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#### Abstract

W e present a 1D e ective $m$ odel for the evolution of a cigar-shaped Bose $E$ instein condensate in tim e dependent potentials whose radial com ponent is harm onic. $W$ e apply this $m$ odel to investigate the dynam ics and expansion of condensates in 1D optical lattices, by com paring our predictions w ith recent experim ental data and theoretical results. W e also discuss negative-m ass e ects which could be probed during the expansion of a condensate $m$ oving in an optical lattioe.


PACS num bers: $03.75 \mathrm{Fi}, 05.30 \mathrm{Jp}$

## I. INTRODUCTION

The realization of B ose E instein condensates (BECs) in 1D optical lattices provides an im portant tool to investigate the super uid and coherence properties ofm acroscopic quantum system s. R ecent experim ents have allow ed to probe static and dynam ic features of these sys-
 of squeezed states $\left[3_{1}^{1}\right]$, the transition from super uid to
 ence pattems produced by freely expanding condensates


T hese results have stim ulated a great interest also from the theoretical point of view, and several m odels have been proposed to interpret the experim ental data. In particular, new analytic results have been derived in the so called \tightbinding regim e", based on the assum ption that the condensate $\underline{w}$ ave functions in di erent sites are


A very im portant point would be to com pare these results w ith the num erical solution of the full 3D G rossP itaevskii equation (GPE) that describes the dynam ics of BECs at very low tem peratures, allow ing also for the exploration of the w eak binding regim e. H ow ever, in general the solution of the 3D GPE is a form idable task that su ers severe lim itations due to the large $m$ em ory storage and com putationaltim es required to sim ulate the experim ental con gurations. To overcom e these di culties the GPE is usually reduced to a 1D equation by means of a static renorm alization of the inter-atom ic scattering
 are e ectively one-dim ensional. This allow s for a realistic description of the trapped axial dynam ics, but at the cost of loosing any inform ation on the radial degrees of freedom. In general this reduction is indeed too rude, since the transverse dim ensions actually play a crucial roleboth during the expansion, w hen the 1D constraint is rem oved, and during the trapped dynam ics, w here the intenplay betw een the axialand radialcom ponents is neces-

[^0]sary to account for collective excitations, e.g. quadnupole oscillations.

R ecently the authors of [131] have proposed an e ective 1D w ave-equation for BECs con ned in cylindrically sym $m$ etric potentials, by using a di erent approach that keeps track also of the transverse dim ensions of the condensate. The derivation is based on a variationalA nsatz and the wave fiunction of the condensate is factorized in the product of an axial com ponent and a gaussian radial one. The axial wave function satis es a 1D non polynom ial Schrodinger equation (NPSE) that gives re$m$ arkable results for the axialground-state and dynam ics of cigar-shaped condensates in cylindrically sym metric traps. H ow ever, the derivation in [13] is based on the assum ption of a static harm onic radial con nem ent, and therefore the NP SE is not suitable to study the dynam ics under variation of the trapping potential, in particular the ballistic expansion of the condensate after being released from the trap.

In this paper we present a new model that com bines the idea of gaussian factorization w ith the unitary scaling and gauge transform ations of [14, [1] [1] allow ing for a suitable description of both the transverse and longitudinal evolution of elongated BECs in the presence of a tim e-dependent harm onic potential plus an arbitrary axial com ponent. T he above transform ations are used to reabsorb the evolution due to the tim e variations of the ham onic potential, the latter being replaced by an e ective con nem ent that $m$ akes feasible a gaussian factorization even in the case of a sudden release of the extemal con nem ent. The evolution of the system is described by an e ective 1D GPE that is dynam ically rescaled (drG P E ) by m eans of the transform ations of [14, 115$] . D e-$ spite the one dim ensional character of this equation, the $m$ odel is capable to account for the transverse dynam ics through an algebraic equation for the radial $w$ idth coupled to the dr-G PE.Therefore this m odel represents an usefiultoolto describe both the dynam ics and the expansion of BECs in elongated geom etries.

The P aper is organized as follows. In Section II we present the derivation of the dr-GPE, and in Section 'IIIA', we discuss its properties in the presence of a pure har$m$ onic potential, by $m$ aking a com parison $w$ ith analytical results in the T hom asFerm $i$ lim it. In Section IIIBi
we use the dr-GPE to discuss the dynam ics and expansion of BECS in the presence of 1D optical lattioes, by com paring its predictions $w$ ith recent experim ental and
 to probe negative-m ass e ects during the expansion of a condensate in the presence of an optical lattioe.

