## Correlated random networks

Johannes Berg and Michael Lassig Institut fur Theoretische Physik, Universitat zu Koln Zulpicher Stra e 77, 50937 Koln, Germany (March 22, 2024)

We develop a statistical theory of networks. A network is a set of vertices and links given by its adjacency matrix c, and the relevant statistical ensembles are dened in terms of a partition function  $Z = \begin{bmatrix} e \\ e \end{bmatrix}$ , exp [ H (c)]. The simplest cases are uncorrelated random networks such as the well-known E rdos R eny graphs. Here we study more general interactions H (c) which lead to correlations, for example, between the connectivities of adjacent vertices. In particular, such correlations occur in optimized networks described by partition functions in the limit ! 1. They are argued to be a crucial signature of evolutionary design in biological networks.

PACS numbers: 89.75 H c 89.75 k 05.20 y

N etworks describe structures as diverse as the interaction links between proteins in a cell, the wiring of the brain, or the connections of the internet. Recent theoretical work [1,2] has focused on communication networks, and a wealth of quantitative data is now becoming available on networks in molecular biology. Examples include control networks in gene transcription [3], the interaction m ap of proteins [4], and the pathways of cell metabolism [5]. All these system s consist of many di erent kinds of molecules linked by complex interactions. Network models are a simplied description, which neglects quantitative aspects of these interactions and focuses solely on their pathways.

A network is a set of vertices i = 1; :::; N connected by links. It is uniquely de ned by the adjacency matrix c, whose entries are  $c_{ij} = 1$  if there is a link from i to j and  $c_{ij} = 0$  otherwise. We consider here networks with undirected links, where c is symmetric. The connectivity or degree of a vertex is then  $de_pned$  as the total number of links connected to it,  $k_i = \int_j c_{ij}$ . The distance  $d_{ij}$ between two vertices i and j is the number of links along the shortest path connecting them [6]. We assume the vertices are labeled (for example, by their biochem ical identity), so that the correspondence between adjacency matrix c and its graph is one-to-one [7].

N etworks with an irregular wiring naturally lend them selves to a statistical description [6]. We discuss here the equilibrium statistics of networks. The partition function Z can be dened as a sum over all graphs with a xed number N of vertices and a xed number  $M = \sum_{i \le i} c_{ij} = Trc^2 = 2$  of links

$$Z = \bigcup_{\substack{i < j c_{ij} = 0}}^{Y} M Trc^{2} = 2) \exp[H(c)]: (1)$$

A verages over this ensemble are denoted by h::i. A lternatively one can de ne Z with an arbitrary number of links adjusted by a suitable chemical potential. The ensembles of relevance here have a nite average connectivity 2M = N. For xed , the distribution of connectivities,

$$p(k) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} h(k_i k)i;$$
 (2)

becom es asym ptotically independent of N , in plying that typical adjacency m atrices c becom e sparse for large N . This is the case of interest for applications.

A satisfactory m athem atical theory exists to date only for what we call uncorrelated random networks [8,9]. In this case, the H am iltonian depends only on single-point connectivities,

$$H_{1}(c) = \int_{i=1}^{X^{1}} f(k_{i}); \qquad (3)$$

leading to  $p(k) = \exp[f(k) | k] = k! where the constant$ of proportionality is xed by norm alization and is adjusted to give the correct average connectivity. Since all $graphs with the same connectivities <math>k_1; :::; k_N$  have the same statistical weight, this ensemble ensures the m axim ally random wiring compatible with the distribution p(k). The simplest example is the well-known Erdos-Reny graphs, where H = 0 and p(k) is a Poissonian.

M any natural networks are, how ever, not of this type. The simplest kind of correlations occur if the joint distribution of connectivities for neighboring vertices,

$$q(k;k^{0}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i,j=1}^{X^{N}} h(k_{i} = k)c_{ij} (k_{j} = k^{0})i;$$
 (4)

di ers from its form for uncorrelated random networks,  $q_0(k;k^0) = (kk^0 = 2)p(k)p(k^0)$ . Higher correlations can be de ned in a similar way [10]. Connectivity correlations have been found in grow th models of communication networks [11,12] as well as in data of genetic and protein networks [13,14].

