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#### Abstract

The analysis of the solving com plexity of random 3-SAT instances using the $D$ avis P utnam -Loveland-Łogem ann (D P LL) algorithm slightly below threshold is presented. W hile nding a solution for such instances dem ands exponentiale ort w ith high probabillty, we show that an exponentially sm all fraction of resolutions require a com putation scaling linearly in the size of the instance only. W e com pute analytically this exponentially $s m$ all probability of easy resolutions from a large deviation analysis of DPLL w ith the G eneralized U nit C lause search heuristic, and show that the corresponding exponent is sm aller (in absolute value) than the grow th exp onent of the typical resolution tim e. O ur study therefore gives som e quantitative basis to heuristic restart solving procedures, and suggests a naturalcut-o cost (the size of the instance) for the restart.


K eyw ords: restart, satis ability, D P LL , large deviations.

## 1. Introduction.

Being a NP-com plete problem, 3-SAT is not thought to be solvable in an e cient way, i.e. in tim e grow ing at most polynom ially with N . In practioe, one therefore resorts to m ethods that need, a priori, exponentially large com putational resources. O ne of these algorithm s is the ubiquitous D avis\{P utnam \{Loveland \{Logem ann (DP LL) solving procedure ( D avis, Logem ann and Loveland, 1962; G u, P urdom, Franco and $W$ ah, 1997). D P LL is a com plete search algorithm based on backtracking. T he sequence of assignm ents of variables $m$ ade by DPLL in the course of instance solving can be represented as a search tree, whose size Q (num ber of nodes) is a conven ient $m$ easure of the instance hardness. Som e exam ples of search trees are presented in $F$ igure 1.

In the past few years, $m$ any experim ental and theoretical progresses have been m ade on the probabilistic analysis of $3-S A T$ (H ogg, H uberm an and W illiam s , 1996; $G$ ent, van $M$ aaren and $W$ alsh, 2000). D istributions of random instances controlled by few param eters are particu-
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larly usefulin shedding light on the onset of com plexity. An exam ple that has attracted a lot of attention is random 3-SAT : the three literals in a clause are random ly chosen variables, or their negations $w$ th equal probabilities, am ong a set of $N$ Boolean variables; clauses are draw $n$ independently ofeach other. Experim ents (H ogg, H uberm an and W illiam s, 1996; M itchell, Selm an and Levesque, 1992; C raw ford and A uton, 1996; K irkpatrick and Selm an, 1994) and theory (Friedgut, 1999; D ubois et al, 2001) indicate that clauses can alm ost surely alw ays (respectively never) be sim ultaneously satis ed if is sm aller (resp. larger) than a criticalthreshold $c^{\prime} \quad 4: 3$ as soon asM ;N go to in nity at xed ratio . Thisphase transition (M onasson et al, 1999) is accom panied by a drastic peak in hardness at threshold (H ogg, H uberm an and W illiam s, 1996; M itchell, Selm an and Levesque, 1992; C raw ford and A uton, 1996). T he em erging pattem of com plexity is as follow s. At sm all ratios < L, where $L$ depends on the heuristic used by DPLL, instances are a.s. satis able (sat). Finding a solution requires a tree whose size Q scales only linearly w ith the size $N$, and alm ost no backtracking is present ( $F$ igure 1A). A bove the critical ratio, instances are a.s. unsatis able (unsat) and proofs of refiutation are obtained through $m$ assive backtracking, leading to an exponential hardness $Q=2^{\mathrm{N}}$ ! with ! > 0 (C hvatal and Szm eredi, 1988; B eam e et al, 1998).

R ecently, a quantitative understanding of the pattem of com plexity was proposed to estim ate ! in the unsat regim e as a function of the ratio of clauses per variables of the 3-SAT instance to be solved, and to unveil the structure of DPLL's search tree in the upper sat phase ( $F$ igure 1B), i.e. for ratios $L \ll c$ (C occo and M onasson, 2001). In the latter range, instanœes are as. sat, but their resolution requires w ith high probability an exponentially large com putational e ort (! > 0) (C occo and M onasson, 2001; C oarfa et al, 2000; San M iguel A guirre et al., 2001; A chlioptas, B eam e and M olloy, 2001c). $T$ heoretical predictions for ! as a function of were derived (C occo and $M$ onasson, 2001), extending to the upper sat phase the calculations of the unsat phase.

In this paper, we study in $m$ ore detail the upper sat phase, and $m$ ore precisely the distribution of resolutions com plexities of random ly draw $n$ instances w ith ratios $\mathrm{L} \ll \mathrm{c} \cdot \mathrm{U}$ sing num erical experim ents and analytical calculations, we show that, though complexity Q a.s. grows as $2^{\mathrm{N}}$ !, there is a nite but exponentially sm all probability $2^{\mathrm{N}}$ that $Q$ is bounded from above by $N$ only. In other words, while nding solutions to these sat instances is alm ost alw ays exponentially hard, it is very rarely easy (polynom ialtim e). Taking advantage of the fact that
is sm aller than !, we show how system atic restarts of the heuristic $m$ ay decrease substantially the overall search cost. O ur study therefore


Figure 1. Types of search trees generated by the D P LL solving procedure on satis able instances. A. low er sat phase, < : the algorithm ndseasily a solution w ith alm ost no backtracking. B . upper sat phase, $L \ll c: m$ any contradictions (c) arise before reaching a solution, and backtracking enters m assively into play. Junction $G$ is the highest node in the tree reached back by D PLL.D denotes the rst contradiction detected by D P LL, located at the leaf of the rst descent in the tree.
gives som e theoretical basis to incom plete restart techniques know n to be e cient to solve satis able instances(D ubois et al, 1993; G om es et al., 2000), and suggests a natural cut-o cost for the restart.

