# Ferrom agnetism and interlayer exchange coupling in short period (G a,M n)A s/G aA s superlattices

R.Mathieu and P.Svedlindh

Department of Materials Science, Uppsala University, Box 534, SE-751 21 Uppsala, Sweden

J. Sadowski

N iels Bohr Institute, Copenhagen University, DK-2100 Copenhagen, Denmark Chalmers University of Technology, SE-412 96 Goteborg, Sweden Institute of Physics Polish Academy of Sciences, PL-02668 W arszawa, Poland

K . Sw iatek

Institute of Physics Polish Academy of Sciences, PL-02668 W arszawa, Poland

M. Karlsteen, J. Kanski, and L. Ilver

D epartm ent of Experim ental P hysics, C halm ers U niversity of Technology and G oteborg U niversity, SE -412 96 G oteborg, Sweden (D ated: A pril 14, 2024)

M agnetic properties of (G a,M n)A s/G aA s superlattices are investigated. The structures contain m agnetic (G a,M n)A s layers, separated by thin layers of non-m agnetic G aA s spacer. The short period G  $a_{0:93}$ M  $n_{0:07}$ A s/G aA s superlattices exhibit a param agnetic-to-ferrom agnetic phase transition close to 60K, for thicknesses of (G a,M n)A s down to 23 A. For G  $a_{0:96}$ M  $n_{0:04}$ A s/G aA s superlattices of sim ilar dimensions, the C urie tem perature associated with the ferrom agnetic transition is found to oscillate with the thickness of non m agnetic spacer. The observed oscillations are related to an interlayer exchange interaction m ediated by the polarized holes of the (G a,M n)A s layers.

I. IN TRODUCTION

The recent discovery of carrier mediated ferrom agnetism diluted magnetic sem iconductors (DMS) such as  $(In_{M} n)As^{1/2}$  and  $(Ga_{M} n)As^{3/4}$  has due to potential applications in magnetic memories, spin injection and quantum computing devices generated intense interest. In this context low dimensional structures are particularly interesting and superlattices (SL) of thin ferrom agnetic sem iconductors layers separated by non-magnetic spacers are presently in focus. In previous studies of superlattices with (Ga,Mn)As as the magnetic layer and (AlGa)As or (In,Ga)As as non-magnetic spacers5,6, the ferrom agnetic properties were lost decreasing the thickness of the magnetic layer below 50 A. Also, a recent Monte Carlo simulation study of the magnetic order resulting from the indirect exchange interaction between magnetic moment in a A lA s/ (G a,M n)A s quantum well, obtained a lower lim it for the width of the quantum well below which no ferrom agnetism was observed.7,8.

Recently, ferrom agnetic ordering was detected in A lAs/(G a,M n)As heterostructures<sup>9</sup> containing (G a,M n)As layers thinner than 50 A. In the present investigation, we observe 1) a param agnetic-to-ferrom agnetic phase transition for (G a,M n)As/G aAs superlattices with a thickness of (G a,M n)As as sm all as 23 A [i.e. 8 m olecular layers (M L)] and 2) an oscillatory interlayer exchange interaction in G a<sub>0.96</sub>M n<sub>0.04</sub>As/G aAs superlattices with varying thickness of G aAs spacer.

#### II. EXPERIMENTAL

superlattices Τwο sets of of the type  $(Ga_{M}n)As_{(nML)}/GaAs_{(mML)}$  were produced by Molecular beam epitaxy (MBE). Set A is composed of SL with (Ga,Mn)As layers containing 7 % of Mn, and n = 8,10,12M L/m = 4M L (denoted as 8/4, 10/4 and 12/4), as well a structure with n=12M L/m = 6M L (12/6) for comparison. The SL were grown at  $T_{\alpha} = 200^{\circ}C$ . Set B is constituted of SL with (Ga,Mn)As layers containing 4% of Mn, a xed m = 8M L, and varying n. SL with n=1,3,5,7 and 9M L were prepared at  $T_{\alpha}=200^{\circ}C$ , while SL with n= 4,6,8 and 10 were grown at  $T_q = 220^{\circ}C$ .

The SL were grown in an MBE system (KRYOVAK) equipped with an As<sub>2</sub> valved cracker source. As substrates we used sem i-insulating, epiready GaAs(100) AXT wafers. The MBE growth of superlattice structures was preceded by standard high-tem perature (HT) growth of a 5000 A thick GaAs bu er. Then the substrate tem perature was lowered to  $T_{\rm q}$  and stabilized for 1 hour. A flerward SL structures were grown with 30 s growth interruptions at each interface. For all the samples the number of repetitions was equal to 100. The quality of the SL was checked by X-ray di raction (XRD). The recorded (004) Bragg re ections were well reproduced by calculations<sup>10</sup>, and the parameters of each SL structure (thickness of the constituent layers and (Ga,Mn)As composition) deduced from the ttings are in good accordance with the ones measured by RHEED oscillations during the lm grow th<sup>10</sup>.

