Dynam ical structure factors of S = 1=2 two-leg spin ladder system s

Nobuyasu Haga and Sei-ichiro Suga

Department of Applied Physics, Osaka University, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan

(D ated: A pril 14, 2024)

W e investigate dynam ical properties of S = 1=2 two-leg spin ladder system s. In a strong coupling region, an isolated mode appears in the lowest excited states, while in a weak coupling region, an isolated mode is reduced and the lowest excited states become a lower bound of the excitation continuum. We nd in the system with equal intrachain and interchain couplings that due to a cyclic four-spin interaction, the distribution of the weights for the dynam ical structure factor and characteristics of the lowest excited states are strongly in uenced. The dynam ical properties of two system s proposed for SrC u₂O₃ are also discussed.

PACS num bers: 75.40 G b, 75.40 M g, 78.70 N x, 75.10 Jm

S = 1=2 two-leg spin ladder systems with antiferrom agnetic interactions have attracted great attention both theoretically and experim entally [1]. Fascinating aspects ofelem entary excitation as well as therm odynam ic properties have been revealed. U sing several theoreticalm ethods, it was shown that S = 0 and S = 1 two-triplet bound-states exist below the two-triplet continuum in addition to the one-triplet excitation [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8]. Such an S = 0 two-triplet bound-state was identied in the optical conductivity measured for an S = 1=2two-leg spin ladder material (Ca;La)₁₄Cu₂₄O₄₁ [9]. In this experiment, the strength of the interchain coupling (J_2) and the intrachain coupling (J_k) was estimated as 1100cm¹ [9, 10]. 1020 $J_k = J_2$ 1 12with J

In addition to the interchain and intrachain couplings, a cyclic four-spin interaction, which acts among four S = 1=2 spins form ing a plaquette, has been introduced to explain experimental ndings for cuprate two-leg spin ladder systems. The analysis of the one-triplet mode observed by inelastic neutron-scattering experim ents for $La_6Ca_8Cu_{24}O_{41}$ revealed that a cyclic four-spin interaction is necessary to reproduce the observed dispersion relation [11, 12]. For a S = 1=2 two-leg spin ladder material SrC u_2O_3 , two sets of coupling constants, (i) $J_2 = 86m \text{ eV}$; $J_k = 172m \text{ eV}$ [13] and (ii) $J_2 =$ 150m eV; J_k = 195m eV; J_{cyc} = 18m eV; J_{diag} = 3m eV [14] with J_{cyc} and J_{diag} being the coupling constants for a cyclic four-spin interaction and a diagonal interaction, have been proposed to reproduce tem perature dependence of the susceptibility. It seems thus di cult to decide the proper model only from tem perature dependence of the susceptibility. Detailed information on dynam ical properties and low -lying excitations is desirable to discuss characteristics of S = 1=2 two-leg spin ladder system s.

In this paper, we calculate the dynam ical structure factor (DSF) of S = 1=2 two-leg spin ladder system s using continued fraction m ethod based on Lanczos algorithm. D ynam ical properties of S = 1=2 pure two-leg spin ladder system s have already been studied in Refs. 15, 3, 16, 17, and 4. We perform system atic calculation for DSF and characteristics of the lowest excited states, laying stress on the e ects of a cyclic four-spin interaction.

Let us rst consider the S = 1=2 two-leg spin ladder system s described by the following H am iltonian,

$$\begin{array}{cccc} & X & = 2 & & X & = 2 \\ H & = & J_k & (S_{1;i} & S_{i+1} + S_{2;i} & S_{i+1}) + & J_2 & S_{1;i} & S_{i;i}; \\ & & & i = 1 & & & i = 1 \\ \end{array}$$
(1)

where $S_{l;i}$ denotes the S = 1=2 spin operator in the i th rung of the l=1;2 chain, and N is the total number of the site. The periodic boundary condition is applied along the chain. The energy is measured in units of J_k . The DSF can be expressed in the form of a continued fraction [18] as

$$S (q_{x};q_{y};!) = h_{0} j_{S_{q_{x}};q_{y}}^{y} \frac{1}{z H} S_{q_{x};q_{y}} j_{0}i$$
$$= S (q_{x};q_{y})C (q_{x};q_{y};!); (= +; ;z)$$
(2)

where j₀i is the eigenfunction corresponding to the lowest eigenvalue E₀, q_k and q_y denote the wave numbers along the leg and the rung, respectively, and z = $! + i" + E_0$ with h = 1. We set " = 3:0 10². The Fourier transform of the spin operator S_{q_k,q_y} is given by S_{q_k,q_y} = (1= N) _{1;j} exp[i(q_kj + q_y1)]S_{1;j}:S (q_k;q_y) is the static structure factor and C (q_k;q_y;!) is represented in the form of the continued fraction. Since rotational symmetry around the x;y, and z axes remains, we only calculate S^z (q_k;q_y;!).