W e rst start by recalling our previous fram ew ork for studying resolutions taking place w ith high probabilly, which is helpful to understand rare resolutions too (Section II). N um erical experim ents are presented in Section III. Section IV is devoted to the analytical calculation of, and we present som e conclusions in Section V.W e have tried to highlight the di erent status of the statem ents and results presented: rigorous, expected to be exact but proof lacking, approxim ate, or experim ental. W e hope this e ort $w i l l$ bene $t$ the reader and $m$ ake our work m ore accessible.
2. R esolution trajectories: the high probability scenario.

In this section, we brie $y$ recall the $m$ ain features of the resolution by D P LL ofsatis able instances of size $N$, occurring w ith large probability asN ! 1 (C hao and Franco, 1986; C hao and Franco, 1990; A chlioptas, 2001b; C occo and M onasson, 2001).

The action of DPLL on an instance of 3-SAT causes the changes of the overall num bers of variables and clauses, and thus of the ratio . Furtherm ore, D P LL reduces som e 3 -clauses to 2 -clauses. W e use a $m$ ixed 2+ p-SAT distribution ( $M$ onasson et al, 1999), where $p$ is the fraction of 3 -clauses, to $m$ odelw hat rem ains of the input instance at a node of the search tree. $U$ sing experim ents and $m$ ethods from statistical $m$ echanics $M$ onasson et al, 1999), the threshold line c (p), separating sat from unsat phases, $m$ ay be estim ated $w$ ith the results show $n$ in Figure 2. For $p \quad p_{T}=2=5$, i.e. left to point $T$, the threshold line is given by c $(\mathrm{p})=1=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & \mathrm{p}\end{array}\right)$, and saturates the upper bound for the satisfaction of 2 -clauses ( $M$ onasson et al, 1999; A chlioptas, 2001b). A bove $p_{T}$, no exact expression for $c(p)$ is known.

The phase diagram of $2+\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{SAT}$ is the natural space in which the DPLL dynam ic takes place. An input 3 -SAT instance $w$ th ratio shows up on the right vertical boundary of $F$ igure 2 as a point of coordinates ( $\mathrm{p}=1$; ). U nder the action of DPLL, the representative point $m$ oves aside from the 3-SAT axis and follow s a trajectory which depends on the splitting heuristic im plem ented in DPLL.W e consider here the so-called G eneralized U nit-C lause (G U C ) heuristic proposed by Franco and Chao (1986; 1990) (Franco, 2001; A chlioptas, 2001b). Literals are picked up random ly am ong one of the shortest available clauses. This heuristic does not induce any bias nor correlation in the instances distribution (C hao and Franco, 1986). Such a statistical \invariance" is required to ensure that the dynam ical evolution generated by DPLL rem ains con ned to the phase diagram of F igure 2.

C hao and Franco were able to analyze rigorously resolutions corresponding to initial ratios < L 3:003. Their analysis consists in m on itoring the evolution of the densities (num bers divided by N ) $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ and $c_{3}$ of 2 - and 3 -clauses respectively as $m$ ore and $m$ ore variables are assigned by DP LL. Both densities becom e highly concentrated around the averages as the size $N$ goes to in nity. C alling $t$ the fraction of assigned variables, $c_{2}(t)$ and $c_{3}(t)$ obeys a set of coupled ordinary di erential equations ( ODE ),

$$
\frac{d c_{3}(t)}{d t}=\frac{3}{1 t^{2}} c_{3}(t) \quad 3(t)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d c_{2}(t)}{d t}=\frac{3}{2(1 \quad t)} c_{3}(t) \quad \frac{2}{1 \quad t^{2}} c_{2}(t) \quad 2(t) \quad ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $2(t)$; $3(t)$ are the probabilities that the split is m ade from a 2-, 3 -clause respectively. For G U C and an initial ratio $0>2=3,2$ ( $t$ ) = $1 \quad C_{2}(t)=(1 \quad t) ; 3(t)=0$.

To obtain the single branch tra jectory in the phase diagram of $F$ igure 2, we solve the ODEs (1) w ith intial conditions $\mathrm{c}_{2}(0)=0 ; \mathrm{c}_{3}(0)=$ 0 , and perform the change of variables

$$
(t)=\frac{c_{2}(t)+c_{3}(t)}{1 t} \quad ; \quad p(t)=\frac{c_{3}(t)}{c_{2}(t)+c_{3}(t)}
$$

to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t)=\frac{0}{4}(1 \quad t)^{2}+\frac{30}{4}+\ln (1 \quad t) \quad ; \quad p(t)=\frac{0(1 \quad t)^{2}}{(t)}: \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Results are shown for the G UC heuristics and starting ratios $0=2$ and 2.8 in $F$ igure 2. T he tra jectory, indicated $w$ th a dashed line, rst heads to the left and then reverses to the right until reaching a point on the 3-SAT axis at a sm all ratio. Further action of D P LL leads to a rapid elim ination of the rem aining clauses and the trajectory ends up at the right lower comer $S$, where a solution is found. N ote that for initial ratios $0<2=3$, only the second part of the tra jectory restricted to the $p=1$ axis subsists.

Frieze and Suen (1996) have shown that, for ratios $0<L^{\prime}$ 3:003 (for the G U C heuristics), the full search tree essentially reduces to a single branch, and is thus entirely described by the ODEs (1). The am ount of backtracking necessary to reach a solution is bounded from above by a power of $\log \mathrm{N}$. The average size of the branch $Q$ scales linearly w th N w ith a multiplicative factor $(0)=\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{N}$ that can be com puted exactly (C occo and M onasson, 2001).

Theboundary $L$ of this easy sat region can be de ned as the largest initial ratio 0 such that the branch tra jectory $p(t)$; ( $t$ ) issued from 0 never leaves the sat phase in the course ofD P LL resolution. Indeed, as 0 increases up to $L$, the trajectory gets closer and closer to the threshold line c (p).Finally, at $L^{\prime}$ 3:003, the trajectory touches the threshold curve tangentially at point T .

