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#### Abstract

W e consider a sim ple m odel of a classical harm onic oscillator coupled to a eld. In standard approaches Langevin-type equations for bare particles are derived from $H$ am iltonian dynam ics. T hese equations contain $m$ em ory term $s$ and are tim e-reversal invariant. In contrast the phenom enological Langevin equations have no $m$ em ory term $s$ (they are $M$ arkovian equations) and give a time evolution split in two branches (sem igroups), each of which breaks time symmetry. A standard approach to bridge dynam ics $w$ ith phenom enology is to consider the $M$ arkovian approxim ation of the form er. In this paper we present a form ulation in term s of dressed particles, which gives exact $M$ arkovian equations. W e form ulate dressed particles for $P$ oincare non integrable system $s$, through an invertible transform ation operator introduced by Prigogine and collaborators. is obtained by an extension of the canonical (unitary) transform ation operator $U$ that elim inates interactions for integrable system s . O ur extension is based on the rem oval of divergences due to P oincare resonances, which breaks tim e-sym $m$ etry. The untarity of $U$ is extended to \star-unitarity" for . W e show that transform ed variables have the sam e tim e evolution as stochastic variables obeying Langevin equations, and that transform ed distribution functions satisfy exact Fokker-P lanck equations. The e ects of G aussian white noise are obtained by the non-distributive property of $w$ ith respect to products of dynam ical variables. Therefore our m ethod leads to a direct link betw een dynam ics of P oincare nonintegrable system $s$, probability and stochasticity.


PACS num bers: $02.50 \mathrm{Fz}, 05.40 . \mathrm{a}, 05.70 \mathrm{Ln}$

## I. IN TRODUCTION

In classicalphysics the basic law s are tim e reversible. If we know the H am iltonian, then we get H am ilton's equations ofm otion which describe the tim e evolution of the system in a tim e reversible, determ in istic way. On the other hand, we see tim e irreversibility and stochastic behavior everyw here. H ow to bridge the gap betw een theory and reality has been the sub ject ofm any discussions.

Them ain problem is how to extract irreversibility and stochasticity out of H am ilton's equations ofm otion. This w ill be the subject of this paper. O ur approach is an extension of canonical transform ations to de ne dressed particles or quasiparticles [lllil .

W e consider H am iltonians that can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{H}_{0}+\mathrm{V}: \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rst them $H_{0}$ describes a set of noninteracting $\backslash$ bare" units while the second V describes their interactions ( is a dim ensionless coupling constant). Specifically, we will consider the one-dim ensional Friedrichs m odel [1] describing a classicalharm onic oscillator (bare particle) coupled to an in nite set of bare eld modes (heat bath). Thism odelis closely related to the C aldeiraLeggett model [3] study quantum B rownian $m$ otion $\overline{4}$

In general, bare particles follow a com plicated m otion, due to their interactions. In order gain a physical insight into their behavior, and also to sim plify the equations of $m$ otion, one can introduce a change of phase-space variables (a canonical transform ation). The new variables describe renorm alized entities, or quasiparticles. A fter solving the equations for quasiparticles, one $m$ ay apply the inverse canonicaltransform ation to get the solutions of the original equations of $m$ otion. For the Friedrichs $m$ odel the quasiparticle consists of the original particle surrounded by a \dressing" cloud of eld modes.

For integrable system S , one can construct transform ations $U$ that com pletely elim inate the interactions. They bring us to a description in term $s$ of free quasiparticles. $T$ his is readily seen starting w th the Liouville equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \frac{@}{@ t}=L_{H} \quad ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L_{H} \quad$ ifH; $g$ is the Poisson bracket $w$ th the H am iltonian. Sim ilar to Eq. (III) the Liouvillian is w ritten as a free term plus interaction, $L_{H}=L_{0}+L_{V}$. Applying $U$ on both sides of the Liouville equation we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& i \frac{@}{@ t} U=U L_{H} U{ }^{1} U \\
& \text { ) } i \frac{@}{@ t}=L_{0} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\mathrm{U} ; \mathrm{L}_{0}=\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{U}^{1} \text { : } \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he transform ation $U$ is constructed in such a way that $L_{0}$ has the sam eform as the non－interacting Liouvillian， w ith renom alized frequencies．Eq．（⿳⺈⿴囗一一一𠃌刂 ）gives the time evolution of the free dressed particles．

If $U$ can be constructed through a perturbation ex－ pansion in ，we say the system is integrable in the sense of Poincare．For these system s we can keep a one－to－ one correspondence between the original variables and the transform ed variables．$W$ ith a suitably de ned inner product betw een dynam ical variables and ensem bles，we can de ne the herm itian conjugate transform ation $U^{Y}$ ． O ne then nds that $U$ is unitary：$U^{Y}=U{ }^{1}$ ．The trans－ form ation $U$ thus preserves the tim e－reversibility of the original L iouville equation．

N ow，if all system s w ere integrable in P oincare＇s sense， this would $m$ ean that all the phenom ena we observe in nature are equivalent to free $m$ otion．This would be hard to reconcile $w$ th the existence of dissipative phenom ena， which are essential for the appearance ofbifurcations and self－organization［1］ $0_{1}^{\prime}$ ．H ow ever form ost system sone can－ not construct $U$ by perturbation expansions，due to the appearance of resonances．R esonances give vanishing de－ nom inators leading to divergences．These divergences were discovered by Poincare，so we will refer to them as P oincare divergences hereafter，whenever we speak of integrability or nonintegrability，it $w$ ill be $m$ eant in P oincare＇s sense］．

It is precisely for Poincare＇s nonintegrable system s that we see irreversible and stochastic behavior，such as $B$ row nian motion．O ne of the $m$ ain developm ents of the B russels－A ustin groups led by I．P rigogine has been to show that one can system atically elm inate the Poincare divergenges by regularization of denom inators
 tim e－sym $m$ etry is broken and one obtains a new type of transform ation that replaces $U$ ．This gives a quasi－ particle description leading to stochastic or kinetic equa－ tions，such as the classical Langevin or Fokker－P lanck equations，respectively．To see this，we operate on the Liouville equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& i \frac{@}{\varrho t}=L_{H} \quad 1 \\
& \text { ) } i \frac{@}{@ t} \sim=\sim \sim \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim=\quad ; \quad \sim=L_{H} \quad{ }^{1}: \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

～is now a collision operator as used in kinetic theory． The transform ation gives a probabilistic description， which is irreducible to tra jectories in classicalm echanics or $w$ ave functions in quantum $m$ echanics．If we integrate out the eld variables，Eq．（핀）becom es，e．g．，an exact Fokker $P$ lanck operator．Through the transform ation
we can also describe dressed unstable states in quantum
 that has strict $m$ onotonic behavior［1］ 1

For the Friedrichs m odel we have both integrable and non integrable cases，depending on whether the spectrum of the eld modes is discrete（ nite volume L w ith pe－ riodic boundaries）or continuous（ L ！ 1 ）．In the rst case we have cyclic（although com plicated）m otion of the particle，as the eld com es back to the particle through the periodicboundaries．In the second case，the eld does not com e back．A P oincare resonance em erges，since the energy of the particle is em bedded inside the continuous spectrum．The em ission of the eld from the particle leads to radiation dam ping．C onversely，the particle is excited when it absorbs the eld．

To understand the breaking of tim e sym $m$ etry，we note that w hen there are $P$ oincare resonances，i．e．in the lim it L ！ 1 ，the solutions of $H$ am ilton＇s equations for the bare particle contain a dom inant decaying（ $M$ arkovian） com ponent oriented either tow ards the future or the past， or both，depending on the intial conditions．Taking the well－know n M ankovian approxim ation，one nds that the equation of $m$ otion for the bare particle is split into two branches，one for $t>0$ and another for $t<0$ ，corre－ sponding to tw o sem igroups．A s a whole the tim e rever－ sal invariance of the $m$ otion is kept，but if we pick either branch，tim e sym $m$ etry is broken．

To obtain this splitting into two sem igroups for the bare particle we have to $m$ ake approxim ations．In con－ trast，in term $s$ of the dressed particle de ned through
，this is an exact property．T he analytic continuation of $U$ can be $m$ ade to either the upper or low er com plex frequency planes，giving exact $M$ arkovian equations that generate the $t<0$ or $t>0$ sem igroups，respectively． O nce we $x$ the analytic continuation，tim e sym $m$ etry is broken．

The transform ed functions involve generalized func－ tions，ordistributions（exam ples are the \G am ow m odes＂ presented in Sec．＇Vivin ．If the initial unperturbed functions form ed a H ibert space，the transform ed functions are no $m$ ore in this $H$ ilbert space．In its transform ed dom ain $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{H}}$ behaves as the dissipative collision operator ${ }^{\sim} \mathrm{w}$ ith com plex eigenvalues $[13,14]$

In contrast to $\mathrm{U} \underline{\underline{\prime}}$－is no m ore unitary．Instead，it is \star unitary＂［11 $\left.1_{1}^{\prime}, 15_{1}^{\prime}\right]$ ．Furtherm ore，while U is distribu－ tive $w$ ith respect to $m$ ultilplication，is non－distributive． As we will see，these properties allow us to describe dam ping and uctuations associated w ith noise．

A basic requirem ent on is that it is invertible．This is connected w ith the star unitarity of this transform ation ［see comm ents below Eq．（ $\left.\mathrm{TH}_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{1}\right)$ ］．In addition to this，our construction of is based on the follow ing requirem ents：
（1）T he transform ation is obtained by analytic contin－ uation of the unitary transform ation $U$ ．$W$ hen there are no resonances，reduces to $U$ ．
（2）preserves the $m$ easure of the phase space．
（3） m aps real variables to real variables．
（4）is analytic $w$ ith respect to the coupling constant
at $=0$.
(5) leads to closed $M$ arkovian kinetic equations.

W ew ill focus on the dynam icalobservables of the particle. The action of $w$ ill be restricted to the subset of phase space functions depending only on the particle degrees of freedom. W ith in this subset we obtain an exact and invertible transform ation.

Furthem ore, we will consider the them odynam ic lim it of the eld $m$ odes, where the total energy of the eld is an extensive variable. Then the average action $h J_{k}$ i of each eld $m$ ode $k$ satis es $]_{1}^{7} \bar{n}_{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
h J_{k} i \quad O\left(L^{0}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $L!1$. The total energy of the eld

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{f}={ }_{k}^{X}!_{k} h J_{k} i!\frac{L}{2}^{Z} d k!_{k} h J_{k} i \quad O(L) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

is proportional to the volum e L. [T his does not necessarily im ply that the eld is G ibbsian]. The existence of the them odynam ic lim it requires an initially random distribution of the phases of the eld m odes [181].

A di erent situation occurs if the total energy of the eld is in a non-extensive variable. T hen we have $\mathrm{hJ}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{i}$
O ( $\mathrm{L}^{1}$ ), i.e., we have a vanishing energy density. W ew ill not consider this case in this paper.

In the extensive case, in addition to the dam ped oscillation, the particle undergoes an erratic $m$ otion due to the excitation caused by the eld. This erratic motion includes a B row nian motion com ponent, which is $M$ arkovian. The initial random ness of the phases of the eld $m$ odes is a necessary condition for the appearance of $B$ rownian $m$ otion. In addition it is essential that the eld resonates $w$ ith the particle. W e need Poincare resonances. U nder these conditions perm its us to isolate the dam ping and the $B$ row nian com ponent of the $m$ otion.

O ur approach can also be form ulated in term s of com plete sets of projection operators (), that perm it to decom pose dynam ics into a set of orthogonal \subdynam ics" (see Sec. eralized basis that perm its us to analyze the $m$ otion in term s of strictly $M$ arkovian com ponents. In our case, we study the com ponent that describes B row nian m otion. The other com ponents, clum ped together, give what is usually called non $M$ ankovian ( $m$ em ory) e ects [14 $4_{1}^{1}$ ]. The $B$ row nian com ponent is independent of the in itial correlations betw een the particle and the bath, and in this sense, it has a \universal" character.
 where we constructed for the quantum Friedrichs $m$ odel. The $m$ ain subject in these papers was the decay of unstable particle states. W e showed that the transform ation perm its us to isolate the exponential ( $M$ arkovian) com ponent of the decay, which occurs w hen the energy of the eld is non-extensive. T he rem aining (non $M$ arkovian) com ponent gives the Zeno e ect $[1]$ and long tails [20], which are conneted to the appearance of a dressing cloud around the bare particle. The
dressed unstable state de ned through has a realaverage energy and gives an uncertainty relation betw een the lifetim e and energy (see also [1] $]_{1}^{1}$ ). Sim ilar considerations can be applied in classicalm echanics [2'1].

The present paper is organized as follow s. In Secs. iII the equations ofm otion of the bare particle. In the subsequent Sections we study the evolution of renorm alized (dressed) particle variables. W e consider rst (Sec. 'ivil) the integrable case where the spectrum of the eld is discrete. W e can then de ne the renorm alized variables through the unitary transform ation $U$. In the continuous spectrum lim it the system becom es nonintegrable. In Sec. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~V}$, as a rst step to introduce, we extend the renor$m$ alized particle $m$ odes in the discrete case to the decaying \G am ow " m odes in the nonintegrable case. In Sec. No we construct. In Sec. Vinwe show the correspondence betw een the solution of Langevin equation (w th G aussian white noise) and the transform ed variables. $F$ inally, in Sec. NV III we derive a Fokker-P lanck equation for the transform ed distribution function. D etails of calculations are given in the A ppendiges.

