# Spectral Statistics in Chiral-Orthogonal Disordered System s

S.N.Evangelou<sup>1;2</sup> and D.E.Katsanos<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Departm ent of Physics, University of Ioannina, Ioannina 45110, G reece

 $^2$  D epartm ent of P hysics, U niversity of Lancaster, Lancaster SW 1 2BZ, UK

We describe the singularities in the averaged density of states and the corresponding statistics of the energy levels in two- (2D) and three-dimensional (3D) chiral symmetric and tim e-reversal invariant disordered system s, realized in bipartite lattices with real o -diagonal disorder. For o -diagonal disorder of zero m ean we obtain a singular density of states in 2D which becomes much less pronounced in 3D, while the level-statistics can be described by sem i-Poisson distribution with mostly critical fractal states in 2D and W igner surm ise with mostly delocalized states in 3D. For logarithm ic o diagonal disorder of large strength we nd indistinguishable behavior from ordinary disorder with strong localization in any dimension but in addition one-dimensional 1= ± jD ysonlike asymptotic spectral singularities. The o -diagonal disorder is also shown to enhance the propagation of two interacting particles sim ilarly to system s with diagonal disorder. A lthough disordered m odels with chiral sym m etry di er from non-chiral ones due to the presence of spectral singularities, both share the sam e qualitative localization properties except at the chiral sym m etry point E = 0 which is critical.

Pacs num bers: 71 23 An; 73 20 Jc, 74.40.+ k

#### I. IN TRODUCTION

In the last couple of decades the e ect of various sym metries in the scaling theory [1] of Anderson localization has been explored, both theoretically and experim entally, leading to fundam ental results [2]. For exam ple, in two-dim ensional (2D ) disordered system s breaking of the sym m etry under tim e-reversal leads to the Quantum Hall E ect where the presence of magnetic eld is responsible for critical delocalized states at the center of each Landau band which carry the Hall current [3], while breaking of symmetry under spin-rotation due to spin-orbit coupling is believed to lead to a m etallic phase even in 2D [4]. Recently, strong interest has focused on other basic symmetries, such as the chiral or particlehole symmetry. In addition to the three standard universality classes (orthogonal, unitary and sym plectic) the presence of chiral sym m etry gives three extra chiral ensembles [5,6], while four sim ilar but distinct ensembles are realized for particle-hole symmetry in norm alm etalsuperconducting systems, increasing their total num ber to ten [7]. The prerequisite for chiral symmetry is the presence of bipartite lattice structure with two interconnected sublattices and the sim plest case where it can be

realized in disordered systems is real random hopping between nearest-neighbours [8]. This is also known as o -diagonal disorder and it is worth studying the spectral and localization properties of such systems in order to see the e ect of chirality in 2D, 3D. The presence of chiral symmetry in disordered systems somehow sim pli-

es both analytical and num erical calculations so that m ore is known about Anderson localization for the chiral sym m erty classes in one, quasi-one and two dim ensions illustrated for quasi-one dim ension in [9]. In the rest of the paper we will rely on num erical tools in order to explore quantities that have not been computed analytically so far and also for quantities where the analytical predictions are competing, such as the 2D density of states.

The chiral symmetry is present in simple nearestneighborm odels with o -diagonal disorder de ned on bipartite lattices which consist of two sublattices A and B, one connected to the other by random bonds [8]. This geom etric kind of sym m etry, where the random ness strictly connects sites of two di erent sublattices, is di erent from other intrinsic symmetries of the Hamiltonian such as time-reversal and spin-rotation. It can also appear as an electron-hole transform ation in half led m any body system s [7,10]. It is well-known that a consequence of the chiral symmetry is a distinct special point in the energy spectrum, for o -diagonal disorder in square and cubic lattices this is the band center E = 0 with the eigenvalues making up symmetric energy pairs (E; E) around the zero mode E = 0. For an early observation of bcalized chiral zero-m odes see R ef. [11]. For nite lattices the special chiral energy eigenvalue E = 0 exists for any disorder con guration but only when the total num ber of sites is odd. M oreover, the corresponding E = 0 wavefunction is non-zero in one sublattice only and can be easily constructed [12,13]. In fact, for any bipartite lattice with o -diagonal disorder only and  $n_{\rm A}$  ( $n_{\rm B}$ n.) sites belonging to sublattice A (B) the number of linearly independent E = 0 states is exactly  $n_A$ n<sub>₿</sub>,with their amplitudes being non-zero on the A sublattice and exactly zero on the B sublattice. The chiral E = 0 state turns out to be neither localized nor extended but critical in all dimensions, also being very sensitive to the choice of boundary conditions [14], rem in iscent of critical states at the m obility edge of the Anderson transition [15]. The m ain issue discussed in this paper is the singular behavior of the density of states in 2D , 3D , when approaching the special chiral sym m etry energy E = 0 w here the bcalization length also diverges [8,16].

The interest in this problem has been recently revived, due to the related problem of massless D irac ferm ions in a random gauge elds in [17] followed by [18{20}] and also the Bogoliubov-de Gennes (BdG) Ham iltonians [21] which describe superconducting quasiparticles in mean-

eld theory. The D irac ferm ions have very di erent zero disorder lim it from the o -diagonal disorder case. In the tight-binding approximation the 2D ferm ions have a zero energy Ferm i surface with m any points form ing a square while the D irac ferm ions have a Ferm i surface with four points only. In the form er case the pure 2D density of states at E = 0 has the well-known log-type van H ove singularity while in the D irac case the density of states approaches zero at the band center [21].

First, let us sum m arize w hat is known about the spectral and wavefunction anomalies of one-electron spectra in the presence of o -diagonal disorder in bipartite lattices described by real sym metric random Ham iltonians. The 1D random hopping problem in a bipartite lattice has a chiral spectrum with a strong 1=12 ln<sup>3</sup> f jj leading Dyson singularity of the density of states as E approaches zero, which is the st result obtained in disordered system s [22], even before the Anderson theory of localization was proposed [23]. The corresponding states of the energy spectrum are Anderson localized with their wavefunction amplitude decaying expoe <sup>r</sup>, where r is the distance nentially as  $j_{E \notin 0}(\mathbf{r})j$ the inverse localization length which depends on and the disorder. This is not true at the chiral sym m etry zero m ode E = 0, where the corresponding wavefunction am plitude which lies in one sublattice decays slower than <sup>r</sup> at distance r from exponential, as  $j_{E=0}(\mathbf{r})j$ е its maximum where also varies with disorder. This result for the typical E = 0 wavefunction can be easily derived since its log-amplitude  $\ln j_{E=0}(r)j$  at site r executes a random -walk in space [8] leading to the exponential square root decay. In higher-dimensional, bipartite systems such as the square 2D or cubic 3D lattices the E = 0 wavefunction was shown to display multifractal uctuations with many scattered peaks and a disorder-dependent fractal dim ension [13]. M oreover, an appropriate correlation function behaves logarithm ically which roughly implies an overall power-law decay  $j_{E=0}(r)j$  r of the peak heights from the maximum peak and disorder dependent- . It must be understood that such a power-law description is only a simpli ed picture for an approxim ate decaying character of the chiral E = 0 critical state. For chiral system s the density of states at the band center does not diverge only in 1D. In the absence of tim e-reversal sym m etry on a 2D bipartite lattice for weak disorder in the vicinity of the band center the divergence 2D (E) jE j¹ exp c ln ⊥ Ēi was predicted by G ade [5] with a constant c and a universal exponent = 1=2. If universality applies this singularity should also hold for the chiral-orthogonal ensemble. For

strong disorder M ortunich, D am le and H use [24] argued that the exponent = 1=2 does not apply for a typicaldensity of states in the chiral orthogonal universality class and predict = 2=3 instead. In [25] the density of states for the - ux phase is discussed via a weak disorder approach. The analytical work of [26] gives a wealth of exact results for the - ux phase weakly perturbed by real nearest-neighbour hoppings. Recent work [27] for random D irac ferm ions instead of vanishing density of states shows an uptum close to E = 0, in agreem ent with the diverging dos of G ade [5]. The multifractal analysis of [28] is com pared to the analytical results of [20].

