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#### Abstract

W e attack generalized $T$ hom son problem $s w$ ith a continuum form alism which exploits a universal long range interaction betw een defects depending on the $Y$ oung $m$ odulus of the underly ing lattioe. O ur predictions for the ground state energy agree with sim ulations of long range pow er law interactions of the form $1=r(0 \ll 2)$ to four signi cant digits. The regim e of grain boundaries is studied in the context of tilted crystalline order and the generality of our approach is illustrated w th new results for square tilings on the sphere.


PACS num bers: PACS num bers: $68.35 . \mathrm{Gy}, \quad 62.60 \mathrm{D}$ c, 61.72 Lk . 61.30 Jf

The Thom son problem of constructing the ground state of (classical) electrons interacting w th a repulsive C oulomb potential on a 2 -sphere $\left[\frac{112}{11}\right]$ is alm ost one hundred years old $[\underline{[ }]$ and has $m$ any im portant physical realizations. These include multi-electron bubbles [131], which $m$ ay be studied by capillary w ave excitations, or the surface of liquid $m$ etal drops con ned in P aul traps [ $\overline{4}_{1}^{1}$ ']. A though the original $T$ hom son problem refers to the ground state of spherical shells of electrons, one can also ask for crystalline ground states of particles interacting w ith other potentials. Such a generalized Thom son problem arises, for exam ple, in determ ining the arrange$m$ ents of the protein subunits which com prise the shells of spherical viruses $\left[\underline{F}_{1}^{\prime}, ~ ', ~ '[G]\right.$ ]. Here, the \particles" are clusters of protein subunits arranged on a shell. O ther realizations include regular arrangem ents of colloidal particles in colbidosom es [7] proposed for encapsulation of active ingredients such as drugs, nutrients or living cells $\left[{ }_{[1}^{1}\right]$ and fullerene pattems of carbon atom s on spheres $\left.\overline{[1}_{1}^{9}\right]$ and other geom etries [10]. An exam ple w ith long range (logarithm ic) interactions is provided by the A brikosov lattice of vortices which w ould form at low tem peratures in a superconducting $m$ etal shell $w$ ith a large $m$ onopole at the center $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[1]} \\ ]^{\prime}\end{array}\right]$. In practice, the $\backslash \mathrm{m}$ onopole" could be approxim ated by the tip of a long thin solenoid.

E xtensive num erical studies of the $T$ hom son problem show that the ground state for a sm all num ber of particles, typically M 150, consists of tw elve positive disclinations (them inim um num ber com patible w ith Euler's theorem ) located at the vertices of an icosahedron [12', 1, 1 sm all as 500 particles, how ever, con gurations $w$ ith additional topological defects $\left[1 \overline{4} \overline{4}_{1}^{\prime}, 1\right]$ than icosahedralones.

These rem arkable results for the $T$ hom son problem raise a num ber of im portant questions, such as the $m$ echanism behind the proliferation of defects, the nature of these unusual low -energy states, the universality w ith respect to the underlying particle potential and the generalization to $m$ ore com plex situations.

A form alism suitable to address all these questions has
been proposed recently $\left[\begin{array}{c}{[1]} \\ \hline\end{array}\right]$. D isclinations are considered the fundam ental degrees of freedom, interacting according to the energy [1]

$$
\begin{align*}
& H=E_{0}+\frac{Y^{Z}}{2} Z^{Z} d(x) d \quad(y) \\
& s(x) \quad K(x) \frac{1}{2}  \tag{1}\\
& s(y) \quad K(y)
\end{align*}
$$

where the integration is over a xed surface with area elem ent $d(x)$ and $m$ etric $g_{i j}, K$ is the $G$ aussian curvature, $Y$ is the $Y$ oung $m$ odulus in at space and $s(x)=$ $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}}$
${ }_{i=1}^{N} \overline{3} q_{i}\left(x ; x_{i}\right)$ is the disclination density $\quad\left(x ; x_{i}\right)=$
$\left(\begin{array}{ll}x & x_{i}\end{array}\right)=\frac{p}{\operatorname{det}\left(g_{i j}\right)}$. D efects like dislocations or grain boundaries are built from these elem entary disclinations. $\mathrm{E}_{0}$ is the energy corresponding to a perfect defect-free crystal w th no Gaussian curvature; Eo would be the ground state energy for a 2D W igner crystal of electrons in the plane. Eq. (II) restricted to a 2 -sphere gives

