Optical band edge shift of anatase Ti_{4 x}Co_xO₂

J.R.Simpson and H.D.Drew

D epartm ent of Physics, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742-4111

S.R. Shinde, R.J. Choudhary, S.B. Ogale, and T. Venkatesan

Center for Superconductivity Research, Department of Physics, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742-4111 (Dated: August 27, 2003)

We report on the optical properties of magnetic cobalt-doped anatase phase titanium dioxide T i_{1} x C o_{x} O $_{2}$ lms for low doping concentrations, 0 x 0.02, in the spectral range 0.2 h! < 5 eV. For well oxygenated lms (1) the optical conductivity is characterized by an absence of optical absorption below an onset of interband transitions at 3.6 eV and a blue shift of the optical band edge with increasing C o concentration. The absence of below band gap absorption is inconsistent with theoretical models which contain midgap magnetic impurity bands and suggests that strong on-site C oulomb interactions shift the O-band to C o-level optical transitions to energies above the gap.

D ilute m agnetic sem iconductors (DMS) o er a possible system to realize control of the charge transport by using the spin degrees of freedom or "spintronics". DMS consist ofm agnetic in purities doped in a sem iconducting host (e.g., Mn-doped GaAs). Such materials undergo a ferrom agnetic phase transition below the Curie temperature, $T_{\rm c}$ which is typically $T_{\rm c}$ $100~{\rm K}$.

Therefore the recent discovery of ferrom agnetism with $T_c > 300 \text{ K}$ in cobalt-doped T iO 2 has generated considerable interest in this system and similar dilute magnetic oxides.² High-tem perature magnetization measurem ents using vibrating sam ple m agnetom etry 3 nd a T_c 1180 K for $x = 0.07 T i_{1 x} Co_x O_2$, nearly that of bulk Co ($T_c = 1404 \text{ K}$). Such a large T_c , suggests that cobalt appears in clusters rather than substitutionally and that the resulting magnetism is due to clustered Corather than a new dilute magnetic oxide. Indeed several groups report direct observation of cobalt clusters from transm ission electron m icroscopy. In a careful study of doping dependence, Shinde et al.3 nd a limited solubility of cobalt in $T_{i_1} \times C_{o_x}O_2$ above a concentration of 0:02 with Co clustering beginning thereafter. Lowdoped system s, x 0.02, exhibit a T_c 700 K and show no evidence of Co clusters. The existence of such a high T_c for a dilute magnetic system showing no Co clustering rem ains puzzling. Thus, further measurements to elucidate the electronic structure and resolve the nature of the magnetism are warranted.

In this paper, we present measurements of the optical absorption of well-characterized thin $\,$ lm s of anatase T i_1 $_x$ C o_x O $_2$ $\,$ for low C o concentrations. Interband absorption above the band gap at 3.6 eV dominates the optical spectra. We discuss the implications of these results related to band structure calculations and compare our measured band edge shift to other optical studies.

Thin Im samples of T $_{1 \times C}$ O $_{2}$ O $_{3}$ With x=0;0.01, and 0.02 were grown on SrLaGaO $_{4}$ (SLGO) substrates using pulsed laser deposition. Additionally, a pure

TiO $_2$ Im was grown on LaA D $_3$ (LAO) for comparison. Films were deposited to $1500~\rm{A}$ thickness with an oxygen partial pressure of 10^{-5} Torr corresponding to (1). 4-probe dc resistance measurements exhibit insulating behavior with room temperature resistivity $_{295\rm{K}}$ > 10 cm. X-ray di raction (XRD) measurements of both pure and doped TiO $_2$ Ims show peaks corresponding to those observed in bulk anatase TiO $_2$. Values of the in-plane and out-of-plane (d $_{004}$) lattice constants obtained from XRD are discussed later in the paper.

Room temperature transmission T (!) and rejection R (!) measurements of near-normal incidence light at frequencies from 0.25 to 5 eV are performed using a Fourier-transform spectrometer. By numerically inverting the Fresnel formulas for T and R, we obtain the complex index of refraction $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{i} \mathbf{k}$ without the need for K ramers K ronig analysis. From $\mathbf{r}(!)$, we may derive other optical constants, e.g. the optical absorption (!) or the complex optical conductivity \sim (!).

