## M in im um energy paths for dislocation nucleation in strained epitaxial layers

 $O.Trushin^1$ 

Institute of M icroelectronics and Inform atics, A cadem y of Sciences of Russia, Y aroslavl 150007, Russia

E.Granato<sup>2</sup>

Laboratorio A ssociado de Sensores e M ateriais, Instituto N acional de Pesquisas E spaciais, 12201{970 Sao Jose dos C am ps, SP B rasil

S.C.Ying

<sup>2</sup>D epartm ent of P hysics, B rown U niversity, P rovidence, R I 02912 U SA

P.Salo and T.Ala-Nissila<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Helsinki Institute of Physics and Laboratory of Physics, Helsinki University of Technology, FIN {02015 HUT, Espoo, Finland

We study numerically the minimum energy path and energy barriers for dislocation nucleation in a two-dimensional atom istic model of strained epitaxial layers on a substrate with lattice mist. Stress relaxation processes from coherent to incoherent states for dimensition paths are determined using saddle point search based on a combination of repulsive potential minimization and the Nudged E lastic B and method. The minimum energy barrier leading to a nal state with a single mist dislocation nucleation is determined. A strong tensile-compressive asymmetry is observed. This asymmetry can be understood in terms of the qualitatively diment transition paths for the tensile and compressive strains.

68.55 A c, 68.35 G y, 68.90 + g

The growth and stability of heteroepitaxial overlayers is one of the central problems in current materials science. Energy-balance argum ents for the com petition between strain energy build-up and strain relief due to dislocation nucleation in m ism atched epitaxial Im s lead to the concept of an equilibrium critical thickness. This is de ned as the thickness at which the energy of the epitaxial state is equal to that of a state containing a singlem is t dislocation. The predicted critical value from this equilibrium consideration however, both from continuous elastic m odels2;3 and from m odels incorporating layer discreteness<sup>4</sup>, is much smaller than the observed experim entalvalue for the breakdown of the epitaxial state. This suggests that the defect-free (coherent) state above the equilibrium critical thickness is metastable<sup>5</sup> and the rate of dislocation generation is actually controlled by kinetic considerations. The idea of strain relaxation as an activated process is supported by experim ental results for the tem perature dependence of the critical thickness<sup>5;6</sup>. It is also the fundam ental assumption in kinetic sem iem piricalm odels'.

P hysically, it is expected that the lowest energy barrier for the nucleation of dislocations would correspond to a path that initiates from the free surface (with or without defects). Such processes have been considered in a number of studies within continuum models<sup>8 {10</sup>. It has been pointed out that surface steps and surface roughness that are not included in the continuum model could play an important role for dislocation nucleation<sup>11 {14</sup>. Thus, atom istic study is important for a detailed understanding and direct determ ination of the mechanism s for defect nucleation in epitaxial lm s. However, determ ination of the correct transition path and the nucleation bar-

rier from the initial coherent state to the nal state with m is this locations is an extrem ely challenging problem in an atom istic model. There are many saddle points and transition paths in the neighborhood of the initial coherent state. A brute force m olecular dynam ics (MD) study is in practical because of the rare event nature of the activated processes. In recent years, great progress has been made in the general eld of search for transition paths for com plicated energy landscapes<sup>15;16</sup>. In addition, various accelerated hyperdynam ics schem es<sup>17;18</sup> have been developed to overcom e the computational problems for rare events. However, these schemes still involve considerable computational e orts for complicated, large energy barriers and often require a qualitative picture of the energy landscape as a starting point. Recently we have developed a repulsive potential m in im ization m ethod<sup>19</sup> which allows autom atic generation of many paths leading away from the initial minimum energy coherent state. W hen combined with the Nudged E lastic B and m ethod (NEB)<sup>15</sup>, this method can be used to e ciently locate saddle point con gurations and barriers for each transition path without having to make any speci cassum ptions about the nature of the transition path.