In this paper we shall investigate the consequences of the chiral sym m etry for real sym m etric random m atrices in the orthogonal universality class by studying the spectrum of 2D, 3D square and cubic tight binding lattices with o -diagonal disorder. In the studied system s apart from chirality the symmetries of time-reversal and spinrotation are preserved as well. We shall determ ine the m idband singularities in the density of states (E) and from the nearest-level-statistics the localization properties of the corresponding eigenstates. Our results dem onstrate the e ect of chirality for the unique o -diagonal disorder of mean zero: In 2D the nearest level-spacing distribution function P (S) turns out to be interm ediate between W igner and Poisson roughly described by the socalled sem i-Poisson curve [15] (for sm all-spacing S shows W igner-like linear increase / S and for large-spacing S shows Poisson-like exponential decrease / exp( S)), which indicates the presence of critical states in nite 2D disordered system s. In 3D our results for the eigenvalue spacing uctuations with zero mean o -diagonal disorder are very close to the W igner sum ise which corresponds to extended states. For logarithm ic o -diagonal disorder which permits its strength to vary we see for very strong values that the distributions both in 2D, 3D become Poisson-like independently of the dimension and the states localize similarly to states of ordinary disordered systems with broken chiral symmetry. Apart from clarifying the e ect of chiral symmetry for noninteracting electron in disordered media our aim is also to extend our calculations to more than one electron by including Hubbard electron-electron interactions together with o -diagonal disorder, via the simplest possible twoelectron Hamiltonian. This is done in order to discuss a recent conjecture concerning the decisive role of chiral symmetry for quantum transport properties in the presence of both disorder and interactions at half-lling, where enhancement of the conductivity with increasing disorder was recently obtained only for non-chiral system sat half- lling [29].

W e present a num erical study of the spectrum and its uctuations in squared and cubic bipartite lattices with disorder in the nearest-neighbor hoppings. W e sum marize our main questions: (i) W hat is the nature of the

spectral singularities in the presence of chiral symmetry? (ii) W hat is the corresponding level-statistics and the localization behavior for disordered systems when chiral symmetry is preserved? (iii) From the established spectral and localization behavior for systems with chiralor broken chiral sym m etry can we conclude whether all states rem ain localized in 2D? (iv) How is the electronelectron interaction a ected by o -diagonal disorder for two particles only? A part from the theoretical interest in answering the above questions we emphasize that our study of localization with o -diagonal disorder can have a lot of applications. In particular, the presence or not of the chiral sym m etry could be useful for understanding various properties of several realistic 2D systems, such as current-currying states in the quantum Hall e ect [3], quasiparticles in dirty superconductors [27], states in sem iconductor quantum wells, high mobility silicon MOSFETs [30], etc.

# II. THE D ISORDERED HAM ILTON IAN W ITH CHIRAL SYMMETRY

W e consider a tight-binding model Ham iltonian dened in bipartite lattices with random nearest-neighbor hoppings of the o -diagonal block symmetric structure

$$H = \sum_{\substack{\text{hiji}}}^{X} (t_{ij}c_{j}^{y}c_{j} + H c.) = 0 \qquad H_{A,B} \\ H_{A,B}^{+} \qquad 0 \qquad ; \qquad (1)$$

where the sum is taken over all bonds hiji where i; j denote nearest neighbor lattice sites which belong to different sublattices, ci is the annihilation operator of an electron on site i and t<sub>ij</sub> the nearest-neighbor hopping integrals which are real independent random variables satisfying a box probability distribution with mean zero and arbitrary variance. This is equivalent to choosing random hoppings  $t_{i;j}$  from a box distribution P ( $t_{ij}$ ) =  $\frac{1}{w}$ ; for  $\frac{w}{2}$   $\frac{1}{2}$  of zero mean and width w. The scaling ti; = w is su cient to m ake the disorder independent of w, due to absence of other energy scale in the Ham iltonian. Thus, by increasing w the energy band becom es wider since it amounts to a rigid rescaling of the eigenvalues only (the eigenstates rem ain the same for any w) and this is a unique form of zero mean o -diagonal disorder which allows certain comparison with analytical results to be made. The alternative choice is disorder of m ean zero and width W but for the  $\ln t_{i;i}$ . This logarithm ic disorder distribution is W -dependent, can be broad becom ing arbitrarily strong and guarantees always positive hoppings ti; . It is commonly used in 1D where the real hoppings t<sub>i;j</sub> chosen from a logarithm ic range must be always positive and can be widely varied with typicalW, which is believed to be a good m easure of o diagonal disorder m ore generally. W e em phasize that in

our study the random distribution of the hoppings  $t_{ij}$  is the only disorder ingredient in the H am iltonian H, since we completely ignore diagonal disorder by setting all site energies equal to zero. The diagonal disorder, som ehow, connects each site to itself breaking the chiral symmetry. In our studies we consider nite L L square and L L L cubic lattices with various choices of boundary conditions, taking care to preserve the bipartite structure and chirality of H.

In Eq. (1) the matrix  $H_{A,B}$  contains matrix elements which connect the A, B interconnected sublattices de ning the two A, B bases and due to chiral symmetry the Ham iltonian transforms as

$$H = _{3}H_{3}; \quad _{3} = \begin{array}{c} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array}; \quad (2)$$

which means anticommuting H and 3.0 ne notices that 3 behaves like the 5 fam iliar from applications of gauge theories [17]. M oreover, the presence of chiral sym m etry allows to reduce the size of the corresponding matrices to half by diagonalizing H<sup>2</sup> instead of H obtaining the eigenvalues squared. Due to the interconnected by the random hoppings sublattice structure of the studied lattices [12] the eigenvalues appear in pairs E; E around E = 0 so that the spectral density is strictly an even function (E) = (E) of the energy E. The eigenvalue pairs E; E around E = 0 have simply related eigenstates  $_{E}(\mathbf{r}) = _{n;1;...,n;1;...,n;1;...;n;1;...;i}$  and  $_{E}(\mathbf{r}) = _{n;1;...,n;1;...,n;1;...;i}$ n;l;:::( 1)<sup>n+ l+ :::</sup> n;l;:::jn;l;:::i since H = E from Eq. (2) gives H<sub>3</sub> = E<sub>3</sub> so that the unitary transform ation  $_3$  changes the wavefunction amplitude of the E state on every other site, say on the sites of sublattice B, keeping the amplitudes of the E state the same as that of E on sublattice A.M oreover, the E = 0state when it exists (for odd total num ber of sites) has

