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#### Abstract

U sing a m axim um entropy principle to assign a statistical weight to any graph, we introduce a m odel of random graphs with arbitrary degree distribution in the fram ew ork of standard statistical $m$ echanics. W e com pute the free energy and the distribution of connected com ponents. $W$ e determ ine the size of the percolation cluster above the percolation threshold. The conditional degree distribution on the percolation cluster is also given. We brie y present the analogous discussion for oriented graphs, giving for exam ple the percolation criterion.


## 1 Introduction

The statistical properties of netw orks, either biological, social or technological, have received a lot of attention recently both experim entally and
 netw orks is the degree distribution, which describes the probability for the vertices to have $0 ; 1$; neighbors. O ne striking observation is that, in $m$ any exam ples, the degree distribution is large so that the probability to have $n$ neighbors decreases slow ly w ith n . Severalm odels (static or evolving) predict

[^0]such a behavior. M ore generally, they contain enough tunable param eters to reproduce alm ost any degree distribution.

H ow ever, the static m odels are in general not conveniently de ned within the language of statisticalm echanics (see ref.th] ], which m otivated our interest in this question). This is for instance the case w ith them ost intuitive proposal [5],]: generate independently half edges for each vertex, w ith the appropriate distribution, and then join the half edges at random. This $m$ akes it rather easy to generate random graphs, but does not assign in a simple way a probability to any given sim ple graph : it is form ally com plicated to elim inate $m$ ultiple edges. A nother proposal $m$ ade in $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[\overline{4}]}\end{array}\right.$ has som e form al technical sim ilarity w ith our work but really leads to a di erent m odel.

It is $m$ oreover obvious, if not alw ays apparent in the literature, that the know ledge of the degree distribution leaves $m$ any statistical properties of the graphs undeterm ined, even if one insists that all vertioes are equivalent. This arbitrariness is a problem, because $m$ ost of the tim e the models used to $t$ the behavior of say a comm unication network are just ingenious constructions: they are not derived from clear basic principles. Such principles $m$ ay be out of our reach at the $m$ om ent, and $s o$ is a classi cation of all random graph models with certain apriori properties. Consequently, we propose to use $m$ axim um entropy as a criterion to build a m odel that does not $m$ ake any a prioribias, inconporating what we know \{ in this case the degree distribution \{ but nothing else. C om parison w ith real netw orks is a way to get evidence for other striking features that $m$ ight be overlooked today.

The $m$ axim al entropy principle is applied here to deal with constraints on the degree distribution but it can clearly be engineered to dealw th other constraints.

This paper is organized as follow s:
\{ Section 2 starts $w$ ith the $m$ ain de nitions, goes on with a quidk re$m$ inder on the $M$ olloy \{ $R$ eed $m$ odel [in 1 the $m$ axim um entropy $m$ odel. $W$ e use it to reform ulate the standard E rdosRenyirandom graph $m$ odel. Then we derive a few general identities valid for the $m$ axim alentropy $m$ odel, and study the distribution of connected com ponents. O ur m odel is a close cousin of the M olloy \{ Reed m odel and we $m$ ake the connexion precise below. F inally we discuss the possibility of num erical sim ulations.
\{ Section 3 studies the therm odynam ical lim it when the number N of sites is large, but the num ber of edges scales like $N$, hence the name nite connectivity lim it for this regim e . W e derive the equations that determ ine
allphysical quantities in this regim e : free energy, distribution of the num ber of edges incident at a vertex, ... W e then study the connected com ponents, derive the criterion for the existence of a percolation cluster and the form ula for its size. $F$ inally, we study the distribution of the num ber ofedges incident at a vertex in the percolation cluster.
\{ Section 4 analyzes the generalization to oriented graphs, ending with the criterion for the existence of a percolation cluster and the form ula for its size.

## 2 The m odel

### 2.1 G eneral de nitions

In the follow ing, we shall concentrate on labeled sim ple unoriented graphs, or equivalently on sym $m$ etric $0 \quad 1 \mathrm{~m}$ atrices, w th vanishing m ain diagonal: the $m$ atrix elem ent ( $i ; j$ ) is 1 if vertioes $i$ and $j$ are connected by an edge and 0 else. So we use the sam e letter $G$ to denote the graph and its adjacency $m$ atrix $w$ ith $m$ atrix elem ents $G_{i ; j}$. In the sequel, unless otherw ise stated, the term graph refers to labeled sim ple unoriented graph. T he num ber of edges of a graph $G$ is denoted by $E(G)$ and the num ber of vertioes by $V(G)$.

The row-sum $\hat{G}_{i}={ }_{j} G_{i ; j}$ is the number of neighbors of site i. The degree distribution of $G$ is the sequence $G_{k}=$ \# fi such that $\hat{G}_{i}=k g$, so that $G_{0}$ is the num ber of isolated points of $G, G_{1}$ is the num ber of vertioes of $G$ with exactly one neighbor, and so on.
$N$ ot every integer sequence can appear ${ }_{P}$ as the degree distribution of a graph on $N$ vertioes: $G_{k}=0$ for $k \quad N,{ }_{k} G_{k}=N$ and ${ }_{k} k G_{k}$ is even because this num ber counts tw ice the num ber of edges of $G$, i.e. ${ }_{i ; j} G_{i ; j}$. $T$ here are other less obvious constraints. We call the sequences that appear as degree distribution of a graph on $N$ vertioes $N$-adm issible. There is a relatively sim ple fam ily of inequalities that characterizes $N$-adm issible sequences, but for instance the (asym ptotic) counting of N -adm issible sequences is still unknown.

### 2.2 T he $M$ olloy \{ $R$ eed m odel

Before we introduce our $m$ odel, let us describe the $m$ ethod of $M$ olloy and Reed [ $\left.{ }_{[1]}^{1} 1\right]$ which can be interpreted as a kind ofm icrocanonical version of our
$m$ odel. The idea is quite elegant : for any integer $N \quad x$ an $N$-adm issible sequence $\mathrm{fm}_{\mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{k}} \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{k}}$ o and take as probability space the set $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{fm}_{\mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{k}} \mathrm{g}}$ of graphs w th degree distribution $\mathrm{fm}_{\mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{k}} \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{k}}$ o, endowed w ith the uniform (counting) probability. By construction, in $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{fm}}^{\mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{k}} \mathrm{g}$, the probability that vertex i2 $[1 ; \mathrm{N}]$ has $k$ neighbors is exactly $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{N}$.

M olloy and Reed show that if the sequence $\mathrm{fm}_{\mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{N} \mathrm{g}$ converges (uniform ly) to a probability distribution $f{ }_{k} g_{r}\left({ }_{k} k=1\right)$, under one technical assum ption, the space $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{fm}_{\mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{k}} \mathrm{g}}$ converges in an appropriate sense to a random graph ensemble $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}{ }_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{g}}$ on which standard questions can be form ulated and answ ered :
\{ the probability in $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}}{ }_{\mathrm{k}}$ that a given vertex has k neighbors is $\{$ not surprisingly \{ $k$,
\{ M olloy and Reed give a criterion for the presence or absence of a giant com ponent.