## II. THECLASSICALFRIEDRICHSMODEL

W e consider a classical system consisting of a harm onic oscillator coupled to a classical scalar eld in onedim ensionalspace. A quantum version of this m odel has been studied by Friedrichs [1] 1

We write the $\mathrm{H} a \mathrm{~m}$ iltonian of the system in term s of the bare oscillator and eld $m$ odes $a_{1}$ and $a_{k}$,

$$
H=!_{1} a_{1} a_{1}+{ }_{k}^{X}!_{k} a_{k} a_{k}+{ }_{k}^{X}\left(a_{1} a_{k}+a_{1} a_{k}\right)
$$

w ith a given constant frequency $!_{1}>0$ for the harm onic oscillator (particle), $c=1$ for the speed of light, and $!_{k}=k j$ for the eld. $W$ hen is small we can treat the interaction potential as a perturbation. We assume the system is in a one-dim ensional box of size L w ith periodic boundary conditions. Then the spectrum of the eld is discrete, i.e., $k=2 j=L$ where $j$ is an integer. W e assum e that

$$
\begin{equation*}
!_{1} \not!_{\mathrm{k}} ; \text { forallk } \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The volum e dependence of the interaction $V_{k}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{k}}={ }^{\mathrm{r}} \frac{{ }_{2}^{2}}{\mathrm{~L}} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{O}(1) . \mathrm{W}$ e assum e that $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}$ is real and even: $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{v} \mathrm{k}$. Furtherm ore, we assum e that for sm all k

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}} \quad!_{\mathrm{k}}^{1=2}: \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

A n exam ple is the $D$ rudeU llersm a form [7] 1 :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}=\frac{!_{\mathrm{k}}^{1=2}}{1+!_{\mathrm{k}}^{2}=!_{\mathrm{M}}^{2}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $!_{M}$ is the cuto frequency of the bath.
To dealw ith the continuous spectrum of the eld we take the lim it L! 1. In this lim it we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2}{L}_{k}^{X}!^{Z} d k ; \frac{L}{2}_{k ; 0!}(k): \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e will often use the sum $m$ ation sign $w$ th the understanding that we replace it by an integral in the lim it L! 1.

The bare $m$ odes $a_{1}$, $a_{k}$ satisfy the $P$ oisson bracket relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ifa ; } a g=\text { : } \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { iff; } g g={ }_{r}^{\mathrm{h}} \frac{\mathrm{@f}}{@ a_{r}} \frac{@ g}{@ a_{r}} \frac{@ g}{@ a_{r}} \frac{@ f^{i}}{@ a_{r}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

the sum includes the discrete index $r=1$ as well as the running index $r=k$ ]. The bare $m$ odes are related to the position $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ and the m om entum $\mathrm{p}_{1}$ of the particle as

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{1}=\frac{r}{\frac{m!_{1}}{2}}\left(x_{1}+\frac{i p_{1}}{m!_{1}}\right) ;  \tag{17}\\
& x_{1}=\frac{1}{\frac{r}{2 m!_{1}}\left(a_{1}+a_{1}\right) ;}  \tag{18}\\
& p_{1}=i \frac{m!_{1}}{2}\left(a_{1} \quad a_{1}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

and to the eld $(x)$ and its conjugate eld $(x)$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& (x)=\sum_{k}^{X} \frac{1}{2!_{k} L}{ }^{1=2}\left(a_{k} e^{i k x}+a_{k} e^{i k x}\right) ;  \tag{19}\\
& (x)=\sum_{i}^{X} \frac{!_{k}}{2 L}{ }^{1=2}\left(a_{k} e^{i k x} \quad a_{k} e^{i k x}\right): \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

The eld (x) correspondsto the transverse vector potential in electrom agnetism, while ( x ) corresponds to the transverse displacem ent eld. O ur H am iltonian can be seen as a sim pli ed version of a classicaldipole m olecule interacting with a classical radiation eld in the dipole approxim ation [23] teractions proportional to $a_{1} a_{k}$ and $a_{1} a_{k}$, which correspond to \virtual processes" in quantum mechanics. This approxim ation corresponds to the so-called rotat-
 virtual processes, then we obtain the classical version of the $C$ aldeira-Leggett $m$ odel.

W e note that we have an $!_{k}=!k$ degeneracy in our H am iltonian. To avoid som e com plexity due to this
degeneracy, we rew rite our H am iltonian in term s of new bare $m$ odes as $\left.[2]^{\prime}\right]$

$$
H=!_{1} q_{1} q_{1}+{ }_{k}^{\bar{X}}!_{k} q_{k} q_{k}+{ }_{k}^{X} V_{k}\left(q_{1} q_{k}+q_{1} q_{k}\right) ;(21)
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& q_{1} \quad a_{1} ; \quad q_{k} \quad \begin{array}{l}
\left(a_{k}+a_{k}\right)=\frac{p}{p} \overline{2} ; \text { for } k>0, \\
\left(a_{k} \quad a_{k}\right)= \\
2
\end{array} \quad \text { for } k \quad 0,  \tag{22}\\
& p \overline{2} V_{k} ; \text { for } k>0 \\
& V_{k} \quad 0 ; \quad \text { for } k \quad 0, \\
& V_{k}= \frac{L}{2} V_{k}: \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

In this form the $m$ ode $q_{k} w$ ith negative $k$ argum ent is com pletely decoupled from the other degrees of freedom. T he new bare $m$ odes also satisfy equations $\left[\overline{1} \overline{5_{1}^{\prime}}\right), ~(\overline{1} \bar{G})$.

In the subsequent sections we w ill use the follow ing notations. $W$ e de ne action and angle variables $J_{s} ; s$ through the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{s}=P \overline{J_{s}} e^{i s} ; \quad s=1 ; k \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e de ne as the set of allm odes,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(q_{1} ; q_{1} ;::: ; q_{k} ; q_{k} ;:::\right) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $s=\left(q_{s} ; q_{s}\right)$, w th $s=1 ; k$, as the set of particle or eld m odes. W ew illalso denote f as the set of all eld modes $f=f{ }_{k} g$. W e use the notation $d$ for the phase space volum e elem ent and $d_{1}, d_{f}$ for the particle and eld com ponents ofd, respectively

$$
\begin{align*}
& d=d_{1} d_{f} \\
& d_{1}=d J_{1} d_{1} ; \quad d_{f}=Y_{k}^{Y} d J_{k} d_{k}: \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

We de ne as well

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0
\end{array}\right)
$$

(28)

W e consider ensem ble averages as inner products:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{hF} i=\mathrm{lhF} j \text { ii }=d \mathrm{~F}() \quad(): \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

For an operator $O$ the Hem itian con jugate is de ned by

A sm entioned in the Introduction, for ourm odelw e can have both integrable ( $L$ nite) and nonintegrable cases (L ! 1 ). In the rst case there are no resonances (see Eq. (101)) and as we will see, the the system is integrable in the sense of $P$ oincare. In the second case the system can becom e nonintegrable in P oincare's sense, due to the em ergence of the resonance $!_{1}=!_{k}$ betw een the frequencies of the particle and the eld. This distinction is essential in our construction of dressed particle modes. Before com ing to this, we will brie y consider the equations ofm otion for the bare $m$ odes.

## III. EQUATIONSOFMOTION OF THEBARE PARTICLEMODES

The dynam ical equations of $m$ otion of an oscillator coupled to a eld have been studied by $m$ any authors, $m$ ainly using the quantum $C$ aldeira-Leggett $m$ odel
 Friedrichs m odel. In contrast to the phenom enological equations describing $B$ row nian $m$ otion [25-1, these equations have $m$ em ory term $s$ (i.e. they have tim e-dependent dam ping and di usion coe cients), and the tim e evolution they generate form s a group, since they are equivalent to H am iltonian dynam ics.
A. $N$ on $-M$ arkovian $L$ angevin equation

Starting from the H am iltonian equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.q_{s}(t)=\exp \left(i 山_{H} t\right) q_{s}(0)\right) \quad q_{s}(t)=i 山_{H} q_{s}(t) ; \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can obtain the exact tim e evolution of the $m$ odes $q_{r}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{s}(t)=\underbrace{X}_{r} f_{s r}(t) q_{r}(0) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{r s}(t)$ are com plex functions (see A ppendix "A른).
W ew ill focus our attention on the particle m odes:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q_{1}(t)=f_{11}(t) q_{1}(0)+{ }^{X} f_{1 k}(t) q_{k}(0) ; \\
& q_{1}(t)=f_{I 1}(t) q_{1}(0)+X_{k}^{k} f_{I k}(t) q_{k}(0):
\end{aligned}
$$

Solving for $q_{1}(0)$ in the rst equation and replacing the result in the second equation we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{q}_{1}(t)=\quad \text { iz }(t) q_{1}(t)+R(t) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& z_{1}(t)=i \frac{@}{x^{@ t}} \ln f_{11}(t) ;  \tag{35}\\
& R(t)=h_{k}(t) q_{k}(0) ;  \tag{36}\\
& h_{k}(t)=f_{I k}(t)+i z_{1}(t) f_{1 k}(t):
\end{align*}
$$

Eq. ( $\left.\overline{3} \overline{4} \bar{A}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ is a non $M$ arkovian equation, because of the tim e dependence of the coe cients. T he function $z_{1}(t)$ $!_{1}(t) \quad i \quad(t)$ gives the instantaneous frequency $!_{1}(t)$ and dam ping rate ( $t$ ) of the oscillator w e note that dam ping appears only in the non-integrable case]. $R(t)$ is an erratic function, since it depends on the initial states of all the eld modes $q_{k}(0)$ (see A ppendix $\left.{ }^{-1}\right)^{\prime}$ ). It plays the role of noise. In general, this is colored noise, as the function $R$ ( $t$ ) has $m$ em ory in the auto-correlation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{hR} \quad(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{t}^{0}\right) i \notin 0 \text { fort } \mathrm{t}^{0} ; \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where him eans ensem ble average.
B. N on -M arkovian Fokker-P lanck equation

We can also derive a non $M$ arkovian equation for the particle distribution function

> Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{1}(1 ; t) \quad d_{f} \quad(; t) \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

which allow s us to calculate averages of functions $G(1)$ depending only on the particle $m$ odes. $W$ e assum $e$ that $G\left({ }_{1}\right)$ is a sm ooth real function of ${ }_{1}$ that vanishes at $\dot{\text { M }}_{1} j=1$ and is expandable in the in nite series

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(q_{1} ; q_{1}\right)=X_{m=0}^{X^{1}=0} X_{m n} q_{1}{ }^{m} q_{1}^{n}: \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e assum e as well that ( $; \mathrm{t})$ is factorized at $\mathrm{t}=0$ into independent particle and eld modefunctions and that the eld distributions depend only on the actions. In other w ords, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(; 0)={ }_{1}(1 ; 0)_{k}^{Y}{ }_{k}\left(J_{k}\right): \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

O ur nal assum ption is that the volum e of the system is large, so we neglect term s of order $1=\mathrm{L}$. This approxi$m$ ation becom es exact in the continuous spectrum lim it L! 1, i.e., in the nonintegrable case. $W$ e consider the extensive case discussed in the Introduction.
$B$ ased on E q. (34 $\underline{1}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) we then obtain the non $-M$ arkovian equation (see A ppendix 'C'

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\varrho}{@ t}{ }_{1}\left(i_{1} ; t\right)=i z_{1}(t) \frac{@}{@ q_{1}} q_{1}  \tag{42}\\
& i z_{1}(t) \frac{@}{@ q_{1}} q_{1} \\
&+D(t) \frac{@^{2}}{@ q_{1} @ q_{1}}
\end{align*} \quad 1(1 ; t) ;
$$

where

$$
D(t)=\sum_{k}^{X} \quad i Z_{1}(t)+i z_{1}(t)+\frac{@}{@ t} \quad \dot{\Psi}_{1 k}(t){ }^{2} h J_{k} i:(43)
$$

Eq. (42-1) is of the FokkerP lanck type, but w ith tim e dependent coe cients.

The equations derived in this section are rem iniscent of the phenom enological equations for $B$ row nian $m$ otion. H ow ever, the phenom enological equations have quite im portant di erences: they are $M$ arkovian, they break tim e-symm etry and they describe stochastic processes. O ne can derive the phenom enological equations using approxim ations, such as the $M$ arkovian approxim ation. This is shown in A ppendix ' D .'