For the present study, we consider a two-dimensional model of the epitaxial lm and substrate where the atom ic layers are conned to a plane as illustrated in Fig. 1 (a). Interactions between atom s in the system are modelled by a generalized Lennard-Jones pair potential<sup>20</sup>, that is modiled to insure that the potential and its rst derivative vanish<sup>11</sup> at a cut-old distance  $\mathfrak{g}$  as

$$U(r) = V(r);$$
 r r<sub>0</sub>;

U (r) = V (r) 3 
$$\frac{r_{c}}{r_{c}}$$
  $r_{0}$  2  $\frac{r_{c}}{r_{c}}$   $r_{0}$  3<sup>#</sup>; r > r<sub>0</sub>; (1)

where

$$V(r) = "\frac{m}{n m} \frac{r_0}{r}^n \frac{n}{n m} \frac{r_0}{r}^m$$
; (2)

and r is the interatom ic distance, " the dissociation energy and  $r_0$  the equilibrium distance between the atom s. This potential has been used previously<sup>11</sup>, with n = 12and m = 6, in a Monte Carlo simulation of epitaxial growth. We have chosen the value n = 8 and m = 5for the present study. In contrast to the standard 6 12 potential, this 5 8 potential has a slower fall-o. Thus, when combined with the variation of the cuto radius r, this choice allows us to system atically study the effect of the range of the potential on m is t dislocation. 8 potential gives a more realistic descrip-Also, the 5 tion of m etallic system s than the 6 12 case. The equilibrium interatom ic distance  $r_0$  was set to di erent values for the substrate, epitaxial Im, and the substrate-Im interfaces. The substrate  $r_0 = r_{ss}$  and the epitaxial lm  $r_0 = r$  parameters were varied to give a m is tf between lattice parameters as f = (r) $r_{ss}$ )= $r_{ss}$ . For the

In -substrate interaction we set the equilibrium distance as the average of the Im and substrate lattice constants,  $r_0 = r_{fs} = (r + r_{ss})=2$ . Positive m is t f corresponds to compressive strain and negative f to tensile strain when the Im is coherent with the substrate. C alculations were performed with periodic boundary conditions in the direction parallel to the Im-substrate interface. Typically, one-dimensional layers containing 50 atom s orm ore were used in the calculations. In the calculations the bottom ve layers represented the substrate, with the last two layers held axed to simulate a sem i-in nite substrate while all other layers were free to m ove.

O ur new scheme of identifying the saddle points and the transition paths consists of several stages. First, the initial epitaxial state is prepared by minimizing the total energy of the system using MD cooling. This leads to an initial coherent epitaxial state in which the interlayer spacing is relaxed, but the atom swithin the layers are under compressive or tensile strain according to the mist. Next, we introduce a short-ranged repulsive potential centered at the starting epitaxial conguration of the form

$$U_{tot}(r) = U(r) + A \exp f (r r_0)^2 g;$$
 (3)

where  $r_0$ 's are the coordinates of the initial state at the minimum<sup>16</sup>. The basic idea here is to modify the local energy surface to make the initial epitaxial state unstable. When the system is slightly displaced from the initial state (random ly or in a selective way), it will then be forced to move to nearby minimum energy states. By making this repulsive potential su ciently localized around the initial potential minima, the surrounding minima would be una ected and the nal state energy would then depend only on the true potential of the system . This method can generate many di erent

nal states depending on both the initial displacements and the parameters of the repulsive potential. In this work, we only consider nalcon gurations corresponding to a single m is t dislocation. R ather than trying random initial displacements, some know ledge of the dislocation generation mechanism is useful for expediting the process. G iven the know ledge of the nalstate, we then use the NEB method to locate the saddle point and nd the minimum energy path (MEP) between the initial and nal states. We note that the path generated in the rst part of moving away from the repulsive potential can be used as an initial guess for the MEP determination in the NEB method.