H euristic argum ents [ī] $]$ show that the Intuitive' $m$ odel (w hich does not in general lead to sim ple graphs) nam ely \generate independently halfedges for each vertex, w th distribution $f{ }_{k} g$ and then join the halfedges at random ", has the sam e therm odynam ical \{ large N \{ properties as the M olloy \{R eed m odel.

### 2.3 The maxim um entropy model

To start with, we $x$ an integer $N \quad 1$, and a probability distribution $f{ }_{\mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{k}} \mathrm{g}$ $\left({ }_{\mathrm{N}=1^{1}}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{k}=1\right)$. W e want to look for a probability distribution $\mathrm{fp}_{\mathrm{G}} \mathrm{g}$ on the set of graphs on $N$ vertices such that for any vertex $i,{ }_{G ; \hat{G}_{i}=k} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{G}}=\mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{k}$ where, here and below, the notation $m$ eans that the sum is restricted to graphs such that $\hat{G}_{i}$, the num ber of neighbors of vertex $i$ in $G$, equals $k$. W th words, we look for a probability distribution $\mathrm{fp}_{\mathrm{G}} \mathrm{g}$ on the set of graphs on N vertioes such that the probability that vertex i has $k$ neighbors is $\mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{k}$. A s explained in the introduction, this requirem ent is far from xing the probability distribution.

W e also want this probability distribution to have no other bias. So we look for a distribution $\mathrm{fp}_{\mathrm{G}} \mathrm{g}$ w ith m axim al entropy ${ }_{\mathrm{l}}^{\mathrm{l}}$.

[^1]H ence we want to maxim ize ${ }_{X}^{P}{ }_{G} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{G}} \log \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{G}}$ under the constraints

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{G}}=\mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{k}
$$

$$
\mathrm{G} ; \hat{\mathrm{G}}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{k}
$$

which we im plem ent as Lagrange m ultipliers. T he extrem um conditions for
are

$$
P_{G}=e^{+P_{i i_{i} \hat{G}_{i}},{ }_{G}^{X} P_{G}=1 \text { and }{ }_{G ; \hat{G}_{i}=k}^{X} P_{G}=N_{i k}: ~: ~}
$$

It is not obvious to us that these equations alw ays have a solution and that this solution is unique and symmetric i.e. $i_{i ; k}$ does not depend on $i_{1-1}^{2_{1}}$. B ut as usualin statisticalm echanics, we can reverse the logic : we start from an arbitrary sequence of positive num bers $t_{k}=e^{k}$ and de ne $p_{G}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}_{G} \quad e^{+P} \mathrm{i} \hat{G}_{i}=e^{Y} t_{k}^{G_{k}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith suitably adjusted so as to ensure ${ }^{P}{ }_{G} P_{G}=1$.
W e de ne the $w$ eight $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{G}}$ of a graph as

$$
W_{G} \quad{ }_{k}^{Y} t_{k}^{G_{k}}={ }_{i}^{Y} t_{\hat{G}_{i}}
$$

and the partition function as the sum of weights

$$
Z_{N} \quad \begin{aligned}
& X \\
& W_{G}
\end{aligned}=\begin{array}{ll}
X & Y \\
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}}^{G_{k}}:
\end{array}
$$

H ence $=\quad \log \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}$ and $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{G}}=\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{G}}=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}$.
By construction the probability distribution $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i}}$ k that vertex i has k neighbors is i-independent. R ecall that $G_{k}$ is the num ber of vertiges $w$ ith $k$ neighbors in G so that

But $G_{k} W_{G}=@_{W_{G}}=@_{k}$ so

$$
\mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{k}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}} \frac{@ \log Z_{\mathrm{N}}}{@{ }_{k}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{k}} \frac{@ \log Z_{\mathrm{N}}}{@ t_{\mathrm{k}}}:
$$

[^2]
### 2.4 The E rdos\{R enyim odel revisited

A s a rst application, but also as a preparation to section $\overline{3}$, let us reinterpret the standard E rdos $R$ enyi random graph model $\left.{ }_{[1]}^{[7}\right]$ in our fram $\mathrm{e}-$ work. Recall that in the ErdosRenyi model, the edges are described by independent binom ial variables, each edge being drawn w ith probability p. Recall that $E$ ( $G$ ) denotes the num ber of edges of a graph $G$. The probability of the graph $G$ is simply $\left.p^{E(G)}(1 \quad p)^{\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{N} \quad 1\right)=2 E(G)$ which we rew rite as $(1 \quad \mathrm{p})^{\mathrm{N}(N \quad 1)=2} \frac{p}{1 p^{p}}{ }^{E(G)}$. N ow $2 \mathrm{E}(G)={ }^{P}{ }_{k} k G_{k}$. So letting $t_{k} \quad \frac{p}{1 p}$ show $s$ that the E rdosR enyim odel is the $m$ axim al entropy $m$ odel such that the probability that a vertex has $k$ neighbors is ${ }^{N}{ }_{k}^{1} p^{k}(1 \quad p)^{N}{ }^{1} k$. The average num ber of neighbors is $(\mathbb{N}$ 1)p. In the large $N \lim$ it, an interesting regin e occurs when this num ber is kept xed, so that $\mathrm{p} \quad \mathrm{N}$ and is the control param eter. The im portant observation is that if p scales like $\mathrm{N}{ }^{1}$, the param eters $t_{k}$ scale like $N^{k=2}$. In section 'īㄱ, we shall see that indeed generically these scaling relations ensure that $\log \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}$ scales like N , as any \good" free energy should.

### 2.5 U sefill relations

W e establish a few form ul which w illle central in the follow ing discussion.
The sequence $f Z_{N} g_{N} \quad$ satis es a rst order functional recursion relation that $w$ ill prove usefiul in the subsequent analysis. $W$ e de ne the form al Laurent series $H \quad\left(!; t_{0} ; \quad k ; i \quad\right)^{P}={ }_{k} t_{k}$ ! ${ }^{k}$.

Suppose $N$ 2. Then $Z_{N}$ is the constant (i.e. of degree 0) term in the ! expansion of the product

The ! -expansion of the product is well-de ned because both factors involve at $m$ ost a nite num ber of term $s$ of positive degree.

The proof of this relation goes as follow S . If $\mathrm{G}{ }^{0}$ is a graph on $\mathrm{N} \quad 1$ vertioes 1; ; N 1, it can be com pleted to a graph $G$ on $N$ vertices in the follow ing ways : add vertex N and $\mathrm{k}=0$; ; $\mathrm{N} \quad 1$ edges em erging from N . Attach these edges to any k distinct vertioes of G . There is a sim ple relation betw een the weights of $G$ and $G{ }^{0}$ because one vertex of degree $k$ has been added (this is taken care of by the term $t_{k}$ in $H$ ), and $k_{o}$ vertioes in 1; ; $\quad 1$ have seen their degree increased by 1 so, in ow ${ }^{2}{ }_{1}^{N}{ }^{1} t_{\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}}, \mathrm{k}$
of the factors $t_{j}$ are replaced by $t_{j+1}$ (this is taken care ofby replacing all $t_{j}$ 's in $Z_{N} \quad$ by $t_{j}+!t_{j+1}$ and expanding to order $k$ in !). $N$ ote that the relation is also true for $\mathrm{N}=1$ if wem ake the natural choige $\mathrm{Z}_{0}=1$.
$W$ e rew rite this result as a (form al) contour integral ${ }_{11}^{3_{1}}$ :

$$
Z_{N}\left(t_{0} ; \quad j ; t^{I} \quad=\frac{d!}{!}{ }_{k}^{t_{k}}!{ }^{k} Z_{N}{ }_{1}\left(t_{0}+!t_{1} ; \quad j+t!t_{j+1} ; \quad\right):\right.
$$

The sam e argum ent, based on enum erating the ways the point $N$ can be linked to the rem aining part of the graph under the condition that $\hat{\mathrm{G}}_{\mathrm{N}}=\mathrm{k}$, show sthat
to be com pared w the form ula of Section 12. are not so trivially equivalent because they involve di erent rearrangem ents of the sum of weights.

