{\prime}=E \quad(k)^{\prime}{ }_{k} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ' $k$; has B loch ( F loquet) functions ( $\left.\mathrm{BF}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}\right)^{\prime}{ }_{k}{ }_{k}$; $=$ $e^{i k x} u_{k}$; $(x) ; w$ th $u_{k}$; ( $x$ ) periodic $w$ ith period $L$; and is an index which labels energy bands $E \quad(\mathrm{k})$. A s is well known, $[$ the energy as a Fourier series
where an asterisk stands for com plex con jugation and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\imath}_{\mathrm{n}} ;=\frac{L^{Z}}{2}=\mathrm{L} \quad E \quad(k) e^{i k n L} d k: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The BF's constitute an orthogonal basis. H ow ever, for our purposes it is $m$ ore convenient to use the W $F^{\prime}$ 's instead of the B F's. W e recall that the W F centered around the position $n L$ ( $n$ is an integer) and corresponding to the band is de ned as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{w}(\mathrm{x} \quad \mathrm{~nL})=\frac{\mathrm{L}}{\mathrm{~L}}^{\mathrm{Z}}=\mathrm{L} \quad{ }_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{k}} ; \quad(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{inkL}} \mathrm{dk} \text { : } \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

C onversely,

Sim ilarly to BF's, they form a complete orthonorm al ( $w$ ith respect to both n and ) set of functions, which, by properly choosing the phase of the BF's in ( be $m$ ade real and exponentially decaying at in nity $\overline{\underline{\beta}} \overline{1}$. In what follows we assum e that this choige is $m$ ade: $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{n}} ;(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{n}} ;(\mathrm{x})$. D ue to com pleteness of $\mathrm{W} \mathrm{F}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$, any solution of (1-1) can be expressed in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x ; t)=\int_{n}^{x} c_{n} ;(t) w_{n} ;(x) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which after substitution in (11) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& i \frac{d c_{n}}{d t}={ }^{X} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}_{1}} ; \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}}} ;+ \\
& \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}_{1} ;} \mathrm{C}_{\left.\mathrm{n}_{2} ;{ }_{2} \mathrm{C}_{3} ;{ }_{3} \mathrm{~W}^{\mathrm{nn}_{1} \mathrm{n}_{2} \mathrm{n}_{3}} \begin{array}{r}
1 \\
2
\end{array}\right)}  \tag{8}\\
& \text { 1; 2; } 3 \mathrm{n}_{1} ; \mathrm{n}_{2} ; \mathrm{n}_{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where
are overlapping $m$ atrix elem ents. Since $W$ F's are real,
 tions within the groups of indices ( ; 1; 2; 3) and
$\left(\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{n}_{1} ; \mathrm{n}_{2} ; \mathrm{n}_{3}\right)$. Eq. (8, $\left.\overline{\mathrm{B}}\right)$ can be viewed as a vector discrete nonlinear Schrodinger ( $D \mathrm{NLS}$ ) equation for $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}=\operatorname{col}\left(\mathrm{c}_{1} ; \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n} 2} ;:::\right) \mathrm{w}$ th long-range interactions. In its general form, Eq. (敫) is not solvable; how ever it allow s reductions to sim pler lattices in a num ber of im portant special cases. Below we list som e of them.
(i) If the coe cients of the Fourier series ( $\begin{aligned} & \overline{3}) \\ & 1,1\end{aligned}$ decay rapidly and $\mathrm{j}_{1} ; j j_{n}{ }_{n} ; j n>1$ one can neglect longrange interaction term $s$ in the linear part of $q$. ( $\left.\bar{\delta}_{-1} \bar{l}^{\prime}\right)$ taking into account nearest neighbors only.
(ii) Since $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{n}}$; $(\mathrm{x})$ is localized and centered around $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{nL}$, one can assume that in som e cases am ong all the coe cients $W \underset{1}{n} n_{1} n_{2} n_{3}$ those $w$ ith $n=n_{1}=n_{2}=n_{3}$ are dom inant and other term s can be neglected. T hen, taking into account points (i), (ii) one arrives at the equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& i \frac{d c_{n}}{d t}=\Lambda_{0} ; C_{n} ;+\Gamma_{1} ; \quad\left(C_{n} 1 ;+c_{n}+1 ;\right)+ \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

which degenerates into the tight-binding $m$ odel $[\underline{\underline{6}]}]$

$$
\begin{align*}
& i \frac{d c_{n}}{d t}=r_{0} ; C_{n} ;+r_{1} ;\left(C_{1} 1 ;+c_{n+1} ;\right) \\
& +W{ }_{1111}^{n n n} \dot{J}_{n} ; ~ f{ }^{f} C_{n} ; \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