We have perform ed calculations for various m is to but in this paper we concentrate on the case of a relatively large 8% m is t. We work with dimensionless quantities with " as the energy scale and  $r_{\rm ss}$  as the length scale. Two di erent choices of cuto , namely  $g=1.5~r_{\rm ss}$  and  $r_{\rm c}=4.7~r_{\rm ss}$  were m ade. The results for the barriers are qualitatively similar, so we present here only the results for the short range potential with  $r_{\rm c}=1.5~r_{\rm ss}$ . However, the equilibrium critical thickness and its asymmetry with respect to tensile or compressive strain are very sensitive to the range of the potential  $^{19}$ .

The results for the MEP from coherent to incoherent states are shown in Fig. 1 for a lm under tensile strain and Fig. 2 for compressive strain. They show clearly the existence of an energy barrier for the nucleation of a m is t dislocation. Thus, the non-equilibrium critical thickness can be much larger than the equilibrium value and it is controlled in practice by the kinetics of defect nucleation.

For compressive strain, the nal state is characterized by the presence of an adatom island on the surface of the

In for each m is t dislocation. The number of adatom s in the island exactly corresponds to the number of layers in the In . Such form of the nal state is determined by the geometry of the m is t dislocation. For every m is t dislocation, an extra atom is removed from each layer to relieve the compressive stress. For tensile strain, the nal state is characterized by the presence of pits on the surface. A gain, the size of the pit is determined by geometrical considerations. For every m is t dislocation, an extra atom has to be added to each layer to relieve the tensile stress. For both cases, the dislocation core is localized in the substrate- In interface region.

Figures 1 and 2 also show the particle con gurations at the di erent points along the MEP which reveal details of defect nucleation and strain relaxation process. The transition path for the compressive strain has a more local nature, with relatively fewer bonds involved initially, whereas for the tensile strained lm, the nucleation proceeds via a more collective path, involving concerted motion along glide planes. The energy barrier for nucleation of a dislocation is much higher for the com pressive strain relative to the case of tensile strain. This asymmetry is very robust and it persists when we change the range of the potential by varying the cuto .

To understand the origin of this asymmetry, we plot in Fig. 3 the distribution of the nearest-neighbor bond lengths for the lm from the initial epitaxial lm to the saddle point con guration for both the compressive and tensile cases. It can be seen that the behavior of the compressively strained lm and the tensile-strained

In is very di erent. In the tensile case, the redistribution of the bond lengths going from the initial coherent state to the saddle point con guration involves a significant contraction of the intralayer bonds leading to partial relaxation of the tensile strain in the lm. On the other hand, for the compressively strained lm, the initial delta function peak for the intralayer bond lengths broadens alm ost sym metrically and there are no signi cant relaxation of the com pressive strain in the lm. This explains the relatively higher energy costs and a corresponding larger nucleation barrier for the compressive strained Im. Microscopically, the origin of the di erent behavior could arise from the strong anham onicity of the interaction potential. For the compressive strain, intralayer rearrangem ents involve som e further com pression of the bonds which is energetically costly. Thus, a m ore localized initial con guration with a higher barrier results as opposed to the collective behavior of the tensile strained layer. W e have also checked that the boundary conditions and system sizes do not a ect the results qualitatively by comparing results from system swith periodic and free boundary conditions, and for layers twice as long.

In sum m ary, we have developed a new scheme of identifying m in im al energy path for spontaneous generation of m is t dislocation in an epitaxial lm. This new approach requires no a prioriassum ptions about the nature of the transition path or the nal states. A nonzero activation barrier for dislocation nucleation is found in the m in im um energy path from coherent to incoherent state above the equilibrium critical thickness, con ming the meta-stability of the epitaxial coherent lm. The nucleation mechanism from a at surface depends crucially on whether we start from a tensile or compressive initial state of the lm. This asymmetry originates from the anharm onicity of the interaction potentials which leads to qualitatively di erent transition paths for the two types of strains. A tensile-compressive asymmetry has also been found previously<sup>11;12</sup> in other contexts. The present method can be extended to three-dimensional models with more realistic interaction potentials. Preliminary calculations for the Pd/Cu and Cu/Pd system  $s^{19}$  with the Embedded Atom Modelpotentials<sup>21</sup> con ms the effectiveness of the method in three dimensions. These results will be published elsewhere.