### 2.6 C om ponent distribution

W e study the distribution of sizes of connected com ponents.
De ne W ${ }_{n}$ by

$$
W_{n}=X_{G ; V(G)=n}^{c} W_{G} ;
$$

where ${ }^{P}{ }^{c}$ denotes the sum over connected graphs. Observe that if $G$ splits as a disjoint union oftw 0 subgraphs $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ ( $G$ contains no edge joining a vertex of $G_{1}$ to a vertex of $\mathcal{G}_{2}$ ), the weight of $G$ factorizes: $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{G}}=\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{G}_{1}} \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{G}_{2}}$. So the total weight of graphs $G$ of size $N$ that are the disjoint union of $k_{1}$ connected com ponents of size 1 (i.e. isolated points), $\mathrm{k}_{2}$ connected com ponents of size 2, $n$ donnected com ponents of size $n, \quad\left(s q 1 n k_{n}=N\right)$ is

$$
\sum_{n \cdot}^{N!k_{n} \ln ^{k_{n}}}{ }_{n}^{Y} W_{n}^{k_{n}}:
$$

The combinatorial factor just counts the number of ways to split the N vertioes of $G$ in packets of the right size. Sum m ing over all possible $k_{n}$ ' $s$

[^3]gives badk $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}$ :
$$
Z_{N}=\sum_{k_{n} 0 ;{ }_{n} \sum_{n 1^{n k_{n}=N}}^{X} \sum_{n k_{n}!!^{k_{n}}}^{Y} W_{n}^{k_{n}}: ~}^{N!}
$$

This form ula allow sto view $Z_{N}$ not as a function of the $t_{k}$ 's but as a function of the $W_{n}$ 's, and using this interpretation, we see that, denoting by $C_{m}(G)$ the num ber of connected com ponents of size $m$ in the graph $G$, the average num ber of com ponents of size $m$ in the random graph $m$ odel is

$$
{ }_{G}^{x} p_{G} C_{m}(G)=W_{m} \frac{@ \log Z_{N}}{@ W_{m}}:
$$

So $m W_{m} \frac{\varrho \log Z_{N}}{\varrho W_{m}}$ is the average num ber of sites belonging to com ponents of size $m$, and sum $m$ ing over $m$ we should have ${ }^{P}{ }_{m} m W_{m} \frac{\varrho \log Z_{N}}{\varrho W_{m}}=N$. This is sim ply the statem ent that $Z_{N}$ is a hom ogeneous function of degree $N$ in the $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}}$ ' S if $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is assigned degree n .
$T$ his can be rephrased in com pact form. Introduce a (oom plex or form al) ${ }^{\text {Zin }}$ variable $z$ and de ne $Z \quad{ }_{N} \quad 0 \frac{z^{N}}{N} Z_{N}$; the $z$-generating function for the $Z_{N}$ 's. Replacing $Z_{n}$ by its expression in term $s$ of the $W_{n}$ 's, we get the (wellknown) fact that $Z=e^{W}$ where $W={ }^{P} n \frac{z^{n}}{n!} W_{n}$ :C onversely, one retrieves $Z_{\mathrm{N}}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{N}=N!\frac{d z}{z} z^{N} e^{P}{ }^{\mathrm{n} 1^{\frac{z^{n}}{n!} W_{n}}}: \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The average num ber of com ponents of size $n$ in the random graph is thus

$$
W_{n} \frac{@ \log Z_{N}}{@ W_{n}}=\frac{N!}{n!(\mathbb{N} n)!} W_{n} \frac{Z_{N} n}{Z_{N}}:
$$

Sim ilarly, the average number of tim es a given graph $g$ of size $n \quad N$ appears as a connected com ponent in the random graph $G$ of size $N$ is

$$
\frac{N!}{n!(\mathbb{N} \quad n)!} w_{g} \frac{Z_{N} n}{Z_{N}}:
$$

[^4]
### 2.7 D iscussion

A crucialobservation is that the weight of a graph depends only on its degree distribution, as in the $M$ olloy \{ $R$ eed $m$ odel. B ut whereas in the $M$ olloy \{ $R$ eed $m$ odel the weight of a graph is 0 unless it has the correct degree distribution, the degree distribution uctuates in our model. So ourm odel is a canonical description of a random graph $m$ odelw ith given \num ber of edges distribution at a vertex", and the M olloy \{Reed $m$ odel a $m$ icrocanonical one.

That the two models tum out to be equivalent in some large N lim it is $m$ aybe not surprising. H ow ever, note that contrary to standard statistical $m$ echanics (when only a few quantities, for instance energy and number of particles, uctuate in the canonical description but are xed in the $m$ icrocanonical one) the constraint hypersurface of the $m$ icrocanonical $m$ odel has a codim ension that gets larger and larger as N grow s .

Finally, let us observe that the $m$ axim um entropy $m$ odel is well suited for standard them odynam ical sim ulations, nam ely heatbath algorithm s or $m$ etroplolis algorithm s . T his is because contrary to the haive' $m$ odel or the M olloy \{R eed m odel the phase space has a sim ple structure.

## 3 F in ite connectivity lim it

### 3.1 G eneral analysis

A s suggested at the end of section '2. 2 d by the special case of the E rdos\{R enyi m odel, we shall show that a them odynam ic lim it occurs in the large $N \lim$ it if $t_{k}$ scales like $N \quad{ }^{k=2}$. N ote that in this case $w_{G}$, the weight of $G$ scales like $N^{E(G)}$, where as before $E(G)$ stands for the num ber of edges of $G$.