## II. THEMODEL

W e consider a condensate con ned in a tim e-dependent ham onic trapping potential $U_{h o} w$ th an additionalaxial com ponent $U_{1 D}$

$$
\begin{align*}
U(r ; t) & =U_{h o}(r ; t)+U_{1 D}\left(r_{z} ; t\right)  \tag{1}\\
& =\frac{1}{2} m!{ }_{?}^{2}(t) r_{?}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} m!{ }_{z}^{2}(t) r_{z}^{2}+U_{1 D}\left(r_{z} ; t\right):
\end{align*}
$$

The G ross $P$ itaevskiiequation for the wave-function _ of the condensate can be derived from the functional [1]]

$$
S[]=\begin{gather*}
z \quad z  \tag{2}\\
d t d^{3} r \quad \text { ih } @_{t}+\frac{h^{2}}{2 m} r^{2} \quad U \quad \frac{g N}{2} j j^{2} .4
\end{gather*}
$$

where N is the number of condensed atom $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{g}=$ $4 h^{2} a=m$ the coupling strength, $m$ the atom ic $m$ ass and a the inter-atom ic scattering length.

In order to account for the tim e-dependent variations of the con ning potential it is convenient to apply the
 fore we introduce a rescaled wave function ${ }^{\sim}(x ; t)$, depending on the rescaled spatialcoordinates $x_{i} \quad r_{i}={ }_{i}(t)$ and related to the true wave function $(r ; t)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(r ; t)=e^{\frac{i m}{2 h}}{ }^{P} r_{j}^{2} \frac{f(t)}{j(t)} \underset{\sim}{\sim} \frac{\left(f r_{j}=e_{j}(t) g ; t\right)}{1(t) 2(t) 3(t)}: \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The scaling param eters $j(t)$ are solutions of $\left[\underline{1}_{1}^{-1}\right]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
j(t)=\frac{!_{j}^{2}(0)}{j_{j}(t) 1_{1}(t) 2_{2}(t) 3_{3}(t)} \quad!_{j}^{2}(t) j_{j}(t) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith the initial conditions $(0)=1$ and $-(0)=0$.
$T$ he use of this scaling equations has tw o advantages: (i) the evolution of $\sim$ due to the variation of the harm onic trapping potential is $m$ ostly absorbed by the scaling and
gauge transform $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[7]}\end{array}\right]$ (in the $T$ hom asFerm ilim it $j^{\sim} j$ is frozen to its in itial value); (ii) the rescaled wave function ~ alv ays evolves in the presence of a ctitious harm onic con nem ent, that depends on the trapping frequencies at $t=0$, and therefore the gaussian factorization is applicable even in the case ofballistic expansion, w hen the real trapping is tumed $\circ$.

In case of elongated condensates we can obtain a 1D e ective m odeliby factorizing the rescaled wave function $\sim(x ; t)$ in the product of an axial com ponent $\sim_{(z ; t)}$ and a gaussian radial com ponent ${ }^{\sim}(x ; y ; t ;(z ; t))$, allow ing for an exact integration over the transverse coordinates [12 2 , , 12

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sim(x ; t)=\sim(x ; y ; t ; \quad(z ; t)) \sim(z ; t)  \tag{5}\\
\sim(x ; y ; \quad(z ; t))=p-e^{1} \quad\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)=2^{2} \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

w th the nom alization conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z \quad Z Z \\
& d z j^{\sim}(z ; t) \jmath=1=\quad d x d y \tilde{\jmath}(x ; y ;){ }^{\jmath}: \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

W ith this choice the transverse size of ${ }^{\sim}$ is characterized by the w idth $(z ; t)$ that is expected to be a slow ly varying function of tim $e$, since $m$ ost of the evolution due to the variation of the trapping potential is absorbed by the
 even the true wave function can be expressed in the factorized form

$$
\begin{equation*}
(r ; t)=\left(r_{x} ; r_{y} ; t ;\left(r_{z} ; t\right)\right) \quad\left(r_{z} ; t\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose transverse width is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r_{z} ; t\right) \quad ?(t) \quad\left(r_{z}=z_{z} ; t\right): \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equations of $m$ otion for ${ }^{\sim}$ and can be derived by using a variational procedure from the action $(\overline{2})$, integrating out the dependence on ${ }^{\sim}$. The problem can be further sim pli ed in the so called \slow ly varying approxim ation", that is when the contribution given by the axial variations of the radial wave function ${ }^{\sim}$ is negligible, $r^{2 \sim} \quad r_{?}^{2} \sim[13]$. In this approxim ation the action becom es