if one restricts consideration to the band only. N ote that w ithin the single band approxim ation, Eq. (11-1) can be generalized by including next nearest neighbor overlapping tem s from Eq. $\overline{1} \mathbf{1}$, , thus leading to the $m$ ixing of on-site and intra-site nonlinearities of the sam e type as in the model introduced in [ $\left.\overline{1}_{1}^{1}\right]$. It should also be
 independent of $n$.
(iii) In the general case, however, single band descriptions can become inadequate (see below) due to resonant interband interactions induced by nonlinearity (this is quite di erent from linear solid state physics where interband transitions are usually induced by extemal forces). In this case Eq. (10 ${ }^{-1}$ ) can be further sim pli ed by supposing that the periodic potential depends on som e param eter : $V(x) \quad V(x)$, such that $r_{1} ; \quad r_{1} ;()=O()$ when ! 0. A fter the transform ation $c_{n}$; ( $t$ ) $=\operatorname{expfi}^{\uparrow_{0}}$; tgen; ( $t$ ) one arrives at the equation for $e_{n}$; $w$ th explicit dependence on $t$ in the nonlinear term $s$ in the form of oscillating exponents
 be sm all enough. Then on the tim escale $1=$ these exponents are rapidly oscillating unless $=2 ; 1=3$ or $=3$; $1=2$ Then, denoting $\mathrm{W} \underset{1}{\mathrm{nnnn}} \mathrm{W}_{1}$ (the coe cients $W \quad{ }_{1}$ do not depend on $n$ and describes interband interactions), and using tim e averaging techniques $\left.[1] \frac{1}{3}\right]$, one can reduce the lattice equation (1] the form

$$
\begin{align*}
i \frac{d e_{\mathrm{n}}}{\mathrm{dt}}=\mathrm{r}_{1} ;\left(e_{\mathrm{n} 1 ;}\right. & \left.+e_{\mathrm{n}+1 ;}\right)+ \\
& +\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{W}}^{\mathrm{W}}{ }_{1} \dot{\mathrm{e}}_{\mathrm{n}} ; 1 \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{n}} ; \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

This is a vector DNLS equation with coupling betw een bands of the cross phase $m$ odulation type [15]. To investigate IHM solutions in the $W$ annier representation we can restrict to the scalar case described by Eq. (111) for which construction of IIM 's is well-established [i]l. IIM 's $w$ th $m$ ultiple com ponents of $e_{n}$; populated can also be constructed (see below).

Several com m ents about the above assum ptions are in order. Firstly, the latter im ply that the procedure of reduction of the NLS w th periodic coe cients to a lattice is a multistep process, and thus di erent lattioes will appear for di erent regions of the param eters. Secondly, for the reduction to be consistent, the param eters of the problem $m$ ust provide us $w$ th a sm all param $e$ ter. $T$ hus the largest of the quantities $\digamma_{n} ;=\digamma_{1} ; \quad(n>1)$
 param eter of the problem. This, in particular, $m$ eans that sim pli cation of the lattice equation, and hence the reasoning for the reduction to a lattice $m$ odel, are ( $p o-$ tentially) not alw ays available for allparam etric regim es, and $m$ ust be veri ed for each $m$ odel.

In the present Letter we study the validity of the above assum ptions for Eq. [ $\left[\underline{I}_{1}^{1}\right) \mathrm{w}$ ith the potential $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{x})=$ A $\cos (2 x)$ (which corresponds to the typical experim ental setting for BEC in optical lattioes $\left[\begin{array}{l}2 \\ \hline 1\end{array}\right]$. In this case Eq. $\left(\mathbf{L}_{1}\right)$ is the $M$ athieu equation. Table I show s the coe cients $\uparrow_{\mathrm{n}}$; for the three low est energy bands for $\mathrm{A}=1$ and $A=15$.

|  | $\mathrm{A}=$ |  |  | $\mathrm{A}=$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| n | $=1$ | $=2$ | $=3$ | $=1$ | $=2$ | $=3$ |
| 0 | 0.1305 | 2.465 | 6.3604 | -9.7862 | 0.0421 | 8.4659 |
| 1 | -0.1428 | 0.5426 | -1.0067 | -0.0005 | 0.0151 | -0.1798 |
| 2 | 0.0204 | 0.0784 | 0.0529 | 0.0000 | 0.0001 | 0.0091 |
| 3 | -0.0048 | 0.0481 | -0.1107 | -0.0000 | 0.0000 | -0.0008 |
| 4 | 0.0014 | 0.0225 | 0.0140 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0001 |

TABLE I. The rst ve Fourier coe cients $\uparrow \mathrm{n}$ of the lowest energy bands for tw o values of the am plitude potential.