In Figs. 1 (a) and 1 (b), we show $S^{z}(q_{k}; ;!)$ and $S^{z}(q_{k};0;!)$ for N = 28, respectively, in $J_{2}=J_{k}=0.5;1$, and 2. The weight of $S^{z}(q_{k};q_{y};!)$ is proportional to the area of the full circle. The accuracy of the continued fraction become es worse with decreasing $J_{2}=J_{k}$ and/or increasing !. In $J_{2}=J_{k}=0.5$ the convergences have the relative errors about 0 (10⁻³) for ! 4 and about 0 (10⁻¹⁰) for ! < 3, whereas in $J_{2}=J_{k}=2$ they have the relative errors about 0 (10⁻¹⁰) even for ! 6.

In Fig. 1(a), the largest weights in given q_k lie in the lowest excited states, and the largest weight at $q_k =$ become s dominant among them with decreasing $J_2 = J_k$. The solid line represents the dispersion relation of the one-triplet excitation adequate for $J_2 = J_k$ 1 [4]. The



FIG.1: $S^{2}(q_{k};q_{y};!)$ for S = 1=2 two-leg spin ladder system s in (a) $q_{y} =$ and (b) $q_{y} = 0$ for N = 28. Parameters used are shown in the gures. The intensity of each pole is proportional to the area of the circle. The solid and broken lines represent the dispersion relations of the one-triplet excitation [4] and S = 1 two-triplet bound-state [7], respectively, adequate for $J_{2} = J_{k} = 1$.

num erical results and the solid line agree with each other quantitatively. To discuss characteristics of the lowest excited states, we investigate the nite-size e ects of the poles and their residues of the continued fraction $C^{z}(q_{x};q_{y};!)$ [19]. As reported in Refs. 19-21, a pole which belongs to a continuum tends to have appropriate size dependence at least either of its position or of its residue. In Fig. 2 (a), we show the size dependence of the residue for the low est excited states and that of the pole for the lowest and the second lowest excited states. In $J_2 = J_k = 2$, no size dependence of $C^z(q_x; ;!)$ is seen in the low est excited states, while clear size dependence appears in the pole for the second low est excited states. The results show that the lowest excited states form an isolated one-triplet mode below the excitation continuum. In $J_{?} = J_{k} = 1$, the size dependence is slightly seen around $q_{k} = 0$ in the residue. Thus, the lowest excited states in 0 m ay be a lower bound of the excitation continq_x uum, while those in the other wave numbers form an isolated mode. In $J_2 = J_k = 0.5$, the residues show the size dependence in 0 g , indicating that the low est excited states become a lower bound of the excitation continuum . In $J_{?} = J_{k} < 1$, the elementary excitation may be described by two spinons in either chain dressed by a weak interchain interaction. The weights in $J_2 = J_k < 1$

are caused by such dressed spinons.

In Fig. 1(b), the broken line in $J_2 = J_k = 2$, which represents the dispersion relation of the S = 1 two-triplet bound state obtained for $J_2 = J_k$ 1 [7], agrees with the num erical results. Also in $q_y = 0$, the largest weights in given q_x lie in the lowest excited states. As shown in Fig. 2(b), the residue in $J_2 = J_k = 2$ decreases drastically around q_x 0:6 with decreasing q. This result means that the weights spread in many excited states in $q_x <$ 0:6 . Therefore, in $J_2 = J_k = 2$, the lowest excited states in $q_x > 0.6$ form an isolated mode of the S = 1 twotriplet bound state, while those in $q_x < 0.6$ become a low erbound of the two-triplet continuum . In $J_2 = J_k$ 1. the size dependence of the residue becom es noticeable except for the region q_x 0. Thus, the lowest excited states in $J_2 = J_k$ 1 are the low erbound of the continuum form ed by dressed spinons.