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Z Z } \\
& S[]^{\prime} \quad d t d z \sim(z) \quad i h @_{t}+\frac{h^{2}}{2 m} \frac{1}{2} r_{z}^{2} \quad \frac{m!_{z}^{2}(0)}{2} \frac{z^{2}}{z_{?}^{2}} \quad U_{1 D}(z z ; t) \\
& \frac{h^{2}}{2 m} \frac{1}{2 \underset{?}{2}} \frac{m!?}{2}(0) \frac{2}{z_{?}^{2}} \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{g N}{2} \frac{j^{\sim}{ }^{2}}{z_{?}^{2}} \quad \sim(z) \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

where it is possible to distinguish the contributions of the gaussian integration to the kinetic and potential energy (respectively the tem sproportional to $1={ }^{2}$ and ${ }^{2}$ ). The requirem ent of stationarity of this functional yields the
equations for ~

$$
\text { in }_{\mathrm{t}} \sim(\mathrm{z})=\frac{h^{2}}{2 m} \frac{1}{2} r_{z}^{2}+\frac{h^{2}}{2 m} \frac{1}{2 \underset{?}{2}}+U_{1 D}\left({ }_{z} z ; t\right)+\frac{1}{z_{?}^{2}} \frac{m!_{z}^{2}(0)}{2} z^{2}+\frac{m!!_{?}^{2}(0)}{2}{ }^{2}+\frac{g N}{2} j^{\sim} \sim \tilde{J} \quad \sim(z)(11)
$$

and for

$$
\begin{equation*}
(z ; t)=a a_{4}^{q} \overline{z(t)+2 a N j^{\sim}(z ; t) f^{2}} ; \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{\text {? }}$ is dep ned through the harm onic frequency at $t=0$ by $a_{\text {? }}$ radialw idth of the true wave function is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left(r_{z} ; t\right)=a_{?} \quad ?(t)^{p_{4}} \overline{z(t)\left(1+2 a N j \quad\left(r_{z} ; t\right)\right\}}\right): \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

 Schrodinger equation for ${ }^{\sim}$, depending on the param eters j Solution of Eq. ( $\overline{4}_{1}^{1}$ ), that we call dynam ically rescaled $G$ ross $P$ itaevskiiequation ( $\mathrm{dr}-\mathrm{G} P \mathrm{E}$ ). T his equation is energy conserving and requires the sam e com putationaleffort of a sim ple 1D -GPE, since the num erical solution of Eq. (4) is straightforw ard. In the particular case of a tim e-independent harm onic potentialEqs. (11 $\bar{I}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) and (12) reduce to the NPSE of [1]
$T$ he contribution due to the transverse part of the energy, that has been neglected w ithin the approxim ation $r^{2 \sim} \quad r_{?}^{2} \sim$, can be estim ated by considering the integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{h^{2}}{2 m} h j r_{r_{z}} \quad \jmath i=\frac{h^{2}}{2 m} \frac{h j r_{z} \sim \mathcal{\jmath} i}{z_{z}^{2}}=\frac{h^{2}}{2 m{ }_{z}^{2}} \quad d z \frac{\varrho}{2} j^{\sim} \sim \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0 \quad Q_{2}$. W e have veri ed that, in the case of a generaltim e-dependent harm onic trapping, the contribution of this term is a negligible fraction of the totalenergy (less than 0:1\%) in a w ide range of trap anisotropies $!_{z}=!$ ? and num ber of atom $s N$. Aswe show in the next Section, even in the presence of an optical lattice along the axialdirection, where the density can show deep m odulations on a short scale, the $m$ odel gives quite accurate results.