M agnetization measurements were performed using a Quantum Design MPM S5 Superconducting QUantum

Interference Device (SQUID). The zero eld cooled (ZFC) and eld cooled (FC) magnetizations of the SL were recorded in a small magnetic eld (H = 200 e), applied in the plane of the superlattices.

## III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure1 shows the temperature dependence of the ZFC and FC magnetizations for the st set of superlattices (set A). The non-Brillouin like appearance of the M (T) curves is typical of ferrom agnetic (G a, M n) As samples, and in this respect, there is little di erence comparing the results obtained for the present SL and those obtained for thick (G a, M n) As reference samples<sup>11</sup>. Moreover, the ZFC and FC curves coincide in almost the entire temperature range investigated, indicating low coercivity high quality lm s. The SL exhibit clear param agnetic-to-ferrom agnetic transitions around 60K (In these systems, it is common to de ne  $T_{C}$  $T_c$  as the temperature were some onset of magnetic ordering appears). The ferrom agnetic ordering of SL with similar dimensions has also been observed using neutron di raction<sup>12</sup>, as well as FM R m easurem ents<sup>13</sup>. Transport measurements on this set of SL reveal a metal-insulator transition occurring close to  $T_{\rm C}^{14}$ , as observed in ferrom agnetic single layers<sup>11,14</sup>, as well as a relatively large m agnetoresistance. In addition, the 12/6 SL exhibits almost identical characteristics comparing to the 8/4 SL; it can be seen on Fig. 1 that 8/4 et 12/6, with the same average Mn content of 4.67 % have very sim ilar magnetization vs. tem perature curves. This indicates that for this serie of SL, a \digital alloy"  $m \text{ odel}^{15}$  applies, implying that two SL having the same average M n concentration in the structure will exhibit similar magnetic properties. The same applies when comparing 8/4 to 10/4 and 12/4: The temperature onset of ferrom agnetic behavior increases from 8/4 to 12/4, following the average Mn concentration, which increases from 8/4 to 12/4, as observed for single layers of  $(Ga, Mn)As^{3,11,13}$ . The \digital alloy" model for the second set of superlattices (set B): As seen in Fig 2, the SL with 4 % M n and 8/4 (c.f. Fig. 2 (b)) and thus an average M n content of about 2.5 % has a T\_c around 35K, close to the value obtained for single layers<sup>11</sup>.

A dditional e ects related to the superlattice structure are evidenced. The param agnetic-to-ferrom agnetic transition of very thin single layers of (G a,M n)As deposited on a G aA s substrate is not as sharp as that of thick single layers. The M (T) curves of such thin layers<sup>16</sup> (with thicknesses below 100 A) are instead very broad<sup>17</sup>. This broadness could be related to some degree of m agnetic inhom ogeneity, as observed in (G a,M n)As layers contain-

ing very large amount of Mn<sup>11</sup>. Such inhom ogeneity im plies that som e regions of the sam ple have stronger coupling than others, yielding the observed broad m agnetization curves, as predicted theoretically by Berciu and Bhatt<sup>18</sup>. In the case of the present superlattices, containing even thinner layers of (G a, M n)As, the M (T) curves appear sharper. These curves are, as mentioned above, sim ilar to those obtained for thick (G a, M n) A s sinqle layers. Boselli et al.<sup>8</sup> show ed in Monte Carlo simulations that in such a superlattice structure, the holes with spin down (compared to the magnetic layer) were con ned within the layer, so that enough holes were available to establish a ferrom agnetic interaction in the system; the obtained hole concentration increasing with the number of repetitions in the SL.A coupling between di erent (Ga,Mn)As layers could also strengthen the ferrom agnetic interaction in the structure. Looking again at Fig. 2, one observes that the ferrom agnetic-toparam agnetic transition tem perature of the SL of set B oscillates with the thickness of the non-magnetic spacer layer. These oscillations are sim ilar to those observed in m etallic multilayers<sup>19</sup>. In these systems, magnetic layers are coupled through a nonmagnetic metal, and the interlayer exchange coupling depends on the thickness of the nonmagnetic spacer<sup>20</sup>. The oscillations of this coupling, and thus of T<sub>c</sub>, are usually related to Friedel oscillations created by magnetic impurity atoms in nonmagnetic hosts. The Ruderman-Kittel-Kasuya-Yosida (RKKY) model predicts that the polarized charge carriers will mediate a magnetic interlayer interaction varying with the distance r as J (r) /  $(1=r^2)\sin(kr)$ , where k is a constant. The oscillations of  $T_{\rm C}$  in the present sets of superlattices could thus be related to a RKKY-like<sup>21</sup> oscillating interlayer interaction mediated by polarized holes through the G aA s layers.

### ${\tt IV}$ . ${\tt CONCLUSION}$

The magnetic properties of two sets of short period  $(G a_M n)A s/G aA s$  superlattices have been investigated. Contrary to earlier reports, a ferrom agnetic interaction is observed in superlattices with  $(G a_M n)A s$  thicknesses down to 23 A. In addition, signatures of an interlayer exchange coupling between the magnetic layers of the structure are observed.