The starting point of the analysis $w$ ill be the functional equation established in section 12.

$$
Z_{N}\left(t_{0} ; \quad j ; i t^{I} \quad=\frac{d!}{!}{ }_{k}^{t_{k}}!{ }^{k} Z_{N}{ }_{1}\left(t_{0}+!t_{1} ; \quad j \text { tt ! } t_{j+1} ; \quad\right):\right.
$$

We set $t_{k}={ }_{k} N \quad{ }^{k=2}$ and de ne $F_{N}(:) \quad \frac{1}{N} \log Z_{N}(t$.$) . Substituting ! N \quad{ }^{1=2}$ for ! leads after a few $m$ anipulations to

$$
e^{\mathbb{N} F_{N}(:)}=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{}_{\mathrm{C}^{\prime} X_{k}}^{k!}{ }^{k} e^{\left.(\mathbb{N} \quad 1) F_{N} \quad 1\left(!:+\frac{1}{N}:+1\right)(1 \quad 1=N)^{k=2}\right)}:
$$

This equation still involves no approxim ation. N ow we make the usual them odynam ical hypothesis, nam ely that $N \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{N}}(:) \quad(\mathbb{N} \quad 1) \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N}} \quad$ ( :) has a lim it, say $F(:)$ when $N$ ! 1 . This implies in particular that $F_{N}(:)$ converges to F (:). The above equation has then a large $\mathrm{N} \lim$ it. To see it clearly, we rew rite it as

In the large N lim it, this leads to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=y_{k}^{x}{ }_{k}^{x} \frac{x^{k}}{k!} ; \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have de ned

O $n$ can take an analogous lim it of other relations in in to obtain the m ore detailed equations for the degree distribution,

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=\frac{@ F}{@_{k}}=y k \frac{x^{k}}{k!}: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. $(\overline{3})$ ) ensures that this distribution is correctly norm alized, ${ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{k}=1$.
The param eter x posseses a simple interpretation. We start from the relation $\frac{\varrho \mathrm{E}}{\varrho_{k}}=\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{k}} \frac{\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{k}}}{\mathrm{k}!}$; m ultiply it by $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}+1}$ and sum over k to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2}=y_{k}^{x}{ }_{k+1} \frac{x^{k+1}}{k!}={\underset{k}{x} k i}_{k}^{k} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $x^{2}$ is the rst $m$ om ent of the distribution $k$ for the num ber ofedges incident at a vertex.

W e can sum $m$ arize quite com pactly $y_{P}$ our results as follow s: Let us introduce the fiunction $V(x) \quad \mathrm{k} k \frac{\mathrm{x}^{k}}{k!}$, which we call the potential for reasons which w illbe clear in a m om ent. If all our previous form ulae are to $m$ ake sense, this function should have a positive radius of convergence. Let us also de ne

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(y ; x) \quad 1 \quad \log y \quad \frac{x^{2}}{2}+y V(x): \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $(y ; x)$ is a critical point for $F$, thanks to eqs. $\left(\frac{3}{3},(\overline{1})\right.$, , and $F$ is the comesponding critical value.

It is not true that these equations for $(\mathrm{y} ; \mathrm{x})$ alw ays have a single solution. It is not di cult to nd exam ples w th no solution at all $W$ e can intenpret this by saying that in that case there is no therm odynam ic lim it in our sense. M ore troublesom e is the case when there are several solutions. The m ost naive requirem ent would be that the physical solution is to take the couple ( $\mathrm{y} ; \mathrm{x}$ ) that leads to the absolute m axim um $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{m} \text { ax }}$ for F because the factor $e^{\mathrm{N} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{ax}} \mathrm{W}$ ill be the dom inant contribution to Z . W e shall $m$ eet such a behaviour in one of the exam ples of Section '了َ there.

Form ost of the paper, we shall sim ply assum e that if there is m ore than one extrem um, we have pidked the correct one.

### 3.2 C onnected com ponents

In section '2. $\overline{2}$. $\overline{1}$, we gave a form ula for $Z_{N}$ in term $s$ of connected com ponents. This formula has also an interesting lim iting form in the them odynam ic lim it, but we shall wait until the next section to derive it. For the tim e being, recall that $W_{n}$ is the sum of the weights of connected graphs on $n$ vertices. $W$ e have show $n$ that the average num ber of com ponents of size $n$ in the random graph is $\frac{N!}{n!(Q)!} W_{n} \frac{Z_{N} n}{Z_{N}}$ :

N ow, we split $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}}=10 \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{n} ; 1}$ as a sum of contributions corresponding to connected graphsw ith $1=0 ; 1$; (independent) ldopssfg is a connected graph with L (independent) loops, E edges and V vertioes, an old theorem of Euler says that $L=E \quad V+1$ (in particular trees, i.e. connected graphs w thout loops, have $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{V}$ 1) $\mathrm{so} \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n} ; 1}$ is sim ply the hom ogeneous com ponent of degree $2(\mathrm{n}+1 \mathrm{l})$ in the $t_{k}^{0} \mathrm{~s}$. Ifwe set $t_{k}={ }_{k} \mathrm{~N}{ }^{k=2}$ we set that $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n} ; 1}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{l}}\right)=$ $N^{1} n^{1} W_{n ; 1}(:) . W$ e de ne $T_{n} \quad W_{n ; 0}(:)$.
$W$ hen $N$ ! 1 for $x e d n$ and $k$ 's we nd that $\frac{N!}{n!(N)!} W_{n} \quad N \frac{T_{n}}{n!}$, $m$ eaning that trees dom inate. In the therm odynam ic lim it, we nd as before that $\frac{Z_{N} n}{Z_{N}}\left(t_{0}\right) \quad e^{n\left(\mathbb{F}+\frac{1}{2}\right.} k_{k}^{\left.k k_{k} \frac{e e_{k}}{e_{k}}\right)} \quad y^{n}$ :

So in the them odynam ic lim it, the average num ber of com ponents of size $n$ in the random graph is $N \frac{T_{n}}{n!} Y^{n}$ : The num ber of points in com ponents of

[^5]size n in the random graph is
$$
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad \mathrm{Nn} \frac{\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{n}}}{\mathrm{n}!} \mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{n}} ;
$$
and the total fraction of sites occupied by nite com ponents is
$$
Q_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{x}} \underset{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{~T}!} \frac{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}}}{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{y}^{n}:
$$

If this number is 1 , we can consistently interpret the random graph model as a random forest $m$ odel in the them odynam ical lim it. H ow ever, if this number is < 1, this $m$ eans that a nite fraction of points is not in nite com ponents, and there is a percolation cluster in the system.

### 3.3 T ree distribution

W e would like to nd a closed form ula for the generating function

$$
T(y) \quad x_{n} n \frac{T_{n}}{n!} y^{n}:
$$

The rst observation com es from an analogy w ith a baby quantum eld theory. The asym ptotic expansion of the integral

$$
I=\frac{1}{(2 \mathrm{~h})^{1=2}}{ }_{1}^{\mathrm{Z}+1} e^{\left(\mathrm{x}^{2}=2+\mathrm{yV}(\mathrm{x})\right)=\mathrm{h}}
$$

in powers of the ${ }_{k}$ 's has a useful reinterpretation. $N$ am ely

$$
\text { I } \quad \begin{array}{r}
\mathrm{X} \\
{ }_{G}(G) \\
h^{I(G) C(G)}
\end{array}{ }_{k}^{Y}\left(y_{k}\right)^{G_{k}} ;
$$

where the sum is over Feynm an graph $s^{\text {Tb }}$ w ith an arbitrary num ber ofvertioes, A (G) is the order of the autom orphism group of $G$ (for a precise de nition see e.g. $\left[\begin{array}{l}-1 / 1)\end{array}\right)$ and $C(G)$ the num ber of connected com ponents of $G$. A gain by a factorization argum ent for the weights the connected contributions exponentiate, and

$$
h \log I \quad{ }^{X}{ }_{G}^{c} \frac{1}{A(G)} h_{k}^{I(G)^{Y}}\left(Y_{k}\right)^{G_{k}}:
$$