In the rest of the paper we consider som e applications of the $m$ odel and $m$ ake com parisons $w$ ith analytical results in the $T$ hom asFerm i (TF) lim it, full 3D num ericalsolutions of the GPE and experim ental results, where available. T he param eters are chosen in the range oftypicalexperim ents at LENS $\bar{\sim}$ condensates form ed by $21^{\frac{1}{0}} 0 \quad \mathrm{~N} \quad 2$ 年目tom sof $^{87} \mathrm{Rb}$ in a cigar-shaped con guration $w$ ith axialand radialhar$m$ onic trapping frequencies respectively $!_{z}=2 \quad 9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and ! ? $=2 \quad 92 \mathrm{~Hz}$.

To num erically solve the $m$ odel, we use a $R$ unge-K utta algorithm for the scaling equations and a FFT split-step $m$ ethod for the $d r-G P E$ evolution, mapping the wave
 state of the system is found by using a standard im aginary tim e evolution [1]


FIG. 1: C om parison of the $d r-G P E$ and TF predictions for the radial size of a condensate in a pure harm onic potential as a function of the num ber of atom $s N$. The shift between the tw o curves is expected since the TF approxim ation truncates the tails of the w ave function, leading to a system atic underestim ate of the ground state size, especially for sm allN .

## III. A P PLICATION S

## A. H arm on ic trapping

W e start by considering the case of a condensate conned in a pure ham onic potential. A s discussed in the ground state axialpro le given by the gaussian factorization ( $\overline{-}$ ) reproduces the fill 3D solution much better than other know $n$ 1D m odel in both the weakly and strongly (T F) interacting regin es. The m odel also provides reasonable results for the radial pro le: the gaussian A nsatz, though slightly overestim ating the central pensity, gives a prediction for the m s radius $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{rm}} \mathrm{s}$
$\mathrm{hr}_{\text {? }}^{2}$ i really close to the analytical TF result even_in case of very large num ber of atom $s$, as show $n$ in $F$ ig. $1 \mathbf{1} 1 \mathbf{1}$.

A rem arkable feature of the $d r-G P E$ is the possibility to describe the interplay between longitudinal and transverse oscillations induced by m odulations of both the axial and radial part of the trapping potential. To illustrate this aspect we consider the low-lying collective excitations induced by a sudden variation of the transverse extemal con nem ent. In particular we start w ith a condensate in the ground state of an anisotropic trap w ith !? $=2 \quad 92 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and, at $\mathrm{t}=0$, we suddenly sw itch the transverse frequency to a nal value of!? $=280$ $\mathrm{H} z$, thus inducing shape oscillations involving both the radial and axial directions. For very elongated conden-


FIG.2: Evolution of the axial ( $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{rm}}$, top) and radial $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{rm}} \mathrm{s}\right.$, bottom ) sizes of a condensate perform ing shape oscillations, respectively obtained solving the dr-G P E and the T F Eqs. ( 4 ( ${ }^{1}$ ) and (15) : in both graphs it is possible to observe the supenposition of the quadrupole and the faster transverse breathing frequencies $\left(\mathbb{N}=210^{5},!_{\mathrm{z}}=2 \quad 8: 7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$.
sates in the TF regim e, these oscillations are characterized by the pluadrupole and transverse breath ing frequencies, $!^{\ominus}=\overline{5=2}!_{z}$ and $!^{\mathrm{TB}}=2!$ ? respectively [1] ]. In Fig. (respectively $R_{r m s}$ and $Z_{r m s} \quad \overline{h z^{2} i}$ ), com paring the $d r-G P E$ predictions $w$ th the TF values. The agreem ent is rem arkably good forboth the frequency and am plitude of the oscillations; the slight shift betw een the tw o curves is expected, due to the truncation of the tails of the $w$ ave function in the TF approxim ation (see Fig. (1'1) . D espite the 1D (axial) character of the dr-G PE,F ig. the equation wellm im ics the predicted coupling betw een the axialand radial degrees of freedom. W e have checked that the sam e behavior can be reproduced by changing the axial trapping instead of the radial one, or by using a resonant drive.