These observations call for a statistical theory of more general ensembles called correlated random networks, which is the subject of this Letter. The ensembles of interest are characterized by nite distributions p(k) and  $q(k;k^0)$  in the lim it of large N . One then expects a universal logarithmic scaling of the average distance dij bei;jhdiji=N<sup>2</sup> log N , in any connected tween vertices, nodes [15]. This is consistent component with N with our num erical ndings. Hence, correlated random networks maintain a sparse connectivity matrix and are locally tree-like. The 'inverse tem perature' in (1) measures the deviation from Erdos-Reny graphs. Quite rem arkably, these structural properties are preserved in the lim it ! 1, where we obtain nontrivial optim ized networks. Ensembles of this kind generically have strong correlations.

The simplest type of Ham iltonian producing correlations has nearest-neighbor connectivity interactions,

$$H_{2}(c) = \sum_{\substack{i < j \\ i < j}}^{X} c_{ij} g(k_{i}; k_{j});$$
 (5)

where  $g(k;k^0)$  is some function of the connectivities. The resulting class of graph ensembles can be seen as a showcase for correlated random networks where analytic expressions can be derived. Higher order correlations are generated by H am iltonians with next-nearest neighbor interactions etc. We also study a H am iltonian  $H = H_1 + H_d$  with a nonlocal part,

$$H_{d}(c) = X^{N} d_{ij};$$
 (6)

often called the diam eter of the graph. For > 0 and a suitable scaling  $1=(N \log N)$ , this H am iltonian is found to generate compacti ed networks with nite p(k) and  $q(k;k^0)$ , provided the extra term H<sub>1</sub> stabilizes the network against collapse to a star.




FIG.1. Optimized networks, obtained from local interactions (left) and nonlocal interactions for large . Hubs of high connectivity ( lled circles) are preferentially connected to peripheral vertices of low connectivity (empty circles).

Compacti ed networks occur in communication and transport [16], and may play a role in biology [17,18]. For

example, distance-optimized networks obtained from (6) show an abundance of high-connectivity vertices (hubs) which are preferentially connected to peripheral vertices of low connectivity. A very similar structure (with even m ore pronounced hubs) can also be obtained from local interactions of the form (5). Typical graphs are shown in Fig 1.

To establish these results, we rst discuss the analytical treatment of local interactions, choosing a generic Ham iltonian of the form  $H = H_1 + H_2$  given by (3) and (5). From the partition function (1), the free energy is derived by using an integral representation for the constraints due to the connectivity  $k_i$  at each vertex and making a Hubbard-Stratonovich transform ation. The resulting integral can be evaluated in a saddle-point approximation, yielding the reduced free energy per vertex in the therm odynam ic lim it,

$$f \lim_{N \to 1} \frac{1}{N} \log Z = \frac{1}{2} \log N + \frac{1}{2} (\log 1)$$

$$\frac{X}{2} \log^{Q} Q_{k} G_{kk^{0}}^{1} Q_{k^{0}} + e^{2} A \qquad (7)$$

$$= \frac{X}{k^{k^{0}}} \frac{(Q_{k} + e^{-})^{k}}{k!} \exp [f(k)]$$

The order parameters'  $Q_k$  and the chemical potential have to be determined self-consistently from the saddlepoint condition. This form is closely related to a eldtheoretic approach [9,19,20], where networks appear as the Feynman diagrams of a Gaussian integral with a propagator matrix  $G_{kk^0} = \exp[g(k;k^0)]$  1 and interactions as specified by the last term in (7). Notice the super-extensive scaling of the entropy, (=2) logN, which rejects that unlike in a regular lattice, each vertex can be connected to all N 1 other vertices. The last term in (7) is directly related to the degree distribution,

$$p(k) = C \frac{(Q_k + e_k)^k}{k!} \exp[f(k)]$$
: (8)

where C is a normalization constant. For example, a power-law tail in p(k) may be generated by a suitable choice of the weights f(k) but it is not generic.