$$
\begin{align*}
H=E_{0} & +\frac{Y}{36} R^{2^{2} X^{N} X^{N}} q_{i} q_{j}\left({ }^{i} ;{ }^{i} ;{ }^{j} ;{ }^{j}\right) \\
& +N E_{\text {core }} ; \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $R$ is the radius of the sphere and is a function of the geodesic distance ij between defects with polar 0 -
 H ere 5-and 7-fold defects correspond to $q_{i}=+1$ and 1 respectively. In this letter we show that the continuum form alism em bodied in Eq. (2, im im lies: (a) at space results for elastic constants can be bootstrapped into very accurate quantitative calculations for generalized $T$ hom son problem $s$, thus providing a stringent test of the validity of this approach; (b) new results for nite length grain boundaries, consisting ofdislocationsw ith variable spacing, in the context of the 2 disclinations appearing in tilted liquid crystal phases [1] $]_{1}$; and (c) su cient power and generality to determ ine the ground state for the 8 m in m al disclinations arising in square tilings of a sphere.

| $\mathrm{a}_{1}(\mathrm{r})$ |  | $(\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{n})$ |  | $(\mathrm{n} ; 0)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1: 5$ | $1: 51473$ |  | $1: 51454(2)$ | $1: 51445(2)$ |
| $1: 25$ | $1: 22617$ |  | $1: 22599(7)$ | $1: 22589(7)$ |
| $1: 0$ | $1: 10494$ |  | $1: 10482(3)$ | $1: 10464(3)$ |
| $0: 75$ | $1: 04940$ |  | $1: 04921(6)$ | $1: 04910(6)$ |
| $0: 5$ | $1: 02392$ |  | $1: 02390(4)$ | $1: 02372(4)$ |

TABLE I: A nalytical predictions ( rst colum n) for a large num ber of particles and values extrapolated from num erical sim ulations (second and third columns) of the coe cient $a_{1}()$, as de ned in $E q_{1}^{1}(4)$ for $(n ; n)$ and $(n ; 0)$ icosadeltahedral lattices. Sim ilar accuracy holds for other values of .

The Young modulus appearing in Eq. $(\bar{Z})$ and the energy $E_{0}$ may be com puted in at space via the Ewald $m$ ethod $[1 \underline{1}$, $1-1]$ ]. The result for $M$ particles $w$ ith long range pairw ise interactions given by $e^{2}=r \quad(0 \ll 2)$ is [20']

$$
\begin{align*}
& Y=4\left(\frac{e^{2}}{A_{C}^{1+}=2} ;\right. \\
& \frac{\mathrm{E}_{0}}{\mathrm{M} \mathrm{e}^{2}}=\quad \text { ( ) } \frac{4}{\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{C}}} \quad=2+\frac{}{\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{R}^{2}} \text { (); } \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where , and are potential-dependent coe cients whose num erical values will be reported elsew here [2d] and $A_{C}$ is the area per particle. For M particles crystallizing on the sphere, $A_{C}=4 R^{2}=M$, and combining Eqs. $(\underline{Z})$ ) and ( $\overline{3}$ ) gives a large $M$ expansion for the ground state energy,
$E_{G}=\frac{e^{2}}{2 R} a_{0}() M^{2} \quad a_{1}() M^{1+} \overline{2}+a_{2}() M^{\overline{2}}+\quad i^{i} ;$
where $a_{0}()=2^{1}=(2 \quad)$ and the subleading coefcients $a_{i}()$ depend explicitly on the potential and on the positions and num ber ofdisclinations. The rst (nonextensive) term is proportionalto $M^{2}$ and is usually canceled by a uniform background charge forW igner crystals of electrons. The coe cient $a_{1}$ is a universal function of the positions of the defects, up to a potential-dependent constant. U sing the results in [1] $]$, theoretical predictions for large $M$ for icosadeltahedral lattices $\left[\operatorname{FW}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$ of type $(n ; 0)$ and ( $n ; n$ ) are given in Table ${ }^{i}{ }^{4}$ for ve values of .
$T$ hese predictions $m$ ay now be com pared w ith direct m inim izations of particles on the sphere in icosadeltahedral con gurations by tting the results to Eq.( (Ā). In $F$ ig ${ }_{1}^{1} 1 \mathrm{l}$ we plot " $(M)$ versus $1=M$ for ( $n ; 0$ ) and $(n ; n)$ ioosadeltahedral con gurations for $=1: 5$ and $=0: 5$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
"(M)=\frac{\left[2 R E_{G}=e^{2} a_{0}() M^{2}\right]}{M^{1+}=2}: \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coe cient $\mathrm{a}_{1}()$ is determ ined by the intercept in the $M$ ! 1 lim it $\left(M=10 n^{2}+2\right.$ for $(n ; 0)$ lattioes and $M=30 n^{2}+2$ for $(n ; n)$ lattices [-1/]).