In the rest of the paper we w ill study the dynam ical evolution of renom alized $m$ odes. In contrast to the bare $m$ odes, the renorm alized $m$ odes obey exact equations having the sam e evolution as the phenom enological equations. A s a preparation, we rst consider the integrable case.
IV. UN ITARY TRANSFORMATION FOR IN TEGRABLE CASE

In this Section, we present the properties of the canonical transform ation $U$ that diagonalizes the $H$ am iltonian in the discrete spectrum case, when the size of the box $L$ is nite. Later we will extend $U$ to through analytic continuation, for L! 1 . In the integrable case we can nd renorm alized $m$ odes $Q_{s}, Q_{s}$ that diagonalize the $H$ am iltonian through $U$. The new m odes are related to the bare $m$ odes as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{s}=U^{Y} q_{s} \text { for } s=1 ; k: \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

in one-to-one correspondence. The operator $U$ is unitary $U^{1}=U^{Y}$.

The H am iltonian is diagonalized as

$$
\begin{equation*}
H={ }_{s}^{X} \quad!_{s} Q_{s} Q_{s} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where! are renorm alized frequencies.
$T$ he new $m$ odes satisfy the $P$ oisson bracket relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{iff}_{r} ; Q_{s} g=r_{s}: \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the interaction is bilinear in the bare $m$ odes, the new modes can be found explicitly through a linear supenposition of the bare $m$ odes [ $[2]$. For the particle we obtain, from the equation if $; Q_{1} g=!_{1} Q_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{1}=N_{1}^{1=2}\left(q_{1}+{ }_{k}^{X} q_{k} q_{k}\right) \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}} & \frac{\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{k}}}{!_{1}!_{k}} ; & \\
\mathrm{N}_{1} & (1+)^{1} ; & 2^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}}^{2}:
\end{array}
$$

The renom alized frequency $!_{1}$ is given by the root of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(!_{1}\right)=0 ; \quad \text { (z) } \quad z \quad \ddagger \quad{ }_{k^{0}} \quad \frac{{ }^{2} j J_{k^{0}}{ }^{3}}{\mathrm{z} \quad!_{k^{0}}} \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

that reduces to $!_{1}$ when $=0$. For the eld $m$ odes one can also nd explicit form $s$ (see A ppendix 'A'i).
$T$ he perturbation expansion of q . (47), y

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{1}=U^{Y_{q}} q_{1}=q_{1}+{ }_{k}^{X} \frac{V_{k}}{!_{1}!_{k}} q_{k}+O\left({ }^{2}\right) \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

W hen the spectrum is discrete, the denom inator never vanishes; each term in the perturbation series is nite. $T$ his im plies integrability in the sense ofP oincare: $U$ can be constructed by a perturbation series in powers of $n$ w ith n 0 integer. In other words, U is analytic at $=0$.

Since the transform ation $U$ is canonical, it is distributive $w$ ith respect to m ultiplication

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.U^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{q}_{r} q_{s}=\mathbb{U}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{q}_{r}\right] \mathbb{U}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{q}_{s}\right]=Q_{r} Q_{s} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{UH}=\mathrm{U}\left[\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{X}} \quad!_{\mathrm{s}} Q_{\mathrm{s}} Q_{s}\right]_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{X}}!_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{s}}=\mathrm{H}_{0} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

The transform ed $H$ am iltonian $U H$ has the same form of the unperturbed H am iltonian $\mathrm{H}_{0}$, w ith renom alized frequencies.

The canonical transform ation can also be introduced on the level of statistical ensem bles, as show $n$ in the Introduction. In Eq. (3) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{L}_{0}{ }_{\|}=\text {iU fH ; } \mathrm{g}=\text { ifU } \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{U}_{\#} \mathrm{~g} \\
& =\quad \mathrm{X} \quad!_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{s}} \frac{@}{@ q_{s}}\right.  \tag{54}\\
& \left.=\mathrm{G} \frac{@}{@ q_{s}}\right) \mathrm{U}
\end{align*}
$$

where in the second equality we used Eq. (53) and the property of preservation of the P oisson bracket by canonicaltransform ations $[\overline{2} \overline{1}]$. H ence the transform ed Liouvillian $L_{0}$ does not contäin any interaction term $s$. Ensem ble averages over th is transform ed density function can thus be easily calculated. For exam ple for

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \frac{@}{@ t} h x_{1} j \mathrm{j} j \text { ii }=i \frac{@}{@ t} h x_{1} i \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

and sim ilarly for hp i i we get, after substituting E q. (18) and integrating by parts,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@}{@ t} h x_{1} i=\frac{1}{m} h p_{1} i ; \quad \frac{@}{@ t} h p_{1} i=m!_{1}^{2} h x_{1} i: \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

These are the equations for the free harm onic oscillator ( w th renorm alized frequency $!_{1}$ and renorm alized m ass $\left.\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{m}!_{1}=!_{1}\right)$. The interaction w ith the eld is elim inated.
$N$ ote that the norm alm odes are eigenfiunctions of the Liouvillian $L_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{0} q_{1}=\quad!_{1} q_{1} ; \quad L_{0} q_{1}=!_{1} q_{1}: \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

This leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{H} Q_{1}=\quad!_{1} Q_{1} ; \quad L_{H} Q_{1}=!_{1} Q_{1}: \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

For products ofm odes we have

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{rl}
L_{0} q_{1}{ }^{m} q_{1}^{n} & =[(m
\end{array} \quad n\right)!_{1}\right] q_{1}{ }^{m} q_{1}^{n} ; ~ \begin{cases}(m & \left.n)!_{1}\right] Q_{1}^{m} Q_{1}^{n}: \\
L_{H} Q_{1}{ }^{m} Q_{1}^{n} & =\left[\begin{array}{ll}
(m \tag{59}
\end{array}\right)\end{cases}
$$

Finally, we note that from distributive property Eq. (52ㄴ) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
U^{\mathrm{y}} \mathcal{G}_{1}^{\mathrm{m}} \mathcal{S}_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}=\left(U^{\mathrm{y}}{Q_{1}}^{\mathrm{m}}\right)\left(U^{\mathrm{y}} \mathcal{Q}_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}\right): \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

V. NONINTEGRABLECASE:GAMOW MODES

N ow we consider the continuous spectrum case, where the particle frequency $!_{1}$ is inside the range of the continuous spectrum $!_{k}$. In this case, by analytic continuation of $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{1}$ we can get new $m$ odes which are eigen functions of the Liouvillian $w$ th com plex eigenvalues. T hese modes are called $G$ am ow modes. G am ow states have been previously introduced in quantum me chanics to study unstable states $\left[2 \overline{2}_{1}\right]-\left[3 z_{1}^{\prime}\right]$. In classical m echanics, G am ow m odes have been introduced in Ref . [2ㄹㄴ]. In this Section we present the $m$ ain properties of $G$ äm ow m odes, which will be used for the construction of .

W hen we go to the continuous lim it we restrict the strength of the coupling constant so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z} \quad \mathrm{dk} \frac{{ }^{2} \dot{\mathrm{j}}_{\mathrm{k}} \tilde{\mathrm{~J}}}{!_{\mathrm{k}}}<!_{1} \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ hen the harm onic oscillator becom es unstable. In this case we have radiation dam ping. If Eq. $\left.\overline{A l}_{6}^{(1)} \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ is not satis ed, then we go outside the range of applicability of the \rotating wave approxim ation" (see comment after Eq. ( $\left.2 \mathbf{L}_{-1}^{\prime} 1\right)$ ) as the H am iltonian becom es not bounded from below, and gives no radiation dam ping [323].

In the continuous spectrum case, divergences appear in the construction of $U$, due to resonances. For exam ple, the denom inator in Eq. (511) m ay now vanish at the P oincare resonance $!_{1}=!_{k}$. W e have a divergence in the perturbation expansion in . To dealw ith this divergence, we regularize the denom inator by adding an in nitesim al i. Then we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{1}=q_{1}+{ }_{k}^{X} \frac{V_{k}}{!_{1} \quad!_{k} \quad i} q_{k}+O\left({ }^{2}\right): \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the continuous lim it the sum $m$ ation goes to an integral. We take the lim it L ! 1 rst and ! 1 later. $T$ hen the denom inator can be intenpreted as a distribution under the integration over $k$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{!_{1}}!_{k} \quad \text { i }!~ P \frac{1}{!_{1} \quad!_{k}} \quad \text { i } \quad\left(!_{1} \quad!_{k}\right) \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P$ m eans principal part.
The introduction of $i$ in the continuous lim it is related to a change of the physical situation. In the discrete case the boundaries of the system cause periodicity in the $m$ otion of the particle and the eld. In contrast, in the continuous case the boundaries play no role. In the continuous lim it we can have dam ping of the particle, as the eld em itted from the particle goes aw ay and never com es back. A nd we can have B rownian motion, due to the interaction $w$ ith the continuous set of eld $m$ odes. $T$ he continuous lim it $m$ ay be well approxim ated by a discrete system during tim e scales much shorter than the tim e scale forwhich the eld goes across the boundaries.

In the continuous lim it we can have dam ping of the particle either tow ard the fiuture or tow ard the past. This
corresponds to the existence of the two branches $i$ in Eq. (62). Breaking of tim e sym $m$ etry is connected to resonances [ ${ }^{2}$

As show in [ $\mathrm{Ba}_{1}^{\prime}$ ], continuing the perturbation expansion ( 6 (621) to all orders one obtains new renorm alized $m$ odes ( G am ow m odes) associated w th the com plex frequency

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
z_{1} & \vdots_{1} & \text { i } \tag{64}
\end{array}
$$

or its com plex conjugate $z_{1}$. H ere $!_{1}$ is the renorm alized frequency of the particle, and $2>0$ is the dam ping rate. $T$ he com plex frequencies are solutions of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
(!)=!\quad!_{1} \quad d k \frac{{ }^{2} v_{k}^{2}}{\left(z \quad!_{k}\right)!}=0: \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

The +() superscript indicates that the propagator is rst evaluated on the upper (lower) half plane of $z$ and then analytically continued to $z=$ !.

Thenew m odes for the i branch in Eq..$\left._{1}^{-1(6)} 2\right)$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{Q}_{1} & =N_{1}^{1=2}\left[q_{1}+{ }^{X} q_{k} q_{k}\right] ;  \tag{66}\\
q_{k} & =\frac{V_{k}}{\left(z \quad!_{k}\right)_{z_{1}}^{+}} ; N_{1}=\left(1+{ }_{2}^{X} C_{k}^{2}\right)^{1} \tag{67}
\end{align*}
$$

and its com plex conjugate, satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{H}} \widetilde{Q}_{1}=\quad \mathrm{z} \widetilde{Q}_{1} ; \quad \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{H}} \widetilde{Q}_{1}=\mathrm{z}_{1} \widetilde{Q}_{1}: \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

The mode $\widetilde{Q}_{1}$ decays for $t>0$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{\mathrm{iL} H}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \widetilde{Q}_{1}=e^{\mathrm{iz} z_{1} t} \widetilde{Q}_{1}=e^{\left(\mathrm{i} \cdot!_{1}\right.}{ }^{i t} \widetilde{\Omega}_{1} \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

(and sim ilarly $\widetilde{Q}_{1}$ ).
Them odes for the + i branch are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{1}=N_{1}^{1=2}\left[q_{1}+{ }_{k}^{X} q_{k} q_{k}\right] \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

and its com plex conjugate, satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{H} Q_{1}=z_{1} Q_{1} ; \quad L_{H} Q_{1}=z_{1} Q_{1}: \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ hese $m$ odes decay for $t<0$.
The m odes we have introduced have quite di erent properties from the usualcanonicalvariables. Their P oisson brackets vanish

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ifQ}_{1} ; Q_{1} g=i f \widetilde{Q}_{1} ; \widetilde{Q}_{1} g=0: \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ow ever the modes $\widetilde{Q}_{1}$ and $Q_{1}$ are duals; they form a generalized canonical pair

$$
\begin{equation*}
i f \widetilde{Q}_{1} ; Q_{1} g=1: \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

This algebra corresponds to an extension of the usualL ie algebra including dissipation. A $n$ analogue of th is algebra has been previously studied in quantum $m$ echanics [2] [ $\left.{ }^{3} \bar{Z}^{\prime}\right]$ ], in term $s$ of non $H$ ibertian bras and kets.