A nother $m$ ain di erence betw een our $m$ odel and any static 1D renom alization of the GPE (1D-GPE) com es out when we consider the ballistic expansion of a condensate suddenly released from the trapping potential. In fact, in the typical experim ental regim es the free expansion of a condensate is govemed by the TF equations [1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
q \overline{\operatorname{hr}_{i}^{2} i_{i_{F}}}(t)=i_{i}(t) \overline{h r_{i}^{2} i_{I_{F}}}(0) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i(t)$ are solutions ofE q. ( $\left.\overline{4_{1}^{\prime}}\right)$ with $!_{z}(t)=!$ ? ( $t$ ) $=$ 0 . In the case of a 1D GPE a sim ilar relation holds for


FIG.3: Axialand radial sizes (respectively $Z_{r m}$ and $R_{r m}$ ) of the condensate during a free expansion from a pure har$m$ on ic potential, as obtained $w$ ith the dr-GPE and $w$ ith in the TF approxim ation in 1D and 3D.
the axialsize, exœept that now the only scaling param eter obeys

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 D(t)=\frac{!_{z}^{2}(0)}{2} \quad!_{z}^{2}(t) \quad 1 D \quad(t): \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

O ne imm ediate consequence of Eq. (1-9) is that in the TF lim it a 1D GPE equation obtained through a sim ple renorm alization of the coupling constant, although suited to reproduce the (axial) ground state of the system, in general overestim ates the repulsive character of the interaction during the expansion. This is shown in Fig. densate as obtained from the dr-GPE and the 1D and 3D TF scaling. The nice agreem ent betw een the dr-GPE solution and the full dim ensional case is due to the use of the scaling equations and to the dynam ically reduced nonlinearity of the equation ( $\left.\mathbf{1}_{1}^{1} \overline{1}_{1}\right)$ that, in the strongly interacting (TF) lim it aN j~~~ ${ }_{z}$, takes the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { iha }_{t} \sim(z)=\frac{h^{2}}{2 m} \frac{1}{2} r_{z}^{2}+U_{1 D}(z z ; t)  \tag{17}\\
& \quad+\frac{1}{z_{?}^{2}} \frac{m!{ }_{z}^{2}(0)}{2} z^{2}+\frac{3}{2} h!?(0){ }^{p} \overline{2 a N} j^{\sim} j \quad \sim(z):
\end{align*}
$$

The inset of $F$ ig. $\overline{13}$, show $s$ that even for the transverse dynam ics, despite the 1D character of the dr-GPE, the agreem ent w ith the 3D (T F) solution is rem ankably good.
B. Optical lattice

W e now tum to consider the case of a condensate in a 1D optical lattice. Experim entally this is realized by
$m$ eans of a retro-re ected laser beam which creates a periodic potential of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{U}_{1 \mathrm{D}}\left(r_{\mathrm{z}}\right)=\mathrm{s} \quad \operatorname{Ecos}^{2}\left(2 r_{\mathrm{z}}=\mathrm{opt}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where opt is the wavelength of the laser, $E_{r}$ $h^{2}=2 \mathrm{~m}{\underset{\mathrm{opt}}{2}}_{2}^{\text {op }}$ the recoil energy of an atom absorbing one lattice phonon and $s$ is a dim ensionless param eter controlling the intensity of the lattioe. H ere we chose opt $=795 \mathrm{~nm}$ and intensities in the range 0 s 6 as


The ground state of the system is characterized by deep periodic variations in the axialdensity and by pronounced $m$ odulations in the radial $w$ idth, that are well reproduced by our $m$ odel even for high values of lattioe intensities. This is evident in Fig. ${ }^{\prime} \overline{1} 1 \mathbf{1}$ where we com pare the axial density

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (z) } \quad h j \frac{?}{} i_{?}=d^{2} r_{?} j j^{2} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the m s transverse radius, integrated only over the radialdirections

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\mathrm{rm} \mathrm{~s}}^{?}(\mathrm{z}) \quad \mathrm{q} \overline{h r_{?}^{2} i_{?}}={ }^{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{~d}^{2} r_{?} r_{?}^{2} j j^{2} ; \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith the full 3D solution.
To address the issue of collective excitations we consider both dipole oscillations induced by a sudden displacem ent of the harm onic potential (here we use $z=$ 5 m ), and quadrupolem odes excited asbefore by changing the harm onic transverse con nem ent.

C onceming the form er, on generalgrounds one expects a frequency shift which can be explained in term s of a $m$ ass renorm alization due to the s-dependence of the dispersion relation in the low est band of the periodic poten-
 been predicted, by using a tight binding A nsatz, that the sam e e ective $m$ ass $m$ can account also for the $m$ odi cation of the quadrupole frequencies $[\underline{\underline{g}}]$ : for elongated condensates in the TF regim e one expects a finear relationship betw een the tw ofrequencies, $!\frac{Q}{\mathrm{z}}=\overline{5=2!}{ }_{\mathrm{z}}^{\mathrm{D}}$, independently of the lattice intensity s.