[^6]In the classical (sm allh) lim it, on the one hand graphsw ith $L(G)=0$ dom inate. T hough Feynm an graphs are not necessarily sim ple, loopless Feynm an graphs are just ordinary trees. On the other hand, I can be calculated in the lim it h sm all by the saddle point approxim ation, leading to the identity betw een form al pow er series:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(y) \quad X_{\text {looplessG }}^{c} \frac{1}{A(G)}_{k}^{Y}\left(y_{k}\right)^{G_{k}}=S(x) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith $S(x)=x^{2}=2+y V(x)=x^{2}=2+y^{P} k_{k} x^{k}=k$ !and $x$ is the form alpow er series of $y$ and the $k_{k}$ 's forwhich $S$ is extrem $a l, x=y^{P}{ }^{P} k+1 x^{k}=k!=y V^{0}(x)$.
$H$ ence, the expansion of $x^{2}=2+y V(x)$ in a form al power series of $y$ w ith $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{yV}^{0}(\mathrm{x})$ yields ${ }_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{n}$ !. From $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{y})=\mathrm{x}^{2}=2+\mathrm{yV}(\mathrm{x})$ and the stationnarity condition, we also infer that $\mathrm{T}^{0}(\mathrm{y})=\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{x})$.

The expansion of $T(y)$ is convergent for $s m$ all $y$ if $V(x)$ has a non vanishing radius of convergence. N ote that if ${ }_{1}=0$, the solution $x=0$ has to be chosen, because it leads to the correct $T(y)=0 y$ (trees on two or more vertices have leaves, so they count 0 if ${ }_{1}=0$ ).
$W$ e now $m$ ake the general assum ption that ${ }_{1} \not 0$, and $V(x)$ has a nonvanishing radius of convergence. Let us study the inversion of the relation $y=x=V^{0}(x)$. This can be obtained via the Lagrange form ula. By C auchy's residue form ula

$$
x(y)={ }^{I} x \frac{\left(x=V^{0}(x)\right)^{0}}{x=V^{0}(x) \quad x=V^{0}(x)} d x
$$

where the $x$ contour has index 1 w th respect to x . R eplacing $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{V}^{0}(\mathrm{x})$ by y , the $y$-expansion yields

$$
x(y)=\underbrace{x}_{n} Y^{n} x \frac{\left(x=V^{0}(x)\right)^{0}}{\left(x=V^{0}(x)\right)^{n+1}} d x:
$$

O ne can use integration by parts to get:

$$
x(y)=x_{n}^{x} \frac{y^{n}}{n!} \frac{d^{n} 1}{d x^{n} 1} \frac{d V}{d x}{ }_{j}^{n!}:
$$

This is clearly a series with non-negative coe cients. A s a consequence, its radius of convergence is given by the rst singularity on the positive realaxis, at the point $y_{m}=x_{m}=V^{0}\left(x_{m}\right)$ corresponding to the unique $m$ axim al value of the concave function $x=V^{0}(x)$. Thism axim um $m$ ight have tw o origins : either
$x_{m}$ is a singular point of $V$, or the derivative of $x=V^{0}(x)$, which is positive for sm all $x$, vanishes at $x_{m}$. This is equivalent to $V^{0}\left(x_{m}\right) \quad x_{m} V^{\infty}\left(x_{m}\right)=0$

The explicit form of $T_{n}$ can sim ilarly be obtained via the Lagrange formula:

$$
T(y)=0 y+\sum_{n 1}^{x} \frac{y^{n}}{n!} \frac{d^{n} 2}{d x^{n} 2} \frac{d V}{d x}{ }_{n}!
$$

a classical form ula which can also be proved by purely com binatorial argu$m$ ents, giving an independent argum ent for the fact that the classical lim it of quantum eld theory is described by trees.

### 3.4 P ercolation

The analysis of the previous section show s that the series

$$
{ }_{n}^{x} n\left(x=V^{0}(x)\right)^{n}{ }^{1} T_{n}=n!
$$

converges for any positive $x$ in the dom ain of convergence of $V$, and that, in this dom ain, its sum is equal to $V(x)$ for $x<x_{m}$.

For $\mathrm{x}>\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}}$ the series is still convergent. H ow ever there is a (unique) number $\mathrm{x}<\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}}$ such that $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{V}^{0}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{V}^{0}(\mathrm{x})$ and, since the series only involves the ratio $x=V^{0}(x)$, we have:

$$
{ }_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{n}\left(\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{V}^{0}(\mathrm{x})\right)^{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{1} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{n}!=\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{x})<\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{x}) \text {; for } \mathrm{x}>\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}} \text { : }
$$

The percolation question can be rephrased as follow. Is the relevant sohution x of the system $\mathrm{yV}^{0}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{yV}(\mathrm{x})=1$ such that $\mathrm{x} \quad \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{p}}$ or not? Indeed, we know that the fraction of points in nite clusters is ${ }_{n} n \frac{T_{n}}{n!} Y^{n}$. Substituting $y=x=V^{0}(x)$, this series sum $s$ to $y V(x)=1$ if $x \quad x_{m}$ but to $\mathrm{yV}(\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{x}))<1$ if $\mathrm{x}>\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}}$. The condition $\mathrm{x}>\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}}$ is equivalent to the condition $\left.V^{0}(x) \quad x V^{\infty}(x)\right\rangle 0$. This can be transcribed in term of the probability distribution $f{ }_{k} g: y x V^{0}(x)={ }^{P}{ }_{k} k{ }_{k} \quad h k i$ and $y x^{2} V^{\infty}(x)={ }^{P}{ }_{k} k(k \quad 1) k$ $h k\left(\begin{array}{ll}k & 1) i \\ \text { (we use brackets to denote averages of the distribution } f \\ k\end{array}\right)$ ).

Thus, the percolation criterion is that there is a percolation cluster in the system if and only if $h 2 k k^{2} i<0$. This is precisely the criterion given in ref.[1].]. The relative size of the giant com ponent $Q_{1}=1 \quad{ }_{n} n \frac{T_{n}}{n!} Y^{n}$ is then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{1}=1 \quad y V(x)=1 \quad{ }_{k}^{x} \quad k(x=x)^{k} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x$ is the sm allest $x$ solution of $y=x=V^{0}(x)$. This is again in agreem ent w th the result of ref.ti] ]. C lose to the percolation threshold, and for a generic potentialV, the size of the giant com ponent increases linearly w ith hk ${ }^{2} 2 \mathrm{ki}$ :

$$
Q_{1}, \frac{h 2 k_{i h k}}{} \quad 2 \mathrm{ki}
$$

This form ula is not valid when the probability distribution $k$ has no third m om ent. Then the grow s of the giant com ponent close to the transition can exhibit a di erent critical behavior. W e shall give an exam ple of this situation in the exam ples of section 13.6.