#### A cknow ledgm ents

F inancial support from the Swedish natural Science Research Council (NFR).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> H.M unekata, H.Ohno, S.von Molhar, A Segmuller, L.L. Chang, L.Esaki, Phys. Rev. Lett. 63, 1849 (1989).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> H.Ohno, H.M unekata, T.Penney, S.von Molnar, and L.

L.Chang, Phys.Rev.Lett. 68, 2664 (1992).

- <sup>3</sup> H.Ohno, A. Shen, F. Matsukura, A.Oiwa, A. Endo, S. Katsum oto, and Y. Iye, Appl. Phys. Lett. 69, 363 (1996).
- <sup>4</sup> T.Hayashi, M.Tanaka, T.Nishinaga, H.Shimada, and Y. Otuka, J.CrystalG rowth 175/176, 1063 (1997).
- <sup>5</sup> T.Hayashi, M.Tanaka, K.Seto, T.Nishinaga, K.Ando, Appl.Phys.Lett.71, 1825 (1997).
- <sup>6</sup> A.Shen, H.Ohno, F.M atsukura, H.C.Liu, N.Akiba, Y. Sugawara, T.Kuriowa, Y.Ohno, Physica B 249–251, 809 (1998).
- <sup>7</sup> M.A.Boselli, A.G hazali, I.C.da Cunha Lima, Phys. Rev. B 62, 8895 (2000).
- <sup>8</sup> M.A.Boselli, I.C. da Cunha Lima and A.Ghazali, J. Appl.Phys.87,86439 (2000).
- <sup>9</sup> H.Shim izu and M.Tanaka, J.Appl.Phys.91, 7487 (2002).
- <sup>10</sup> J. Sadowski, R. Mathieu, P. Svedlindh, M. Karlsteen, J. Kanski, Y. Fu, J. Z. Domagala, W. Szuszkiewicz, B. Hennion, D. K. Maude, R. Airey, and G. Hill, condmat/0112264, to appear in Thin Solid Films
- <sup>11</sup> J. Sadowski, R. Mathieu, P. Svedlindh, J. Z. Domagala, J. Bak Misiuk, K. Swiatek, M. Karlsteen, J. Kanski, L. Ilver, H. Asklund, and U. Sodervall, Appl. Phys. Lett. 78, 3271 (2001).
- <sup>12</sup> W . Szuszkiewicz, E. Dynowska, B. Hennion, F. Ott, M. Jounne, J. F. Morhange, M. Karlsteen, J. Sadowski, Acta

Physica Polonica A 100, 335 (2001).

- <sup>13</sup> J. Sadowski, R. Mathieu, P. Svedlindh, M. Karlsteen, J. Kanski, L. Ilver, H. Asklund, K. Swiatek, J. Z. Domagala, J. Bak-Misiuk, D. Maude, Physica E 10, 181-185 (2001)
- <sup>14</sup> R.M athieu, Ph.D thesis, p. 33, available at http://publications.uu.se/theses/fulltext/91-554-5339-2.pdf
- <sup>15</sup> R.K.Kawakami, E.Johnston-Halperin, L.F.Chen, M. Hanson, N.Guebels, J.S.Speck, A.C.Gossard, and A.A. Awschalom, Appl. Phys. Lett. 77 2379 (2000).
- <sup>16</sup> B.S.S rensen, J.Sadowski, S.E.Andresen, and P.E. Lindelof, submitted to Phys.Rev.B.
- <sup>17</sup> B.S.S rensen, J.Sadowski, S.E.Andresen, P.Lindelof, R.M athieu, P.Svedlindh, and J.Kanski, unpublished, to appear in the proceedings of ICPS2002.
- <sup>18</sup> M. Berciu and R. N. Bhatt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 107203 (2001).
- <sup>19</sup> S. S. P. Parkin, N. More, and K. P. Roche, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 2304 (1990); A. Ney, F. W ilhelm, M. Farle, P. Poulopoulos, P. Srivastava, and K. Baberschke, Phys. Rev. B 59, R3938 (1999).
- <sup>20</sup> P.Bruno, Phys. Rev. B 52, 411 (1995); J.Phys.: Condens. M atter 11, 9403 (1999).
- <sup>21</sup> T.Jungwirth, W.A.Atkinson, B.H.Lee, and A.H.M ac-D onald, Phys. Rev. B 59, 9818 (1999).



FIG.1: Temperature dependence of the ZFC and FC m agnetization for set A (superlattices with 7 % of M n); H = 20 O e.



FIG.2: Temperature dependence of the FC magnetization for set B (superlattices with 4 % of M n, grown at (a)  $200^\circ C$  and (b)  $220^\circ C$ ); H = 20 O e. The inset depicts the oscillation of  $T_c$  with the number of G aA sm onolayers for the SL grown at  $200^\circ C$ .