A more detailed account of networks with generic bcal interactions will be published elsewhere [21]. Here we turn to the simplest H am iltonian with local interactions producing nontrivial optimized networks, see Fig. 1. plt has the form  $H_L = H_1 + H_2$  with  $H_1(c) =$  $(1=2)_{i}k_i^2 + ik_i^3$  and  $H_2(c) = \lim_{i < j} k_{i,l}C_{ij}k_{j,l}$ . The rst term  $(1=2)_{i}k_i^2 = (1=2)_{ijk}C_{ik}C_{kj}$  gives the number of paths of length two on the graph. It rewards the form ation of hubs, i.e. highly connected vertices, which in turn lead to short distances. In fact this term has the maxim ally compact, starlike con guration as its ground state. The collapse to a star, where the connectivity of the central vertex scales with the size of the graph, however, is prevented by the regularization term  $_{i}k_{i}^{3}$ . The correlation term H<sub>2</sub> with ! 1 suppresses single, isolated links connecting two vertices of connectivity 1. W ithout this term an extensive number of isolated links remains even in the limit ! 1 leading to graphs with a large number of disconnected parts. A

m inim al connectivity of 1 of each vertex is enforced. For this H am iltonian, the free energy (7) contains only one non-zero order parameter  $Q_1$  given by  $p(1) = \frac{Q_1}{Q_1 e}$ : The chem ical potential is determined by  $_k kp(k) =$ . R em arkably, the connectivity correlation can be obtained from H<sub>2</sub> and single-vertex quantities,

$$q(k;k^{0}) kp(k)t_{k}k^{0}p(k^{0})t_{k^{0}} exp[g(k;k^{0})]:$$
 (9)

The constant of proportionality is xed by norm alization and the  $t_k$  are determined by the marginal distribution  $k^0_k q(k; k^0_p) = kp(k) = , \text{ giving } t_1 = = (T \ (p(1))) \text{ and } t_k = T = \frac{1}{p(1)^2} (p(1))^2 \text{ for } k > 1.$ 

The properties of optimized networks resulting from the Hamiltonian H<sub>L</sub> are readily inferred from Eqs. (8) and (9). At nite values one nds that the degree distribution (8) has an exponentially decaying tail. In order to analyze the limit ! 1, we replace the sum over k in (7) by an integral. One nds that the vertices arrange them selves into hubs of connectivity

$$k = (1 \ 2) = 4$$
 (10)

and peripheral vertices of connectivity 1. The peripheral vertices are connected only to hubs, while the hubs form an uncorrelated random network.

A rem arkably sim ilar structure is found for com pacti ed networks generated by the Ham iltonian with nonlocal interactions  $H = H_1 + H_d$  with  $H_d$  given by (6)  $_{i}k_{i}^{3}$ . For > 0, the nonlocal part H<sub>d</sub> faand H<sub>1</sub> = vors networks with short distances, while H<sub>1</sub> prevents the collapse to a star as before. Hence, by choosing = 2= (N log N), one obtains a well-de ned therm odynam ic lim it with the average distance between vertices scaling as logN. We have studied this ensem ble, as well as the case of local interactions  $H_{L}$ , by a Monte-Carlo link dynamics. Starting, for example, from an Erdos-Reny graph, random ly chosen links are m oved to previously unlinked vertex pairs with probability p = m in (1;exp[ H]) where H denotes the corresponding change in the Hamiltonian. The minimum degree of 1 is enforced throughout, self-links are excluded [7]. No dependence on the initial conditions has been found. For the local H am iltonian we use a network with N = 200, = 2.4, = 0.03, for the nonlocal Ham iltonian we use N = 100, = 2:4, = 0:001. We averaged over 100 sam ples. Fig. 2 juxtaposes analytical and num erical results for local interactions on the left with num erical results for nonlocal interactions on the right. The connectivity distribution p(k) shows the formation of high-connectivity hubs in both cases.