We now investigated the elects of a cyclic four-spin interaction described by the following expression,

$$H_{cyc} = J_{cyc} f4 [(S_{1;i} \ \beta_{ji+1}) (S_{2;i+1} \ \beta_{ji}) + (S_{1;i} \ \beta_{ji+1}) (S_{1;i+1} \ \beta_{ji})] + (S_{1;i} \ \beta_{ji+1}) (S_{1;i+1} \ \beta_{ji})] + (S_{1;i} \ \beta_{ji+1}) + (S_{1;i+1} \ \beta_{ji+1}) + (S_{2;i+1} \ \beta_{ji}) + (S_{2;i+1} \ \beta_{ji}) + (S_{2;i+1} \ \beta_{ji}) + (S_{1;i+1} \ \beta_{ji}) +$$

From inelastic neutron-scattering experiments for $La_6Ca_8Cu_{24}O_{41}$, the coupling constants were estimated as $J_2 = J_k = 110 \text{meV}$ and $J_{cyc} = 16.5 \text{meV}$ [11]. Thus, we set $J_2 = J_k = 1$ and $J_{cyc} = J_k = 0.1$. Combining the Hamiltonian (1) with the contribution (3), we calculate DSF. The results for N = 28 are shown in Fig. 3. In the poles and their residues for $q_V = -$, noticeable size dependence comes out in contrast with the case of $J_2 = J_k = 1$ and $J_{cyc} = 0$ shown in Fig. 2. Therefore, in $q_V = -$ the isolated mode is reduced by a cyclic four-spin interaction, since it may act as a flustration term : The lowest excited states in $q_k < 0.7$ become a lower bound of the excitation continuum, while those in $q_k > 0.7$ keep an isolated mode.

In Fig. 4, the weights of the lowest excited states in $J_{\rm cyc}=J_k=0.1$ are compared with those in $J_{\rm cyc}=0$. In $q_y=$, the weights in q_x are enhanced by $J_{\rm cyc}$, while those in $q_x <$ are suppressed. In $q_y=0$, on the contrary, the weights in $q_x > =2$ are suppressed, while those in $q_x < =2$ are enhanced. It is apparent that the distribution of the weights of DSF is drastically rearranged by a small cyclic four-spin interaction.

W e next investigate dynam ical properties of the systems described by the parameter set (ii) for SrC u_2O_3 mentioned previously [14]. W e add the pontribution of a diagonal interaction described as J_{diag} (S_{1,i} $g_{ii+1} + S_{1,i+1}$ g_{i}) to the expressions (1) and (3). The results for N = 28 are shown in Fig. 5. Note that the re-



FIG. 2: The size dependence of the poles and their residues corresponding to the results in Fig. 1.



FIG. 3: S^{z} (q_k;q_y;!), and the size dependence of the poles and their residues in $J_{2} = J_{k} = 1$ and $J_{cyc} = J_{k} = 0$:1 for N = 28.

sults for the parameter set (i) $(J_2 = J_k = 0.5)$ [13] have been already presented in Figs. 1 and 2. In $q_y =$ and 0, noticeable size dependence appears both in the poles and the residues. Therefore, the lowest excited states



FIG .4: The weights of the low est excited states for $J_{cyc}=J_k=0$:1 and 0 in $J_2=J_k=1$.

in 0 q are the lower bounds of the excitation continuum as in the case of the parameter set (i).

In Fig. 6, the dispersion relations and the weights of the lowest excited states for both parameter sets (i) and (ii) are compared. In $q_y = -$, the dispersion relation for the parameter sets (ii) becomes atter in $q_x < 0.7$, whereas the weights for both parameter sets are almost the same. The results are qualitatively the same as those for N = 24 [22]. In $q_y = 0$, the dispersion relation for the parameter set (ii) becomes atter with suppressed weights in $q_x > -2.$ The difference in the distribution of the weights between two parameter sets may be dicult to observe experimentally. On the other hand, it has been shown that the e ects of a at dispersion relation of the lowest excited states appear in phonon-assisted optical absorption spectrum s [5, 9, 10]. To decide the proper m odel for SrC u₂O₃, such experiments may be e ective.