This work was supported by a NSF-CNPq grant (E G and S C Y ), by the Russian M inistry of Science and Technology (O T ), FAPESP (E G ), and by the A cadem y of F inland through its C enter of E xcellence pro-

gram (O.T., P.S., and T.A.-N.).

- <sup>1</sup> J.C.Bean, Science 230, 127 (1985).
- <sup>2</sup> J.W .M atthews and A.E.B lakeslee, J.C ryst.G row th 27, 118 (1974).
- <sup>3</sup> C.A.B.Ball and J.H. van der Merwe, in Dislocation in Solids, F.R.N.Nabarro, Ed. (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1983).
- <sup>4</sup> E.Granato, J.M.Kosterlitz, and S.C.Ying, Phys.Rev. B 39, 3185 (1989).
- <sup>5</sup> J.Y.Tsao, B.W. Dodson, S.T.Picraux, and D.M.Cornelison, Phys. Rev. Lett. 59, 2455 (1987).
- <sup>6</sup> J.Zou and D.J.H.Cockayne, and B.F.Usher, Appl.Phys. Lett. 68 673 (1996).
- <sup>7</sup> D.C.Houghton, J.Appl.Phys. 70, 2136 (1991).
- <sup>8</sup> B.J.Spencer, P.W. Voorhees, and S.H.Davis, Phys.Rev. Lett. 67, 3696 (1991).
- <sup>9</sup> A.G.Cullis, A.J.Pidduck, and M.T.Emeny, Phys.Rev. Lett. 75, 2368 (1995).
- <sup>10</sup> J.G rilhe, Europhys. Lett. 23, 141 (1993).
- <sup>11</sup> L.Dong, J.Schnitker, R.W. Sm ith, and D.J.Srolovitz, J. Appl.Phys.83, 217 (1998).
- <sup>12</sup> M. Ichim ura and J.N arayan, Phil.M ag.A, 72 281 (1995).
- <sup>13</sup> J. Terso and F. K. LeG oues, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 3570 (1994).
- <sup>14</sup> S.Brochard, P.Beauchamp, and J.Grille, Phil.M ag.A 80,503 (2000).
- <sup>15</sup> H.Jonsson, G.M ills and K.W.Jacobsen, in Classical and Quantum Dynamics in Condensed Phase Simulations, ed. by B.J.Berne et al (W orld Scientic, Singapore, 1998).
- <sup>16</sup> N.M ousseau and G.T.Barkem a, Phys. Rev. B 61, 1898 (2000).
- <sup>17</sup> A.F.Voter, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 3908 (1997); J.Chem. Phys. 106, 4665 (1997).
- <sup>18</sup> A. Sorensen and A. F. Voter, J. Chem. Phys. 112, 9599 (2000).
- <sup>19</sup> O. Trushin et al., unpublished.
- <sup>20</sup> S.Zhen and G.J.D avies, Phys.Stat.Sol.A 78, 595 (1983).
- <sup>21</sup> S.M. Foiles, M. I. Baskes, and M. S. Daw, Phys. Rev. B 33, 7983 (1986).

FIG.1. Particle con gurations and energy change E  $_{\rm i}$  E  $_{\rm 0}$  at di erent states (in ages) along the minimum energy path, for tensile strain (f = 8%). The two layers at the bottom are held xed while all others are free to move. Open circles represent the substrate atom s and lled circles the epitaxial lm. Only the central part of the layers with major atom rearrangem ents is shown.

FIG.2. Same as Fig. 1 but for compressive strain (f = +8%).

FIG.3. Nearest-neighbor bond distributions of the epitaxial lm at the saddle point for the (a) tensile, and (b) com – pressive cases. Solid and dotted arrows indicate the position of the delta-function peak corresponding to intralayer and interlayer bond distributions of the initial coherent lm.