The concept of trajectory helps to understand how resolution takes place in the uppersat phase, that is for ratios 0 ranging from $L$ to $c$. Thebranch trajectory, started from the point ( $p=1 ; 0$ ) corresponding to the in itial 3-SAT instance, hits the critical line ${ }_{c}(\mathrm{p})$ at som e point $G$ w th coordinates ( $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{G}} ;{ }_{G}$ ) after $\mathrm{N} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{G}}$ variables have been assigned by DPLL, see Figure 2. The algorithm then enters the unsat phase
and generates 2+ p-SAT instances with no solution. Backtracking will appear as soon as a contradiction is detected by DPLL.Thism ay occur at any point along the tra jectory (Frieze and Suen, 1996), but no further than the crossing point $D$ w ith the $=1=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & p) \\ \text { ) line (beyond D, unit- }\end{array}\right.$ clauses are created at a rate larger than their elim ination through unitpropagation, and opposite literals will appear wh.p.). Later, m assive backtracking enters into play ( C occo and M onasson, 2001) until G is reached back by DPLL.G is indeed the highest backtracking node in the tree, since nodes above $G$ are located in the sat phase and carry $2+\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{SAT}$ instances w th solutions (Figure 1B). DPLL w ill eventually reach a solution $S$ ( $F$ igure $1 B$ ).

## 3. $N$ um erical experim ents.

In this section we present som e num erical experim ents on large but nite instance sizes, show ing som e deviations from the high probability scenario exposed above.

### 3.1. Instance-to-instance distribution of complexities.

W e have nst perform ed som e experim ents to understand the distribution of instance-to-instance uctuations of the solving tim es (H ogg and W illiam s , 1994; Selm an and K irkpatrick, 1996; G ent and $W$ alsh, 1994). W e draw random ly a large num ber of instances at xed ratio $=3: 5$ and size $N$ and, for each of them, run DPLL until a solution is found (a sm all num ber of unsat instances can be present and are discarded). $W$ e show in $F$ igure 3 the norm alized histogram of the logarithm $s$ ! of the corresponding complexities $Q=2^{N}$ !. The histogram is $m$ ade of a narrow peak (left side) followed by a w ider bum $p$ (right side). A s N grow $s$, the right peak acquires $m$ ore and $m$ ore weight, while the left peak progressively disappears. T he abscissa of the center of the right peak gets slightly shifted to the left, but seem $s$ to reach a nite value ! ' 0:035 as N ! 1 (C occo and M onasson, 2001). T his right peaks thus corresponds to the core of exponentially hard resolutions: w h.p. resolutions of instances require a tim e scaling as $2^{\mathrm{N}}$ ! as the size of the instance gets larger and larger, in agreem ent w ith the discussion of Section II.

$F$ igure 2. Phase diagram of $2+p-S A T$ and dynam ical trajectories of D PLL for satis able instances. T he threshold line c (p) (bold full line) separates sat (low er part of the plane) from unsat (upper part) phases. Extrem ities lie on the vertical 2-SAT (left) and 3-SAT (right) ax is at coordinates ( $p=0 ; c=1$ ) and ( $p=1 ; c_{c}^{\prime} 4: 3$ ) respectively. D eparture points for D P LL tra jectories are located on the 3-SA T vertical axis (em pty circles) and the corresponding values of are explicitly given. A rrow s indicate the direction of "m otion" along tra jectories (dashed curves) param etrized by the fraction $t$ of variables set by D P LL. For sm all ratios < L, branch tra jectories rem ain con ned in the sat phase, end in $S$ of coordinates $(1 ; 0)$, where a solution is found. At L (' 3:003 for the G U C heuristic, see text), the single branch tra jectory $h$ its tangentially the threshold line in $T$ of coordinates $(2=5 ; 5=3)$. In the range $\mathrm{L} \ll \mathrm{c}$, the branch trajectory intensects the threshold line at som e point G (that depends on ). W ith high probability, a contradiction arises before the trajectory crosses the dotted curve $=1=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & \text { p) (point D); through extensive }\end{array}\right.$ backtracking, D P LL later reachs back the highest backtracking node in the search tree ( $G$ ) and nd a solution at the end of a new descending branch, see $F$ igure 1B. W ith exponentially sm all probability, the trajectory (dot-dashed curve, full arrow) is able to cross the "dangerous" region where contradictions are likely to occur; it then exits from this contradictory region (point $D^{0}$ ) and ends up with a solution (low est dashed curve, light arrow ).


F igure 3. P robability distribution of the logarithm ! of the com plexity (base 2, and divided by N ) for $=3: 5$ and for di erent sizes $\mathrm{N} . \mathrm{H}$ istogram s are norm alized to unity and obtained from 400,000 $(\mathbb{N}=100), 50,000(\mathbb{N}=200), 20,000(\mathbb{N}=300)$, and 5,000 $(\mathbb{N}=400)$ sam ples

O $n$ the contrary, the location of the $m$ axim um of the left peak seem $s$ to vanish as $\log _{2}(\mathbb{N})=\mathrm{N}$ when the size N increases, indicating that the left peak accounts for polynom ial (linear) resolutions. W e have thus replotted the data show $n$ in $F$ igure 3, changing the scale of the horizontal axis $!=\log _{2}(Q)=N$ into $Q=N$. Results are show $n$ in $F$ igure 4. $W$ e have lim ited ourself to $Q=N<1$, the range of interest to analyse the left peak of $F$ igure 3. T he $m$ axim um of the distribution is located at $Q=N \quad$ r $0: 2 \quad 0: 25$, w ith weak dependence upon $N$. T he cum ulative probability $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{lin}}$ to have a complexity Q less than, or equal to N , i.e. the integral of F igure 4 over $0<\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{N}<1$, decreases very quidkly with N. We nd an exponential decrease, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{lin}}=2^{\mathrm{N}}$, see Inset of Figure 4. The rate $\quad$ 0:011 0:001 is determ ined from the slope of the logarithm of the probability show $n$ in the Inset.