amplitude only on A sublattice and is strictly zero on B

In order to measure the anom alies in the spectral density and their corresponding uctuations we obtained the eigenvalues of nite Ham iltonian matrices of size Ld  $L^d$ , d = 2;3 for 2D, 3D, respectively. The very sparse matrices are obtained from Eq. (1) by distributing either t<sub>i;i</sub> uniquely or ln t<sub>i;i</sub>, with various strengths W to cover a wider range of o -diagonal disorder. W e have used standard diagonalization algorithms, including Gaussian elimination for computing the integrated density of states (idos) by employing eigenvalue counting theorem s at xed E [31] and the Lanczos algorithm for computing eigenvalues within an energy range [32]. M oreover, we have considered all possible boundary conditions [15], such as periodic in all directions, periodic in one and hard wall in the other or hard wall in all directions, always preserving the chiral symmetry. It must be also noted that crucial even-odd size e ects [9,33] m ay

sublattice.

arise in this problem, for example, with periodic boundary conditions the sites of the A sublattice are connected to the sites of the B sublattice preserving chirality only for even linear size L. The periodic boundary conditions join sites which no longer belong to di erent sublattices for odd L and the chiral sym metry is broken. For hard wall boundary conditions no such even-odd e ects arise. W e should also remember that the zero mode E = 0 for chiral system s exists for odd sizes only. More details of the num erical methods can be found in Refs. [31,32].

III.ZERO MEAN OFF-DIAGONAL DISORDER

## A . The singularities in the dos

In one dimension (1D) we cannot have zero mean o diagonal disorder due to the nite probability of nding a zero bond which breaks the chain. Outside one dimension for this kind of disorder some rigorous results are known, such as the G ade [5] and M otrunich et al [24] singularities. Earlier approximate results were derived with a  $\frac{1}{N}$  - expansion [34] since the studied model is an example of the ensemble introduced in Ref. [10]. The extrapolation of the one-loop results near E = 0 [32] gives a logarithm ic singularity in 2D dos

<sub>2D</sub> (E) = 0.299577:...+ 
$$\frac{1}{2^2} \ln \frac{1}{\cancel{E}} + 0$$
 ( $\cancel{E}$ ); (3)

sim ilar to that of the pure system where the constant term 0.299577 is replaced by  $\frac{1}{2^2}$  (ln 16 + (1=2) ln 2) 0:1580186 [32,34]. In the two-loop approxim ation the situation is less clear, for example, the corresponding term is divergent, proportional to  $(\ln \frac{1}{\mathcal{F}_j})^2$ , which m ight in ply a power-law  $_{2D}$  (E) / E j spectral singularity. The small E j expression obtained in 2D by G ade [5] com - bines the strong 1=E j divergence (D yson-type) with a slow ly decaying exponential of the logarithm of E to the power , written as their product

$$_{2D}$$
 (E) =  $\frac{c_1}{E_j} \exp (Q \ln \frac{1}{E_j})$ ; = 1=2; (4)

where  $c_1; c_2$  are model-dependent constants. The improved strong disorder expression obtained by M otrunich etal [24] involves a dimension exponent for the logarithm

= 2=3. In 3D the one-loop result gives a square-root singularity which remains for higher loops in plying nite dos at E = 0 with

<sub>3D</sub> (E) = 0:400494::: 
$$\frac{3^{p} \overline{3}p}{2^{2}} \overline{f} j + 0 (f \overline{f})$$
: (5)


In Fig. 1 num erical results are presented for the averaged integrated dos (idos) N (E ) =  $\frac{R_E}{_0}$  dE  $^0$  (E  $^0$ ) and the

dos (E) close to E = 0. The random hoppings  $t_{i;j}$  are uniform ly distributed for example within [ 1=2;+1=2] since, of course, this speci c range is irrelevant for localization. In order to determ ine the spectral singularities two possible ts are tried:

(i) A power-law t for the idos N (E) /  $fE^{1}$  which in plies for the dos the power-law divergence (E) /  $fE^{2}j$ . In Fig. 1 (a) numerical data for the idos N (E) which are obtained from eigenvalue-counting techniques allow to compute the exponent for squared and cubic lattice with lattice sizes up to 600 600 in 2D and 30 30 in 3D. In the log-log plots the data are seen to collapse to power-laws which de ne a sm all exponent

0:25 in 2D and 0:07 in 3D. These weak powerlaw divergencies of the dos with o -diagonal disorder are certainly di erent from the expected log-type singularities of non-random systems in pure 2D and the constant dos in pure 3D (see Fig. 1).

(ii) We have also tried an alternative tof the data in Fig. 1(b) by plotting directly (E) vs. E in a sem ilog plot. In 2D the data can be seen to be reasonably explained by the analytical form of G ade [5] and M otrunich etal [24]. The tofG ade with = 1=2 gave 21:5;q 3:77 and the t of M otrunich etal with Cı = 2=3 gave  $c_1$ 2:61;0 2:14. W e have also tried another tof the data which gave a slightly weaker powerlaw in the exponential with the value 0:91 and con-1:04. W e also see that the one-loop stants c<sub>1</sub> 0**:**47;g result of Eq. (5) in 3D is clearly inappropriate to the small-j j data. O ther ts can be also tried such as the tofRef. [35] which instead of 1=j j from Eq. (4) involves the square-root 1= 'E j leading divergence. The above ts (i), (ii) verify that the presence of zero m ean o -diagonal disorder leads to a weakly singular dos at least in 2D and a much weaker singularity, or a simple increase of the dos close to E = 0, in 3D.






Fig. 1. (a) Log-log plot of the 2D and 3D integrated density of states N (E), which m easures the num ber of eigenvalues from 0 to E, with power-law ts of the num erical data for zero m ean o - diagonal disorder. The broken lines give the corresponding idos for the pure system s while the inset shows linear plots of the densities in the random case which display singularities at E = 0. (b) The 2D, 3D density of states (E) with the corresponding analytical form s of one loop [32], of G ade [5] with = 1=2, of M otrunich et al [24] with = 2=3 and our t with 0:91.

# B. The level-statistics


In order to check whether chirality has some e ect on the localization properties we proceed with the computation of spectral uctuations via the nearest-level P (S) distribution function. First we \unfold" the spectrum by making local rescaling of the energy scales to make the mean level spacing (E) / 1= (E) constant, equal to one, throughout the spectrum. This is particularly important for a singular dos since the mean level spacing tends to zero at a singular point. Instead of the raw levels E<sub>i</sub> after \unfolding" the spectrum consists of the \unfolded" levels


$$E_i = N_{av} (E_i); i = 1;2; ...; N;$$
 (6)

obtained from the averaged integrated spectral density N  $_{\rm av}$  (E). For the \unfolded" levels  $E_{\rm i}$  the average nearest-level spacing becomes equal to one. Moreover, for the localization properties of chiral systems we should distinguish between the majority of levels in the spectrum E  $\stackrel{6}{\bullet}$  0 and the state at the chiral point E = 0.