## VI. THE TRANSFORMATION

U sing the above results we now introduce. In this paper wew ill restrict the action of to products of particle $m$ odes of the form $q_{1}{ }^{m} q_{1}^{n}$. This $w$ ill be enough to calculate renorm alized functions of the particle variables (expandable in monom ials), which will lead us to the Langevin and Fokker-P lanck equations. T he action of on m ore general functions, including eld m odes w ill be

A. De ning through its action on particle m odes
$F$ irst recall that in the integrable case the renorm alized particle $m$ odes are related to the originalm odes as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Q}_{1}=\mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{q}_{1} ; \quad \mathrm{Q}_{1}=\mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{q}_{1} \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

For products ofm odes we have as well the relation ( $\overline{6} \overline{0} \overline{1})$. In the continuous spectrum lim it we com e to the nonintegrable case. A s seen in the previous Section, we elim inate $P$ oincare divergences in single renorm alized particle $m$ odes by analytic continuation offrequencies to the com plex plane (i.e. ! ${ }_{1}$ goes to $z_{1}$ ) leading to $G$ am ow modes. $T$ here are tw o branches for the continuation, nam ely

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.Q_{1}\right) \quad \frac{Q_{1}}{Q_{1}}: ~ \tag{75}
\end{align*}
$$

C orresponding to these extensions, we introduce , the


$$
\begin{align*}
& \widetilde{Q}_{1}={ }^{{ }^{\mathrm{y}}}{\underline{q_{1}}} ; \quad \widetilde{Q}_{1}={ }^{\mathrm{y}} \underline{q}_{1} ; \\
& Q_{1}={ }^{1}{ }^{q_{1}} ; \quad Q_{1}={ }^{1}{ }^{1} \underline{q}_{1}: \tag{76}
\end{align*}
$$

T hese relations partially de ne, by its action on single particle $m$ odes (a more com plete de nition is given below). This de nition satis es the requirem ents (1), (3) and (4) given in the Introduction. W e w ill com m ent on the rem aining requirem ents (2) and (5) below. N ote that ${ }^{y} \in \quad^{1}$ is not unitary. Instead, it is \star-unitary,"

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{1}=\quad ?: \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

In our case, where we restrict the action of to particle m odes, star con jugation has a sim ple m eaning. It sim ply $m$ eans taking herm itian conjugation and changing i )
i , so we have, e.g., [? (i )]q = [y (i)]d. For the general de nition of star conjugation, see $\left[15_{1}^{\prime}\right.$ references therein.

Due to star-unitarity, the existence of the starcon jugate transform ation ? guarantees the existence of the inverse ${ }^{1}$.

A smentioned above we are interested not only in the renorm alized $m$ odes, but also the renorm alized products ofm odes,

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{\mathrm{y}} \mathcal{G}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{m}} q_{1}^{\mathrm{n}} ; \quad{ }^{1} q_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{m}} q_{1}^{n}: \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the integrable case, renorm alized products of $m$ odes can be easily calculated thanks to the distributive property $\left(\overline{6} \sigma_{\mathrm{O}}^{\mathrm{j}}\right)$. H ow ever, as show $n$ below, for the nonintegrable case products of G am ow m odes give new $P$ oincare divergences. $H$ ence, due to the requirem ent (4) stated in the Introduction, the transform ation has to be non-distributive. This $m$ eans that we still have to de ne the action of on products of particle $m$ odes.

Let us rst consider the transform ed product ${ }^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{I}_{1} q_{1}$. Later we will generalize this to obtain the expressions (7- ${ }^{\prime}$ ). If ${ }^{y}$ were distributive, ${ }^{y_{q_{1}}} q_{1}$ could be expressed as the product $\widetilde{Q}_{1} \widetilde{Q}_{1}=\left({ }^{Y} \mathbb{G}_{1}\right)\left({ }^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{C}_{1}\right)$. H ow ever, as we show now, this expression gives P oincare divergences in the them odynam ic lim it. W e have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.+2_{k ; k^{0}}^{X_{k}^{0}} q_{k} q_{k} q_{k} q_{k}+2_{k}^{X} j_{k}{ }_{j}^{2} q_{k} q_{k}\right): \tag{79}
\end{align*}
$$

where the prim $e$ in the sum $m$ ation $m$ eans $k k^{0}$. $G$ oing to the continuous lim it and taking the ensem ble average w ith an ensemble the last term becom es

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { X } \mathrm{X}^{2} \\
& \text { k } \\
& \text { Z } \\
& \dot{\mathcal{q}_{k}}{ }^{\mathrm{J}} \mathrm{hq}_{k} \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{i} \text { ! }  \tag{80}\\
& \mathrm{dk} \frac{\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}}{\left(\mathrm{z} \quad!_{\mathrm{k}}\right)_{z_{1}}^{+}}{ }^{2} \mathrm{hJ} \mathrm{~J} i
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here $h J_{k} i=m q_{k} q_{k} j i i . T h i s t e r m$ has a non-vanishing nite value in the lim it L ! 1 if Eq. (7, ) is satis ed. Furthem ore, if the ensemble belongs to the class of ensembles with -fiunction singularities in the wave num ber k, then Eq. ( $800_{1}^{1}$ ) is non-negligible as com pared to the average of the $q_{k} q_{k} \circ$ term in Eq. (7q). For this class of ensem bles the point contribution $\mathrm{k}^{2}=\mathrm{k}^{0}$ is as im portant as the integration over $k^{0}[13,1,14,1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{k^{0}}^{X} q_{k} q_{k} \circ i \quad h h_{k} i \quad O\left(L^{0}\right): \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see A ppendix ${ }^{-1}$ ). Thistype ofensem blesw ith -function singularities is by no m eans atypical. An exam ple of this class of ensembles is the $G$ ibbs distribution. For ensem bles in this class, we have well de ned intensive and extensive variables in the therm odynam ic lim it [35군]. To low est order we have in Eq. ( $8\left(8 \mathrm{O}_{1}^{1}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}}{(\mathrm{z}} \quad!_{\mathrm{k}}\right)_{\mathrm{z}_{1}}^{+} \quad=\frac{\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}}{!_{1} \quad!_{\mathrm{k}}+\mathrm{i}}+O\left({ }^{3}\right) \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

which leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}}{\left(\mathrm{z}!_{\mathrm{k}}\right)_{z_{1}}^{+}}{ }^{2} & =\frac{{ }^{2} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}^{2}}{j!_{1}!_{\mathrm{k}}+i{ }_{j}^{2}}+O\left({ }^{4}\right)  \tag{83}\\
& =-{ }^{2} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}^{2}\left(!_{1} \quad!_{\mathrm{k}}\right)+O\left({ }^{4}\right)!1:
\end{align*}
$$

 lytic at $=0$ due to the resonance at $!_{1}^{\prime}=!_{k}$. We have Poincare divergence in the perturbation series of $\left({ }^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{G}_{1}\right)\left({ }^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{G}_{1}}\right)$.
W e note that when the energy of the eld is nonextensive, we have $h \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{i} \quad \mathrm{O}(1=\mathrm{L})$. The energy density goes to zero in the in nite volume lim it. In this case the appearance of the $P$ oincare divergence in Eq . ( $88 \mathrm{~B}_{1}^{-1}$ ) has no e ect on the particle.

For quantum mechanics the situation is di erent. We can have uctuations even in non-extensive situations [1]'던] due to vacuum e ects. For exam ple we obtain, for a two-level atom, an energy uctuation of the dressed excited state which is of the order of the decay rate. This gives an uncertainty relation betw een energy and lifetim e.

Com ing back to ourm ain discussion, we conclude that

is, by de nition, analytic in the coupling constant. To $m$ ake this transform ed product analytic, we $m$ ake the replacem ent

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.2_{k}^{x} \dot{\jmath}_{k} \int^{f} q_{k} q_{k}\right) \quad 2_{k}^{X} \quad{ }_{k} q_{k} q_{k} \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ is a suitable analytic function. D ue to the requirem ents on stated in the Introduction this function is not quite arbitrary. Indeed, in the integrable case the term $q_{k} q_{k}$ would appear in $U^{y} q_{1} q_{1}$ as $2^{2} k q_{k}^{2} q_{k} q_{k}$ (see Eq. (4 $\left.\overrightarrow{1}_{1}\right)$ ). In the nonintegrable case $q_{k}$ is extended to $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}}$ or $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{k}}$, and becom es com plex. Taking into account the requirem ents (1), (3) and (4) in the Introduction we conclude that a suitable extension of $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{k}}^{2}$ to the non integrable case is the linear superposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=r q_{k}^{2}+c: C: r+r=1 \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $r$ is a complex constant to be determ ined. The relation $r+r=1$ is the sim plest relation which guarantees $k$ reduces to $c_{k}^{2}$ in the integrable case [see also the com $m$ ents below Eq. (F13)].

So we have $\left.\left.[4]_{1}\right]_{1}\right]$

$$
{ }^{\mathrm{y}} q_{1} q_{1}=\widetilde{Q}_{1} \widetilde{Q}_{1}+{ }^{\mathrm{X}}{ }_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{k}} q_{k} q_{k}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}}={ }^{2} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \dot{j}\left(\dot{\mathfrak{K}}{ }^{2}+{ }_{k}\right): \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s show $n$ in A ppendix ${ }^{\mathbf{F}} \mathbf{F}^{\prime}$ using the requirem ent (2) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=\frac{\exp (\quad i a=2)}{2 \cos (a=2)} ; \quad N_{1}=\not N_{1} j \exp (\quad i a) \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

giving a concrete form of in Eq. ( $\left.\bar{B}_{2} \bar{\sigma}\right)$. By including the term $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}}$ in Eq. ( 8 - $\left.\overline{\mathrm{G}}\right)$ we have rem oved the P oincare divergence in the product of $G$ am ow m odes. A s a consequence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{\mathrm{y}} \mathcal{q}_{1} q_{1} \in\left({ }^{\mathrm{y}} \mathcal{G}_{1}\right)\left({ }^{\mathrm{y}} \mathcal{Q}_{1}\right): \tag{89}
\end{equation*}
$$

This show $s$ the non-distributive property of .
For weak coupling the approxim ate value of $b_{k}$ is given by [1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}} \quad \frac{2}{\mathrm{~L}} \frac{{ }^{2} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}^{2}{ }^{2}}{\left[\left(!_{\mathrm{k}} \quad!_{1}\right)^{2}+{ }^{2}\right]^{2}}: \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

This has a shanp peak at $!_{k}=\ell_{1}$ with a width. It corresponds to the line shape ofem ission and absorption of the eld by the renorm alized particle.

To nd m ore general transform ed products ${ }^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{q}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{q}_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}$, we apply the sam e logic that led to Eq. (8d). W henever $\dot{j}_{k}{ }^{\jmath}$ appears in $\widetilde{Q}_{1}{ }^{m} \widetilde{Q}_{1}^{n}$, we replace it w ith k . This leads to (see A ppendix 'G1).

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{C}_{1}{ }^{m} q_{1}^{n} \tag{91}
\end{align*}
$$

where $m$ in $(m ; n)$ is the sm aller of $m, n$, and

$$
\mathrm{Y} \quad{ }_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{k}} \text { : }
$$

$N$ ote that $b_{k} \quad O(1=L)$. Hence $Y \quad O\left(\begin{array}{l}0\end{array}\right)$ only if the eld obeys the them odynam ic lim it condition, Eq. $\left(\bar{T}_{1}\right)$. $O$ therw ise $Y$ vanishes as $1=L$ and $y$ becom es distributive. A lso, when there are no resonances, $z_{1}$ becom es real and both $b_{k}$ and $Y$ vanish. Then ${ }^{y}$ reduces to $U{ }^{Y}$ (see Eq. $\left.\left(\overline{(6 O O}_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right)$. In short, both therm odynam ic lim it and resonances are necessary to obtain non distributivity of
y in Eq. ( $\left.9 \overline{9}_{1}^{1}\right)$, which, as we w ill see in the next section, gives the uctuations found in B row nian motion.
For ${ }^{1} q_{1}{ }^{m} q_{1}^{n}$ we obtain the expression (911 ) w ith $\widetilde{Q}_{1} ; \widetilde{Q}_{1}$ replaced by $Q_{1} ; Q_{1}$, respectively.

## B. Obtaining closed $M$ arkovian equations

The transform ation we have presented satis es all our requirem ents (1)-(4) stated in the Introduction. N ow we show that also satis es the requirem ent (5) nam ely, that gives closed M arkovian equations. To obtain closed M arkovian kinetic equations, we rst operate
 equations involve a pro jection (or a part) of the ensem ble ~. In order for to give closed kinetic of equations, we require that the transform ed Liouvillian ~ in Eq. ${ }_{(1)}^{(\$)}$ leaves subspaces corresponding to pro jections of ~ invariant. W e w ill represent these subspaces by pro jection operators $P^{(1)}$, which are orthogonal and com plete in the dom ain of $\sim$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{()} P^{()}=P^{()} \quad ; \quad X \quad P^{()}=1 \text { : } \tag{93}
\end{equation*}
$$

The invariance property of ${ }^{\sim}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{(1) \sim} \sim \sim_{P}^{()}: \tag{94}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thanks to this com mutation property, we obtain from Eq. (넌) closed M arkovian equations for the pro jections of ~,

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \frac{@}{@ t} P^{()} \sim(t)=\sim P^{()} \sim(t): \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e choose $P^{(1)}$ as eigenprojectors of $L_{0}$. We have $L_{0} P^{\prime}()=W^{()} P^{(1)}$ where $W^{()}$are the eigenvalues. Then, for the integrable case the relation (94) is autom atically satis ed, since in this case ${ }^{\sim}$ reduces to $L_{0}$, the renorm alized free Liouvillian w ith eigenpro jectors $P{ }^{(1)}$.

In the Friedrichs $m$ odel the $P$ ( ) subspaces consist of $m$ onom ials (or supenposition of $m$ onom ials) of eld and particle $m$ odes. For exam ple the $m$ onom ials

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{1} q_{k}=P^{(1 k)} q_{1} q_{k} ; \quad q_{1} q_{k} q_{1} q_{1}=P^{(1 k)} q_{1} q_{k} q_{1} q_{1} \tag{96}
\end{equation*}
$$

belong to the same subspace $P^{(1 k)}$ w ith eigenvalue $\mathrm{w}^{(1 \mathrm{k})} \quad!_{1} \quad!_{k}$.