Figure 4. P robability distributions of the com plexity Q (divided by the size N ) for sizes $N=100$ (full line), $N=200$ (dashed line), $N=300$ (dotted line), $N=400$ (dashed-dotted line). D istributions are not shown for com plexities larger than N . Inset: $M$ inus logarithm of the cum u lative probability of com plexities sm aller or equal to $N$ as a function of $N$, for sizes ranging from 100 to 400 (full line); logarithm of the num ber of restarts necessary to nd a solution for sizes ranging from 100 to 1000 (dotted line). Slopes are equal to $=0: 0011$ and $=0: 00115$ respectively.

## 32. Locus of highest backtracking points.

To gain som e intuition on the origin of fast, linear resolutions, we have looked for the locus of the highest backtracking nodes $G$ in the search trees. In the in nite size lim it, $G$ is located wh.p. at the crossing $G$ of the resolution tra jectory and the critical sat/unsat line (Section II). In $F$ igure 5 we show num erical evidence for the link betw een com plexity and tra jectories in the phase diagram for nite instance sizes. W e have run 20,000 instances ( $=3: 5 ; \mathrm{N}=300$ ), and reported for each of them the coordinates $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{G}} ; \mathrm{G}$ of the highest backtracking point, and the logarithm ! of the corresponding com plexity. Large com plexities (! $0: 3$, right bum $p$ of $F$ igure 3) are associated to points $G$ form ing a cloud centered around $G$ in the phase diagram of the $2+p-S A T$ m odel, while points $G$ related to sm all com plexities (! $0: 2$, left peak of $F$ igure 3) are $m$ uch $m$ ore scattered in the phase diagram. N otice the strong correlation betw een the value of ! and the average location
of $G$ along the branch trajectory of Section II. In the follow ing we w ill concentrate on linear resolutions only. A com plem entary analysis of the distribution of exponential resolutions for the problem of the vertex covering of random graphs was recently done by M ontanariand Zecchina (2002).
$F$ igure 5 show s that easy resolutions corresp ond to tra jectories capable of trespassing the contradiction line $=1=(1 \mathrm{p})$. This, in addition to the linear scaling of the corresponding com plexities, indicates that easy resolutions coincide $w$ ith rst descents in the search tree ending w ith a contradiction located far beyond $D$ in the phase diagram, and then requiring a very lim ited am ount ofbacktracking before a solution is found.
$T$ his statem ent is supported by the analysis of the num ber of unitclause generated during easy resolutions. W e have $m$ easured the $m$ axi$m$ al num ber $\left(C_{1}\right)_{m}$ ax of unit-clauses generated along the last branch in the tree, leading to the solution $S$ (Figure 1B). W e found that $\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)_{\mathrm{m}}$ ax scales linearly w th N w th an extrapolated ratio $\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)_{\mathrm{m} \text { ax }}=\mathrm{N}$ ' $0: 022$ for $=3: 5$. This linear scaling of the num ber of unit-clauses is an additional proof of the trajectory entering the "dangerous" region
$>1=(1 \quad \mathrm{p})$ of the phase diagram where unit-clauses accum ulate. In presence of a $O(\mathbb{N}$ ) num ber of 1-clauses, the probability of survival of the branch (absence of contradictory literals am ong the unit-clauses) w illbe exponentially sm all in $N$, in agreem ent with scaling of the left peak w eight in $F$ igure 3.

### 3.3. Run-to-run fluctuations and restart experiments.

W e have so far considered the instance-to-instance uctuations of the com plexity, that is the distribution of com plexity obtained from one run of DPLL on each of a large number of instances. In Figure 6, we now show the histogram of com plexities for a large num ber of runs on a unique, random instance. A fter each run, clauses and variables are random ly relabeled to avoid any correlation betw een di erent runs. Figure 6 show s that these run-to-run distributions are qualitatively independent of the particular instances, and sim ilar to the instance-toinstance distribution ofF igure 6 ( H ogg and $W$ illiam $\mathrm{s}, 1994$; Selm an and K irkpatrick, 1996).

The sim ilarity betw een run-to-run and instance-to-instance uctuations for large sizes speaks up for the use of a system atic stop-andrestart heuristic to speed up resolution: if a solution is not found before

$F$ igure 5. Locus of highest backtracking points $G$ in the phase diagram of the $2+\mathrm{p}$-SA T m odelfor 20,000 instances w ith $\mathrm{N}=300$. The bold gray line represent the rst branch tra jectory for $=3: 5$. C olors re ect the com plexities of the instances, whose logarithm s ! range from 0.01 to 0.09 , and are divided into 8 intervals of $w$ idth ! = 0:01 and increasing darkness. Filled triangles are the center of $m$ asses of points $G$ for each of the 8 intervals (the larger !, the closer to the $p=1$ axis).

N splits, D P LL is stopped and launched again after som e random per$m$ utations of the variables and clauses. Intuitively, the expected num ber of restarts necessary to nd a solution should indeed be equal to the inverse of the weight of the linear com plexity peak in Figure 3, w ith a resulting total com plexity scaling as $\mathrm{N} \quad 2^{0: 011 \mathrm{~N}}$, and m uch sm aller than the one-run complexity $2^{0: 035 \mathrm{~N}}$ of DPLL (Section II).
$W$ e check the above reasoning by m easuring the num ber $N$ rest of restarts perform ed before a solution is nally reached w th the stop-and-restart heuristic, and averaging $\log _{2}(\mathbb{N}$ res $)$ over a large num ber of random instances. Results are reports in the Inset of $F$ igure 4. The typical num ber N rest $=2^{\mathrm{N}}$ of required restarts clearly grow sexponentially as a function of the size N w th a rate $=0: 0115$ 0:001. To the accuracy of the experim ents, and coincide as expected.