In general, one can conclude that the greater $\mathcal{A} j$ is, the better the linear part obeys the nearest neighbour approxim ation, which is intuitively expected since the probability of tunelling between neighbor potential wells decreases $w$ th the am plitude of the potential. At the sam e time, if $A$ is xed the coe cients ! $n ;, n=0 ; 1 ;:$ decay faster for low er bands. T he results ilhustrate, that the nearest neighbor approxim ation works for both potential am plitudes, while the averaging resulting in (12) is applicable for $\mathrm{A}=15$ but not for $\mathrm{A}=1$. The reason is that in the latter case the frequencies of oscillating exponents in (iii) are of order of ! $1_{\text {; }}$.

M oving to assum ption (ii), let us introduce the follow ing notation. W e denote by $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{n}}{ }_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{I}$ ) the num ber of coe cients $W \underset{1}{0} n_{1} n_{2} n_{3}, \eta_{i} j n, j n, i ; j=1 ; 2 ; 3$ (the coe cients $w$ ith perm uted indioes are regarded as di erent) such that $\ddagger \underset{1}{0} \mathrm{H}_{1} \mathrm{n}_{2} \mathrm{n}_{3} j>$. As it is clear plays the role of the sm all param eter of the second condition, and $N^{n}{ }_{m}$ ( ) gives the num ber of sites/zones necessary to take into account for $m$ aintaining the given accuracy. In the cases of the am plitudes $A=1$ and $A=15$ we have obtained that $N_{1 ; 1}^{n}(0: 1)=N_{1 ; 1}^{n}(0: 01)=1$ for $\mathrm{n}=1$;:::; 5 . For $\mathrm{N}_{1, \mathrm{~m}}^{\mathrm{n}}(0: 1)$ and $\mathrm{N}_{1, \mathrm{~m}}^{\mathrm{n}}(0: 01)$, see Table II.

| A | n | $\mathrm{N}_{1 ; 2}^{\mathrm{n}}(0: 1)$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1 ; 2}^{\mathrm{n}}(0: 01)$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1 ; 3}^{\mathrm{n}}(0: 1)$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1 ; 3}^{\mathrm{n}}(0: 01)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 13 |
|  | 1 | 4 | 48 | 7 | 219 |
|  | 2 | 4 | 54 | 7 | 249 |
|  | $3 ; 4$ | 4 | 60 | 7 | 303 |
|  | 5 | 4 | 60 | 7 | 339 |
|  | 0 | 4 | 4 | 13 | 14 |
|  | 1 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 13 |

TABLE II. The values $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{n}}{ }_{; \mathrm{m}}$ ().
Table III presents the overlapping coe cientsW ${ }_{1}$ for tw o values of the am plitude of the cos-like potential.

| A | W $_{11}$ | W $_{22}$ | W $_{33}$ | W $_{12}$ | W $_{13}$ | W $_{23}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -1 | 0.375 | 0.240 | 0.173 | 0.182 | 0.152 | 0.142 |
| -15 | 0.892 | 0.623 | 0.473 | 0.417 | 0.262 | 0.326 |

TABLE III. O verlapping coe cients $W \quad{ }_{1}$.
It follows from Tables II and III that, in general one cannot neglect the contribution of the W F of the highest zones. H ow ever, one can show that them odel (111) can be successfully used to describe bright $m$ onochrom atic G S solutions of ( $[\underline{1})$ ) of the form $\quad(t ; x)=e^{i!} \mathrm{t} u(x)$. An exam ple is shown in Fig. 覓 for (the \interm ediate" betw een the above presented ones case of $A=5 . T$ he two panels show the cases of $!=1: 5$ (left panels) and $!=1: 5$ (right panels), for $=1$. The top panels show the com parison of the exact solution (show $n$ by solid line) of Eq. (11) w ith the reconstructed pro le obtained from solving Eq. (12 $\overline{1})$ and using Eq. $\left(\overline{7}_{1}\right)$. The relevant pro les in the tight binding approxim ation are show $n$ by dashed line, while in the right panel (where the one band approxim ation is less accurate), the 3-band approxim ation is also show $n$ by dash-dotted line. T he bottom panels show in a sem ilog plot the square $m$ odulus of the con gurations of the top panels as well as, additionally, by dotted line the result of tim e evolution (fort 50) of Eq. ${ }^{(1)}(\mathbf{t})$ w ith the tight binding approxim ation as the initial condition of the sim ulation. O ne can straightforw ardly observe that the approxim ate solution \reshapes" itself into the exact solution (possibly shedding som e very sm all am plitude radiation wakes in the process). $T$ his dem onstrates that
the $m$ ethod can be used very e ciently to construct (approxim ate) solutions of the original PDE, by using the lattioe reduction in the $W \mathrm{~F}$ representation.