O ne may introduce a H ilbert space structure for the eigenfunctions of $\mathrm{L}_{0}$, including suitable norm alization constants in the SegalB argm ann representation [ $\left.{ }_{2}^{2} \overline{2}_{1}\right]$. W e also note that ${ }^{y}$ transform ed variables ${ }^{y} P{ }^{(1)}$ A generate the kinetic equation (951) as we have $\mathrm{m} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{P}}{ }^{(1)}$ A $j$ ( t ) $i i=$ mA $\boldsymbol{p}^{(1)}{ }^{(1 i n}$.

N ow we verify that the relation (94) is satis ed for the transform ation we have constructed. W e restrict ourselves to the com ponents associated $w$ ith the $m$ onom ials in Eq. (7́ㅇ). These belong to eigenspaces of $L_{0}$ w ith eigenvalues $(m \quad n)!_{1} . W$ e denote the corresponding projectors as $\mathrm{P}^{(\mathrm{mn})}$. U sing Eq. (İí) in A ppendix it with $q_{1}^{0}=0$, we nd

$$
{ }^{\sim y} q_{1}^{m} q_{1}^{n}=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
\left(m z_{1}\right. & \left.n z_{1}\right) & q_{1} q_{1} & 2 i m n Y
\end{array}\right] q_{1}^{m} \quad q_{1}^{1} n_{1}^{n} \quad \text { (97) }
$$

and sim ilarly

$$
{ }^{\sim} q^{m} q_{1}^{n}=\left[\left(m z_{1} \quad n z_{1}\right) q_{1} q_{1}+2 i m n Y\right] q_{1}{ }^{m}{ }^{1} q_{1}^{n}{ }^{1}: \text { (98) }
$$

Both the lh.s. and the r.h.s. of these two equations belong to the sam e eigenspace $P^{(m n)}$. This illustrates the statem ent that ${ }^{\sim}$ leaves the subspaces $P$ ( ) invariant, satisfying the requirem ent (5).

D ue to the $Y$ tem, $q_{1}{ }^{m} q_{1}^{n}$ are not eigenfunctions of ${ }^{\sim}$, so $P^{(m n)}$ is not an eigenpro jector of ${ }^{\sim}$. This is quite natural, since the kinetic processes include both the decay of the particle $m$ odes (through em ission of the eld) and the absonption of eld $m$ odes. These correspond to the rst and second term $s$ inside brackets in Eqs. (9971), ( $\overline{9} \overline{8}^{2}$ ), respectively.
C. subdynam ics

W e comment on the theory of subdynam ics, developed by the B russels school [1] [1]. W e introduce the projectors

$$
\begin{equation*}
()={ }^{1} P(): \tag{99}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sim ilar to Eq. (93i]), they are orthogonal and com plete. From Eq. (994) it follows that ( ${ }^{2} L_{H}=L_{H}$ (). Hence these projectors de ne independent subspaces that follow independent, closed dynam ics. T he pro jectors them selves $m$ ay be w ritten in term $s$ of generalized eigenstates of $L_{H}$, which give a com plex spectral representation of this operator $\left.{ }^{1} 1_{1}^{1}{ }^{1}\right]$.

From the completeness relation of the $P$ () or ('projectors, we can recover the tim e evolution in the original variables as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t)={ }^{X} \quad{ }^{1} P() \sim(t)={ }^{X} \quad() \quad(t): \tag{100}
\end{equation*}
$$

This show s that, as pointed out in the Introduction, $\mathrm{dy}-$ nam ics is decom posed into a set of com ponents obeying $M$ arkovian equations. In order to actually use Eq. (10'd) we need to know all the com ponents $P^{()} \sim(t)$ (or equivalently, () ( $t$ )). At the present $m$ om ent we have only obtained a restricted set of these. $T$ his is enough for our present goal. $R$ ather than solving the originalequations of $m$ otion (which can be done by other standard $m$ ethods) our goal is to show that B row nian m otion, G aussian white noise and dam ping are part ofdynam ics seen in the representation.
The contruction of we have presented here is based on renom alized particle $m$ odes. A $m$ ore general construction of starts $w$ th the commutation relation ( 9 is $\overline{4}$ ) together w ith the other requirem ents stated in the Introduction. The $m$ ain idea is to associate a ldegree of correlation" w ith each subspace $P$ ( ). D ynam ics induces transitions am ong di erent P ( ) subspaces. W e have a \dynam ics of correlations" [3] ${ }^{2}$ ']. This allow s us to perform the regularization ofdenom inators ofU in a system atic way, depending on types of transitions (from lower to higher correlations or vice versa), which leads to . $T$ he interested reader can nd a presentation of this for-


## VII. COMPARISON W ITH THE

PHENOMENOLOGICALLANGEVINEQUATION

In this Section we discuss the relation betw een the solution of the phenom enologicallangevin equation for the Friedrichsm odel and $y$ transform ed particle $m$ odes (i.e. dressed m odes). W ew ill focus on the ${ }^{\mathrm{y}}$ transform ation, so that the transform ed variables decay fort $>0$ [ 4 did] (see Eq. ( $6 \overline{6}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) ) . Rem arkably, the Langevin equation and the dynam ical equations for dressed $m$ odes have the sam $e$ solution.

The phenom enological Langevin equation for the Brownian harm onic oscillator appropiate for the Friedrichsm odel has the form ( $t>0$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} \hat{q}_{1}(t)=\quad i \hat{\beta}_{1} \hat{q}_{1}(t)+\hat{R}(t) ; \tag{101}
\end{equation*}
$$

 use the hats to denote phenom enological variables. T he
com plex coe cient $\hat{z}_{1} \quad \hat{\ominus}_{1} \quad$ i^ gives the frequency and the dam ping rate of the oscillator. W e assum e $\hat{R}(t)$ has the follow ing properties:
(1) $\hat{R}(t)$ and $\hat{R}\left(t^{0}\right)$ have the delta function (white noise) correlation.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{D}_{\hat{R}}(t) \hat{R}\left(t^{0}\right)^{E}=\hat{R}_{c}^{2} \quad(t \quad t) \tag{102}
\end{equation*}
$$

where him eans noise average.
(2) $\hat{R}(t)$ has the $G$ aussian property

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{R}\left(t_{1}\right)::: \hat{R} \quad\left(t_{m}\right) \hat{R}\left(t_{1}^{0}\right)::: \hat{R}\left(t_{n}^{0}\right)^{E} \\
& \text { (103) } \\
& \left.=\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{mn} & \hat{D} \hat{R}\left(t_{i_{1}}\right) \hat{R}\left(t_{j_{1}}^{0}\right) & \mathrm{D} \\
\hat{R} & \left(t_{i_{n}}\right) \hat{R}\left(t_{j_{n}}^{0}\right)
\end{array}\right): \\
& \text { allpairs }
\end{aligned}
$$

The noise constant $\hat{R}_{c}$ is determ ined in A ppendix 'Hi' using equipartition of energy and assum ing the bath is at tem perature $T$. The result is $\hat{R}_{C}^{2}=2^{\wedge} k_{B} T=\uparrow_{1}$.

Eq. ( $10-11^{\prime}$ ) corresponds to the equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d t} \hat{x}_{1}(t)=\hat{\hat{x}_{1}}(t)+\frac{\hat{p}_{1}(t)}{\mathrm{m}^{\prime}}+A(t) ;  \tag{104}\\
& \frac{d}{d t} \hat{p}_{1}(t)=\hat{\hat{p}^{\hat{1}}(t) \quad \mathrm{m}_{1}^{2} \hat{\mathrm{r}}_{1}(t)+B(t):} \tag{105}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A_{\text {( }}(t)$ and $B(t)$ are independent $G$ aussian white noises [ ${ }^{3}$ - $]$ ]. These equations describe a dam ped harm on ic oscillator $w$ th random m om entum and force term sA ( $t$ ) and $B(t)$, respectively. The equations are sym $m$ etrical under rescaled position and $m$ om entum exchange, which is consistent $w$ ith the sam e sym $m$ etry of the $H$ am iltonian.

The solution of Eq. (101) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{q}_{1}(t)=\hat{q}_{1 a}(t)+\hat{q}_{1 r}(t) ; \tag{106}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{q}_{1 a}(t) \quad \hat{q}(0) e^{\mathrm{i} z_{1} t} ;  \tag{107}\\
& \hat{q}_{1 r}(t) \quad e^{i \hat{z}_{1} t} \int_{0}^{t} d t^{0} \hat{R}\left(t^{0}\right) e^{i z_{1} t^{0}}: \tag{108}
\end{align*}
$$

The term $\hat{q}_{1 a}(t)$ describes the dam ped ham onic oscillator without noise, and the term $g_{1 r}(t)$ describes the behavior due to the noise.

For later com parison we calculate the autocorrelation function hof ${ }^{m}$ ( $(t) q_{1}^{n}(t) i . W$ e have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q_{q_{1}}^{m}(t) q_{1}^{n}(t) \\
& =h\left({\hat{q_{1}}}(t)+\hat{q}_{1-}(t)\right)^{m}\left(\hat{q}_{1 a}(t)+\hat{q}_{1 r}(t)\right)^{\mathrm{n}} i
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{q}_{a}{ }^{m} \quad{ }^{k}(t) \hat{q}_{1 a}^{n}{ }^{l}(t) \quad \hat{q}_{1 r}^{k}(t) q_{1 r}^{l}(t): \tag{109}
\end{align*}
$$

The quantity $\hat{q}_{r}^{k}(t) q_{I_{r}}^{l}(t)$ is non-zero only when $k=$ $l$, as we can see from Eq. (103). Considering the
fact that the number of sets of all possible pairs in
$\hat{R}\left(t_{1}\right)::: \hat{R} \quad\left(t_{1}\right) \hat{R}\left(t_{1}^{0}\right)::: \hat{R}\left(t_{1}^{0}\right) \quad$ is $1!$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \theta_{I_{r}}^{k}(t) q_{I_{r}}^{1}(t) \\
& =1!{ }_{k l} \quad e^{i \hat{z}_{1} t} \int_{0}^{Z} d t_{1} \hat{R} \quad\left(t_{1}\right) e^{i z_{1} t_{1}} \\
& e^{i z_{1} t} \int_{0}^{Z} d t_{2} \hat{R}\left(t_{2}\right) e^{i z_{1} t_{2}} \\
& =1!k 1{\hat{R_{C}^{2}}\left(1 e^{2^{\wedge} t}\right)}_{2^{\wedge}}{ }^{1} \\
& =1!{ }_{k l} \frac{k_{B} T}{f_{1}}{ }^{1}\left(1 \quad e^{2^{\wedge} t}\right)^{1}: \tag{110}
\end{align*}
$$

 get

$$
\begin{align*}
& h g_{1}{ }^{m}(t) q_{1}^{n}(t) i \\
& =e^{i\left(m \hat{z}_{1} n \hat{z}_{1}\right) t^{m i n} X^{(m ; n)}} \frac{m!n!}{(m \quad l)!(n \quad l)!!} \\
& \hat{q}^{m} \quad{ }^{1}(0) \hat{q}_{1}^{n}{ }^{1}(0) \frac{k_{B} T}{\Gamma_{1}} \quad\left(e^{2^{\wedge} t} \quad 1\right)^{1}: \tag{111}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we can com pare the above expression w th the tim e-evolved dressed products $e^{i L_{H} t}{ }^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{G}_{1}{ }^{m} \mathrm{q}_{1}^{\mathrm{n}} \cdot \mathrm{W}$ e have (see Eq. ( $\overline{6} \overline{6} \overline{1}$ ) and Eq. $\left(\overline{9} \overline{1} \overline{1}^{\prime}\right)$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.e^{\dot{j}\left(m z_{1}\right.} \quad n z_{1}\right) t e^{2}{ }^{a t} \widetilde{Q}^{m}{ }^{a} Q^{n}{ }^{a} Y^{a}: \tag{112}
\end{align*}
$$

W riting

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{2} a t=X_{1=0}^{X^{a}} \frac{a!}{1!(a \quad l)!}\left(e^{2 t} \quad 1\right)^{1} \tag{113}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $l^{0}=a \quad$ lwe have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.e^{i\left(m z_{1}\right.} \quad n z_{1}\right) t Q^{m} \quad{ }^{1}{ }^{1} Q^{n} \quad l^{1} Y^{l+1^{0}}\left(e^{2 t} \quad 1\right)^{1} \\
& =X_{l=0}^{X^{n}} \frac{m n!}{(m \quad l)!(n \quad l)!~} e^{i\left(m z_{1} \quad n z_{1}\right) t}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& Y^{1}\left(e^{2 t} \quad 1\right)^{1}: \tag{114}
\end{align*}
$$