Figure 6. P robability distributions of the logarithm ! of the resolution com plexity from 20,000 runs of DPLL. Each one of the ve distribution corresponds to one random ly draw $n$ instance of size $N=300 . T$ heblack curve is the instance-to-instance uctuations of the com plexity shown on $F$ igure 3.

## 4. Large deviation analysis of the rst descent in the tree.

### 4.1. Evolution equation for the instance.

H ereafter we com pute the probability $P^{\sim}\left(C_{1} ; \mathrm{C}_{2} ; \mathrm{C}_{3} ; \mathrm{T}\right)$ that the rst branch of the tree carries an instance w th $C_{j} j$-clauses $(j=1 ; 2 ; 3)$ after T variables have been assigned (and no contradiction has occurred). Let us call C the vector ( $\mathrm{C}_{1} ; \mathrm{C}_{2} ; \mathrm{C}_{3}$ ). P obeys a M arkovian evolution

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}^{\sim}(\mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{T}+1)={ }_{\mathrm{C}}^{0} \mathrm{~K}\left(\mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{C}^{0} ; \mathrm{T}\right) \mathrm{P}^{\sim}\left(\mathrm{C}^{0} ; \mathrm{T}\right) ; \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here the entries of the transition $m$ atrix $K$ are equal to (for the G U C heuristic),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K\left(\mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{C}^{0} ; \mathrm{T}\right)=\mathrm{C}_{3}^{0} \mathrm{C}_{3}^{0} \mathrm{C}_{3} \quad \frac{3}{2 \mathrm{M}}{ }^{\mathrm{C}_{3}^{0} \mathrm{C}_{3}} 1 \begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{C}_{3} \\
\mathrm{C}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1 \begin{array}{lllllllllllll}
\mathrm{C}_{2}^{0} & 1 & \mathrm{z}_{2} & \mathrm{X}_{2} & \mathrm{z}_{2} \\
\mathrm{M} \\
& & & \mathrm{w}_{1}=0 & \mathrm{w}_{1} & \mathrm{C}_{2} & \mathrm{C}_{2}^{0} & \mathrm{w}_{2}+\mathrm{z}_{2}+1 & \mathrm{c}_{1} & \mathrm{w}_{1}
\end{array} \text {; ; } \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

and $c$ denotes the $K$ ronecker delta function: $c=1$ if $C=0, ~ c=0$ otherw ise. M $\mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{T}$ is the num ber of unassigned variables.
$W$ e then de ne the generating function $P(Y ; T)$ of the probabilities Pr (C ; T ) where y ( $\mathrm{y}_{1}$; $\mathrm{Y}_{2}$; $\left.\mathrm{Y}_{3}\right)$, through

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(y ; T)=e_{c}^{X} \quad e^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{T}) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where denotes the scalar product. Evolution equation (4) can be rew ritten in term of the generating function $P$,
$P(y ; T+1)=e^{g_{1}(y)} P(g(y) ; T)+$

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{g_{2}(y)} \quad e^{g_{1}(y)} \quad P\left(1 ; g_{2}(y) ; g_{3}(y) ; T\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $g$ is a vectorial function of argum ent $y$ whose com ponents read

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{1}(y)=y_{1}+\ln _{n} 1+\frac{1}{N} \frac{e^{y_{1}}}{2} \quad 1 \\
& g_{2}(y)=y_{2}+\ln 1+\frac{2}{N} \frac{e^{y_{2}}}{2}\left(1+e^{y_{1}}\right) \quad 1 \\
& g_{3}(y)=Y_{3}+\ln 1+\frac{3}{N \quad T} \frac{e^{y_{3}}}{2}\left(1+e^{y_{2}}\right) \quad 1 \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

W e now solve equation (7) by $m$ aking som e hypothesis on the scaling behavior of $P$ for large sizes.
42. Hypothesis for the large $N$ scaling of the probability.

C alculations leading to equation (7) are rigorous. W e shall now m ake som e hypothesis on $\mathrm{P}^{r} ; \mathrm{P}$ that we believe to be correct in the large size N lim it, but w ithout providing rigorous proofs for their validity. O ur approach, com $m$ on in statistical $m$ echanics, $m$ ay be seen as a practical w ay to establish con jectures.

First, each tim e DPLL assigns variables through splitting or unitpropagation, the num bers $C_{j}$ ofclauses of length jundergo $O(1)$ changes. It is thus sensible to assum e that after a number $T=t N$ of variables have been assigned, the densities $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}=\mathrm{N}$ of clauses have been m od$i$ ed by $O$ (1). This translates into a scaling A nsatz for the probability Pr,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{\sim}(C ; T)=e^{N \sim \sim\left(C_{1} ; C_{2} ; C_{3} ; t\right)} \quad(\prime \sim 0) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

up to non exponential in $N$ corrections. From equations (6) and (9), we obtain the follow ing scaling hypothesis for the generating function P,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(y ; T)=e^{N^{\prime}(y ; t)} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

up to non exponential in $N$ term $s . N$ otioe that ' and '~ are simply related to each other through Legendre transform,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \prime(y ; t)=\max _{c}^{\prime} \sim(c ; t)+y \quad c  \tag{11}\\
& \prime \sim(c ; t)=\min _{y}^{\prime \prime}(y ; t) \quad y \quad c \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

In particular, ${ }^{\prime}(y=0 ; t)$ is the logarithm of the probability (divided by N ) that the rst branch has not been hit by any contradiction after a fraction $t$ of variables have been assigned. T he $m$ ost probable values of the densities $c_{j}(t)$ of $j$-clauses are then obtained from the partial derivatives of $(y ; t)$ in $y=0: c_{j}(t)=@{ }^{\prime}=@_{j}(y=0)$.