Let us analyse in $m$ ore details what happens if $x=x_{m} . W$ e know that there is no percolation cluster. N ow, if the radius of convergence of $V$ is strictly larger than $x_{m}$, close to $y_{m}=x_{m}=V^{0}\left(x_{m}\right), T^{0}(y)$ has a square root branch point. This implies that the contribution of points in com ponents of size $n$ in the system decreases algebraically as $C_{n} \quad N n^{3=2}$ for large $n$. In the physics language, this is intenpreted as a critical point and $3=2$ as a critical exponent. N ote that even in this case, the distribution $f \mathrm{~kg}$ is still decreasing at least exponentially at large k .

To observe other critical points, with di erent critical exponents, the radius of convergence of $V$ has to be exactly $x=x_{m}$, which requires som $e$ ne tuning. In that case, both $C_{n}$ and $k$ decrease algebraically. A ssume that $V$ has a leading singularity at $x=x_{m}$ locally of the form ( $x \quad x$ ), w th $>2$ to ensure the existence of $h k i$ and $h k^{2} i$. Generically, $y y_{m}$ is linear in $\mathrm{x} \quad \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}}$ so that $\mathrm{yT}^{0}(\mathrm{y})=\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{x})$ has a leading singularity of the form ( $\mathrm{y} \quad \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ) and both k and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{kT}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{k}$ ! decrease as $\mathrm{k}{ }^{1}$. W e shall give an exam ple below .

If there is no percolation cluster, we can treat the large $N$ lim it from another point of view. We start from eq. (2̄) and in the contour integral giving $Z_{N}$, we change variables and replace $z!N z$, leading to

For xed $n$, the connected graphsw ith loops (ll) are suppressed by inverse powers of $N$. H owever, in the sum over the size of connected com ponents, terms up to $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{N}$ make a contribution to the contour integral, and it $m$ ight happen that for large $n$ and $N$ related by som e condition connected com ponents of size $n$ of $w$ th loops $m$ ake a nite contributions to $\frac{1}{N} \log Z_{N}$.

H ow ever, if there is no percolation cluster, we m ay safely neglect $1 \quad 1$ and get an accurate approxim ation to the leading exponential behavior of $Z_{N}$ in the large $N$ lim it.

U nder appropriate conditions, the contour integral for $Z_{N}$ can be deform ed to pass through a dom inant saddle point. Then the free energy is given by the saddle point approxim ation. We see that $Z_{N} \quad e^{N F(:)}$ with $F(:)=1 \quad \log z+T(z), z$ being the the saddle point $m$ axim izing
$1 \log z+T(z)$. This equation is what one gets from eq. ( (ब̄) $)$ when $y=z$ and $x$ is seen as a function of $y=x=V^{0}(x)$. This gives yet another proof of the dom inance of trees and the Lagrange inversion form ula.

### 3.5 C onditional degree distributions

W e now present form ulas for the degree distributions, denoted ${ }_{k}^{(n)}$, for vertioes w ithin clusters of size $n . W$ e are particularly interested in the degree distribution ${ }_{k}^{(1)}$ in the giant com ponent when it exists.

From the last form ula of Section $1 \mathbf{2} \cdot \mathbf{-}$, the average num ber of vertices of degree k belonging to a com ponent of size n is:

$$
C_{n}(k)=\frac{N!}{n!(\mathbb{N} n)!}\left(t_{k} \frac{@ W_{n}}{@ t_{k}}\right) \frac{Z_{N} n}{Z_{N}}
$$

In the therm odynam ic $\lim$ 五, $t_{k}=N \quad{ }_{k=2}{ }_{k}, N!1$, this becom es

$$
\frac{C_{n}(k)}{N}=\frac{Y^{n}}{n!}\left({ }_{k} \frac{T_{n}}{@}\right):
$$

By de nition, the degree distribution $w$ ithin com ponents of size $n$ is $C_{n}(k)$ divided by the average num ber ofpoints in com ponents ofsize n so that ${ }_{k}^{(n)}=$ $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{k})=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}$, w ith $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{N}=n \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{n}$ ! in the them odynam ic lim it. H ence:

$$
{ }_{k}^{(n)}=\frac{1}{n} k \frac{@ \log T_{n}}{@{ }_{k}}:
$$

N otice that these distributions are norm alized, ${ }^{P}{ }_{k}{ }_{k}^{(n)}=1$, since the $T_{n}$ 's are hom ogeneous polynom ials in the $i_{i}$ of degree $n$ if each $i$ is assigned degree one.

A ssum e now that the percolation criterion is satis ed so that a giant com ponent exists. The num ber of vertices of degree $k$ in the giant com ponent
are: $\mathrm{C}_{1}(\mathrm{k})=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{k}} \quad{ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{k})$. In the therm odynam ic lim it,

$$
C_{1}(k)=N={ }_{k}^{x} \quad\left({ }_{k} @_{k} T_{n}\right) \frac{y^{n}}{n!}=k_{k} \quad\left({ }_{k} @_{k} T\right)(y)
$$

But T $(y)=x=2+y V(x)$ with the extrem um condition $x=y V^{0}(x)$ so that $\left(@_{k} T\right)(y)=y\left(x^{k}\right)^{k}=k!$. U sing $k=y_{k} \frac{x^{k}}{k!}$, we get, with $x$ de ned as in Section 'הెA':

$$
\frac{C_{1}(k)}{N}=k 1 \quad \frac{x}{x}{ }^{k}
$$

or equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{k}^{(1)}=\frac{k}{Q_{1}} 1 \frac{x}{x}^{k} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

since ${ }_{k}^{(1)}$ is the ratio betw een $C_{1}(k)$ and the num ber of points in the giant cluster, which is $N Q_{1}$. A s it should, ${ }_{k}^{(1)}$ is correctly nom alized: ${ }^{P}{ }_{k}{\underset{k}{(1)}=}_{(1)}^{(1)}$ 1 , and vanishes at $\mathrm{k}=0$ (there is no isolated vertex in the giant com ponent). There is a crossover value $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{C}}=\log (\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x})^{1}$ above which ${ }_{k}^{(1)}$ is exponentially close to ${ }_{k}=Q_{1}$. C lose to the transition the ratio ${ }_{k}^{(1)}={ }_{k}$ goes to $k=h k i$. The formula for ${ }_{k}^{(1)}$ has a simple probabilistic interpretation : as it is the conditional probability that a vertex has $k$ neighbors given that it is in the giant com ponent, it can be written as the quotient of $k ; 1$, the probability to have k neighbors and be in the percolation cluster, by $\mathrm{Q}_{1} . \mathrm{W}$ e read from eq. $(\overline{9})$ ) that ${ }_{k ; 1}=k \frac{x}{x}^{k}$. Hence $k^{\frac{x}{x}}{ }^{k}$ is the probability for a vertex to have $k$ neighbors and to be in a nite com ponent. This suggests that w hen a new point is added to the graph, the probabilly that it connects to $k$ other vertioes none of them in the giant com ponent is $k^{\frac{x}{x}}{ }^{k}$ : for each new edge, the penally for avoiding the giant com ponent is $\frac{x}{x}$

### 3.6 R econstruction, w ith exam ples

Them axim alentropy graph distribution can be reconstructed form the data of the degree distribution $k r^{P} k \quad k=1 . W \operatorname{set} H(S)={ }^{P} k_{k} S_{P}^{k}$.