FIG.2. Statistical features of correlated random networks with local interactions (left) and nonlocal interactions (right). (a) Single-point connectivity distribution p(k) for various values of . The Poisson form (dotted line), data for interm edi-(open circles) and large (lled squares), and analytate ical values (8) for the case of local interactions (solid lines). (b) Neighbor connectivity distribution  $q(k;k^0)$ . Left: Local interactions, analytical form (9) for = 3. Right: Nonlocal interactions, num erical results for = 15. (c) A verage relative entropy hS  $(\hat{q} \hat{p}_0)$  i (circles), compared to the average sam pling entropy  $hS_0$  ( $\hat{q}_0$ )i (squares) and its standard deviation h S  $_0$  ( $\hat{q}_0$ ) i, see text. (d) A verage inverse distance K as a function of (open circles), compared to the same quantity for the equivalent uncorrelated network (open squares) and for the equivalent locally correlated network (right gure, open diam onds); see text.

For local interactions the hub connectivity is given by (10), for non-local interaction the distribution remains broad even in the lim it ! 1 (Fig. 2(a)) [22]. Lowconnectivity vertices are preferentially attached to hubs as indicated by the peaks at q(1;k) for local interactions and the corresponding peaks of  $q(k;k^0)$  for nonlocal interactions (Fig. 2(b)). The deviation from uncorrelated random networks is measured by the relative en- $\int_{k;k^0}^{0} q(k;k^0) \log \frac{q(k;k^0)}{q_0(k;k^0)}$  : In a single nettropy S (qjq\_) = work of size N , we obtain the estimate S  $(\hat{q}_{ij})$  from the observed frequencies  $\hat{q}(k;k^0)$  and  $\hat{p}(k)$ , with  $\hat{q}_0(k;k^0) =$  $(kk^{0} = {}^{2})p(k)p(k^{0})$ . We then generate a su cient num ber of uncorrelated random networks [24] with frequencies  $\hat{q}$  (k; k<sup>0</sup>) and sampling entropies S ( $\hat{q}$   $\hat{g}_0$ ); their average and standard deviation are denoted by  $S_0$  ( $\hat{q}_0$ ) and  $S_0(\mathbf{\hat{q}}_0)$  respectively. Connectivity correlations in the original network are signi cant if S (qiq\_0)  $S_0(\hat{q}_0) \&$  $S_0(q_0)$ . This is typically the case above a certain optim ization degree on, as shown by the ensemble averages over 10 sam ples hS  $(\hat{q}_1 \hat{q}_0)$  i, hS  $_0$   $(\hat{q}_0)$  i, and h S  $_0$   $(\hat{q}_0)$  i show n in qure 2c).

B oth kinds of networks become more compactied with increasing , as shown by the average inverse distance K (2=N (N 1))  $_{i< j} d_{ij}^{1}$  (Fig. 2(d)) [23]. We also plot K for the equivalent uncorrelated random networks; no such compactication is seen. Hence, the one-point distribution p(k) may miss important functional properties. On the other hand, the nonlocally interacting networks and their equivalent locally interacting counterparts (constructed to have the same p(k) and q(k;k<sup>0</sup>)) have a very similar degree of compactication [24]. This illustrates how optimization induces correlations.

In summary, we have shown how interactions shape the structure of a network. Ham iltonians beyond the single-vertex' form (3) generate correlations such as a neighbor connectivity distribution  $q(k;k^0)$  which diers from that in uncorrelated networks. Higher correlations can be de ned in a similar way [10]. These correlations provide a more detailed ngerprint of the interactions present than the single-point connectivity distribution p(k). This observation should carry over to the dynam ical rules for non-equilibrium ensembles such as the wellknown growth models [1,11].