W e now present the partial di erential equations (PDE) obeyed by '. Two casesm ust be distinguished: the num ber $C_{1}$ of unit-clausesm ay be bounded ( $\left.C_{1}=O(1) ; C_{1}=O(1)\right)$, or of the order of the instance size $\left(C_{1}=(\mathbb{N}) ; C_{1}=(1)\right)$.

### 4.3. C ase $C_{1}=O(1):$ a large deviation analysis around Chao and Franco's result.

W hen D P LL starts running on a 3-SAT instance, very few unit-clauses are generated and splittings occur frequently. In other w ords, the probability that $C_{1}=0$ is strictly positive when $N$ gets large. C onsequently, both term s on the r.h.s. of (7) are of the sam e order, and we m ake the hypothesis that' does not depend on $y_{1}:{ }^{\prime}\left(y_{1} ; y_{2} ; y_{3} ; t\right)='\left(y_{2} ; \mathrm{y}_{3} ; \mathrm{t}\right)$. $T$ his hypothesis sim ply expresses that $\mathrm{c}_{1}=@^{\prime}=@_{y_{1}}$ identically vanishes.

Inserting expression (10) into the evolution equation (7), we nd ${ }^{1}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\varrho^{\prime}}{@ t}\left(\mathrm{y}_{2} ; \mathrm{y}_{3} ; \mathrm{t}\right)=\mathrm{y}_{2} & +2\left(\mathrm{y}_{2} ; \mathrm{y}_{2} ; \mathrm{t}\right) \frac{\varrho^{\prime}}{\varrho_{y_{2}}}\left(\mathrm{y}_{2} ; \mathrm{y}_{3} ; \mathrm{t}\right) \\
& +3\left(\mathrm{y}_{2} ; \mathrm{y}_{3} ; \mathrm{t}\right) \frac{\varrho^{\prime}}{\varrho_{y_{3}}}\left(\mathrm{y}_{2} ; \mathrm{y}_{3} ; \mathrm{t}\right) \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

where function is de ned through,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u ; v ; t)=\frac{1}{1} \frac{e^{\mathrm{v}}}{2}\left(1+e^{\mathrm{u}}\right) \quad 1 \quad: \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

PDE (13) togetherwith in itialcondition' $(y ; t=0)=0 y_{3}$ (where 0 is the ratio of clauses per variable of the 3-SAT instance) can be solved exactly $w$ th the resulting expression,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text {, }\left(y_{2} ; y_{3} ; t\right)=0 \ln 1+(1 \quad t)^{3} e^{y_{3}} \frac{3}{4} e^{y_{2}} \frac{1}{4}+\frac{3(1 \quad t)}{4}\left(e^{y_{2}}\right. \\
& +\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & t
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{Y}_{2} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{y}_{2}}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \text { t })\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{y}_{2} & 1
\end{array}\right) \ln \left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \text { t }
\end{array}\right), ~
\end{array}\right. \\
& \left(e^{\mathrm{y}_{2}}+\mathrm{t} \quad t e^{\mathrm{Y}_{2}}\right) \ln \left(e^{\mathrm{Y}_{2}}+\mathrm{t} \quad t e^{\mathrm{y}_{2}}\right) \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Chao and Franco's analysis of the GUC heuristic may be recovered when $\mathrm{y}_{2}=\mathrm{y}_{3}=0$ as expected. It is very easy to check that' $\left(\mathrm{y}_{2}=\right.$ $\left.0 ; y_{3}=0 ; t\right)=0$ (the probability of survival of the branch is not exponentially sm all in $N$ (Frieze and Suen, 1996)), and that the derivatives $c_{2}(t) ; c_{3}(t)$ of ${ }^{\prime}\left(y_{2} ; y_{3} ; t\right)$ w th respect to $y_{2}$ and $y_{3}$ coincide $w$ th the solutions of (1). In addition, our calculation provides also a com plete description of rare deviations of the resolution trajectory from its highly probable locus shown on $F$ igure 2. As a sim ple num erical exam ple, consider DPLL acting on a 3-SAT instance of ratio $0=3: 5$. Chao and Franco's analysis show s that, once e.g. $t=20 \%$ of variables have been assigned, the densities of 2 - and 3 -clauses are wh.p. equal to $\mathrm{C}_{2}{ }^{\prime} 0: 577$ and $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ ' 1:792 respectively. Expression (15) gives access to the exponentially sm all probabilities that $c_{2}$ and $c_{3}$ di er from their m ost probable values. For instance, choosing $\mathrm{y}_{2}=0: 1 ; \mathrm{y}_{3}=0: 05$, we nd from (15) and (12) that there is a probability $e^{0: 00567 \mathrm{~N}}$ that $c_{2}=0: 504$ and $c_{3}=1: 873$ for the sam e fraction $t=0: 2$ of elim inated

[^0]variables. By scanning all the values of $y_{2} ; y_{3}$ w e can obtain a com plete description of large deviations from C hao and Franco's result ${ }^{2}$.

The assum ption $C_{1}=O(1)$ breaks dow $n$ for the $m$ ost probable trajectory at som e fraction $t_{D}$ e.g. $t_{D}$ ' 0:308 for $0=3: 5$ atwhich the tra jectory hits point $D$ on $F$ igure 2. Beyond D , 1-clauses accum ulate and the probability of survivalof the rst branch is exponentially sm all in N .

