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## A bstract


#### Abstract

We analyze the gaps in the excitation spectrum of a H aldane chain with single-ion anisotropy in a staggered eld. W e show that the gap along the direction of the eld increases at a faster rate than the others, while its spectral weight decreases, being transferred to a two-m agnon continuum .


In recent tim es the preparation of a new class of $m$ agnetic $m$ aterials of the general form ula ( $\left.\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{Y}_{1} \times\right)_{2} \mathrm{BaN} \mathrm{O}_{5}$, where R is one of the m agnetic rare-earth ions, has arisen a new theoretical interest for the study of integer-spin $H$ aldane chains in a staggered m agnetic eld. The reference com pound $\mathrm{Y}_{2} \mathrm{BaN}$ in 5 [1] is one of the best exam ples of a $m$ agnetic $m$ aterial $w$ ith a singlet ground state and a spin gap which has been intenpreted in the fram ew ork of the $H$ aldane con jecture [1], according to which integer-spin chains have disordered ground state separated by a gap from a degenerate triplet of excitations. In all these m aterials $\left[\frac{3}{3}\right]$, the N i ions are arranged along linear chains (the a-axis of their orthorhom bic structure) with a relatively large in-chain antiferrom agnetic coupling ( $\mathrm{J} \quad 300 \mathrm{~K}$ ) and negligible inter-chain coupling. W hen partially or totally substituted to the yttrium, the $m$ agnetic $R$ ions get positioned betw een neighboring Ni chains and are weakly coupled to them. Below a certain N eel tem perature $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{N}}$ (typically: $16 \mathrm{~K} . \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{N}} .80 \mathrm{~K}$ ) they order antiferrom agnetically. $T$ his has the ect of im posing an e ective staggered (and com $m$ ensurate)
eld on the N i chains, whose intensity can be indirectly controlled by varying the tem perature below $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{N}}$. A phenom enological approach to the study of these com pounds has been rst presented in till, where the authors propose a sim ple $m$ ean eld $m$ odel, in which the bare $m$ agnetization curve for the $N$ isublattice is assum ed in the form of the staggered $m$ agnetization of a single $S=1$ spin chain,
$w$ th the e ective staggered eld proportional to the magnetization of the $R$ ions. The so obtained $m$ agnetization curve as function of the $m$ agnetic eld is in good agreem ent $w$ ith the experim ental data.

The staggered eld is expected to partially lift the degeneracy of the H aldane triplet, leading to di erent spin gaps in the longitudinal (i.e. parallel to the eld) and transverse channels. Surprisingly, up to our know ledge there is only one experim ent $\mathrm{N} \mathrm{d}_{2} \mathrm{~B}$ aN io 5 crystals, which yields a clear evidence for the longitudinal m ode. In this experim ent it is found that at $T>T_{N}=48 \mathrm{~K}$ the value as well as the intensity of the transverse and the longitudinalm odes are practically equal, as in the case of an isolated $H$ aldane chain. To be precise, the resolution of the equipm ent is high enough to resolve tw o slightly di erent peaks in the spectral density, resulting from a very weak single-ion anisotropy along the chain. Below $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{N}}$, the two excitations clearly separate, w th both gaps increasing as the tem perature is low ered (the e ective staggered eld is increased), but w ith two di erent rates. N ot only the longitudinal gap has a much slow er increase but also its weight seem $s$ to be suppressed below $T_{N}$, contrary to what happens to the transverse $m$ ode whose weight is not a ected by the $m$ agnetic order.