FIG.1. C om parison of the lattice reconstructed solution in the tight binding (dashed line) and the 3 -band (dash-dotted line) approxim ation $w$ ith the exact solution (solid line). The com parison is perform ed for $A=5$ and ! $=1: 5$ (left panels) and $A=5,!=1: 5$ (right panels). In the bottom (sem ilog) panels additionally the result ofdynam icaltim e evolution of the tightbinding approxim ation is show $n$ by the dotted line. T he latter can be seen to approach, as tim e evolves (the shown snapshots are fort 50), the shape of the exact solution (in the left panel it can actually not be distinguished from it) and to $m$ atch its asym ptotics, possibly shedding sm all w akes of low am plitude w ave radiation in the process (see e.g., the bottom right panel).

Let us retum now to the requirem ent (iii) and argue that choosing the sm all param eter as $=\lambda_{A} j^{1}$ one can provide averaging of (1, (1,) in the $\lim$ 止 A! $1 . \mathrm{N}$ am ely, we claim that
(a) If is xed and A ! 1 then

$$
\left.r_{0} ; \quad A+(2 \quad 1)^{p} \bar{A}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
(2 & 1
\end{array}\right)+1\right)=8:
$$

If $A$ is xed then $\uparrow_{0}$; tends to in nity as grows;
(b) If is xed then the value $\uparrow_{1}$; tends to zero faster than any pow er of $1=\neg \Rightarrow j$ at the same time if $A$ is xed then ${ }^{1} 1$; tends to in nity as grow $s$;
(c) If is xed and $A$ ! 1 the $W$ annier fiunctions can be approxim ated by the form ula

W0;

$$
\frac{P}{\frac{1}{4}} \frac{(2 \nexists A)^{\frac{1}{8}}}{21(1)!} e^{P \frac{j A j}{2} x^{2}} H \quad 1 \quad(2 \nrightarrow A)^{\frac{1}{4}} x
$$

where $H_{k}(y)$ are $H$ erm ite polynom ials. This is a natural consequence of the fact that for su cently low levels the potential can be well approxim ated by the parabolic one;
(d) The coe cients $W \underset{1}{\mathrm{nn}_{1} \mathrm{n}_{2} \mathrm{n}_{3}} \mathrm{w}$ th di erent $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}_{1}, \mathrm{n}_{2}$ and $n_{3}$ tend to zero as A! ! 1 and at the sametime
 pend on A and can be expressed explicitly through the integrals of products of H em ite polinom ials [12].

Taking into account (a)-(d), $m$ aking the substitution
 oscillations one arrives at (121) w ith $\mathrm{W}{ }_{1}=\mathrm{K}{ }_{1}{ }_{1}$.

To conclude we have show n how to derive lattice models which approxim ate e ciently nonlinear partial di erential equations w ith periodic coe cients. This analysis gives the possibility to control the validity of the tightbinding approxim ation. In particular, we have shown that in a large region of param eter space, for the cos-like potential, one cannot restrict consideration to the low est band. This is due to interband transitions originating from the nonlinearity (a situation very di erent from the one known in the (linear) solid-state physics, where the interband transitions occur due to e ect of perturbations). H ow ever, there exist param eter ranges where w ith reasonably high accuracy the atom ic w ave function (that is a bright gap soliton of the 1D NLS equation) is approxim ated by a single $W$ F. Such a state $w i l l$ form a \W annier-solton" that should also be experim entally observable. It should be highlighted that the use of the W F basis allow s one to test, extend and im prove the tightbinding approxim ation, in a controllable and system atic fashion by accounting for higher order term $s$ in the $W$ annier expansion. M oreover, there is a com putational gain when com puting with a discrete system with respect to the corresponding cost for a much nerm esh (needed to resolve the original continuous system ). W hile this gain $m$ ay not be overly signi cant in one dim ension, it $m$ ay prove quite usefiul in tackling higher dim ensional problem s.

It should be stressed that even though developed for a speci c, physically relevant (to optical lattioes in BEC) setting, the approach presented here is very general and directly applicable to num erous other physical problem s including the description of solitary wave propagation through one-dim ensional photonic crystals, [1] ] chem icalreactions on periodic catalytic substrates, $\left[1 \bar{I}_{1}\right]$ or even population dynam ics in appropriately heterogeneous substrates. [18'].
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