In $F$ ig. ${ }^{1} \bar{T}_{1}^{1}$ w w com pare the e ective $m$ ass extracted from the dipole and quadrupole modes w th that of a single particle in a periodic potential, near the B rillouin zone center [211]. This picture show s that the predicted relation betw een $!\frac{Q}{z}$ and $!\frac{D}{z}$ is very well veri ed also in the weak binding regim e of sm all $s$, and that the e ects of $m$ ean eld interaction and of harm onic trapping (both included in the dr-GPE description) give only a minor correction to the single particle picture in a uniform periodic potential. O ur results are also in good agreem ent w ith a recent experim ent realized at LENS [12], as shown in the inset of F ig.

O ther interesting features ofBEC scon ned in 1D opticallattioes are the interferencee ectsw hich take place after the rem oval of the com bined opticalt harm onic trapping potential. In fact, the free expansion of the system


F IG . 4: A xial density (z) (top) and m s transverse radius, averaged only on the transverse coordinates and plotted as function of the axialcoordinate (bottom); the insets show the central region of the condensate $\left(\mathbb{N}=510^{4}, s=5\right)$.


FIG. 5: E ective $m$ ass $m$, norm alized to the unperturbed value $m$, as a function of the lattice intensity $s$, obtained respectively from the dipole (continuous line) and quadrupole (dashed) frequencies, and com pared to the case of a single particle in a periodic potential (dotted). In the inset the frequency of the dipole $m$ ode is com pared $w$ ith the experim ental data and theoretical curve (dashed-dotted) from $\left.\mathbb{R}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$.


F IG . 6: A xialdensity of the centralpeak, as obtained respectively with the dr-GPE, a Schrodinger propagation and the 1D -GPE introduced in [11], com pared w ith the experim ental distribution of [ब] (the $w$ ings are due to the presence of a sm all them al com ponent), after $t_{\text {exp }}=29: 5 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}$.
causes the single condensates form ing the initial array to overlap and leads to the form ation of neat interference pattems, show ing up as lateral peaks moving with quantized speeds $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{n} \quad 2 \mathrm{~h} \overline{\overline{\mathrm{o} p t}} \mathrm{~m}$ (w ith n integer) [6]. This is essentially a 1D e ect, which re ects the mo$m$ entum distribution of the ground state along the axial direction, and it is well reproduced by our model, regarding both the position and the population of the rst lateral peaks. An interesting point concems the axial expansion of the central peak. A carefiul analysis of the expanded density pro le show sthat, com pared to other existing 1D equations, the dr-GPE gives a better esti$m$ ate of the axial size of the central peak. In fact, as can be seen in Fig. 'G, a (free) Schrodinger propagation of the ground state underestim ates the axial width, while the statically renorm alized 1D-GPE introduced in $\left[1 \overline{1}_{1}^{1}\right]$, though appropriate to describe the ground state, largely overestim ates the axial size after the expansion, as discussed in the previous section. This is indeed due to the fact that the $m$ ean eld term of the dr-GPE has a linear character (/ j~j see Eq. $\left.{ }^{1} \bar{\eta}_{-}\right)$which lies betw een the Schrodinger (/ j $\rho$ ) and the GPE (/ j $\begin{aligned} & \text { ) cases. }\end{aligned}$ O ur m odel gives accurate predictions also for the radial expansion of the central peak, which is essentially govemed by the TF scaling solution, in agreem ent $w$ th the experim ental values and the theory of [G].

W e conclude this section by discussing a tim e-of- ight $m$ ethod that could be used to probe the dispersion relation in the presence of a periodic potential [23'], allow ing for the investigation of negative-m ass e ects during the expansion in an optical lattioe. The idea is the follow ing: we start with a condensate in the ground state of a pure ham onic potential, and we accelerate it up to a given velocity $\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{hq}=\mathrm{m}$ in the axial direction, e.g. by exciting a dipolem otion in the harm onic potential. Then we sw itch o the trap and adiabatically ram $p$ an optical