In transcription control networks, structural motifs have been identi ed that can be expressed in terms of connectivity correlations [13]. Such correlations have also been observed in protein networks [14]. In view of our ndings for optim ized networks, they appear to be a natural consequence of the underlying dynam ics and functional optim ization. We expect the data to give im portant information on the underlying design principles of networks and on the selective forces governing their evolution. Reverse engineering seems feasible, with the aim of inferring the relevant dynam ics from the data. The nonequilibrium theory of correlated random networks will thus be an important avenue for future research.

A cknow ledgem ents M any thanks to Sergei M aslov for fruitful discussions and form aking [14] available prior to publication.

- [1] R. A lbert and A.-L. Barabasi, Rev. Mod. Phys. 47,74 (2002).
- [2] SN.D orogovtæv and JFF.M endes, Adv. Phys.51, 1079 (2002).
- [3] See, e.g., www mgsbionetnsc.ru/system s/mgl/genenet
- [4] P.Uetz et.al, Nature 403, 623 (2000).
- [5] See, e.g., http://igweb.integratedgenom ics.com /IG w it/.
- [6] B.Bollobas, Random Graphs Academ ic Press, London, (1985).
- [7] The graphs can be de ned with or without allowing selflinks (given by matrix elements c<sub>ii</sub> = 1). The corresponding ensembles di er only by corrections of order 1=N. Similarly, networks with unlabeled vertices are rather simply related to the ensembles discussed here in the large-N limit; see [21].
- [8] M.E.J.Newm an, S.H. Strogatz, and D.J.W atts, Phys. Rev. E 64, 026118 (2001).
- [9] Z.Burda, JD.Correia, and A.Krzywicki, Phys. Rev. E 64, 046118 (2001).
- [10] The sim plest higher term is Trc<sup>3</sup>, which counts the num ber of triangles.
- [11] D.S.Callaway et.al, Phys. Rev. E 64, 041902 (2001).
- [12] R. Pastor-Satorras, A. Vazquez, and A. Vespignani, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 258701 (2001).
- [13] S. S. Shen-Orr, et. al, Nature Genetics D O I:10.1038/ng881 (2002).
- [14] S.M aslov and K. Sneppen, Science, 296, 910-913 (2002).
- [15] The same scaling is known for uncorrelated random networks; see e.g.G.Szabo, M.A lava, and J.K ertesz, condm at/0203278 (2002).
- [16] A Ham iltonian of the form (6) has been used to generate sm all-world networks, see N.M athias and V.G opal, Phys. Rev.E 63, 21117 (2001).
- [17] H. Jeong, B. Tomber, R. Albert, ZN. Oltvai, and AL. Barabasi, Nature 407, 651 (2000).
- [18] R.Ferrer iCancho and R.V.Sole, cond-m at/0111222.
- [19] A.Krzywicki, cond-m at/0110574.
- [20] This correspondence is strictly valid only in the therm odynam ic lim it, where tadpole diagram s and multiple contractions between the same pair of vertices can be neglected.
- [21] J.Berg and M.Lassig, to be published.
- [22] The parameters were chosen such that the third moment of the degree distribution  $hk^3i$  is the same for the two ensembles in the limit of large  $\cdot$ .
- [23] This form is chosen since it remains well-de ned even for disconnected components.
- [24] There is an e cient algorithm to produce an equivalent uncorrelated random network from a given network: Choose two edges connecting the pairs of vertices i; j and

k; lat random with uniform probability and rew ire them as i; k and j; l.R epeating this procedure su ciently m any times leaves p(k) invariant but destroys all higher correlations. It has been used in ref. [14] for protein networks. Similarly, the equivalent locally correlated network is obtained by swapping only links with  $k_i = k_1$  and  $k_j = k_1$ , which leaves p(k) and q(k; k<sup>0</sup>) invariant but destroys all higher correlations.