H istorically, the spectral dependence of the band edge is characterized using the absorption coe cient, 4 ! k, where ! is the frequency in am 1 and k is the extinction coe cient (k = Im frg). At photon energies above the band gap E_g , / $(h! E_g)^{1=2}$ for a direct gap while / $(h! E_q)^2$ for an indirect gap. B and structure calculations 10 predict a direct gap at energies just lower than the onset of indirect transitions. In a detailed study of the absorption edge of single crystal anatase TiO2, Tang et al. 11 report a band edge with E $_{q} = 3.420$ eV and tentatively assigns the transition to a direct gap. Consistent with the behavior of a direct gap, we plot 2 versus frequency in Fig. 1. Linear ts to the high-frequency part above the band edge onset are extrapolated to zero absorption, giving the direct band gap energy Eq. Pure T iO $_2$ exhibits $E_q = 3$:6 eV , slightly larger than the value obtained by Tang et al. 11 W ith increased Co concentration x, the band edge shifts to higher frequencies, show ing




FIG. 1: Frequency dependence of the square of the absorption coe cient at room temperature for Co concentrations x=0, 0.01, and 0.02. Straight lines represent linear ts. An undoped TiO $_2$ sample grown on a LaA 1O $_3$ substrate is shown for comparison.

a maximum shift of 100 meV for x=0.02. A part from a band edge tail, there appears no evidence for strong absorption at frequencies below the gap. A dditionally, we compared our results to linear ts of consistent with an indirect gap and found that while the indirect band edge is approximately 0.4 eV lower in energy, the shift of E $_g$ with doping remains una ected. The exact nature of the gap (direct or indirect) remains uncertain.

well characterizes the band edge onset, the optical conductivity better suites to com pare experim ental results to predictions of band theory. In particular, the real part of the optical conductivity is given by 1(!) / 2nk!, where n and k are the real and im aginary part of the complex index of refraction respectively and! is the frequency. Figure 2 shows the frequency dependence of 1 at room temperature for severalCo concentrations. Throughout the mid-infrared (mid-IR) to visible frequency range (0.25 to 3 eV), 1 remains essentially zero, consistent with the negligible dc conductivity 1 cm 1). At frequencies larger than 3 eV, 1 increases rapidly corresponding to the increase in absorption as seen in Fig. 1. To further elucidate the minim alm idgap conductivity, we expand the scale in the inset of Fig 2. Although no strong conductivity in the spectral range 1 3 is observed, 1 increases slightly near the edge, although non-monotonically, with the addition of Co. Such an increase may result from the Co levels in the gap or disorder e ects on the U mbach tai 1^{1} of the fundam ental absorption edge.

It is interesting to compare the optical conductivity and band edge shifts with photolum inescence (PL) spectra. PL studies 13,14,15 nd a broad peak centered around 2.3 eV for anatase TiO $_{\rm 2}$. The peak in the PL spectrum is Stokes shifted 1.3 eV lower than the onset of inter-




FIG. 2: Frequency dependence of the real part of the optical conductivity $_1$ at room temperature for Co concentrations x=0,0.01, and 0.02. Inset expands the region just below the band edge. Band theory calculations from Yang et al. 12 are shown for x=0 and x=0.125.

band transitions in the optical conductivity and the peak in the photolum inescence excitation spectrum . Such a Stokes shift is consistent with that of a self-trapped exciton where the exciton loses energy nonradiatively to the lattice. With the addition of Co, the peak of the PL spectrum blue shifts, is similar to the shift observed in the band edge. Doping dependent shifts in the band edge and the PL peak are plotted together in Fig. 3 for comparison. The direct band gap energy increases monotonically with x, while the PL peak increases rather abruptly upon the addition of Co and saturates above x=0.02. The saturation of the shift at higher doping concentrations is consistent with the limited solubility of Co in TiO 2 as reported earlier.