F IG . 7: Structure of the low est bands for a single particle in a 1D periodic potentialw ith $s=2$ (solid lines), com pared to the free particle case (dashed). T he inset show $s$ the behavior of the e ective $m$ ass $m$, inversely proportional to the band curvature, in the rst band for $s=2$.
lattice along the longitudinal direction up to a desired height $s$. H ere we consider the case of a linear ram $p$ of 15 m s , which is su cient to ensure the adiabaticity of the process [24] for the velocities considered here. We have indeed veri ed that applying the reverse ram p after the rst one, the additionalFourier com ponents of the w ave function at $q$ 2ld (w th 1 integer) disappear.
$W$ ith this procedure we can load the condensate in a B loch state of axial quasim om entum hq and band index $n$, and therefore explore e ects of e ective $m$ ass (which depends on $s, n$ and $q$ ) far from the $B$ rillouin zone center, during the expansion of the system. In particular, since $m$ ean eld $e$ ects are ruled out after few $m$ illiseconds, the radialand axialexpansion are alm ost decoupled, and the latter can be accounted for by the single particle $m$ ass
 tage of loading the condensate in the lattice only after the acceleration procedure is that in the reverse case the occurrence of dynam ical instability e ects prevents the possibility of accelerating the condensate above a critical velocity $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{C}}<\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{B}}$ [2] 2'], where $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{B}}=\mathrm{h} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{B}}=\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{h}=\mathrm{m}$ is the B ragg velocity.

In $F$ ig. ${ }^{1} 18=1$ we show the evolution of the axial size of the condensate during the expansion in the optical lattice, for various in itial velocities $v$. T he behavior of the system is essentially determ ined by the single particle e ective $m$ ass which characterizes the di usive (kinetic) term in the evolution equation (as stated before, $m$ ean eld effects becom e negligible after few m illiseconds of expansion). Indeed, the gure shows that when $q$ approaches the value $q=0: 6895 q_{B}$ at which the single particle effective $m$ ass for $s=2$ becom es in nite (see Fig. 근), the expansion in the direction of the lattioe gets frozen. For values betw een $q$ and $q_{B}, m$ becom es negative, and the condensate starts contracting. On the contrary, when


FIG.8: Evolution of the axialw idth of a condensate which is loaded during the expansion in a 1D optical lattice, for various velocities: $q=q_{\beta}=1: 3 ; 1: 2 ; 0$ (continuous line), $0: 4 ; 0: 685$ (dotted), $0: 75 ; 0: 8$, respectively from top to bottom. T he lattice intensity is ram ped linearly in the rst 15 m s of expansion, and then kept $x e d$ at the value $s=2$ for other 10 ms (see the inset).


FIG. 9: A spect ratio after 25 m s of expansion, for a condensate loaded adiabatically in a 1D optical lattice of height $s=2$, as a function of the condensate velocity.
the condensate is loaded in the second band, that is for $q>q_{B}$, the expansion is enhanced due to the strong positive curvature near the second band edge.

Since the transverse expansion is only weakly a ected by the presence of the lattice, these dram atic e ects on the axial expansion can be directly $m$ easured on the as-
pect ratio after a xed evolution tim e. Indeed, as show n in $F$ ig. ', 1, the aspect ratio is characterized by a nite jump across the rst B rillouin zone boundary, which reects the discontinuity in the band curvature (the effective $m$ ass passes from a negative to a positive value). W ith the sam e technique one could probe the band structure also for higher values of $q$ and/or $s$. In the latter case a longer ram $p$ tim ecould be required in order to ensure an adiabatic loading [2] ${ }^{[14}$ "

## IV . CONCLUSIONS

W e have presented an e ective 1D m odel for the evolution ofan elongated $B$ ose $\Psi$ instein condensate in tim e dependent potentials w hose radial com ponent is harm onic, in the presence of an arbitrary axial potential. The m odel exploits the scaling and gauge transform ations of [14, "1 [1] , that reabsorb $m$ ost of the evolution due to the tim e-dependent variation of the harm onic potential. This $m$ akespossible a gaussian factorization for the radialpart of the condensate w ave function, even in case of free expansion after the release from the trap.

The evolution of the system is described by a nonlinear 1D wave equation (dr-GPE) for the axial wave function, dynam ically rescaled by $m$ eans of the aforem entioned transform ations. D espite the 1D character of the dr-G PE, the model also accounts for the radial dynam ics of the system, that is necessary to correctly describe collective oscillations and the free expansion of the condensate.