In Fig. 2 we present the obtained 2D nearest-level distribution function P (S) for zero m ean o -diagonal disorder, various sizes L and boundary conditions (BC), using the majority of the energy levels in the band except those close to the band center E = 0 and the tails. In 2D the results for various L seem to lie in-between the

W igner sum ise (extended states) and the Poisson distribution (localized states), described by the interm ediate sem i-Poisson distribution P (S) = 4S exp ( 2S) [15]. For sm all spacing P (S) is W igner-like and for large spacing the tails seen in the qure insets are Poisson-like. We have also perform ed averages of P (S) over BC which can be also seen to be roughly described by the sem i-Poisson curve [15]. It must be stressed that this distribution appears only for interm ediate sizes with L sm aller or close to the localization length [16,31,35] in the considered energy window. The obtained results also agree with R ef. [36] where it is shown that the majority of the states for nite 2D disordered system s are multifractal for certain sizes L below (L ).





\unfolding" over energy by using the well-known analytical form  $N_{av}(E) = (W^2 = 9) = (\ln E_{1})^2$  in 1D [8], working instead with the \unfolded" levels  $E_i / 1 = (\ln f E_i)^2$ , i = 1;2; ...;N . W e observe that the spacing distribution of the st positive eigenvalue from the zeroth shown in (a) is distinguished from the other spacing distributions (b), (c) and clearly shows a higher degree of levelrepulsion. In this case the localization length can be also obtained for every energy E from the expression  $(E) = (12=W^2) jn E jj (e.g. see [8]). In Fig. 3 the$ considered size L is always larger than but P (S) which depends only on the ratio L= reaches the Poisson distribution only asymptotically, for L much larger than . We emphasise again that for E close to the chiral energy E = 0 (where is larger) the Poisson law for 1D localization is seen to be reached m ore slow ly.





Fig. 2(a),(b),(c),(d). The 2D nearest level-spacing distribution function P (S) for zero m ean o -diagonal disorder, various sizes L and three possible boundary conditions, such as periodic (PBC), hard wall (HWBC), periodic+ hard wall and also average over them. The dashed line is the W igner sum ise P (S) = (=2)S exp( (=4)S<sup>2</sup>), the dotted line is the Poisson law P (S) = exp( S) and the solid line is the interm ediate critical sem iPoisson distribution P (S) = 4S exp( 2S). In the insets we display log-log plots for the corresponding tails of P (S). For L = 20;30;40;50;70 the num ber of runs is 5000;6000;10000;3555, the eigenvalues were located in the energy band between [2;6], for w = 10 where the full energy range is [0;13], and their total num ber was a few m illion.

In order to demonstrate the e ect of stronger levelrepulsion close to the chiral E = 0 state we choose as an example a 1D chiral system with o -diagonal disorder for L >and odd number of sites for the E = 0state to exist. In Fig. 3 we plot the corresponding 1D spacing distribution P (S) of the spacings S de ned from the di erences between the st from the zeroth (E<sub>1</sub> 0), the second from the rst  $(E_{i})$  $E_1$ ) and the eleventh from the tenth ( $E_{11}$   $E_{10}$ ) eigenvalues for logarithm ic disorder of W = 1. This involves \unfolding" over the random statistical ensemble since the statistics of each spacing S is studied for many random con gurations at xed energy. We have additionally perform ed

Fig. 3. The 1D nearest level-spacing distribution function P (S) of (a) the rst from the zeroth (with localization length 105), (b) the second from the rst (75) and (c) the eleventh from the tenth (36) eigenvalues for logarithm ic o -diagonal disorder W = 1 and larger size L = 1001. The continuous line is the asymptotic Poisson law P (S) = exp(S) valid for localized states in the lim it L and the total num ber of runs was 500000. Note the double \unfolding" perform ed over both disorder and energy since we are very close to the singular dos.

In Fig. 4 (a), (b) we return to the 2D zero m ean case and plot the probability amplitude distribution of two typicalmultifractalwavefunctions at energies E 6 0. They are seen to spread over space with fractal characteristics described by the fractal dimension D  $_2$  and display m any scattered peaks (scars). It must be pointed out for E 6 0 the states are multifractal only for L < where is their localization length, while the states at E = 0 where diverges are described by multifractal dimensions valid for any size L [35]. In order to determ ine instead of the exponential decay of the wavefunction amplitude on very long strips, alternatively we can count the number of sites where signi cant amplitude exists via the inverse participation ratio (IPR) [13,35]. The computed IPR is a rough m easure of since it favors only the large am plitudes rather than the exponential tails of the am plitude

distributions. The results for the average IPR in 2D are displayed vs. energy E in Fig. 4 (c), (d) for various sizes L with hard wall and periodic BC, respectively. They allow to estim ate that localization is not yet reached for the studied energy region with the considered sizes L lower or equal to the localization length . For example, in Fig. 4 (c), (d) we can see that for the largest size L = 100the mean IPR is close to converge, which implies that is roughly of the same order of magnitude (L ). In Fig. 4(c),(d) localization should be reached only when the curves of the mean IPR for two di erent sizes coincide. We can also speculate that the sem i-Poisson appears because in the considered energy range for P (S) in Fig. 2 we encounter a crossover situation where which does not vary a lot with E obeys  $L^{<}$ , that is L roughly smaller or equal to . The interm ediate sem i-Poisson distribution found in 2D apart from intim ately connected to the underlying multifractality of the wavefunctions (for L < 0 ) can be regarded as a crossover distribution with . W e em phasize that the adopted energy range lies L in the middle between E = 0 and the tail with the chosen sizes L either sm aller or close to the wavefunction radius estim ated by the corresponding .






Fig. 4(a),(b). The amplitude distributions of two typical eigenstates for zero m ean o -diagonal disorder in 2D away from the band center E  $\in$  0. The states are multifractal only on scales below the localization L < length [36]. The behavior of the E = 0state when it exists is di erent since this state is critical for any size with fractal dimension D<sub>2</sub> ranging from the space dimension  $D_2 = d$  for weak o -diagonal disorder to  $D_2 = 0$  for strong o diagonal disorder [13]. (c), (d). The corresponding average inverse participation ratio hIP R i vs. energy E for various chiral even system s with hard wall and periodic BC, respectively. Note the values of hIP R i are low er for PBC where the states are slightly m ore extended in comparison. For nite systems from IPR / L D 2 near the minimum of the curves we nd D<sub>2</sub> 0:8, slightly higher  $D_2$ near E = 0 and much low er D<sub>2</sub> (localized states) for large E. The absence of saturation of the curves im plies that the localization length is larger than the system for the adopted sizes (L  $^{<}\,$  ). In the bacground with grey squares we see the actual values of IPR for the size L = 100 only. They display enormous uctuations and are used for computing the corresponding average for L = 100.