Sim ilar shifts of the band edge as observed here with Co doping are reported in a pressure-dependent optical study of single x-talanatase T iO $_2$. Sekiya et al. 16 observe a blue shift of the band edge upon the application of hydrostatic pressure. For an applied pressure of 3.9 GPa, the edge shifts to higher energy by $50~\text{m}$ eV .W e estimate the change in the T iO $_2$ lattice resulting from the hydrostatic pressure by introducing the bulk modulus, B = V P= V, where V is the volume, V is the change in volume, and P is the applied pressure. Taking the bulk modulus for anatase T iO $_2$, B = 180 GPa, 17 the applied hydrostatic pressure of 3.9 GPa introduces a volume edecrease of 2% .

Given the blue shift of the band edge with increasing pressure or equivalently decreasing lattice size, we consider how substrate strain or the addition of cobalt may a ect the lattice. Firstly the issue of substrate strain, the thin lms studied su er strain due to lattice mismatch with the substrates. For epitaxial lms the initial layers grow coherently with the substrate but defects gradu-




FIG. 3: Shifts with cobalt doping of the direct band edge (circles with a dashed line as a guide for the eye) and photolum innescence peak from Guha et al. 13 (triangles). For comparison the out of plane lattice constant, 3 d₀₀₄, for 1 ms grown on LaA 1 D₃ is plotted on a separate y-axis (squares).

ally relax the induced strain allowing the $\,$ lm s to grow m ore like bulk. Values of the in-plane lattice constant, a, for bulk $\,$ TiO $_2$, LAO, and SLGO are listed in Table I. Both LAO and SLGO substrates have a larger in-plane

TABLE I: Lattice param eters.

	a (A)	d ₀₀₄ (A)
TiO ₂ Bulk ^a	3.7851	2.3780
LaA 10 $_3$ substrate	3.79	
$SrLaGaO_4$ substrate	3.84	
TiO_2 Im on LaA IO_3		2.376 ^b
T iO $_2$ lm on S rLaG aO $_4$		2.367 ^b

^aR ef. 17

lattice param eter than bulk T iO $_2$, introducing a tensile strain in the lms of approximately 0.12% and 1.5%, respectively. The tensile stress tends to expand the T iO $_2$ lattice in the plane. For materials with a typical Poisson ratio, an expansion in the plane results in a reduction of the out-of-plane lattice constant, d_{004} . Indeed XRD measurements of the T iO $_2$ lms reveal such a decrease of d_{004} , as shown in Table I. The lm on SLGO, with the larger in-plane tensile strain, exhibits a larger reduction in d_{004} relative to bulk T iO $_2$ (0.45%) compared with the lm on LAO (0.08%). To explore the elects of lattice strain on the band edge, the absorption of a T iO $_2$

In grown on LAO is shown in Fig. 1. The band edge of the Im on LAO with the smaller in-plane lattice is blue shifted $()^{\circ}$ 100 meV) relative to the TiO₂ Im grown on SLGO, consistent with the blue shift resulting from the application of hydrostatic pressure discussed above.

To address the e ect of cobalt substitution on the shift

of the band edge, we exam ine the change in size of the lattice with doping. Cobalt appears in the doped TiO2 system in the +2 form aloxidation state as determined from x-ray absorption spectroscopy. The atom ic radii of Co^{2+} and Ti^{4+} are 0.82 A and 0.69 A, respectively. 18 Substitution of the larger Co2+ for Ti4+ should expand the lattice. XRD measurements of lms grown on LAO (plotted in Fig. 3) show d_{004} increases with x, saturating at about x = 0.02. The increase of d_{004} supports the prediction of an increase in the size of the lattice with Co doping. For the lms grown on SLGO, do remains relatively constant with Co, $d_{004} = 2:3674 \quad 0:0002A \cdot A n$ increasing (lm s on LAO) or relatively constant (lm s on SLGO) lattice size with x should result in either a red shift or no shift of the band edge. Therefore, the observed blue shift with Co doping cannot be ascribed simply to a change of the lattice size.