FIG.1:N um ericalestim ate of " $(M)$ as a function of $1=M$ for $(n ; 0)$ and $(n ; n)$ icosadeltahedral lattioes $w$ ith $=(1: 5 ; 0: 5)$.
$(10,0)$ lattice

$(6,6)$ lattice


FIG . 2: Strain energy distribution (red/high, blue/low) for a $(10,0)$ and a $(6,6)$ con guration.


FIG. 3: A 10-arm grain boundary array em erging from $a+6$ defect (purple square) at the north pole. C harge +1 disclinations are red and charge 1 disclinations are yellow .

The continuum elastic interaction betw een disclinations in Eq. (Zᄌㅣ) is essential to obtain the correct lim iting behavior since it re ects contributions both from the energy per particle in at space as well as the energies of 12 isolated disclinations. The defect core energy term in Eq. ( $\overline{2})$ contributes to the leading correction $a_{2}()$. W e nd agreem ent to four signi cant gures for ( $n ; 0$ ) icosadeltahedral lattices and to ve signi cant gures for $(n ; n)$ lattices. The sm all residual di erence in energy for ( $n ; 0$ ) and ( $n ; n$ ) con gurations $m$ ay be understood from the di ering strain energies shown in $F$ ig $\overline{\underline{1}}$. This sm all discrepancy $m$ ay be attributable to a line tension associated $w$ th ridges of $m$ inim um or $m$ axim um strain connecting disclinations [ $\left.2 \mathrm{z}_{1}^{1}\right]$.

W e now tum to the study of grain boundaries on a sphere using the m odel described by Eq. $(\bar{Z})$. We illustrate the $m$ ethod $\left[\begin{array}{c}{[1]}\end{array}\right]$ by considering just two 2 defects (appropriate to crystals of tilted $m$ olecules) w ith suitable boundary conditions $[1]$ In . To approxim ate the 2 disclination of tilted $m$ olecules in a hem ispherical crystal [1] we replace the icosahedral con guration of tw elve disclinationsw ith two chisters of six $2=6$ defects at the north and south poles. For sim plicity, we use isotropic elastic theory and neglect nonuniversal details near the core of the +2 disclination. Upon adding just one dislocation of Burgers vector b (i.e. $a+=2=6$ disclination pair separated by distance b), the $m$ inim um energy in Eq. (Zᄌ) is achieved by a polar angle 0 (b) w ith $\check{0}$ perpendicular to the geodesic joining the north and south poles. For sm all num bers of dislocations, the $m$ inim um energy con guration consists of two polar rings of dislocations located at angles 0 (b) and 0 (b) relative to the north pole. The dislocations eventually organize into grain bound-
 no otherminim a were found.

Because the global m inim ization just described becom es com putationally dem anding for $m$ ore than thirty defects, further $m$ inim izations focused on a reduced param eter space speci ed by the orientations and distances


FIG.4: D efect positions obtained from $m$ inim ization (up triangle) and from Eq.(1) (diam onds).
of the grain boundaries from the two +6 defects. Follow ing [1] ], the system dynam ically chooses the average lattice spacing $a \quad b$ that best accom $m$ odates the array structure by extrem izing the energy of Eq. $\underline{K}_{-1}^{\prime \prime}$ ).