A theoretical approach to isotropic integer-spin chains in a staggered m agnetic eld has been rst considered in [b్bl, starting from the fam iliar $m$ apping [2-1' grangian, that describes the continuum lim it, low -energy physics of the $H$ eisenberg chain. W hat the authors discuss very accurately is actually a related and som ew hat $m$ ore phenom enologicalm odel in which the strict $N L M$ constraint is softened, then replacing the original NL M w ith a theory of the G inzburgLandau type param etrized by an adequate set of adjustable param eters. Som e recent very accurate num ericalstudies [8] Renorm alization $G$ roup ( $D$ M RG) analysis ofan $S=1$ Heisenberg chain in a staggered eld, have revealed a signi cant discrepancy w th the above mentioned theoreticalapproach, from which it di ers in the high eld regim e and especially as far the longitudinal channel concems. This has led also the authors of ref. [8],] to question the validity of the NL M approach.
 rate treatm ent of the NL M does indeed lead to an excellent agreem ent betw een the analytical and theDM RG results. W ew ill review this treatm ent in som e detailbelow, while applying it to the case in which the H am iltonian contains also a single-ion anisotropy term. Let us now recall only its m ain features. In this approach the NL M constraint is not softened, but enforoed consistently at each level of approxim ation. In the theory there is then just a single free param eter, which is assum ed to be the (zero- eld) H aldane gap and taken from the DM RG data. A lso, a consistent schem e of calculation of the propagators and their analytical structure is developed, which show s rem arkable di erences betw een the physical properties of the transverse and the longitudinal channels, already at the tree-level of a loop expansion. A s expected, the transverse propagators has only two sim ple poles so that the theory is purely bosonic, with the spec-
tralw eight com pletely exhausted by this m agnon excitation. A s a consequence, the transverse gap $T$ coincides with the H aldane gap. On the contrary, the longitudinal propagator has a m uch richer structure. T he dynam ical structure factor has again tw o well-de ned poles corresponding to single-particle excitations. H ow ever they are now weighted by a prefactor which steadily decreases as the staggered eld is increased. T he spectral weight which is lost from the poles gets transferred to a multi-particle continuum, which starts from a two$m$ agnon threshold ! > 2 т. Thuswe are no longer in the range of applicability of the so-called single m ode approxim ation (SM A) which establishes a relation between the (longitudinal) susceptibility and the gap . O nce the continuum is taken into account, the agreem ent betw een the NL M and the DM RG results becom e rem arkable. Let us nally $m$ ention that this theoretical scenario has
 which were not well described by the NL M on isolated spin chains.
$K$ eeping in $m$ ind the structure of the $\left(R_{x} Y_{1 \times}\right)_{2} B a N$ io 5 compounds, our $m$ icroscopic starting point is the H am iltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
H={ }_{i}^{X} J S_{i} S_{i+1}+K\left(S_{i}^{z}\right)^{2} \quad(1)_{a H} S_{i}^{\circ} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

that describes an antiferrom agnetic $S=1 \mathrm{H}$ eisenberg chain with a single-ion anisotropy tem along the chain $z$-axis, in a staggered $m$ agnetic eld. W e assum e K $J$ and at the end we w illdistinguish betw een the cases in which the eld is parallel and perpendicular to the anisotropy axis.

W e start analyzing this m odel by m apping the H am iltonian (직) onto a $N L M$, by $m$ aking the well known $H$ aldane ansatz $S_{i}=S{ }_{i}{ }^{W}$ ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\wedge}_{i}=(1)^{i} \hat{n}_{i} \overline{1} \frac{l^{2}}{S^{2}}+\frac{I_{i}}{S} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\hat{\mathrm{n}}_{\mathrm{i}}$ representing the slow ly-varying localstaggered $m$ agnetization and $\mathrm{I}_{i}$ the local generator of angularm om entum. In the low-energy continuum lim it, the model can be described e ectively by the action
where we have set $x=(; x),{ }^{R} d x={ }_{R_{L}}{ }_{0}{ }^{R}{ }^{R}{ }_{0} d$ and the constants $c ; g ; R$ are xed by the $m$ icroscopic param eters via the relations $\mathrm{c}=2 \mathrm{JSa}, \mathrm{g}=\frac{2}{\mathrm{~S}}$ and $R=\frac{K^{2}}{a}$.
$W e^{a}$ can now im pose the unitary constraint $j^{g}(x) f=1$ w ith the aid of a Lagrangem ultiplier eld (x) by w riting the partition function $Z=T$ rfexp [ $\quad \mathrm{H}] g$ as the path-integral

The isotropic case $\mathrm{R}=0$ has already been considered in ref. [g], to which we refer for all the details of the calculations, that can be easily transposed to the case we are interested here. In this letter we willoutline only the m ain steps that lead to the calculations of the physical quantities.