### 4.4. Case $C_{1}=O(N)$ : passing through the "dangerous" region.

$W$ hen the num ber of unit-clauses becom es of the order of $N$, variables are as. assigned through unit-propagation. The rst term on the r.h.s. of equation (7) is now exponentially dom inant $w$ ith respect to the second one. The density of 1-clauses is strictly positive, and' depends on $\mathrm{Y}_{1} . W$ e then obtain the follow ing PDE,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\varrho^{\prime}}{\varrho t}\left(\mathrm{y}_{1} ; \mathrm{y}_{2} ; \mathrm{y}_{3} ; \mathrm{t}\right) & =\mathrm{y}_{1}+\left(1 ; \mathrm{y}_{1} ; \mathrm{t}\right) \frac{\varrho^{\prime}}{\varrho_{y_{1}}}\left(\mathrm{y}_{1} ; \mathrm{y}_{2} ; \mathrm{y}_{3} ; \mathrm{t}\right) \\
& +2\left(\mathrm{y}_{1} ; \mathrm{y}_{2} ; \mathrm{t}\right) \frac{\mathrm{Q}^{\prime}}{\varrho_{y_{2}}}\left(\mathrm{y}_{1} ; \mathrm{y}_{2} ; \mathrm{y}_{3} ; \mathrm{t}\right) \\
& +3\left(\mathrm{y}_{2} ; \mathrm{y}_{3} ; \mathrm{t}\right) \frac{\mathrm{Q}^{\prime}}{\mathrm{Q}_{3}}\left(\mathrm{y}_{1} ; \mathrm{y}_{2} ; \mathrm{y}_{3} ; \mathrm{t}\right) \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ ith (u;v;t) given by equation (14).W hen $y_{1}=y_{2}=y_{3}=0$, equation (16) sim pli es to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d z}{d t}(t)=\frac{c_{1}(t)}{2(1 \quad t)} \quad ; \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $c_{1}(t)$ is them ost probable value of the density of unit-clauses, and $z(t)$ is the logarithm of the probability that the branch has not encountered any contradiction (divided by N ). The interpretation of (17) is transparent. E ach tim e a literal is assigned through unit-propagation, there is a probability $\left.(1 \quad 1=2(\mathbb{N} \quad T))^{C_{1} 1}, e^{C_{1}=2=(1} \mathrm{t}\right)$ that no contradiction occurs. Therh.s. of (17) thus corresponds to the rate of decay of $z w$ th "tim e" $t$.

W e have not been able to solve analytically PDE (16), and have resorted to an expansion of' in pow ens ofy. To $k^{\text {th }}$ order, we approxim ate the solution of (16) by a polynom ial of total degree $k$,
${ }^{2}$ Though we are not concemed here w ith subexponential (in N ) corrections to probabilities, let us m ention that it is also possible to calculate the probability of split ( $C_{1}=0$ ) per unit of tim e, extending Frieze and Suen's result (1996) to y 0 .

Table I. Results at di erent orders $k$ of approxim ation for $0=3: 5:$ logarithm of the probability that the rstbranch is not $h i t$ by any contradiction, $m$ axim al density $\left(c_{1}\right)_{m}$ ax of unit-clauses ever reached, fraction ofelim inated variables $t_{D} 0$ and coordinates $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{D}} 0$; $\mathrm{D}^{0}$ at point $\mathrm{D}^{0}$ i.e. when the num ber ofunit-clauses ceases to be $O(N)$, com plexity ratio $=Q=N$ of the corresponding linear resolution.

| order |  | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)_{\mathrm{max}}$ | $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{0}$ | $\mathrm{D}^{0}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | .0384 | .0502 | .8878 | .0804 | .5477 | .1720 |
| 2 | .0036 | .0121 | .6553 | 2707 | 1.575 | .1990 |
| 3 | .0098 | .0227 | .7495 | .1901 | 1201 | 2069 |
| 4 | .0098 | .0226 | .7483 | .1911 | 1.206 | 2069 |

and insert (18) on the r.h.s. of (16).W e collect on the lh s. the term s of degrees $k$ and obtain a set of $N_{k}=(k+3)(k+2)(k+1)=6$ rst order
 (18). This approxim ation gets better and better as k increases at a cost of $m$ ore and $m$ ore coupled ODEs to be solved. T he in itial conditions for these OD E s are chosen to $m$ atch the expansion of the exact solution (15) at time to .

At the low est order ( $k=1$ ), we nd a set of four coupled equations for $z^{(1)}(t) \quad, \quad{ }_{0 ; 0 ; 0}^{(1)}(t) ; c_{1}^{(1)}$ ( $t$ ) $\quad,{ }_{1 ; 0 ; 0}^{(1)}(t) ; c_{2}^{(1)}(t) \quad,{ }_{0 ; 1 ; 0}^{(1)}(t) ; c_{3}^{(1)}(t)$ ${ }^{1}{ }_{0 ; 0 ; 1}^{(1)}(t)$ that read

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d c_{1}^{(1)}(t)}{d t}=\frac{c_{1}^{(1)}(t)}{2(1 \quad t)}+\frac{c_{2}^{(1)}(t)}{1 t} \\
& \frac{d c_{2}^{(1)}(t)}{d t}=\frac{2 c_{2}^{(1)}(t)}{1 t}+\frac{3 c_{3}^{(1)}(t)}{2(1 \quad t)} \\
& \frac{d c_{3}^{(1)}(t)}{d t}=\frac{3 c_{3}^{(1)}(t)}{1 t} \\
& \frac{d z^{(1)}(t)}{d t}=\frac{c_{1}^{(1)}(t)}{2(1 \quad t)} ; \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

together $w$ ith the initial conditions $C_{1}^{(1)}\left(t_{D}\right)=z^{(1)}\left(t_{D}\right)=0 ; C_{2}^{(1)}\left(t_{D}\right)=$ $1 t_{D} ; C_{2}^{(1)}\left(t_{D}\right)=0\left(1 \quad t_{D}\right)^{3}, w$ th $t_{D}$ uniquely determ ined from 0 . The solution of (19) for $0=3: 5$ show that $c_{1}$ rst increases and reaches its top value $\left(c_{1}^{(1)}\right)_{\mathrm{m} \text { ax }}$, 0:05. It then decreases and vanishes at $t_{D}^{(1)}$, $0: 89$, where the tra jectory exits the "dangerous" region w here