For reasonable param eter values in our continuum description, both two antipodal +6 disclinations and the icosadeltahedralcon gurations are indeed unstable to the form ation ofgrain boundaries for su ciently large sphere
 betw een dislocations in $m$-grain boundary arm s radiating from a disclination of charge $s$ is given by [21]

$$
\begin{equation*}
l=\frac{b}{2 \sin \frac{s}{2 m}} ; \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $b$ is the Burgers vector charge. The disclination charge is $s=\frac{2}{6} p(p=1$ or 6 , corresponding to the $T$ hom son problem or tilted $m$ olecules, respectively). To generalize this result for sym $m$ etrical grain boundaries on a sphere, consider the Burgers circuit form ed by an isosceles spherical triangle $w$ th apex angle $g b=s=m$ at a disclination at the north pole and centered on one of the $m$-grain boundary ams ${ }^{2} 2 \mathbf{1} 1$. If the altitude of this triangle is $h$, the net Burgers vector $B$ de ned by this circuit is given by the geodesic distance spanning the base of this triangle. A straightforw ard exercise in spherical trigonom etry leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos \frac{B}{R}=\frac{\cot ^{2}(h=R) \cos ^{2}(\mathrm{gb}=2)+\cos (\mathrm{gb})}{1+\cot ^{2}(\mathrm{~h}=\mathrm{R}) \cos ^{2}(\mathrm{gb}=2)}: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

W riting $B \quad b_{0}^{R_{h}} \mathrm{dh}^{0}=l\left(h^{0}\right)$, where $l(h)$ is a (variable) dislocation spacing $[\overline{1} \overline{-}]$, we can invert this form ula and thus generalize Eq. $(\bar{G})$ ' ${ }^{-}$to the sphere.
$R$ esults comparing our m inim ization w ith Eq. (T, إ, ) are show $n$ in $F$ ig 'AL'. B oth approaches predict the sam e num ber of dislocations w thin a grain and dislocation spacings which increase w th in the positions of the dislocations are presum ably due to interactions betw een dislocations in di erent arm s .

The physics associated w th E q. (2, $\overline{2}$ ) is rem arkably general. W e sketch here how the results above $m$ ay be
adapted to a sphere tiled with a square lattice. A planar square lattice is described by three elastic constants (as opposed to the two Lam e coe cients in the triangular case), leading to an energy

$$
\mathrm{H}=\frac{;}{2}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{~d}^{2} \mathrm{xu} \quad \mathrm{u} \quad \text {; }
$$

where the independent elastic constants are 11;11; 11;22 and $12 ; 12$. T he sam e derivation as that leading to Eq. $\mathbf{1 1}_{1}^{1}$ ) now leads to
$H=\frac{1}{2}^{Z} d(x) d(y) K(x) s(x) G(x ; y) K(y) s(y)$;
where $G(x ; y)=\left(\frac{1}{Y}{ }^{2}+2 r_{1}^{2} r_{2}^{2}\right)^{1}$, w th $r_{i}$ the gradient in direction $i=1$ or 2 , as de ned by the local square lattice. The fundam ental defects are now $\overline{2}$ disclinations. The elastic constants are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{Y}=\frac{2}{11 ; 11-11 ; 22} \\
&=\frac{11 ; 22+11}{2} \quad  \tag{10}\\
& 11 ; 11{ }_{11}^{2} ; 11 \\
& 11 ; 22
\end{align*} \frac{1}{212 ; 12}: \$
$$

The interaction energy for disclinations in a square lattice becom es equivalent to Eq. ([II) only in the lim it $=0$. A though details such as the critical value of $R=$ a for the onset of grain boundaries w ill di er, we expect that the physics rem ains essentially the sam e. For sm all num bers of particles the ground state will consist of $8 \mathrm{q}=+1$ disclinations. In the isotropic case $(=0)$ the ground state is a distorted cube, w th one face tw isted by 45 [20 ${ }^{[1}$ sim ilar to tetratic liquid crystal ground states on the sphere [ ${ }^{2} \underline{L}_{1}^{1}$ ].

W e expect sim ilar results for geom etries other than the sphere. For isotropic crystals on a tonus w th the right aspect ratio, for exam ple, onem ight expect 12 5-fold disclinations on the outer wall (w here the G aussian curvature is positive) and tw elve com pensating 7-fold disclinations on the inner wall (w here the G aussian curvature is negative) to play the role of the icosahedral con gurations on the sphere. A sm ore particles are placed on the torus, we expect grain boundaries to em erge from these disclinations.

There are som e im portant issues left for a future publication [2d], such as a m ore detailed derivation of the asym ptotic expansion Eq. (4َי̄1) and a reliable determ ination of the optim alnum ber ofarm sw thin a grain boundary. W e hope the calculations presented here will convince the reader of the usefulness of our approach.
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