First we need to prom ote $Z$ to a generating functional $Z[J]$ by replacing $S_{\text {eff }}$ With

$$
S[\mathcal{J}]=^{Z} \mathrm{dxfL} \quad S \mathcal{J}(x) \quad \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{x})+\mathrm{R} \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{g}}(\mathrm{x})^{2}{ }^{2} \mathcal{C} j
$$

and setting $\mathcal{J}(x)=H$ only at the end of the calculations. $S$ [ $\mathcal{J}$ ] being quadratic, we can proceed to integrate out the elds in ( $x$ ) obtaining

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathrm{Z}[\mathcal{J}] /{ }^{\mathrm{Z}} \frac{\mathrm{D}}{2}\right] \exp \left(\mathrm{S}\left[\tilde{\sim} \tilde{J}^{\top}\right)\right. \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{aligned}
S[; \mathcal{J}] & =\operatorname{Trfln}\left(G_{11}^{1}\right) g+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trfln}\left(G_{11}^{1}+R\right) g \\
& +i d x(x) \frac{1}{2} S^{2} d x d x \mathcal{V}^{0}(x) \quad G\left(x ; x \mathcal{J}\left(x^{0}\right)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\operatorname{Tr}\left[A\left(x ; x^{0}\right)\right]$ stands for ${ }^{R} d x A(x ; x)$. W e have denoted w th $G\left(x ; x^{0}\right)$ the 33 m atrix operator whose inverse $\mathrm{G}^{1}\left(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{x}^{0}\right)$ has com ponents $\mathrm{G}^{1}\left(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{x}^{0}\right)$ (for ; $=1 ; 2$;3) given by

$$
G^{1}\left(x ; x^{0}\right)=\frac{}{g c}\left[c^{2} @_{x}^{2}+@^{2}+2 \operatorname{igc}(x) \quad \operatorname{gcR}{ }^{3}\right]\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x & \ell \tag{9}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Finally we resort to a saddle-point approxim ation to im plem ent the constraint by xing $(x)$ to its $m$ ean eld value $(x ; \mathcal{J})$ through the equation S $[; \sim]=(x)=0$ which reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=2 G_{11}(x ; x)+\frac{1}{G_{11}^{1}(x ; x)+R}+S^{2} \quad d y d y{ }^{0} \mathcal{J}(y) \quad G(y ; x) G(x ; \rho) \mathcal{J}\left(y^{0}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this approxim ation, we can calculate the connected com ponents of the propagators as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{c}\left(x ; x^{0}\right) \quad \frac{{ }^{2} S[; \tau]}{J(x) J\left(x^{0}\right)} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are therefore given by

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{c}\left(x ; x^{0}\right) & =\frac{S^{2}}{2}\left[G\left(x ; x^{0}\right)+G \quad\left(x^{0} ; x\right)\right]+i S^{2} d y d y^{0}\left[G(x ; y) G\left(y ; y^{0}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+G\left(y^{0} ; y\right) G(y ; x)\right] J(y) \frac{\left(y^{0}\right)}{J(x)} \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

The functional derivative $\quad\left(y^{0}\right)=J(x)$ in the right hand side is im plicitly determ ined by the integralequation

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z^{Z} d y H(x ; y) i \frac{(y)}{J\left(x^{0}\right)}=2 S^{2} G\left(x ; x^{0}\right)^{Z} d y G \quad(x ; y) J \quad(y) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th
$H\left(x ; x^{0}\right)=\frac{{ }^{2} S}{Z^{(x)}(\mathrm{X})} J ;=\quad 4\left(x ; x^{0}\right)+\frac{2 G_{11}^{1}(x ; x)\left(x ; x^{0}\right) G_{11}^{1}(x ; x)}{\left(R+G_{11}^{1}(x ; x)\right)^{2}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+4 S^{2} \quad d y d y y^{0} \mathcal{J}(y) G\left(y ; x^{0}\right) G\left(x^{0} ; x\right) G\left(x ; y^{0}\right) \mathcal{J}\left(y^{0}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(x ; x^{0}\right)$ is the polarization bubble $\left(x ; x^{0}\right) \quad G_{11}\left(x ; x^{0}\right) G_{11}\left(x ; x^{0}\right)$.
At the physical point $J=H$, one nds that the eld is constant. Setting then $c^{2}{ }^{2}=2$ igc the follow ing results can be found.