G iven ${ }_{k}, x$ is de ned as the positive square root of hki $={ }_{k} k k_{k}$, and $y_{k}$ as ${ }_{k} k!x^{k}$. This yields $y V(x)={ }^{P}{ }_{k}(x=x)^{k}=H(x=x)$. The coe cient $y$ appears then as a norm alization factor which $m$ ay be choosen at $w i l l$, eg. we could set $\mathrm{y}=1$.

The tree distribution $T(y)={ }^{P}{ }_{n} T_{n} y^{n}=n!$ is then reconstructed, as a form al series, from $\mathrm{T}^{0}(\mathrm{y})=\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{x})$ w ith $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{yV}^{0}(\mathrm{x})$. It is clear that $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{n}}$ is independent of the choosen nom alization for $y$.

The fraction of site occupied by the nite size com ponents is $Q=y^{0}(y)$. By construction, $x$ is solution to $x=V^{0}(x)=y$. The giant com ponent exists $w$ hen there are two solutions to the above equation in the interval $[0 ; x]$. $W$ e denote by $x$ the sm allest of them. The fraction of site occupied by the giant com ponent is $Q_{1}=1 \quad \mathrm{yV}(\mathrm{x})$. Equivalently, one can look for a solution $0<s<1$ to the equation $H^{0}(s)=s H^{0}(1)$, and if there is one, $\mathrm{Q}_{1}=1 \quad \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{s})$.

Let us illustrate this reconstruction on a few sim ple exam ples.

1. Poissonian degree distribution: $k=e{ }^{k}=k$ !. This is the E rdos\{ Renyim odel. W e have $\mathrm{x}=1=2$, and $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{x})=\exp \mathrm{xx}$, choosing $\mathrm{y}=$ $e$. The tree distribution is $T^{0}(y)=\exp x^{w}$ ith $x e^{*}=y$. The giant component exists for $>1$ when the equation $x e^{*}=e$ adm its two solutions and with $<1<$. Its relative size is $Q_{1}=1 \quad$ e $\quad T^{0}(e \quad)=1=$ 。
2. Geom etric degree distribution: $k=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & p\end{array}\right) p^{k}$. Then $x^{2}=p=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & p\end{array}\right)$ and $\mathrm{yV}(\mathrm{x})=1=\left(1+\mathrm{x}^{2} \quad \mathrm{xx}\right)$. The extrem um relation $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{yV}^{0}(\mathrm{x})$ is a cubic equation: $y x\left(1+x^{2} \quad x x\right)^{2}=y x$. The percolation transition is at $x^{2}=1=2(p=1=3)$ and the relative size of the giant com ponent is $Q_{1}=1 \quad(x=x)^{1=2} w$ ith $x x=\frac{1}{2}\left(x^{2}+2 \quad x \quad \overline{4+x^{2}}\right)$.

This exam ple confronts us w the ambiguity problem alluded to a long tim e ago.

C hanging $p$ into $1 \quad p$ leads to replace $x$ by $1=x$. This changes $y V(x)=$ $1=\left(1+x^{2} \quad x x\right)$ into itself up to an irrelevant multiplicative factor. To state things in a slightly di erent way, the extrem um conditions $\mathrm{yV}^{0}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{x}$ and $\mathrm{yV}(\mathrm{x})=1$ have two solutions, and one leads to the geom etric distribution w ith param eter $p$ and the other one to the geo$m$ etric distribution $w$ ith param eter 1 p. O f course, the real result of the com putation of $Z_{N} \mathrm{w}$ illm ake a de nite choice. The criterion of the $m$ axim um for $F$ leads to choose $\inf (p ; 1 \quad p)$, and this is also consistent $w$ ith continuity starting from $p=0$, a random graph $m$ ade of isolated points.

H ow ever, the form ulas obtained before for, say, the size of the giant
com ponent, coincide w th the ones from ref. [ī] even for $p>1=2$. This situation requires clari cation. $M$ aybe this is the point when the canonical and the $m$ icrocanonical approaches nally diverge and stop being equivalent.
3. An example of a scale free distribution: $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{s})={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \quad{ }_{k} \mathrm{~S}^{\mathrm{k}} \quad 0+{ }_{1} \mathrm{~S}+$ ${ }_{2} s^{2}=2+\left(1 \quad s+(1) s^{2}=2 \quad(1 \quad s)\right)$, where $2 \ll 3$ and 0; 1; 2 and are nonnegative param eters sub ject to the condition $0+{ }_{1} s+{ }_{2} s^{2}=2+(1)(2)=2=1$ to ensure that the $k$ 's are correctly nom alized. The $\mathrm{k}^{\prime}$ 's decrease like $\mathrm{k} \quad \Gamma_{(~)}^{k}{ }^{1}$.
Then $x^{2}=H^{0}(s=1)=1+2+(2)$ from whidh the potential $\mathrm{yV}(\mathrm{x})$ is recovered as usual.
There is a percolation cluster if and only ifthe equation $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathrm{~s})=\mathrm{sH}^{0}(1)$ has a solution $s<1$. So, we look for the solutions of $\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & s\end{array}\right)\left(\quad 1_{1}\right)=$
$(1 \quad \mathrm{~s}){ }^{1}$. If hk ${ }^{2} \quad 2 \mathrm{ki}=\quad 1$ is negative, there is no percolation cluster, but if it is positive, $\left.1 \quad \mathrm{~s}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & -1\end{array}\right)^{1=( }{ }^{2}\right)$. The size of the giant com ponent is $1 \quad \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{s}) \quad(1 \quad \mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{H}^{0}(1)$, and

$$
\left.Q_{1} \quad \mathrm{hk}^{2} \quad 2 \mathrm{ki}^{1=( } \quad 2\right)
$$

close to the threshold. This is an exam ple when the grow th of the giant com ponent close to the threshold is nonlinear as a function ofhk ${ }^{2} 2 \mathrm{ki}$. The num ber of points in com ponents of size $k$ is reconstructed from $\mathrm{T}^{0}(\mathrm{y})=\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{w}$ ith $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{yV}^{0}(\mathrm{x})$. Below the threshold, this leads to a singularity $T_{\text {sing }}^{0} \quad(y \quad y)$, which im plies that $C_{n} \quad n \quad{ }^{1}$. Above the threshold, the radius of convergence $r$ of $T$ is larger than $y$, leading to $C_{n}$ 's that decrease exponentially as $C_{n} \quad n^{3=2}(y=r)^{n}$.

## 4 The case of oriented graphs

It is not di cult to m odify the previous argum ents to deal $w$ th $m$ axim um entropy oriented graphs w ith given \in-out" degree distributions. W e give the percolation criterion, om itting all details.