The obtained sem iPoisson P (S) distribution and the multifractality for nite size in 2D concerns states only within the band (E  $\notin$  0) for nite L <sup><</sup>. For the zero m ean o -diagonal disorder the chosen sizes L are sm aller or equal to the localization length . However, for larger

L (we cannot reach such L in our 2D calculations) the data from Fig. 2 should eventually approach the Poisson curve which indicates only localized states in 2D. The very slow approach to the localized Poisson limit for in-

nite size L ! 1 is expected here except at the band center E = 0 where also diverges [16,35]. In 3D the obtained P (S) for this zero m ean o -diagonal disorder is shown in Fig. 5 to lie very close to the W igner sum ise, a result compatible with the existence of mostly delocalized states in 3D. This im plies the asymptotic approach towards W igner for this kind of o -diagonal disorder. In other words, the obtained behavior exhibited by P (S) for  $E \notin 0$  suggests critical behavior only for nite system s in 2D and delocalized di usive behavior for any size in 3D. Therefore, the localization properties of chiral system s outside the chiral energy E = 0 in any dimension is sim ilar to that of ordinary disordered system s with the corresponding localization length .




Fig. 5. The 3D nearest level-spacing distribution function P (S) for the zero mean o -diagonal disorder, various system sizes L with the dashed line giving the W igner surm ise P (S) = (=2)S exp( (=4)S<sup>2</sup>). The sizes L = 5;10;15 shown are diagonalized for 5000;6000;2000 con gurations and a few million total number of eigenvalues was considered in each case.

We have also checked the probability distributions for the rst few eigenvalues close to E = 0 by employing many random con gurations (realizations of disorder) as done in [35]. This demonstrates nicely the level-repulsion (not shown) by using data for lattices of even number of sites with periodic BC and odd-num ber of sites with hard wall BC, which preserve chiral symmetry. A though chirality is present in both cases the E = 0 state exists only for the later odd case. We have observed the increase of the eigenvalue density (E) close to E = 0 for both even and odd sizes but could not draw any conclusions for the statistical behavior of the energy levels since energy level-repulsion can be exam ined only after \unfolding". For the even size L system s the two eigenvalues closest to E = 0 (the low est positive one and the one with exactly the same energy but minus sign) always show level-repulsion due to chiral symmetry. The level-repulsion between the two energies  $E_1$ ;  $E_1$  for the sm allest possible  $E_1$  is expected since these energies are always separated by the chiral symmetry point E = 0. On the other hand, the e ect of level-repulsion should also be enhanced for states close to E = 0 where the localization length diverges (longer in plies stronger level-repulsion). For odd size L with chiral sym m etry the E = 0 eigenvalue exists for every random con guration. The conclusion which can be drawn for this chapter is that scaling of level-statistics for E 🗧 0 chiral system s is determ ined by the ratio of the system size L over the corresponding localization length , sim ilarly to what happens for ordinary disordered system s and a critical state exists at E = 0.

#### IV . LOGARITHM IC OFF-DIAGONAL DISORDER

## A. The 1=jE j leading singularity

In 2D the states away from the band center (E  $\leq 0$ ) are also weakly multifractal on scales below the localization length. The discontinuous behavior claim ed for the fractal dimension D<sub>2</sub> at the band center in [35] is probably due to the dimension D<sub>2</sub> at the band center in [35] is probably due to the dimension  $\Delta_2$  at the band center in [35] is probably due to the dimension  $\Delta_2$  at the band center in [35] is probably due to the dimension  $\Delta_2$  at the band center in [35] is probably due to the dimension  $\Delta_2$  at the band center in [35] is probably due to the dimension  $\Delta_2$  at the band center in [35] is probably due to the dimension  $\Delta_2$  at the band center in [35] is probably due to the dimension  $\Delta_2$  at the band center in [35] is probably due to the dimension  $\Delta_2$  at the band center in [35] is probably due to the dimension length diverges the corresponding state is multificately for every length scale with a fractal dimension  $D_2$  dependent on the strength of the logarithm is o diagonal disorder W, ranging from 2 to 0 for very weak and very strong o diagonal disorder, respectively. For strong o diagonal disorder of width W for the ln t<sub>i,j</sub> the observed accumulation of levels close to E = 0 behaves dimensity. The data shown in Fig. 6 for 2D, 3D can be the to power-law singularities for the idos

with the exponent equal to . The N (E) and the tted exponents are shown in Fig. 6 where the 1=5 jD yson singularity which im plies = 1 is approached when W increases. This indicates that the behavior depends on the strength W so that for very strongly disordered m edium the density of states should approach its 1D D yson lim it, as predicted in [37]. This is also in agreem ent with the form s of G ade and M otrunich et al form shaving very low constant  $c_2$  in Eq. (4).




Fig. 6. The exponent for the power-law t of the density of state (E) /  $\not\equiv$  j is shown in 2D, 3D to approach the 1D D yson singularity limit (power-law with = 1). In the inset the corresponding idos for various values of the strength of logarithm ic o -diagonal disorder is shown. E igenvalue counting algorithm s are used for sizes L = 200;400 and L = 30 in 2D, 3D, respectively.

## B. The tridiagonalization m ethod

The de ned chiral 2D or 3D H am iltonian H can be m apped onto a one-dimensional sem i-in nite chain via the so-called tridiagonalization scheme [31,37]. The spectral singularities and the degree of localization is then re ected on the statistical properties of the created tridiagonalmatrix. This is a version of the Lanczos algorithm [38] which is normally a procedure for noding eigenvalues and eigenvectors of large sparse matrices with m ost matrix elements zero. The Lanczos method like any other diagonalization method reduces the matrix into a tridiagonal form proceeding as follows: one starts with a normalized starting state 1 (e.g. corresponding to a central lattice site) and by operating successively with H generates the orthonormalized states n, via the recursion formula

$$n_n = (H_{n_1})_{n_1} n_{1_{n_2}}$$
(8)

n = 2;:::;N and  $_1$  = 0. The new states  $_n$ ;n = 2;:::;N are linear combinations of the original lattice basis set, e.g. in 2D this set consists of the normalized states  $jn_1;n_2i$ ,  $n_1$ ,  $n_2$  = 0; 1; 2; 3;:::. In the new  $_1; _2;:::$  basis H is represented by a sem i-in nite tridiagonal matrix where the diagonal matrix elements are  $_n;n = 1;2;:::$ N and the o -diagonal  $_n;n = 2;3;:::$ N. The tridiagonalization preserves chiral symmetry so that  $_n = 0;n = 1;2;:::$ N for chiral system s. In that case if we start from the central site corresponding to the normalized state  $_1 = j0;0i$  from Eq. (8) with zero  $_n$  we have  $_2 = H_{-1} = 2, _3 = H_{-2} = 3$  ( $_2 = 3$ )  $_1$ ,


etc. and the \_n;n = 2;3;::: are obtained at each step by normalizing the states \_2; \_3;:::. Since H is a nearest neighbor H am iltonian acting on  $\dot{p}_1;n_2i$  we can arrange the lattice into \shells". The state \_n+1 includes all the lattice sites up to nth \shell" (for zero disorder only the sites of the nth \shell") which in 2D consists of the lattice sites  $n_1;n_2 = 0$ ; 1; 2; 3;:::so that  $n = \dot{p}_1 j + \dot{p}_2 j$  starting from \_1 = j);0i. As an exam ple, for the periodic 2D H am iltonian one com putes \_2 = 2; \_3 = 5; \_4 = 76=20, etc, approaching \_n! \_1 = 2. The basis states for the corresponding tridiagonalm atrix are \_1 = j);0i, \_2 = (jl;0i+ j);1i+ j 1;0i+ j); 1i)=\_2, \_3 = (j2;0i+ j);2i+ j 2;0i+ j); 2i+ 2jl;1i+ 2jl; 1i+ 2j 1;1i+ 2j 1; 1i)=( \_3), etc.