G oing to Fourier transform in $q=(q ; n=2 n=)$, the sum $s$ over the frequency can be exactly perform ed while, in the continuum lim it, it is necessary to introduce a cut-o in $m$ om entum space. The saddle point condition ( then reads

$$
\begin{align*}
1 & =\underline{g}^{Z} d q \frac{1}{p} \frac{p}{q^{2}+{ }^{2}} \operatorname{coth}\left(\frac{1}{2}{ }_{c}^{p} \overline{q^{2}+{ }^{2}}\right)  \tag{15}\\
& +\frac{1}{2} \frac{\operatorname{coth}\left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{C^{2}}{\left.q^{2}+{ }^{2}+t\right)}\right.}{q^{2}+{ }^{2}+t} \\
& +S^{2}\left(H_{x}^{2}+H_{y}^{2}\right) \frac{{ }^{4} g^{2}}{c^{2}}+S^{2} H_{z}^{2} \frac{g^{2}}{c^{2}\left(t+{ }^{2}\right)^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

w th $\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{Rg} \mathrm{g}=\mathrm{C}$. A s in [ [d], we have chosen to x the only free param eter of our theory, the cut-o , by requiring the correlation length ${ }^{2}$ to coincide at $\mathrm{F}=0 \mathrm{w}$ th the num erical result that can be obtained through a Density $M$ atrix Renorm alization $G$ roup analysis [12 $\overline{1}]$ of the original $H$ am iltonian (11) at zero eld.

Then the $m$ agnetization can be easily calculated through the form ula

$$
\mathrm{me}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~L}} \frac{\mathrm{~S}}{\mathrm{H}}=\frac{g S^{2}}{\mathrm{C}} @ \begin{gather*}
0  \tag{16}\\
\frac{1}{{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{x}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{y}}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{z}}
\end{gathered} \begin{gathered}
1 \\
A
\end{gather*}
$$

A lso, assum ing translational invariance, eq. (14¹) can be solved by going to Fourier transform to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{P}(\mathrm{q})=4^{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{q})+2 \frac{\mathrm{G}_{11}^{1}(0)^{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{q}) \mathrm{G}_{11}^{1}(0)}{\left(\mathrm{R}+\mathrm{G}_{11}^{1}(0)\right)^{2}}+\frac{4}{\mathrm{~S}^{2} \mathrm{mt}} \mathcal{G}(\mathrm{q}) \mathrm{mt} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The latter form ula can be now inserted in ( $\left.\overline{\left(1 \frac{3}{3}\right.} \mathbf{- 1}\right)$ in order to calculate the Fourier com ponents of the propagators (12 ${ }_{2}^{\prime}$ ).

1) Let us rst exam ine the case in which the applied staggered eld is parallel to the anisotropy axis: $\tilde{H}=H \hat{z}$. In this case $m_{x}=m_{y}=0$, while $m_{z}$ as a function of $H$ is obtained from (1]).

O ne nds that the transverse susceptibility ${ }^{T}=x^{T}=y_{\text {is given by }}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\frac{m}{H}=\frac{g S^{2}}{c} \frac{1}{t+{ }^{2}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{e}_{c}^{T}(q)=S^{2} \mathcal{E}_{11}(q) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{G}_{11}(q)=\frac{g c}{\left[{ }_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}+c^{2}\left(\mathrm{q}^{2}+{ }^{2}\right)\right]} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, when apalytically continued to the real axis, has simple poles at ! =
$c$ (q), (q) $\overline{q^{2}+{ }^{2}}$. $T$ hus the spectralw eight function is sim ply given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Im} \mathcal{G}_{c}^{T}(q ;!)=\frac{\mathrm{gaS}^{2}}{2(q)} f \quad(!\quad(q)) \quad(!+\quad(q)) g \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and has therofore the structure required for the applicability of the SM A [13]. In this approxim ation, ${ }^{T}=S g c=\binom{2}{T}$ w ith the transverse gap coinciding $w$ ith the H aldane gap:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{T}}=0=\mathrm{C}^{1} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

O n the contrary, the longitudinalsusceptibility ${ }^{L}=\quad z_{\text {h }}$ has to be calculated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}=\frac{\mathrm{dm}}{d H}=\mathrm{T} 1+2 \mathrm{H} \frac{3}{\mathrm{t}+2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{dH}} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith $\frac{d}{d H}$ obtained from eq. (1] $\left.\overline{1}_{1}^{-1}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d H} & =\frac{2 H S^{2} g^{2}}{c^{2}\left(t+{ }^{2}\right)^{2}} \frac{g}{1+()^{2}}  \tag{24}\\
& +\frac{g}{2} \frac{3}{\left({ }^{2}+t\right)\left({ }^{2}+2^{2}+t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}+\frac{4 S^{2} g^{2} H^{2}}{c^{2}} \frac{3}{\left(t+{ }^{2}\right)^{3}}
\end{align*}
$$