U sing Eq. ( 9 은) again we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& e^{\mathrm{iLI}_{H} t}{ }^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{C}_{1}{ }^{m} q_{1}{ }^{n} \\
& =e^{i\left(m z_{1} \quad n z_{1}\right) t} X_{l=0}^{n} \frac{m!n!}{(m \quad l)!(n \quad l)!}! \\
& \left({ }^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{C}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{m}} \quad \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{I}}^{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{1}\right) Y^{1}\left(e^{2 \mathrm{t}} \quad 1\right)^{1}: \tag{115}
\end{align*}
$$

 correspondences

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{z}_{1} & z_{1}  \tag{116}\\
\frac{k_{B} T}{r_{1}}, & Y={ }_{k} b_{k} q_{k} q_{k} \\
h \hat{g}_{1}^{m}(t) q_{1}^{n}(t) i, & e^{i L H} t^{y}\left(q_{1}^{m} q_{1}^{n}\right):
\end{align*}
$$

The form and tim e evolution of the ensem ble average of Langevin equation variables are the sam e as those of transform ed variables. Furtherm ore, if we take the ensemble average of ${ }^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{G}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{q}_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}$, we see a closer correspondence. Let us assum e that the eld action $J_{k}$ obeys the unperturbed $G$ ibbs distribution. The initial distribution $\sim_{0}()$ has the form (w th $\quad 1=\left(k_{B} T\right)$ )

$$
\sim_{0}()=C \quad 01\left(J_{1} ; 1\right) \exp \left(\begin{array}{ll}
X & \left.!_{k} J_{k}\right) \tag{117}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $C$ is a norm alization constant, $k_{B}$ is Boltzm ann's constant and $T$ is the tem perature. A s shown in Eq. (E) the average of $J_{k}$ over this ensem ble is

$$
\begin{equation*}
h J_{k} i=\frac{1}{!_{k}}=\frac{k_{B} T}{!_{k}}: \tag{118}
\end{equation*}
$$

To calculate ${ }^{P}{ }_{k} b_{k} h J_{k} i$, we need the form of $b_{k}$. The approxim ate value ofb $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}}$ is given in E q. (90.1), which for the w eak coupling case is approxim ated by the delta function (2 =L) (! $\left.!_{k} \quad!_{1}\right)$ [15] So we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{hJ} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{i}={ }_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{k}} \frac{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~T}}{!_{\mathrm{k}}} \quad \frac{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~T}}{!_{1}}: \tag{119}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that $!_{k}{ }^{1}$ does not $m$ ake any divergence for sm all $k$ since $b_{k}$ is proportional to $v_{k}^{2} \quad!_{k}$ for sm all $k$.

In short, we obtain a com plete correspondence between transform ed $m$ odes and Langevin modes (see Eq. ( $11-1$ ) ). T he system atic rem oval of P oincare divergences in the transform ation gives the $G$ aussian white noise structure.

## VIII. THE FOKKER-PLANCKEQUATION

U sing the above results we can now derive the Fokker$P$ lanck equation for the transform ed density function $\sim=$
. W e start w ith the transform ed equation (see E q. ( $\overline{6} \mathbf{I}_{1}$ ))

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \frac{@}{@ t} \sim=\sim \sim: \tag{120}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e derive the Fokker $P$ lanck equation for $q_{1}, q_{1}$. We follow the standard derivation found in textbooks (see [ $\left.\left.2 \underline{6},{ }^{3}\right]_{1} 7_{1}\right]$, but now in term $s$ of . C onsider a test function $G\left(q_{1} ; q_{1}\right)$, which is sm ooth and vanishes at $j_{1} j=1$. M ultiplying this on both sides of Eq. (120) and integrating over the phase space, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{Z}^{Z} G\left(q_{1} ; q_{1}\right) i \frac{@}{@ t} \sim(; t)=^{Z} d G\left(q_{1} ; q_{1}\right) \sim() \sim(; t) \\
& =\mathrm{dd}{ }^{0} \mathrm{G}\left(q_{1} ; q_{1}\right)^{\sim}()\left({ }^{0}\right) \sim\left({ }^{0} ; \mathrm{t}\right) \text { : } \tag{121}
\end{align*}
$$

 expand $G\left(q_{1} ; q_{1}\right)$ near $q_{1}^{0}$ and $q_{1}^{0}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z \\
& \quad d G\left(q_{1} ; q_{1}\right) i \frac{@}{@ t} \sim(; t)  \tag{122}\\
& =\quad d d^{0} X^{X^{1}} \frac{1}{m=0} \frac{@^{m}}{@\left(q_{1}^{0}\right)^{m}} \frac{@^{n}}{@\left(q_{1}^{0}\right)^{n}} G\left(q_{1}^{0} ; q_{1}^{0}\right) \\
& \\
& \quad\left(q \quad q^{0}\right)^{m}\left(q_{1} \quad q^{0}\right)^{n} \sim()\left(\quad 0^{0}\right) \sim\left({ }^{0} ; t\right):
\end{align*}
$$

Integrating by parts, Eq. (122) becom es

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Z } \\
& d G\left(q_{1} ; q_{1}\right) i \frac{@}{\varrho t} \sim(; t) \\
& ={ }_{Z}^{Z} d^{0} G\left(q_{1}^{0} ; q_{1}^{0}\right)^{X^{B}} X^{X^{1}} \frac{(1)^{m+n}}{m m!} \frac{@^{m}}{@\left(q_{1}^{0}\right)^{m}} \frac{@^{n}}{@\left(q_{1}^{0}\right)^{n}} \\
& d\left(q_{1} \quad \stackrel{0}{q}\right)^{\mathrm{m}}\left(q_{1} \quad q^{0}\right)^{\mathrm{n}} \sim\left(\begin{array}{l}
\text { ( }
\end{array}{ }^{0}\right) \sim\left({ }^{0} ; \mathrm{t}\right):
\end{aligned}
$$

W e call the quantities inside the brackets in E q. (123) the $\backslash \mathrm{m}$ om ents" of orderm +n . Them om ents are calculated explicitly in A ppendix $\frac{1+}{4}$. They are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { ₹ } \quad z_{1} q_{11}^{0} ; \quad m=0 ; n=1 \tag{124}
\end{align*}
$$



$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Z } \quad d G\left(q_{1} ; q_{1}\right) i \frac{@}{@ t} \sim(; t) \\
& =\quad d^{0} G\left(q_{1}^{0} ; q_{1}^{0}\right)\left(\frac{@}{@ q_{1}^{0}}\right)\left(z_{1} q_{1}^{0}\right) \sim\left({ }^{0} ; t\right)  \tag{125}\\
& +\quad d^{0} G\left(q_{1}^{0} ; q_{1}^{0}\right)\left(\frac{@}{@ q_{1}^{0}}\right)\left(z q_{1}^{0}\right) \sim\left({ }^{0} ; t\right) \\
& +\quad d^{0} G\left(q_{1}^{0} ; q_{1}^{0}\right)\left(\frac{@^{2}}{@ q_{1}^{0} @ q_{1}^{0}}\right)\left(2 i{ }_{k}^{X} b_{k} q_{k}^{0} q_{k}^{0}\right) \sim\left({ }^{0} ; t\right):
\end{align*}
$$

By changing the integration variable ${ }^{0}$ to in the right hand side of Eq. (125) and elim inating i on both sides, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z \\
& \mathrm{ZG}\left(q_{1} ; q_{1}\right) \frac{@}{@ t} \sim(; t) \\
= & d G\left(q_{1} ; q_{1}\right) \frac{@}{@ q_{1}}\left(i z_{1} q_{1}\right)+\frac{@}{@ q_{1}}\left(i z_{1} q_{1}\right) \\
+ & \frac{@}{@ q_{1} @ q_{1}}\left(2{ }_{k}^{X} \mathrm{~b}_{k} q_{k} q_{k}\right) \sim(; t): \tag{126}
\end{align*}
$$

N ow suppose that $\sim(; t)$ is factorized at $t=0$. In other words, we w rite ~ ( ; 0) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim(; 0)=g_{1}\left(q_{1} ; q_{1}\right)_{k}^{Y} g_{k}\left(q_{k} ; q_{k}\right): \tag{127}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s show n in A ppendix us to write Eq. (126) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Z } \\
& d_{1} G\left(q_{1} ; q_{1}\right) \frac{@}{@ t}^{Z} d_{f} \sim(; t) \\
& =\quad d_{1} G\left(q_{1} ; q_{1}\right) f \frac{@}{@ q_{1}}\left(i z_{1} q_{1}\right)+\frac{@}{@ q_{1}}\left(i z_{1} q_{1}\right) \\
& +\frac{@^{2}}{@ q_{1} @ q_{1}}\left(2^{X} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{k}} h_{q_{k}} q_{k} i\right)^{Z} d_{f} \sim(; t) \text { : } \tag{128}
\end{align*}
$$

Since G ( 1 ) is an arbitrary test function, we can write Eq. (128) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{@}{@ t} \sim_{1}(1 ; t)=\quad n z_{1} \frac{@}{@ q_{1}} q_{1} \quad i z_{1} \frac{@}{@ q_{1}} q_{1}  \tag{129}\\
& +2^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~h} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{i}{\frac{@}{@ q_{1} @ q_{1}}}^{O} \sim_{1}(1 ; \mathrm{t}) ;
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\sim_{1}(1 ; t)=d_{f \sim(; t): ~}^{Z}
$$

Eq. ( $\left.(12-1)^{-1}\right)$ is our Fokker $P$ lanck equation for the norm al m odes. This equation is applicable for any intitial eld con guration obeying the extensive condition and Eq. $\left(\overline{12} \overline{7}_{1}\right)$. In the non-extensive case, the di usion term containing $b_{k}$ vanishes, and the equation describes dam $p-$ ing of the oscillator w ithout B row nian motion. For the special case where the eld has the unperturbed $G$ ibbs distribution, using the approxim ation ( (119919) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{@}{@ t} \sim_{1}(1 ; t) & \quad f i z \frac{@}{@ q_{1}} q_{1} \quad i z \\
+ & \frac{\varrho k_{B} T}{!_{1}} \frac{@_{1}}{@ q_{1} @ q_{1}} g \sim_{1}(1 ; t): \tag{131}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ his is precisely the equation one obtains from the phenom enological Langevin equation (1011).
$T$ he Fokker $P$ lanck equation for other variables can be also derived from Eq. (129) by changing variables. For exam ple, the FokkerP lanck equation for the position and m om entum $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{p}_{1}$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\varrho}{\varrho t} \sim_{1}(1 ; t) & =\frac{\varrho}{\varrho x_{1}}\left(\frac{p_{1}}{m} \quad x_{1}\right)+\frac{\varrho}{\varrho p_{1}}\left(m t_{1}^{2} x_{1}+p_{1}\right) \\
& +\frac{D_{x}}{2} \frac{\varrho^{2}}{\varrho x_{1}^{2}}+\frac{D_{p}}{2} \frac{\varrho^{2} O}{\varrho p_{1}^{2}} \sim_{1}(1 ; t) ; \tag{132}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{m}=\mathrm{m}!_{1}=!_{1} ; \\
& D_{\mathrm{x}}={\frac{2}{m!_{1}}}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{X}}} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{hJ} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{C} \frac{2 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~T}}{\mathrm{~m}!_{1}^{2}} \text {; } \\
& D_{p}=2 \mathrm{mr} \quad \mathrm{t}_{1} \quad \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{hJ}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{i} \quad 2 \mathrm{mr} \quad \mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~T}:  \tag{133}\\
& \text { k }
\end{align*}
$$

the approxim ate values are applicable for the unperturbed G ibbs distribution.] T he FokkerP lanck equation for the action variable $J_{1}$ is given (after integration over the angle variable 1) by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\varrho}{@ t} \sim\left(J_{1} ; t\right)  \tag{134}\\
& n \\
& =2 \frac{@}{@ J_{1}}\left(J_{1} \frac{k_{B} T}{!_{1}}\right)+D_{J} \frac{@^{2}}{@ J_{1}^{2}} J_{1} \sim\left(J_{1} ; t\right) ;
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{J}=2_{k}^{X} b_{k} h J_{k} i \quad \frac{2 k_{B} T}{t_{1}}: \tag{135}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eqs. (132) and (134) coincide (in the weak-coupling approxim ation) w ith the equations for $B$ row nian $m$ otion of an oscillator in an anharm onic lattice derived in Ref. [35] ${ }^{-1}$. Eq. ( 1 341) (in its exact form) was rst proposed by T . P etrosky [33'].
$N$ ote that Eq. (132-1) is sym metric with respect to rescaled position $x_{1}$ and $m$ om entum $p_{1}$. The reason is that the H am iltonian considered here is symmetric in rescaled $x_{1}$ and $p_{1}$ to begin $w$ th. $T$ he sam $e$ is true for the anharm onic lattice $m$ odel considered in Ref. [B]럴. In contrast, the K ram ers (Fokker-P lanck) equation [3른, ind derived from the $O$ mstein-U hlenbeck phenom enological theory ofB row nian $m$ otion [3] [] is not sym $m$ etric, because the $B$ row nian force breaks the position-m om entum sym metry. In spite of the di erence, for the case $!_{1}$, Eq. (132) gives the sam e solution as the K ram ers equation. The solutions of Eq. (132i) can be found in Ref. [3

## IX . CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we studied the irreversible and stochastic behavior of an oscillator coupled to a eld in the therm odynam ic lim it, using the star-unitary transform ation . W e show ed that the average ofdressed particle $m$ odes has the sam e tim e evolution as the ensem ble average of particle modes in the Langevin equation (1011). A lso, the reduced distribution function for the particle variables exactly obeys the Fokker $P$ lanck equation ( $1 \overline{2}_{2} \overline{9}_{1}^{\prime}$ ), which describes the dam ping and di usion processes. It is rem arkable that the system atic rem oval of P oincare divergences by analytic continuation leads to the sam e structure as that of $G$ aussian white noise.