The advantage of the tridiagonalization method is economy of storage since we can save only the 's and

's as we go along. The weakness of the method is loss of orthogonality due to rounding errors (although for sparse matrices the dam age is smaller). In 2D or 3D if we start from a central site the matrix consists of blocks around it so that each block corresponds to a \shell". A site which can be reached from the central site (0th \shell") in a minimum of n steps from the origin is in the \shell" n. The 2D or 3D m atrix is block-tridiagonal with blocks corresponding to \shells" starting from the 0th \shell" with 1 and we can work with N iterations, exactly equal to the number of shells, to determ ine 2; 3; ...; N+1 and  $_{2}$ ;  $_{3}$ ; ...;  $_{N+1}$  for the 1;2; ...; N th \shells" around the central site. From the obtained 1D sem i-in nite tridiagonal matrix one can conveniently compute the local density of states on the central site 1 which has its rst 2N m om ents exactly equal to the spectral density of the original 2D or 3D matrix. It must be stressed that the use of the Lanczos algorithm now adays is di erent than that of the tridiagonalization method. Its wide use is directed, instead, towards the computation of speci c eigenvalues of large sparse matrices with the requested accuracy. This can be achieved by increasing the num ber of iterations to much larger values than the number of \shells" or \blocks" considered in tridiagonalization.

For zero disorder or disorder which preserves chiral symmetry connecting A and B sublattices a 2D or 3D tight binding system maps to a chain with all  $_n = 0$ . For chiral disorder the mapping onto a 1D chain involves only o -diagonal disorder but inhom ogenous type (n dependent) [37,31]. It is only for very strong o - diagonal disorder where the disordered chain resulting from the tridiagonalization becomes hom ogenously o - diagonal disordered. On the basis of our num erical results we argue that although this conjecture holds the suggested inhom ogenous decay in the case of not so strong disorder is absent as well (or it is not of power-law type).

Since for chiral systems the 1D sem i-in nite chain is also chiral with o -diagonal disorder only the well-known 1D results could map to higher dimensions. The resulting 1D chain from the tridiagonalization scheme has inhomogenous o -diagonal disorder with hopping matrix elements n chosen from an site n-dependent random distribution of m ean  $h_n i$  and variance var $(n) = h_n^2 i h_n i^2$ . Under the approximation that the states n+1 are expressed as linear combinations of  $n_1$ ;  $n_2$  i belonging to the nth \shell" only, which is valid for pure system s and claim ed to hold for weak o -diagonal disorder, in [37] a power-law decay of the variance vs. n was predicted.  $\ln r$  decay of the E = 0 chiral This gave (r) / e state in every dimension higher than one. Our numerics show that some of the arguments which led to this result are not valid since for weak o -diagonal disorder the variance vs. n does not decay as a power-law, but one eventually obtains indications of saturation for large n (although the data for sm allern could be tted to power law s). For strong o -diagonal disorder the obtained saturation implies a constant var(n) independent of n and only in this case the results for 1D o -diagonal disorder, such as the 1= E jD yson-type spectral singularities, carry through to higher dim ensions.




F ig. 7 (a). The parameters of the tridiagonal chain with m ean h  $_{\rm n}\,i$  and variance var(  $_{\rm n}\,)$  as a function of the chain index n for

zero m ean o -diagonal disorder and 5000 random con gurations. A lthough decay of the variance is seen for sm all n, im plying inhomogenous disorder for the chain, the data point towards saturation at large n. (b). The parameters of the tridiagonal chain h  $_{\rm n}$  i and their variance var( $_{\rm n}$ ) as a function of the tridiagonal chain index n for various values W of logarithm ic o -diagonal disorder. The saturation of the variance var( $_{\rm n}$ ) suggests a mapping of a higher-dimensional strongly o -diagonal disordered systems to a random chain.

In order to check these predictions we are able to extend the previous num erical calculations to higher num ber of \shells" n starting from a central site  $_1$  (0th \shell"). For example, for the zero m ean disorder in 2D we can go up to N = 1000 \shells" starting from the site at the origin and the total num ber of sites from the starting central site (0th \shell") up to the N th \shell" is 2N<sup>2</sup> + 2N + 1. For the largest N used we always nd saturation of the variance vs. n, even for the zero m ean o diagonal disorder (Fig. 7(a)) and certainly for the logarithm ically strong o -diagonal disorder (Fig. 7 (b)). The predicted inhom ogenous power-law decay of [37], which im plies n-dependent variance, seem s not to hold but such decay might exist only for nite sizes (smalln). For large enough n for both zero m ean and strong logarithm ic o diagonal disorder the mapping to the 1D chain involves n-independent (hom ogenous) disorder. The above discussion is not in favor of the square root of log exponential law predicted in the tridiagonalization of [37] and rather points tow ards the presence of 1= ± j form s for the dos in higher d predicted in G ade and M otrunich et al. For strong o -diagonal disorder the n-independent variance prediction with associated 1D behavior in 2D, 3D holds m ore clearly and the  $1=\pm jD$  yson divergence should som ehow appear. The data for the hoppingsh ni and the variance var( $_n$ ) vs. n are shown in Fig. 7(a) for zero mean and (b) strong logarithm ic o -diagonal disorder. A lthough the saturation of  $h_n$  i can be seen in all cases the var(n) seems to decay for the zero mean o -diagonal disorder. However, this is true only for small n and the var( n) is eventually seen having a tendency to saturate for larger n (Fig. 7(a)). For large W the saturation already occurs for smaller n (Fig. 7(b)). In 3D we have obtained sim ilar results.

# V.DYNAM ICSOF TWO-INTERACTING PARTICLESW ITH OFF-DIAGONAL DISORDER

In Fig. 8(a) we observe the dynamics of one particle for logarithm ic o -diagonal disorder in 1D.We nd that the e ect of o -diagonal disorder turns out to be sim ilar to that of diagonal disorder, both deviating from the pure chain ballistic law  $^{2}$  (t) =  $2t^{2}$  (dashed line in Fig. 8(a)) in proportion to the added disorder. Next we turn to the interacting case since the chiral symmetry (rather its absence) was recently shown to be crucial for the possibility of a metallic phase in 2D. For half-led interacting systems the M ott gap turns out to be insensitive to weak disorder when chiral symm etry is present, so that a metallic phase in 2D could become possible only when the chiral symm etry is broken [29].



F ig. 8 (a). Log-log plot of the m ean-square displacem ent  $^{2}$  (t) as a function of log (t) in 1D (note the double log (log (t)) in the x-axis so that 1, 6 correspond to t = 10, t =  $10^{6}$ , respectively, and the single log  $^{2}$  in the y-axis, all for base ten logarithm s) for logarithm ic o -diagonal disorder with W = 0.1, W = 0.5 and W = 1. The dashed line is the ballistic lim it  $2t^{2}$ . In the inset results for diagonal disorder from [ W =2;+W =2] are shown. (b) A log-log plot of the m ean-square displacem ent  $^{2}$  (t) for two particles as a function of log (t) for logarithm ic o -diagonal disorder w ith W = 1 and interaction strength U = 0;1. The enhancem ent of the wavepacket in the presence of interaction as well as the sm oother evolution w ith an approxim ate ultraslow di usive law can be seen also for o -diagonal disorder.