In this case, the longitudinal propagator $\mathbb{P}^{\mathrm{L}}=\mathrm{P}^{z z}$ is instead given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}_{c}^{L}(q)=\frac{S^{2}\left(2+W^{2}\right) \mathcal{E}_{33}(q)^{e}(q)}{\left(2+W^{2}\right)^{e}(q)+\frac{2 m^{2}}{S^{2}} \mathcal{E}_{33}(q)} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{G}_{33}(q) & \frac{g c}{\left[{ }_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}+\mathrm{c}^{2}\left(\mathrm{q}^{2}+{ }^{2}+\mathrm{t}\right)\right]} \\
\mathrm{W}^{2} & \frac{\mathrm{G}_{11}^{2}(0)}{\left(\mathrm{R}+\mathrm{G}_{11}^{1}(0)\right)^{2}} \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

Follow ing $[\underline{[d]}]$, we can perform an analytic continuation in the variable ! (! ! $z=!+i$ ) and de ne:

$$
\begin{align*}
& G(z ; q)= \frac{\mathcal{G}_{c}^{L}(q ; n)}{g S^{2}} ; \quad(z ; q)=\frac{\left(2+W^{2}\right)^{e}\left(q ;{ }_{n}\right)}{2 g c}  \tag{27}\\
&=\frac{m^{2}}{S^{2}} ; \quad(q)=p \frac{c^{2}\left(q^{2}+2^{2}+t\right)}{}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus we are led to analyze the structure of the fiunction (om itting the speci cation of the dependence of the label q)

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(z)=\frac{(z)}{(z)\left("^{2} z^{2}\right)+} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0 \quad 1$ and " $22_{0}$.
G oing to the realaxis from above ( ! $0^{+}$), a long but standard calculation show sthat, for $j!j<20$, the im aginary part of $G(z)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Im} G(!)={\overline{2 "_{L}}}^{f}(!\quad L) \quad\left(!+_{\mathrm{L}}\right) g \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the longitudinal propagators has sim ple poles on the real axis at the points ! $=\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{w}$ ith $\mathrm{L} \quad$ xed by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{\mathrm{L}}{2}=\boldsymbol{\prime \prime}(\mathrm{q})^{2}+\frac{}{1(\underset{\mathrm{~L}}{2})} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(z)=i_{1}(z)+i_{2}(z)$. The prefactor in eq. $2(\underline{i})$ gives the reduction of the quasiparticle $w$ eight $w$ th respect to the pure bosonic case and is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\quad 1+\frac{d_{1}^{2}\left(!^{2}\right)}{d_{1}\left(!^{2}\right)} \underset{d!^{2}}{!={ }_{L}} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coe cient is steadily decreasing with increasing $H$, going to the value 1 quadratically for H ! 0 .

In the range j! j> 20 , one instead nds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Im~G}(!)=\frac{2(!)}{\left("^{2} \quad!^{2}\right)^{2}(2(!))^{2}+\left(+\left({ }^{(R} \quad!^{2}\right)_{1}(!)\right)^{2}} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

AsH! 0, Im G (!) vanishes and we recover the sim ple pole structure for both the longitudinal and the transverse propagators. T he longitudinalpole w ill also


Figure 1: The longitudinal gap $L$ (solid line) and the transverse gap $T$ (dashed line) as a function of $\hat{H}=H \hat{z}$ for (a) $K=0: 02$ and (b) $K=+0: 02$.
survive up to saturation, but with a strongly eld-dependent strength: as the eld increases the spectral weight that is lost from the pole gets transferred to


$$
\mathrm{Z}^{1} \underline{\mathrm{~d}!}!\operatorname{Im} G(!)=1
$$

1
A $s$ in the transverse case, we can de ne the longitudinalgap L via $\mathrm{L}=$ L $(q=0)$, thus obtaining
w ith

D ue to the presence in the H am iltonian (1]) of the single-ion anisotropy term, the longitudinal and the transverse gaps do not coincide even at $\tilde{H}=0$, the form er being larger (sm aller) than the latter for $\mathrm{K}>0(\mathrm{~K}<0)$. The case $K=0: 02$ and $K=+0: 02$ are show $n$ in $F$ ig. 1 (a) and $F$ ig. 1 (b) respectively, where we give $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{z}$ and $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{y}$ as function of the m agnetic eld.