F igure 7. Exponent of the linear resolution probability (sim ulations: lled squares, theory: full line), and exponent ! of the typical com plexity (sim ulations: em pty circles, theory from (C occo, M onasson 2001b): dotted line), as a function of the clause per variable ratio .
contradictions occurs wh.p. (Figure 2). The probability of this event scales as $2^{N(1)}$ for large $N$, with ${ }^{(1)}=z^{(1)}\left(t_{D}^{(1)}\right)=\ln 2^{\prime} 0: 038$. The end of the resolution tra jectory obeys C hao and Franco's equations (1).

Results im prove when going to higher orders in $k$, see Table I. N o sensible di erence can be found betw een $k=3$ and $k=4$ results. The calculated values of $\quad 0: 01$; $\left(\mathrm{a}_{1}\right)_{\mathrm{m} \text { ax }}{ }^{\prime} 0: 022$ and $\quad 0: 21$ are in very good agreem ent w ith the num erical experim ents of Section III.

W e report on $F$ igure 7 the experim ental and theoretical values of found over the whole range $\mathrm{L} \quad 0 \quad \mathrm{c} \cdot \mathrm{N}$ ote the very good agree$m$ ent betw een our quantitative theory and sim ulations, which supports the scaling hypothesis $m$ ade above.

## 5. C onclusions.

In this w ork, we have studied deviations from the typical (i.e. occurring wh.p.) solving com plexity of satis able random 3-SAT instances using DPLL algorithm with a simple splitting heuristic (G UC) (C hao and Franco, 1986; Chao and Franco, 1990). For ratios of clauses per variable in the range $\mathrm{L}=3: 003 \ll \mathrm{c}$, com plexity grow s alm ost surely exponentially w ith the size N of the instance, but resolution $m$ ay very rarely (i.e. $w$ th an exponentially sm allprobability) require a polynom ial (linear) com putationale ort only. T hese linear resolutions correspond to search tree reducing to a single branch essentially ( $F$ igure 1A ), and can be visualized as tra jectories that cross the unsat phase of the $F$ igure 2 diagram w thout being stopped by any contradiction. O ur approach allow ed us to calculate the large deviations from typical resolutions, and the exponent of the probability Pr 2 N of linear resolutions. O ur theoretical calculation predicts for instance that the exponent corresponding to random 3-SAT instancesw ith ratio $=3: 5$ equals $\quad 0: 01$, in very good agreem ent $w$ th the values extrapolated from the histogram of resolution tim e on di erent instances (instance-to-instance distribution of com plexities) and the value extrapolated from the number of restarts necessary to solve one random instance (Inset of $F$ igure 4).

The computational e ort to nd a solution with the system atic restart procedure, $N$ rest $\quad 1=P_{\text {lin }} \quad 2^{\mathrm{N}}$ tums out to be exponentially sm aller than the typical tim e to nd a solution $2^{\mathrm{N}}$ ! w thout restart (e.g.! = 0:035 for $=3: 5$ ). 0 ur calculation gives thus som e theoretical support to the use of restart-like procedures (see also (M ontanari and Zecchina, 2002) for recent theoretical results), em pirically known to speed up considerably resolutions(D ubois et al, 1993; G om es et al, 2000). To be m ore concrete, while, w thout restarts, we were able to solve w th D P LL algorithm instances w th 500 variables in about one day ofCPU (for $=3: 5$ ), the restart procedure allow s to solve instances w ith 1000 variables in 15 m inutes w th the sam e com puter and splitting heuristic (G U C).

T he present w ork suggests that the cut-o tim e, at which the search is halted and restarted, need not be precisely tuned but is sim ply given by the size of the instance. This conclusion could be generic and apply to other com binatorial decision problem s and other heuristics. M ore precisely, if a com binatorialproblem adm its som ee cient (polynom ial) search heuristic for som e values of control param eter (e.g. the ratio
here, or the average adjacency degree for the coloring problem of random graphs), therem ight be an exponentially sm allprobability that the heuristic is still successfill (in polynom ialtim e) in the range of pa-
ram eters w here resolution alm ost surely requires m assive backtracking and exponential e ort. W hen the decay rate of the polynom ial tim e resolution probability is sm aller than the grow th rate ! of the typical exponential resolution tim e, stop-and-restart procedures w ith a cut-o in the search equal to a polynom ial of the instance size will lead to an exponential speed up of resolutions.

It would be interesting to extend the previous approach to m ore sophisticated and powerfiul search e.g. satz of cha heuristics. It is how ever not clear how a full analytical study could be worked out w thout resorting to approxim ate expressions for the transition m atrix. A nother natural extension of the present work would be to focus on other decisions problem s e.g. graph coloring for which the high probability behaviour of sim ple heuristics is well understood (A chlioptas and M olloy, 1997).
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ PDE (13) is correct in the $m$ a jor part of the $y_{1} ; y_{2} ; y_{3}$ space and, in particular, in the vicinity ofy $=0$ we focus on in this paper. It has how ever to be to m odi ed in a sm all region of the $\mathrm{y}_{1} ; \mathrm{y}_{2} ; \mathrm{y}_{3}$ space; a com plete analysis of th is case is not reported here but $m$ ay be easily reconstructed along the lines of A ppendix A in (C occo and M onasson, 2001b).