In order to check the e ect of repulsive interactions in 1D chain we have computed the mean square displacement from the formula

<sup>2</sup> (t) = (1=2) 
$$\int_{n_1;n_2}^{x} (t) \int_{n_1;n_2}^{2} (n_1 - n_1 + n_2 - n_2)^2;$$
 (9)

 $n_1 : n_2$  (t) the time dependent wavefunction for two with particles at sites  $n_1$ ,  $n_2$  in the presence of o -diagonal disorder and interaction U [39,40], both starting at the same initial zeroth site. The mean electrons positions  $n_{1:n_{2}} j_{n_{1};n_{2}}(t) j_{n_{i}}$ , i = 1;2. The result are  $\overline{n}_i =$ for <sup>2</sup> (t) is shown in Fig. 8 (b) for o -diagonal disorder without interaction (U = 0) and interaction of strength U = 1. We verify that the two-particle propagation increases with interaction, as for ordinary diagonal disorder, where propagation is known to be enhanced in the presence of disorder when U is switched on [39,40]. For increasing disorder we nd that propagation decreases as expected. In the presence of both disorder and interaction propagation is also seen to become sm ooth and the data obey an ultraslow di usion law  $^{2}$  (t) / log (t) with a slope from the linear tequal to 3 (Fig. 8(b))when U = 1. Unfortunately, we cannot discuss the half-

led chiral sym m etric lim it since our case involves only low lling of two particles only. For U  $\leq$  0 our system clearly violates the chiral sym m etry since it is no longer particle-hole sym m etric due to U which is added in the m atrix diagonal. M ore generally a bipartite lattice structure with chiral sym m etry was discussed in the absence of disorder [41] for general lling and dimension with interaction strength U. Their results hold for our chiral system s too since for negative U the spectrum turns out to be opposite to that for positive U while the energy E corresponds to the energy E of the other m odel, respectively. This in plies that for certain initial conditions the quantum evolution is the same for both positive and negative U [41].

### VI.DISCUSSION

W e have investigated num erically 2D and 3D m odels of non-interacting ferm ions and 1D model of two interacting ferm ions, all cases with random nearest-neighbour hopping in bipartite systems. They belong to the chiral orthogonal universality class where disorder respects chiral sym m etry, m an ifesting itself in spectral singularities and certain anom abus localization behavior at E = 0. It should be pointed out that the e ect of chiral sym metry in disordered system s was suspected m ore than two decades ago in studies of o -diagonal disorder [8] and about a decade ago in sim ilar studies of BdG Ham iltonians which describe dirty superconductors [42]. It was believed that exceptions from the scaling theory of localization m ight arise in these system s, such as spectral singularities and a kind of delocalization at the band center in an otherwise localized spectrum . Moreover, depending on each author's viewpoint the critical behavior of the undoubtedly critical multifractal state at E = 0 was

nam ed either delocalization [19] or power-law localization [13], although both m eant weakening of localization close to E = 0 where the localization length diverges. The E = 0 critical state can be fully described by multifractal exponents via scaling the amplitude moments and its fractal dimensions, such as  $D_2$ , vary strongly with the strength of o -diagonal disorder ranging from space lling extended states  $D_2$  ! d for weak disorder to point-like localized states  $D_2 ! 0$  for strong disorder. This transition in the multifractal spectrum is known as a \freezing transition" [20]. Below \freezing" com puted m ean and typical values agree but not above \freezing" where the means are determined by the tails of the corresponding distributions. In 3D for weak o -diagonal disorder where most states with E  $\neq$  0 are extended the critical E = 0 state also behaves as an extended state d. This picture also agrees with early transwith D 2 m ission studies for particle-hole symmetric BdG Ham iltonians which showed that quasi-extended states m ight exist [42] or not [43], depending on the chosen energy E . The present work sum marizes all e ects of chiral sym metry such as spectral singularities in 2D for zero mean o -diagonal disorder which become strong 1=E Dysontype singularities for strong o -diagonal disorder, while the corresponding level-statistics varies around the critical sem i Poisson curve for nite system s of size smaller or equal than the localization length (L < ) suggesting that the E 6 0 states from multifractal become fully localized for in nite size except the chiral E = 0 energy state which is multifractal for any size, disorder and dimension [44,45]. For strong o -diagonal disorder we nd that the behavior for the rest of E 6 0 states is indistinguishable from that of ordinary 2D disordered systems with the appropriate localization length.

We nd that the chiral sym metry realized in bipartite lattices when the disorder connects one sublattice to the other is characterized by enhanced dos and weakening of localization with a critical state at E = 0, having di erent spectral and localization properties from the E  $\in$  0 states. This answers questions (i) and (ii) set in the introduction. Concerning question (iii) 2D delocalization in this context seems to require interacting electrons and possibly broken chiral symmetry [29]. About question (iv), the e ect of chiral disorder on the electron-electron interaction for two particles seems to be no di erent than that of diagonal disorder. The main message of section V is that o -diagonal disorder has the same e ect as diagonal disorder for coherent two interacting particle propagation, with the presence of ultraslow di usion. Our study also o ers answers to questions about the eigenstates. Outside them iddle of the band (E  $\pm$  0) criticality is expected to occur only for nite system s [36]. Instead, the E = 0 state is critical with fractal exponents close to space lling for small W (extended states) and point-like for large W (localized states) [40]. This is similar to what

one expects for ordinary Anderson localized states with diagonal disorder.

In sum mary, we have presented num erical results for the density of states with o -diagonal disorder in order to test various theories which describe the observed spectral singularities, from Dyson to Gade and Motrunich etal form s. These results com plem ent m any analytical m ethods developed especially for unitary chiral system s. For zero mean o -diagonal disorder our main nding is that the form of the spectral singularity in 2D is stronger than the log-type singularity of the pure system and can be tted either with a weak power-law or by the interm ediate G ade or M otrunich et al singularities and also their formula but with a new exponent . In 3D the dos is a little enhanced at E = 0 but when the to a power-law the obtained divergence, if any, is very weak. The corresponding level-statistics for nite systems in 2D is close to the critical sem i-Poisson curve and in 3D the W igner curve, im plying critical and extended states for the maprity of states in the band for 2D, 3D, respectively. For logarithm ically strong o -diagonal disorder our results are indistinguishable from ordinary strongly disordered system s except at the m idband critical state E = 0 where the chiral sym m etry is responsible for anom alous delocalization. Emphasis is given in 2D where exceptions from generic localization are more pronounced in the form of large localization lengths and multifractality of all states for sizes below their localization length. However, for large scales a chiral sym m etric disordered system behaves like an ordinary disorder system with only two visible di erences: (i) Spectral singularities at the chiral energy E = 0 which become  $1=\frac{1}{2}$  jD yson-like for strong disorder or low dimensionality and (ii) the presence of multifractality at the critical E = 0 symmetry point which becom es close to extended for zero m ean disorder and localized for strong disorder. At other energies the chiral disorder has the same e ect as ordinary disorder.

\* sevagel@ cc.uoi.gr

A cknow ledgem ents :W ewould like to thank the referees for useful comments.