W e notice that for positive $K$ the longitudinalgap is alw ays higher than the transverse one. On the contrary, for negative $K$, the longitudinal gap is low er than the transverse one at zero eld. As a function of $H$ the form er increases at a faster rate than the latter, thus resulting in a level crossing at H 0:075,
as it is show n in the inset of F ig. 1 (a).
2) N ow we exam ine the case in which the applied staggered eld is penpendicular to the anisotropy axis: $\tilde{H}=\mathrm{H} \hat{\mathbf{x}} . \mathrm{W}$ e concentrate our attention on the structure of the propagators, which now are all di erent:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{E}_{\mathrm{C}}^{\mathrm{xx}}(\mathrm{q})=\frac{\mathrm{S}^{2}\left(2+\mathrm{W}^{2}\right) \mathcal{E}_{11}(\mathrm{q})^{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{q})}{\left(2+\mathrm{W}^{2}\right)^{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{q})+\frac{2 \mathrm{~m}^{2}}{\mathrm{~S}^{2}} \mathcal{E}_{11}(\mathrm{q})}  \tag{36}\\
& \mathbb{E}_{\mathrm{C}}^{\mathrm{Yy}}(\mathrm{q})=\mathrm{S}^{2} \mathcal{G}_{11}(\mathrm{q})  \tag{37}\\
& \mathbb{P}_{\mathrm{C}}^{\mathrm{Zz}}(\mathrm{q})=\mathrm{S}^{2} \mathcal{E}_{33}(\mathrm{q}) \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{11}(q), \mathcal{E}_{33}(q)$ and $W$ are given in $(2 \bar{O})$ and $(\underline{2} \bar{G})$.
For the transverse channels $y ; z$ one im $m$ ediately sees that the propagators are again those of a free bosonic theory to which one can apply the SM A. T hus the gaps are sim ply given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& y=q \frac{0=c^{1}}{y_{0}^{2}+c^{2} t} \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

A s before, the SM A is no longer applicable in the longitudinal x-direction. We nd:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{x}{2}={ }_{y}^{2}+\frac{}{1(0 ; x)} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
1(0 ; \quad x)=\frac{g\left(2+W^{2}\right)^{Z}}{4}{ }_{2}+\frac{d!}{p \frac{1}{!^{2} 4_{0}^{2}} \frac{1}{!^{2}{ }_{x}^{2}}} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

For negative $K$, we nd an initial splitting between a lower gap in the $z$ direction and a higher one in the x;y directions. A s the eld is tumed on along the $x$-axis, we nd a further splitting of $x$ and $y$, w ith the form er increasing faster. $T$ his is show $n$ in $F$ ig 2 (a). On the contrary, for positive $K$ the splitting at zero eld is between a low er gap in the x;y directions and a higher one in the z direction (Fig 2 (b)). A s before, the gap $x$ increases faster than $y$ and $z$ when the eld is tumed on along the $x$-axis. At H 0:06 there is a crossing of levelsw th the gap $x$ becom ing larger than $z$ as show $n$ in the inset ofF ig 2 (b). In both cases, tow ards saturation the longitudinalgap x goes to a value about tw ice that of the transverse $m$ odes $y$, $z$, which becom e approxim ately equal. In addition, though surviving up to saturation, the longitudinal m ode has a strongly eld-dependent strength: as the eld increases the spectralw eight that is lost from the pole gets transferred to the tworm agnon continuum .

In conclusion, we have analyzed the e ect of a staggered magnetic eld on a $S=1$ Haldane chain $w$ ith single-ion anisotropy. W e have found that the zero- eld splitting of the H aldane triplet due to the anisotropy term gets


Figure 2: The gaps $x$ (dashed line), $y$ (dotted line) and $z$ (solid line) as a function of $\hat{H}=H \hat{x}$ for (a) $K=0: 02$ and (b) $K=+0: 02$.
enhanced by the presence of the eld. In addition, as the eld is increased, the longitudinal $m$ ode has a spectral weight that decreases quite fast and is transferred to a continuum, which has a two-m agnon threshold. W e rem ark that this seem $s$ to be consistent $w$ ith recent neutron scattering experim ents on quasi-one-dim ensional com pounds $\left[{ }_{\underline{5}} 1 \mathbf{1}\right]$.
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