FIG.5: S^{z} (q_k;q_y;!), and the size dependence of the poles and their residues in $J_{?} = J_{k} = 0.770; J_{cyc} = J_{k} = 0.0923$, and $J_{diag} = J_{k} = 0.0154$ for N = 28. Those values correspond to the parameter set (ii) for SrC $u_{2}O_{3}$ [14].



FIG.6: The dispersion relations and the weights of the lowest excited states in the parameter sets (i) and (ii) for $SrCu_2O_3$.

In sum mary, we have investigated dynamical properties of S = 1=2 two-leg spin ladder systems. It has been shown that the largest weights in given q_k lie in the lowest excited states. The distribution of the weights is in unenced by a cyclic four-spin interaction. We have further shown that due to a cyclic four-spin interaction, the low est excited states for q_y = in La₆Ca₈Cu₂₄O₄₁ become a lower bound of the excitation continuum in $q_k < 0.7$, while those in $q_k > 0.7$ may form an isolated mode. We have also discussed the di erence in dynamical properties between two systems proposed to describe SrCu₂O₃.

N H. would like to thank K. O kunishi for useful com m ents. O ur com putational program s are based on T IT -PACK Ver. 2 by H. N ishim ori. N um erical com putation was partly carried out at the Y ukawa Institute C om puter Facility, K yoto U niversity, and the Supercom puter C enter, the Institute for Solid State Physics, U niversity of Tokyo. This work was partly supported by a G rant-in-A id for Scienti c R esearch from the M inistry of E ducation, C ulture, Sports, Science, and Technology, Japan.

- [L] E.D agotto, Rep. Prog. Phys. 62, 1525 (1999), and references therein.
- [2] O.P. Sushkov and V.N.Kotov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 1941 (1998).
- [3] K.Dam leand S.Sachdev, Phys.Rev.B 57,8307 (1998).
- [4] V . N . K otov, O . P . Sushkov and R . Eder, P hys. Rev. B

59,6266 (1999).

- [5] C. Jurecka and W. Brenig, Phys. Rev. B 61, 14307 (2000).
- [6] S. Trebst, H. M onien, C. J. Hamer, Z. W eihong, and R. R.P. Singh, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 4373 (2000).
- $\ensuremath{\left[7\right]}$ W . Zheng, C . J. H am er, R . R . P . Singh, S . Trebst, and

H.Monien, Phys. Rev. B 63, 144410 (2001).

- [B] C. Knetter, K. P. Schmidt, M. Gruninger, and G. S. Uhrig, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 167204 (2001).
- [9] M.W indt, M.Grueninger, T.Nunner, C.K netter, K.P. Schmidt, G.S.Uhrig, T.Kopp, A.Freimuth, U.Ammerahl, B.Buechner, and A.Revcolevschi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 127002 (2001).
- [10] M.Grueninger, M.W indt, T.Nunner, C.K netter, K.P. Schmidt, G.Uhrig, T.Kopp, A.Freimuth, U.Ammerahl, B.Buechner, and A.Revcolevschi, cond-mat/0109524.
- [11] M. Matsuda, K. Katsum ata, R. S. Eccleston, S. Brehm er, and H.-J. Mikeska, Phys. Rev. B 62, 8903 (2000).
- [12] S.Brehmer, H.-J.M ikeska, and M.Muller, N.Nagaosa, and S.Uchida, Phys. Rev. B 60, 329 (1999).
- [13] D.C.Johnson, Phys. Rev. B 54, 13009 (1996).
- [14] Y.M izuno, T.Tohyam a, and S.M aekawa, J.Low.Tem p.

Phys.117,389 (1999).

- [15] T. Barnes, E. Dagotto, J. Riera, and E. S. Swanson, Phys. Rev. B 47, 3196 (1993).
- [16] D.B.Yang and W.C.Haxton, Phys. Rev.B 57, 10603 (1998).
- [17] R.Eder, Phys. Rev. B 57, 12832 (1998).
- [18] E.R.G agliano and C.A.Balseiro, Phys. Rev. Lett. 59, 2999 (1987).
- [19] M. Takahashi, Phys. Rev. B 50, 3045 (1994).
- [20] H.Yokoyam a and Y.Saiga, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn 66, 3617 (1997).
- [21] N.Haga and S.Suga, Phys.Rev.B 65, 014414 (2002).
- [22] Y. Mizuno, T. Tohyama, and S. Maekawa, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 62, 273 (2001).