Since the G aussian structure of the uctuations is com ing from the resonances, rather than the speci c form of the initial eld ensemble, our derivation of the Fokker$P$ lanck equation is valid for both $G$ aussian and nonGaussian eld ensembles. D ue to the Fokker-P lanck evolution, the particle distribution is still $G$ aussian for t! 1 .

O ur m ethod of isolating $P$ oincare divergences can in principle be applied to $m$ ore com plicated system $s$ than the one considered here, in order to investigate further the relation betw een noise and dynam ics.

W e have studied the uctuations of a particle surrounded by a eld. O ne can also consider the uctuations of the eld $m$ odes induced by the particle. A $n$ interesting result is that uctuations of the transform ed eld $m$ odes appear even when the energy of the eld is nonextensive. If we go back to the initial form ulation, acting w ith 1, we recover the usual equations. This w ill be discussed elsew here $\left[\underline{2} \underline{2}_{2}^{\prime}\right]$.

M arkovian equations such as the Langevin or Fokker$P$ lanck equations describe irreversible and stochastic processes. R ather than view ing these equations as approxim ations of $H$ am iltonian dynam ics, we see them as describing com ponents ofdynam ics. These com ponents can be identi ed through a change of phase-space variables obtained by the transform ation. T his gives a representation $w$ ith broken tim e-sym $m$ etry.

In the non M arkovian equations, the ects ofdressing are not separated from irreversible (or them odynam ic) processes. In our approach the dressing on the particle is incorporated from the beginning, since we deal w ith renorm alized particles. This allows us to isolate pure them odynam ic behavior such as B row nian m otion w ith a white noise source. In this sense, we can aim to reform ulate therm odynam ics in term s of renorm alized particles. This is interesting in view of recent claims $\bar{\eta}$ traditional therm odynam ics $m$ ay not apply for quantum $B$ row nian $m$ otion at low tem peratures, due to the nonM arkovian character of quantum noise.

The relation w therm odynam ics requires a precise de nition of entropy or $H$ function. The latter can be given in term $s$ of [16). The introduction of leads to probabilistic considerations in classicalm echanics, independent of incom plete know ledge or quantum corrections. In conclusion, we believe that our approach leads to a uni cation ofdynam ics, them odynam ics and noise.
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APPENDIX A:EXACT TMEEVOLUTION OF PARTICLEAND FIELD MODES

W e consider rst the integrable case. The tim e evolution of the $m$ odes $q_{s}(t)$ can be calculated using the renor$m$ alized $m$ odes $Q_{s}$, which are eigen states of the Liouville operator. W e have

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{i L_{H} t} Q_{s}=e^{i!!_{s} t} Q_{s} \tag{A1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he particle $m$ ode $Q_{1}$ is given Eq. $\left.4 T_{1}\right)$. The eld modes $Q_{k}$ are found from the equation $L_{H} Q_{k}=!_{k} Q_{k}$, which gives

$$
Q_{k}=N_{k}^{1=2}{ }^{h} q_{k}+\frac{V_{k}}{k\left(!_{k}\right)}\left(q_{1}+X_{k^{0}(\xi k)}^{!_{k} \quad!_{k^{0}}} q_{k^{0}}\right)^{i} \text { (A 2) }
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{k}(\mathrm{z}) \quad \mathrm{z} \quad \text { ! } \quad \mathrm{X} \quad \frac{{ }^{2} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{k}^{0}}^{2}}{\mathrm{z} \quad!_{\mathrm{k}^{0}}} \text {; }  \tag{A3}\\
& !_{k}=!_{k}+\frac{{ }^{2} V_{k}^{2}}{k\left(!_{k}\right)} \tag{A4}
\end{align*}
$$

N ote that $!_{k}=!_{k}+O(1=\mathrm{L})$ and also $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{k}}=1+\mathrm{O}(1=\mathrm{L})$. As long as $L$ is nite all the denom inators are nonvanishing, and there are no resonances.

W ew rite the linear relations betw een dressed and bare m odes as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{s}={ }_{r}^{X} c_{s r} q_{r} ; \quad q_{s}={ }_{r}^{X} c_{r s} Q_{r}: \tag{A6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, using Eq. ( $\operatorname{Aa}_{-1}^{-1}$-1 $)$ we get the coe cients $f_{s r}(t)$ in the equation $q_{S}(t)={ }_{r} f_{\text {sr }}(t) q_{r}(0)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{S r}(t)=X_{r^{0}}^{X} C_{r r} e^{i!}{ }^{i!{ }_{r}{ }^{0} C_{r^{0} 0_{S}}: ~} \tag{A7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the nonintegrable case resonances appear (see Sec. $\left.\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{V}_{1}\right)$ and if $w e$ insist on keeping the renom alized $m$ odes as usualcanonical variables, then the particlem odes dissappear into the continuum of eld modes (we can how ever introduce the Gam ow m odes of Sec . $\overline{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{l}$, which are noncanonical). K eeping canonical modes, the H am iltonian is represented as $[2,1]$, 2

$$
\begin{equation*}
H={ }_{k}!_{k} \widetilde{Q}_{k} \sigma_{k} \tag{A8}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the continuous lim it. T he renorm alized eld $m$ odes are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\mathscr{Q}}_{k}=q_{k}+\frac{V_{k}}{\left(!_{k}\right)} q_{i}+{ }_{k^{0}}^{X} \frac{V_{k} 0}{!_{k}}!_{k^{0}} q_{k}{ }^{0} \tag{A9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is a positive in nitesim alquantity. There are two branches, corresponding to analyticalcontinuation to the lower or upper half planes of $!_{k}$. For $t>0$, and given the initial condition Eq. (4근), it is convenient to take the branch $w$ ith $i$, since this $w$ ill give exponential decay of the particle $m$ odes the positive $t$ direction. $W$ e get

$$
\begin{align*}
& q_{1}(t)=X_{k} \frac{V_{k}}{+\left(!_{k}\right)} \sigma_{k}(t)=X_{k} \frac{V_{k}}{+\left(!_{k}\right)} \sigma_{k}(0) e^{i!{ }_{k} t} \\
& ={ }_{k}^{X} \frac{V_{k}}{+\left(!_{k}\right)} q_{k}(0) e^{i!{ }_{k} t}+{ }_{k}^{X} \frac{{ }^{2} V_{k}^{2}}{j^{+}\left(!_{k}\right) \mathcal{J}^{2}} q_{1}(0) e^{i!k_{k} t} \tag{A10}
\end{align*}
$$

Fort $<$ 0, we take the branch with $+i$.

## APPENDIX B:RANDOMNESS IN THEFIED M ODES

W e choose our initial condition $w$ ith the form [411'). In classical mechanics $q_{10} \quad q(0)$ can be determ ined exactly since $q_{10}$ is a function of the initial position and $m$ om entum of the particle. For the $m$ odes $q_{k 0}$ we need $m$ ore care. Let us rst w rite $q_{k 0}$ in term $s$ of action and angle variables,

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{k 0}=p \overline{J_{k 0}} e^{i_{k 0}}: \tag{B1}
\end{equation*}
$$

W ith the ensem ble (44-1 ${ }^{1}$ ) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{L!1} h J_{k 0} i \quad O\left(L^{0}\right) \tag{B2}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the them odynam ic lim it. For exam ple, for an unpertunbed $G$ ibbs therm al distribution of the eld $m$ odes we have $h J_{k 0} i=k_{B} T=!{ }_{k}$ (see Eq. E- ETI) .

For alm ost all phase points $f \bar{J}_{10} ;: \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{k} 0}:: ; 10 ;:: ; \mathrm{k} 0$; : : out of the ensemble, any two di erent angles ko and $k^{0} 0$ have no correlation. In other words, the sequence of angles $f k_{n} 0 g$ is com pletely random for alm ost all cases. $T$ his property allow s the existence of the them odynam ic $\lim$ it [18 [1]. Indeed, if $k 0$ is uniform ly distributed over [ ; ] and the sequence of angles $f{ }_{k_{n}} \circ g$ is com pletely random, then the term

$$
\begin{align*}
& X \quad \frac{V_{k}}{+\left(!_{k}\right)} q_{k 0} e^{i!_{k} t} \\
& =X_{k} \frac{V_{k}}{+\left(!_{k}\right)} P^{J_{k 0}} e^{i\left(!_{k}+k_{k 0}\right) t} \tag{B3}
\end{align*}
$$

in Eq. $(\overline{\mathrm{A}} \overline{\mathrm{I}} \overline{\mathrm{I}})$ is $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{L}^{0}\right)$. This is so because the sum m ation is taken over a sequence com plex num bers $w$ th random phases and hence it is proportional to the square root of the num ber of $m$ odes, which in tum is proportional to $L$. Since $V_{k} \quad L^{1=2}$, Eq. $\left.\left(\bar{B}^{-\overline{3}}\right)^{1}\right)$ is $O\left(L^{0}\right)$. It show $s$ a very irregular tim e evolution $\bar{a}$ st the num ber of $m$ odes increases.
$N$ ote that if ko was a sm ooth function of $k$, then for the rst term of Eq. (A 10, ) we would have in the lim it L! 1

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { ! } \quad \frac{\bar{L}^{2}}{Z} d k{\overline{V_{k}}}_{+\left(!_{k}\right)}^{p} \overline{J_{k 0}} e^{i{ }_{k 0}} \tag{B4}
\end{align*}
$$

and since the integral is $O$ (1), this expression would diverge as $O(\overline{\mathrm{~L}})$.

> APPENDIXC:DERIVATION OFTHE NON-MARKOVIAN FOKKERPLANCK EQUATION

From the Liouville equation we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z \\
& d G\left({ }_{1}\right) \frac{@}{@ t}(; t)=i d \\
& =X^{A} X^{A} G_{m n} M_{m n}(t) L_{H} \quad(; t)  \tag{C1}\\
& m=0 n=0
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& Z \\
M_{m n}(t) & \quad \text { i } d q_{1}^{m} q_{1}^{n} L_{H} \quad(; t): \tag{C2}
\end{array}
$$

U sing E q. (30) we have
Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\mathrm{mn}}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{iL} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{H}}\left[\underline{q}_{1}(t)\right]^{\mathrm{m}}\left[\underline{q}_{1}(t)\right]^{\mathrm{n}}\right)(; 0): \tag{C3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $L_{H}$ is a di erential operator, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{iL}_{H}\left[\underline{q}_{1}(t)\right]^{m}\left[q_{1}(t)\right]^{n} & =m\left[q_{1}(t)\right]^{m}{ }^{1}\left[q_{1}(t)\right]^{n} \text { i山 } L_{H} q_{1}(t) \\
& +n\left[q_{1}(t)\right]^{m}\left[q_{1}(t)\right]^{n}{ }^{1} \dot{1} L_{H} q_{1}(t): \tag{C4}
\end{align*}
$$



$$
\begin{align*}
& i L_{H} q_{1}(t)=i z_{1}(t) q_{1}(t)+R(t) ; \\
& i L_{H} q_{1}(t)=i z_{1}(t) q_{1}(t)+R(t): \tag{C5}
\end{align*}
$$



$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{m n}(t)=M_{m n}^{z}(t)+M_{m n}^{R}(t) \tag{C6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{\mathrm{ran}^{\mathrm{n}}}^{\mathrm{z}} \text { ( } \mathrm{t} \text { ) } \\
& =\quad \mathrm{d}\left[i z_{1}(t) \mathrm{m} \quad \mathrm{ir}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{n}\right]\left[\underline{q}_{1}(\mathrm{t})\right]^{\mathrm{m}}\left[\mathrm{~g}_{1}(\mathrm{t})\right]^{\mathrm{n}} \quad(; 0) \\
& \text { Z } \\
& =\quad d\left[i z_{1}(t) m \quad i z(t) n\right] q_{1}{ }^{m} q_{1}^{n} \quad(\text {; })
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{m n}^{R ; 2}(t)=d n\left[q_{1}(t)\right]^{m}\left[q_{1}(t)\right]^{n}{ }^{1} R(t)(; 0):
\end{aligned}
$$

 have

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{M^{n}}^{R ; 1}(t)  \tag{C9}\\
& =\quad d m\left[q_{1}(t)\right]^{m}{ }^{1}\left[q_{1}(t)\right]^{n} X_{k} h_{k}(t) q_{k}(; 0):
\end{align*}
$$

Then, using Eq. (32') we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& 4 f_{11}(t) q_{1}+{ }^{X} f_{p^{0}}(t) q_{p^{0}} 5  \tag{C10}\\
& \text { X } \\
& \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{k}} \quad(; 0):
\end{align*}
$$