- [1] E.Abraham s et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 42, 673 (1979).
- [2] S.HikamietalProg.Theor.Phys.63,707 (1980).
- [3] B.Huckestein, Phys.Rep. 67, 357 (1995).
- [4] G.Bergmann, Phys.Rep. 107, 1 (1984).
- [5] R.G ade and F.W egner, Nucl. Phys. B 360, 213 (1991); R.G ade, Phys. Rev. B 398, 499 (1993).
- [6] K.Slevin and T.Nagao, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 635, (1993).
- [7] A.Altland and M.R.Zimbauer, Phys. Rev. B55, 1142 (1997).

- [8] T P. Eggarter and R. Riedinger, Phys. Rev. B 18, 569 (1978).
- [9] P.W. Brouwer, C.Mudry, B.D. Simons, and A.Altland, Phys.Rev.Lett.81,862 (1998); C.Mudry, P.W. Brouwer, and A.Furusaki, Phys.Rev.B 59, 13221 (1999); P.W.
  Brouwer, C.Mudry, and A.Furusaki, Phys.Rev.Lett. 84, 2913 (2000); P.W. Brouwer, C.Mudry, and A.Furusaki, Nucl. Phys.B 565, 653 (2000); C.Mudry, P.W.
  Brouwer, and A.Furusaki, Phys.Rev.B 62, 8249 (2000); M.Titov, P.W. Brouwer, A.Furusaki, and C.Mudry, Phys.Rev.B 63, 235318 (2001).
- [10] F J.W egner, Z.Phys.B35, 207 (1979); ibid Phys.Rev. B 19, 783 (1979).
- [11] Sutherland, Phys. Rev. B 34,5208 (1986).
- [12] M. Inui, S.A. Trugm an and E. Abraham s, Phys. Rev. B 49, 3190 (1994).
- [13] SJ.X iong and SN.Evangebu, Phys. Rev. B 64, 113107 (2001).
- [14] P.W. Brouwer, E. Racine, A. Furusaki, Y. Hatsugai, Y. Morita and C. Mudry, Phys. Rev. B66, 014204, (2002).
- [15] D.Braun, G.M ontam baux and M.Pascaud, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 1062 (1998).
- [16] A.Eilm es, R A.Rom er and M.Schreiber, Eurphys. J 1, 29 (1998).
- [17] J.J.M. Verbaarschot and I. Zahed, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 3852 (1993); ibid Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 2531 (1994) have introduced in the zero-dimensional limit random matrix models with real eigenvalues to describe local uctuations of the D irac operator at the origin.
- [18] A W W . Ludwig, M PA. Fisher, R. Shankar, and G. Grinstein, Phys. Rev B 50, 7526 (1994).
- [19] A A. Nersesyan, A M. T svelik and F. W enger, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 2628 (1994); Nucl. Phys. B 438, 561 (1995).
- [20] C.deC.Chamon, C.Mudry, and X.-G.Wen, Phys.Rev. B 53, R7638 (1996); C.Mudry, C.deC.Chamon, and X.-G.Wen, Nucl. Phys. B 466, 383 (1996); C.deC. Chamon, C.Mudry, and X.-G.Wen, Phys.Rev.Lett. 77, 4194 (1996); H.E.Castillo, C.deC.Chamon, E. Fradkin, PM.Goldbart, and C.Mudry, Phys.Rev.B 56, 10668 (1997).
- [21] A. Altland, B.D. Simons, and M.R. Zimbauer, Phys. Rep. 359, 283 (2002).
- [22] F.J.Dyson, Phys. Rev. 92, 1331 (1953).
- [23] P.W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. 109, 1498 (1958).
- [24] O. Motrunich, K. Dam le and D.A. Huse, Phys. Rev. B 65, 064206 (2002); ibid Phys. Rev. B 63, 224204 (2001).
- [25] S.Gunuswamy, A. Le Clair and A W W .Ludwig, Nucl. Phys. B 583, 475 (2000).
- [26] C.Mudry, S.Ryu and A.Furusaki, cond-m at/0207723.
- [27] S.Ryu and Y.Hatsugai, Phys.Rev.B65, 033301 (2002) and references therein.
- [28] Y. Hatsugai, X.-G. W en and M. Kohmoto, Phys. Rev. B 56, 1061 (1997).
- [29] P.J.H. Denteneer, R.T. Scalettar and N. Trivedi, Phys. Rev.Lett.87, 146401, (2001) showed that the conductivity decreases with increasing disorder in half-lled chiral system s, the opposite to what occurs for non-chiral system s. This shows an unexpected tendency of the chirality to protect the insulating behavior allowing the possibility of a metallic phase in 2D only for broken chiral symmetry.
- [30] E. Abraham s, S.V. Kravchenko, M.P. Sarachik, Rev.

M od. Phys. 73, 251 (2001).

- [31] S N .Evangelou, J.Phys.C 19, 4291 (1986); ibid J.Phys. C 2, 2953 (1990).
- [32] R P. Grzonka and M A. Moore, J. Phys. C: Solid State Physics 15, 5393 (1982).
- [33] J.M iller and J.W ang, Phys.Rev.Lett. 76, 1461 (1996).
- [34] R. Opperm ann and F.J. Wegner, Z. Phys. B34, 327 (1979) in 1=N -expansion found a singular behavior of the dos for a bipartite lattice near E = 0.
- [35] V.Z. Cerovski, Phys. Rev. B 62, 12775 (2000); ibid 64, 161101 (2001). The multifractal dimensions are expected to depend on the boundary conditions, even in the thermodynam ic limit.
- [36] V.I. Falko and K.B. Efetov, Phys. Rev. B 52, 17413 (1995). They have shown generic multifractality of localized 2D eigenstates with localization length , as long as the size L < which corresponds to a di usive system. This situation is usually m et in 2D.
- [37] T A L.Zim an, Phys. Rev. B 26, 7066 (1982).
- [38] C.C. Paige, J. Inst. M ath. Applies 10,373 (1972), C.C. Paige, 18, 341 (1976), B N. Parlett \The Sym etric E igenvalue Problem " Eaglew ood C li s: P rentice H all.
- [39] D. Shepelyansky, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73, 2607-2610 (1994).
- [40] S. De Toro A rias, X. W aintal and J.-L. Pichard, Eur. Phys. J. B10, 149 (1998).
- [41] R.Mosseri, J.Phys.A: Math.Gen. 33, L319 (2000).
- [42] V C. Huiand C J.Lam bert, J.Phys.C: Condens.M atter 5, 697 (1993); C J. Lam bert and V C. Hui, J.Phys.C: Condens.M atter 2, 7303 (1990).
- [43] D E.Katsanos, S N. Evangebu and C J.Lambert, Phys. Rev.B 58, 2442 (1988).
- [44] M. Steiner, Yang Chen, M. Fabricio and A D. Gogolin, Phys. Rev. B 59, 14848 (1999).
- [45] M. Fabrizio and C. Castellani, Nucl. Phys. B583, 542, (2000) have shown that the quantum correction to the conductivity in 2D vanishes at the band center (the particle-hole symmetric point) implying delocalized states with a diverging dos. In 3D all states su ciently close to the band center E = 0 are extended. Our com – putations agree with their results.