The rst result is that for such m odels, each vertex $w$ th $k$ outgoing and $l$ incom ing vertioes contributes a xed multiplicative factor, say $t_{k ; 1}$, to the
weight of a graph. The generalization of the recursion form ula for $Z_{N}$ reads

$$
Z_{N}\left(t_{i ; j}\right)=\frac{I}{d!}!_{+} \frac{d!}{!}{ }_{k ; 1}^{t_{i ; 1}!_{+}^{k}!{ }^{1} Z_{N} \quad\left(t_{i ; j}+!+t_{i ; j+1}+!t_{i+1 ; j}\right): ~}
$$

The large N nite connectivity lim it is obtained by letting N ! 1 while keeping $k_{k ; 1}=t_{k ; I^{N}}(k+1)=2$ xed. De ning

$$
\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{x}_{+} ; \mathrm{x}\right) \quad \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k} ; 1}^{\mathrm{x} ; 1} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{k}} \frac{\mathrm{x}^{1}}{\mathrm{l}!} \text {; }
$$

a straightforw ard adaptation of the argum ent in section 'ī". that the free energy $F$ is the value of

$$
\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{y} ; \mathrm{x}) \quad 1 \quad \log \mathrm{y} \quad \mathrm{x}_{+} \mathrm{x}+\mathrm{yV}\left(\mathrm{x}_{+} ; \mathrm{x}\right):
$$

at the point $\left(y ; x_{+} ; x\right)$ where it is $m$ axim um :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}_{+}=\mathrm{y}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{~V}\right)\left(\mathrm{x}_{+} ; \mathrm{x}\right) ; \quad \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{y}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{x}_{+}} \mathrm{V}\right)\left(\mathrm{x}_{+} ; \mathrm{x}\right) ; \quad 1=\mathrm{yV}\left(\mathrm{x}_{+} \mathrm{x}\right): \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The analysis of the rst two equations is a bit m ore involved than the analysis of the single im plicit equation for the oriented case. W e can view the pair of equations $x_{+}=y @_{x} V$ and $x=y \varrho_{x_{+}} V$ in the follow ing way. It de nes a function $y$ over the curve $C$ in the positive quadrant of the ( $x_{+} ; x$ ) plane given by $\mathrm{x} \varrho_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{V}=\mathrm{x}_{+} \varrho_{\mathrm{x}_{+}} \mathrm{V}$. This curve is sm ooth as long as V is wellde ned. For instance, one can take $x \quad x_{+} \varrho_{x+} V=x @_{x} V$ as an analytic param eter on it. Then $y$ is a sm ooth convex function of $x$, and all properties of the non-oriented case are true for $y(x): y$ is a good analytic param eter on $C$ for $s m$ all $y$, but there is a singularity if the convex function $y(x)$ has a $m$ axim um. To be $m$ ore explicit, taking di erentials we see that
and

A simple com putation show sthat the determ inant of the 2 by 2 m atrix in the rst relation is alw ays strictly positive, but that the determ inant of the 2
by 2 m atrix in the second relation is positive for mm ally but can change sign. This happens if $\mathrm{y}^{0}(\mathrm{x})$ vanishes. So the discussion of the non-oriented case carries over word for word. It is consistent to write $y=y(x) ; x=x(x)$ and $x(x)$ for the sm allest $x$ such that $y(x)=y(x)$. We set $x \quad x(x)$. There is a peroolation cluster if and only if the second determ inant is $<0$ at ( $\mathrm{y} ; \mathrm{x}_{+} ; \mathrm{x}$ ). This is equivalent to

$$
\left(@_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{~V} \quad \mathrm{x}_{+} \varrho_{\mathrm{x}} \varrho_{\mathrm{x}_{+}} \mathrm{V}\right)\left(\varrho_{\mathrm{x}_{+}} \mathrm{V} \quad \mathrm{x} \varrho_{\mathrm{x}_{+}} \varrho_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{~V}\right) \quad \mathrm{x}_{+} \mathrm{x} \quad @_{\mathrm{x}}^{2} \mathrm{~V} \varrho_{\mathrm{x}_{+}}^{2} \mathrm{~V}
$$

being $<0$ at that point. Then the fraction of sites in the percolation cluster is

$$
Q_{1}=1 \quad y V\left(x_{+} ; x\right):
$$

To get the percolation criterion, we just have to rephrase the vanishing of the determ inant in term s of the probability distribution $k_{+} ; k$ that a vertex of the random graph has $k_{+}$outgoing and $k$ incom ing vertioes. The explicit formula is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}_{+} ; \mathrm{k}=\mathrm{y}_{k_{+} ; k} \frac{\mathrm{x}_{+}^{\mathrm{k}_{+}}}{\mathrm{k}_{+}!\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{k}}} \frac{\mathrm{k}!}{} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By construction ${ }^{P}{ }_{k ; 1} k_{k ; 1}={ }^{P}{ }_{k ; 1} l_{k ; 1} \quad$ hki since any graph has the same number of outgoing and incom ing edges. The param eters $x_{+}$and $x$ are constrained by the relation

$$
\mathrm{x}_{+} \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{hki}
$$

The percolation criterion reads:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lllll} 
& h k i & h k_{+} k & i \tag{12}
\end{array}\right)^{2} \quad h k_{+}^{2} \quad k_{+} \text {ihk }^{2} \quad \mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{i}<0 \text { : }
$$

G iven the distribution k;l, the potential V can be reconstructed via eq. (111). As in the oriented case, the param eter $y$ is an arbitrary nom alization factor. The product of the param eters $x$ is determ ined by $x_{+} x=$ hki. The ratio $x_{+}=x$ can be choosen at $w i l l$ since there is a natural invariance in eq. ( $(\overline{1} \overline{-1})$. $N$ am ely if $x$ solves the extrem um condition for the potential $V\left(x_{+} ; x\right)$, so does $\hat{x}={ }^{1} \mathrm{X}$ for the potential $W\left(x_{+} ; x\right)=$ $V\left(x_{+} ;{ }^{1} x\right)$ and leaves $k_{k i l}$ invariant. A gain this nds its origin in the fact that any graph has the sam e num ber of outgoing and incom ing edges.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Em ail: bauer@ spht.saclay.cea.fr
    ${ }^{2} \mathrm{M}$ em ber of the CNRS; Em ail : dbemard@ spht.saclay.cea.fr

[^1]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~N}$ otice that, if no constraint is im posed, the uniform counting $m$ easure has $m$ axim al entropy. This $m$ easure can be described as follow $s$ : the probability of an edge betw een vertioes $i$ and $j$ is $1=2$ independently of the presence or absence of any other edge.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ In the large $N$ lim it, som e spontaneous sym $m$ etry breaking $m$ ight occur. $W$ e shall not pursue this questions here.

[^3]:    ${ }^{3}$ The sym bol ${ }^{[1]}$ denotes the contour integral $\frac{1}{2 i}{ }^{R}$ along sm all contour surrounding the origin.

[^4]:    ${ }^{4}$ In this section, som e of the com putations we $m$ ake require that the $t_{k}$ 's satisfy som $e$ properties so as to ensure that the series we write have a nite dom ain of convergence. For instance, we could assum e that only a nite (though arbitrarily large) num ber of $t_{k}$ ' $s$ are non vanishing. A ltematively we could work w ith form al pow er series.

[^5]:    ${ }^{5} \mathrm{O}$ r closed circuits in the m athem atical literature.

[^6]:    ${ }^{6} \mathrm{~W}$ aming : Feynm an graphs are essentially general graphs i.e. not necessarily sim ple!