In order to understand the observed blue shift of the band edge, we compare our conductivity to theoretical predictions of the electronic structure of both pure and Co-doped TiO₂. Band structure calculations^{5,19} indicate the valence band derives primarily from oxygen pelevels, the conduction band derives from the Tid-levels, and that the crystaled split Cod-levels fall within the energy gap. These midgap states would lead to below band gap optical absorption in a non-interacting electron picture of optical transitions. Using a rst-principles density-functional approach, Yang et al. Calculates the dielectric function for Co-doped TiO₂. The resulting 1 for x = 0.125 and pure TiO₂ are shown in the inset of Fig. 2 for comparison.

The predicted increase in conductivity below the band gap results from transitions to cobalt levels. We may estimate the elective Co number density using optical sum rules.

$$S(!) = \frac{2}{m} \sum_{0}^{N} (!)^{0} d!^{0} = \frac{N_{eff} e^{2}}{m};$$
 (1)

where N eff is the e ective carrier density, which in general will be som ew hat less than the cobalt num ber density N, e is the electron charge, and m is the electron mass. The cobalt number density N as a function of x is given by N = $\frac{fx}{v}$, where f = 4 is the number of Tiper unit cell and $V = 136.85 \text{ A}^3$ is the unit cell volum e. Estimating N eff from the predicted conductivity using Eq. 1 and comparing to N, we nd that approxim ately 0.75 of the total Co spectral weight appears in the predicted midgap absorption feature. In estimating the Co spectral weight from the experimental data we take the di erence in 1 due to doping to be a con-10 1 cm 1 (corresponding to the error in our m easurem ent) over the frequency range from 1 to 3 eV. Substituting into Eq. 1, we not the experimental upper 10^{20} cm 3 . Comparing this to the bound for Neff number density N we nd the upper bound on the observed density of Co is roughly 0.15 times the expected

The absence of below gap optical excitations in the

bX -ray di raction m easurem ent.

m easured conductance m ay be understood either as evidence that the band calculations are not capturing the electronic structure of this m aterial under the assumed charge state of the cobalt or that the on-site C oulom benergy, U , for adding another electron to the C o ion is large. In the second scenario the experiment in plies U $^>$ 3 eV .

Noting the absence of spectral weight associated with cobalt levels in the gap combined with the blue shift of the band edge, we exam ine the possible strong interaction e ects on the optical transitions involving the Co ion. First we reject interpretations that consider the alby within a rigid band picture. In this case a shift in the band edge results from uniform shifts of the conduction band due to the average Ti/Copotentials. Since the atom ic potentials for Co are larger that those of Ti, the Ti/Co band would be lower than the pure Tibands in TiO₂ resulting in a red shift of the band edge, contrary to observation. Indeed the rigid band approach is more appropriate for delocalized states. For the transition m etal ions in Ti_{4 x} Co_xO₂ a localized picture is more appropriate. Therefore, we discuss the processes operating on the optical transitions involving the Co levels within a localized picture. A schematic view of the band structure is shown in Fig. 4. In pure T iO $_2$, the band edge E $_{\alpha}$ con-




FIG . 4: Schem atic energy level diagram .

sists of the energy di erence between the lled 0 p-levels and the empty Tid-levels with E_{α} 3:6 eV (as discussed above). With the addition of cobalt, charge transfer transitions from the O p-levels to the empty localized Co2+ d-levels becom e possible. A llowed transitions from occupied C o levels to the Tilevels should be weaker since they involve a virtual transition through the O p-levels. The energy E₁ of the oxygen to cobalt transition is the sum of the charge transfer energy $_{\text{C}\,\text{O}}$ plus the on-site C oulom b energy $U ; E_1 = C_0 + U . T he observed absence of below$ band gap absorption indicates that E₁ is greater than the band gap in the alloy E $_{\rm q}^{\rm 0}$. This is reasonable since the U 3 eV and the empty Co levels are is estim ated to be 2 eV above the oxygen band⁵.