The accuracy of the $m$ odel has been tested by com paring its predictions w ith the TF solution in case of pure harm onic trapping and $w$ ith recent experim entaland theoretical results for the dynam ics and the expansion of BECs in 1D optical lattioes. We have also shown that the quasim om entum dependence of the e ectivem ass has dram atic consequences, which could be easily accessed in the experim ents by accelerating and letting expand a condensate in an optical lattice.

## A cknow ledgm ents

W e are gratefiul to the group at LENS for providing us $w$ ith the experim ental data and for stim ulating discussions. We thank C. Fort for a careful reading of the $m$ anuscript. P.M . acknow ledges fruitfuldiscussions $w$ ith N. P iovella and has been supported by the EU under C ontract No. HPRI-CT 1999-00111.
[1] B. P. A nderson and M . A . K asevich, Science 282,1686 (1998).
[2] F. S. C ataliotti, S. B urger, C . Fort, P. M addaloni, F . M inardi, A. Trom bettoni, A. Sm erzi and M . Inguscio,

Science 293, 843 (2001).
[3] C. O rzel, A . K . Tuchm an, M . L. Fenselau, M . Y asuda and M . A . K asevich, Science 291, 2386 (2001).
[4] S . B urger, F . S . C ataliotti, C . Fort, F. M inardi and M .

Inguscio, M .L.C hiofalo and M .P.Tosi, P hys. R ev. Lett. 86, 4447 (2001).
[5] O . M orsch, J.H . M uller, M . C ristiani, D. C iam pini, and E. A rim ondo, P hys. Rev. Lett. 87, 140402 (2001).
[6] P . P edri, L . P itaevskii, S . Stringari, C . Fort, S . B urger, F . S. C ataliotti, P . M addaloni, F .M inardi and M . Inguscio, Phys. Rev.Lett. 87, 220401 (2001).
[7] O . M orsch, M . C ristianin J_H .M uller D. C iam pini, and E. A rim ondo, preprint rond-mat/0204528. . . . .
[8] J. H. D ensch lag et al, preprint icondmat/0206063.
[9] M . K ram er, L. P itaevskii and $\bar{S}$. S̄̄ringari, Phys. R ev. Lett. 88, 180404 (2002).
[10] M . L. Chiofalo, M . P. Tosi, Phys. Lett. A 268, 406, (2000) ; M . L. Chiofalo, S. Succi, and M . P. Tosi, Phys. Rev.E 62,7438, (2000).
[11] M . Trippenbach, Y . B . B and and P . S . Julienne, P hys. Rev.A 62, 023608 (2000).
[12] A.D .Jackson, G .M .K avoulak is and C . J. P eth ick, P hys. Rev A 58, 2417 (1998).
[13] L. Salasn ich, Laser P hysics 12, 198 (2002); L. Salasn ich, A.P arola and L.R eatto, P hys. R ev.A 65, 043614 (2002).
[14] Y. C astin and R.D um , P hys. R ev. Lett. 77, 5315 (1996).
[15] Y. K agan, E. L. Surkov and G . V . Shlyapnikov, Phys.

Rev.A 54, R 1753 (1996); Phys. Rev.A 55, R 18 (1997).
[16] F .D alfovo, S . G iorgini, L .P .P itaevskii, S . Stringari, R ev . M od. Phys. 71, 463 (1999).
[17] M . M odugno, L. P ricoupenko and Y. C astin, preprint icond-mat/0203597, (2002).
 versity P ress, N.Y. 1986-92).
[19] T he typical num ber of lattice sites used is 512 for the dynam ics and the expansion in a pure harm onic potential, 4096 for the trapped dynam ics in the presence of an optical lattice and 32768 for the expansion of the coherent array of condensates.
[20] B . Jackson, J. F. M CC ann and C.S.A dam s, J. P hys. B 31, 4489 (1998).
[21] N . W . A shcroft and N . D . M erm in, Solid Sate P hysics (Saunder C ollege, P hiladelphia 1976).
22] F.S.C ataliotti et al, preprintıcond-mat/0207139.
 63, 063613, (2001).
[24] Y. B. B and, B . M alom ed, and M . Trippenbach, Phys. Rev.A 65, 033607 (2002).


[^0]:    
    ${ }^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{E}$ lectronic address: m odugno@ inf it