We now turn our attention to the observed band edge shift, $E_g = x$, where = 5 eV. If the O and Tiband edges are not a ected by the substitution of Co, this shift would be understood in term softhe reduction of the interband oscillator strength upon Tidilution by Co and the extra absorption at E₁. However, this scenario leads not to a shift in the band edge but essentially to a change in the slope of 2 , contrary to observation. Therefore we conclude that O and Tibands separate upon Co substitution. The large rate of separation (= 5 eV) im plies strong level repulsion that m ight occur for interstitial incorporation of the Co. This large band edge shift is especially interesting because it in plies strong interactions which are also required to provide the large exchange interaction and associated high ferrom agnetic Tc observed in this material.

In conclusion, optical measurements have revealed a shift of the band edge with Codoping and an absence of midgap absorption in anatase Ti $_{\rm l}$ x Co $_{\rm x}$ O $_{\rm 2}$. The gap in the optical conductivity also implies strong Coulomb interaction elects on the optical processes involving the Coions.

A cknow ledgm ents

We wish to thank A.J.M illis, S.D as Sarma, and G.A. Sawatzky for valuable discussions and Y. Zhao for help with experimentation. This work supported in part by NSF-MRSEC Grant No. DMR-00-80008 and DARPA (SD.S.).

sim pson@ physics.um d.edu

¹ H.Ohno, Science 281, 951 (1998).

Y. M atsum oto, M. M urakam i, T. Shono, T. Hasegawa, T. Fukum ura, M. Kawasaki, P. Ahm et, T. Chikyow, S. Koshihara, and H. Koinum a, Science 291, 854 (2001).

³ S.R. Shinde, S.B. Ogale, S.D. Sarma, J.R. Simpson, H.D. Drew, S.E. Lo and, C. Lanci, J.P. Buban, N.D. Browning, V.N. Kulkarni, et al., Phys. Rev. B 67, 115211 (2003).

⁴ S.A.Cham bers, S.Thevuthasan, R.F.C.Farrow, R.F.

M arks, J.U. Thiele, L. Folks, M.G. Sam ant, A.J. Kellock, N.Ruzycki, D.L. Ederer, et al., Appl. Phys. Lett. 79, 3467 (2001).

M. S. Park, S. K. Kwon, and B. I. Min, arXiv condmat/0202118 (unpublished).

M. Quijada, J. Ceme, J. R. Simpson, H. D. Drew, K. H. Ahn, and A. J. Millis, Phys. Rev. B 58, 16093 (1998).

J.R. Simpson, H.D. Drew, V.N. Smolyaninova, R.L. Greene, M.C. Robson, A.Biswas, and M.Rajeswari, Phys. Rev. B 60, R16263 (1999).

- O. S. Heavens, Optical Properties of Thin Solid Films (Dover Publications Inc., 1991), Chap. 4.
- ⁹ P.Y.Yu and M.Cardona, Fundam entals of Sem iconductors (Springer-Verlag, 1996).
- R. Asahi, Y. T. ans W. M. annstadt, and A. J. Freem an, Phys. Rev. B 61, 7459 (2000).
- H.Tang, F.L evy, H.Berger, and P.E.Schm id, Phys.Rev. B 52, 7771 (1995).
- ¹² Z. Yan, G. Liu, and R. Wu, Phys. Rev. B 67, 060402 (R) (2003).
- S.Guha, K.Ghosh, J.G.Keeth, S.B.Ogale, S.R.Shinde, J.R.Simpson, H.D.Drew, and T.Venkatesan, Appl. Phys.Lett. (accepted for publication).

- H. Tang, H. Berger, P.E. Schm id, and F.L evy, Solid State Commun. 87, 847 (1993).
- $^{15}\,$ H .Tang, H .B erger, P .E .Schm id, and F .L evy, Solid State C om m un .92, 267 (1994).
- ¹⁶ T. Sekiya, S. Ohta, and S. Kurita, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B 15, 3952 (2001).
- T. Arlt, M. Bermejo, M. A. Blanco, L. Gerward, J. Z. Jiang, J. S. Olsen, and J. M. Recio, Phys. Rev. B 61, 14414 (2000).
- $^{\rm 18}$ J.Em sley, The Elements (C larenden Press, 1998).
- 19 S.D.Mo and W.Y.Chin, Phys.Rev.B 51, 13023 (1995).