A bbreviating $f \quad f_{1}(t), h_{k}(t) \quad h_{k}$ and using binom ial expansions, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{X}^{\left[f_{1} q_{1}\right]^{m}} X^{1}{ }^{a}\left[f_{1} q_{1}\right]^{n} \quad b \\
& p_{1} \quad{ }_{a+Q p_{1}^{0}}^{f_{p_{1}}} \quad p_{a} h_{p_{a+1}} f_{p_{1}^{0}} \quad p_{b}^{0} \quad f \\
& p_{1} \quad a+p_{1}^{0} \quad p_{b}^{0} p \\
& q_{1} \quad p_{a} q_{p_{a}} q_{1} q_{1}^{0} \quad p_{b}^{0} \quad(1 ; 0)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we changed the variable $k$ to $p_{a+1} D$ ue to the assum ed form of the eld distribution in Eq. (4포) , only expectation values of observables independent of the angles $p$ of the eld $m$ odes are nonzero (in other words, each $q_{j}^{\circ}$ eld $m$ ode $m$ ust be $m$ ultiplied by its com $p l e x$ con jugate $q_{p_{i}} w$ th $p_{j}^{0}=p_{i}$ ). Thus in Eq. ( $\left.\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1}_{1}\right)$ we m ust have $b=a+1$ and we get $(a+1)$ ! possible parings

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\frac{(m}{} \quad 1\right)!\left(\begin{array}{lll}
(m & 1 & a)! \\
\hline(n & 1 & a)!(a+1)!
\end{array}\right. \\
& m_{X}\left[f_{1} q_{1}\right]^{m} \quad 1 \quad{ }^{1}\left[f_{1} q_{1}\right]^{n} \quad 1 \quad a \tag{C12}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{1} \quad a+\text { Q } \\
& (a+1)!q_{1} q_{1} \\
& p_{a} \text { qadq }_{p_{a+1}} q_{a_{a+1}}(; 0) \text { : }
\end{aligned}
$$

$N$ ote that due to the volum e dependence of the coe cients $f_{p} \quad O(1=\bar{L})$ and $h_{p} \quad 1=\bar{L}$ iwhich follow $s$
from Eq. $\left(\bar{A} \overline{1} \overline{O_{0}^{\prime}}\right)$ ], we can neglect the cases where tw o or $m$ ore of the w ave num bers are repeated, e.g., $p_{i}=p_{j}$ for i $\in j$. Indeed, these cases give contributions of order O ( $1=\mathrm{L}$ ) w ithin the sum $m$ ations in Eq. (C 12l) . C anceling $(a+1)!$ and writing $k=p_{a+1}$ and $n!=n\left(\begin{array}{ll}n & 1) \text { we get }\end{array}\right.$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Z } \quad m \text { in }\left(m_{X}{ }^{1 ; n} 1\right) \\
& M_{m i n}^{R ; 1}(t)=m n \quad d
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{f}_{p_{1}}{ }^{\text {j }} \quad p_{a} \text { 色if }  \tag{C13}\\
& p_{1} \text { a } p \quad X \\
& q_{1} q_{p_{1}} \quad p_{a} q_{a 1} h_{k} f_{k} q_{k} q_{k}(; 0):
\end{align*}
$$

A pplying the reverse steps from Eqs. ( $\overline{\mathrm{C}} \overline{\mathrm{I}} \overline{\mathrm{Z}})$ to $(\overline{\mathrm{C}} \overline{\mathrm{I}} \overline{\mathrm{O}})$ we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{m n}^{R ; 1}(t)=m n \quad d \quad\left[q_{1}(t)\right]^{m} \quad{ }^{1}\left[G_{1}(t)\right]^{n} \quad 1  \tag{C14}\\
& \text { X } \quad h_{k}(t) f_{1 k}(t) q_{k} q_{k} \quad(; 0) \\
& { }^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{Z} \\
& =m n \quad d \quad\left[q_{1}(t)\right]^{m} \quad\left[q_{1}(t)\right]^{n} \quad 1 \\
& \text { X } \quad h_{k}(t) f_{1 k}(t) h_{k} q_{k} i \quad(; 0)+O(1=L) \\
& \text { k }
\end{align*}
$$

where due to the factorization property Eq. (4) we can take independently the average

Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
h q_{k} q_{k} i=h J_{k} i=d q_{k} q_{k}(; 0): \tag{C15}
\end{equation*}
$$

[a sim ilar argum ent is given in A ppendix it]. B ringing the tim e dependence back to we get then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Z } \\
& \begin{aligned}
M_{m i n}^{R ; 1}(t)= & m^{n} \quad d\left[q_{1}\right]^{m} \quad{ }^{1}\left[q_{1}\right]^{n} 1 \\
& X \quad h_{k}(t) f_{1 k}(t) h J_{k} i \quad(; t):
\end{aligned} \tag{C16}
\end{align*}
$$

For the second term in Eq. ( $\mathrm{C}_{-1}^{-1}$ ) we have $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{R}}^{\mathrm{R} ; 2}(\mathrm{n})=$ $\left.M_{n m}^{R, 1}(t)\right]$. Putting everything together in Eq. (C11) with integration by parts and a few straightforw ard $m$ anipulations, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z d G\left(1_{1}\right) \frac{@}{@ t}(; t) \\
= & d G\left(1_{1}\right) \quad i z_{1}(t) \frac{@}{@ q_{1}} q_{1} \quad i z_{1}(t) \frac{@}{@ q_{1}} q_{1} \\
+ & D(t) \frac{@^{2}}{@ q_{1} @ q_{1}} \quad(; t):
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.D(t)=\prod_{k}^{X} \quad h_{k}(t) f_{1 k}(t)+c: c:\right] h J_{k} i: \tag{C18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since G ( 1 ) is an arbitrary test function, we com e to the non $M$ arkovian equation (42)) for the reduced distribution function ${ }_{1}$. Replacing the explicit form of $h_{k}(t)$ we obtain as welleq. (431).

## APPENDIX D: MARKOVIAN APPROXIMATION

P henom enologicalequationsm ay be obtained from $d y-$ nam ics using the so-called $M$ arkovian approxim ation (or the ${ }^{2} t$ approxim ation studied by $V$ an $H$ ove and others [37, also [ $\left.4331,144^{1}, 143\right]$ ). T his approxim ation is valid if we take the continuous spectrum lim it L ! 1 (w ith Poincare resonances), for weak coupling and for tim e scales where the relaxation process dom inates over dressing processes (the particle-bath correlations are negligible [ $\left.\underline{L}_{1}^{\prime},{ }^{1}{ }^{3} 5_{1}^{\prime}\right]$ ).
In this appendix we consider the $M$ arkovian approxim ation of the dynam ical evolution of the bare particle m odes. W e will write the weak coupling approxim ation of the coe cients $f_{11}(t)$ and $f_{1 k}(t)$, which w ill give the M arkovian approxim ation of Eqs . (34) and (421).

W e start with Eq. (A깅), for $t>\overline{0} . W$ e approxim ate for weak coupling

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{+\left(!_{k}\right)} \quad \frac{1}{!_{k} \quad z}: \tag{D1}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e then separate the pole contribution at $!_{k}=z_{1}$ and the branch cut contribution from each term. The pole contribution gives the exponentialdecaying part and the cut contribution gives classical Zeno e ect and nonexponentialbehavior [2] ]. H ere we will only consider the pole contributions in Eq. ( $\left.\bar{A}-\overline{1} \overline{1})^{\prime}\right)$, which am ounts to the $M$ arkovian approxim ation. Taking the pole_contribution at $!_{k}=z_{1}$ in the last tw o term sofeq. (Áㅡ́), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& q_{1}(t) \quad q(0) e^{i z_{1} t}+{ }_{k}^{X} \frac{V_{k}}{!_{k} z_{z}} q_{k}(0) e^{i!_{k} t} \\
& X \quad \frac{V_{k}}{!_{k} \quad q_{k}(0) e^{i z_{1} t}:} \tag{D2}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{11}(t) \quad e^{i z_{1} t} ; \\
& f_{1 k}(t) \quad X \quad \frac{V_{k}}{!_{k} \quad{ }_{z}}\left[e^{i!!_{k} t} \quad e^{i z_{1} t}\right]: \tag{D3}
\end{align*}
$$

This leads to

$$
\begin{array}{lcl}
z_{1}(t) & { }_{Z} ; &  \tag{D4}\\
R(t) & X_{i} & V_{k} e^{i!{ }_{k} t} q_{k}(0):
\end{array}
$$

Fort $<0$ we can repeat the sam e procedure, except that now we choose the $+i$ branch in Eq. $\left(\mathbb{A}-\frac{9}{1}\right)$. Then we obtain the follow ing approxim ate equation

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
q_{1}(t) & \text { izq }_{1}(t)+R(t) ; & t>0 \\
q_{1}(t) & \text { izq }_{1}(t)+R(t) ; & t<0
\end{array}
$$

which has a Langevin form. This equation is expressed in tw o branches, one for $t>0$ and the other for $t<0$. The change iz ) in correspondsto the change ) , since $\mathrm{z}_{1}=!_{1} \quad$ i . Taken separately, each branch breaks tim e reversal invariance, while as a whole tim e reversal invariance is kept [note that in the integrable case we have $=0$ and there is no spliting into tw o branches]. C om paring Eq. (D, $\mathbf{D}_{1}$ ) w th Eq. (1011) we identify $\hat{z}_{1}=z_{1}$. Furtherm ore, the term $R(t)$ behaves as the white-noise source $\hat{R}(t)$ in the sense that in the pole approxim ation, the auto-correlation functions of the variables $q_{1}$ and $q_{i}$ coincide. Indeed, the \noise" term $R(t)$ in Eq. (D_ _ ${ }^{\text {I }}$ ) has the sam e G aussian property ( $\left.10{ }^{-1} \mathbf{3}\right)$ as the noise $\hat{R}(t)$, provided we replace noise averages w ith averages w th ensem bles ofthe form (411) (see discussion below Eq. (C_ 111')). $T$ hen, de ning

$$
\begin{align*}
& q_{1 a}(t) \quad q(0) e^{i z_{1} t} ;  \tag{D6}\\
& q_{1 r}(t) \quad e^{\mathrm{i} z_{1} t} t^{t} d t^{0} R\left(t^{0}\right) e^{\mathrm{i} z_{1} t^{0}} \\
& ={ }_{k} \frac{V_{k}}{!_{k}}\left[e^{i!k_{k} t} \quad e^{i z_{1} t}\right] g_{k}(0) \tag{D7}
\end{align*}
$$

and follow ing the sam e steps as in Eqs. (10-6)-(110) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{1 r}^{k}(t) q_{1 r}^{1}(t)=1!{ }_{k 1} h q_{1 r}(t) q_{1 r}(t) i^{1}: \tag{D8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In generalwe have (w th $q_{k 0} \quad \mathbb{C}(0)$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& h g_{I_{x}}(t+\quad) q_{r}(t) i \\
& \left.\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{X} & \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{k}} \\
\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{Z}_{1} & q_{k 0}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}!_{k}(\mathrm{t}+}\right) \\
\left.\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} z_{1}(\mathrm{t}+}\right)
\end{array}\right) \\
& \text { X } \frac{V_{1}}{!_{1} \quad z_{1}} q_{10}\left(e^{i!{ }_{1} t} \quad e^{\mathrm{i} z_{1} t}\right) \text { : } \tag{D9}
\end{align*}
$$

For the norm alized therm al eld ensem ble we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{k_{0}} q_{k^{\circ} 0} i=k_{k k^{0}} \frac{k_{B} T}{!_{k}}: \tag{D10}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing this result and going to the continuous lim it we obtain from Eq. (Dis)

$$
\begin{align*}
& h_{1_{\Sigma}^{r}}(t+\quad) q_{r}(t) i \\
& \left.d w \frac{{ }^{2} v_{w}^{2}}{j!} z_{z}\right\}^{2} \frac{k_{B} T}{!} \\
& \text { ( } \left.\left.\left.e^{i!} \quad e^{i z_{1} t} e^{i!(t+}\right) \quad e^{i z_{1}(t+}\right) e^{i!t}+e^{i z_{1}} e^{2 t}\right) \text { : } \tag{D11}
\end{align*}
$$

For $\quad t_{1}$ the integrand is sharply peaked around ! = $\iota_{1}$. W e separate the pole and the cut contributions to the integral, rew riting Eq. (Di-1) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& h q_{I_{r}}(t+) q_{r}(t) i  \tag{D12}\\
& \quad \mathrm{hq}_{r}(t+\quad) q_{r}(t) i_{p_{p o l e}}+h q_{I_{r}}(t+\quad) q_{r}(t) i_{\text {cut }}
\end{align*}
$$

where

U sing

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{z}_{1}} \quad \mathrm{~V}_{1} ; \quad \frac{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~T}}{\mathrm{z}_{1}} \quad \frac{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~T}}{\mathfrak{l}_{1}} ; \\
&  \tag{D15}\\
& { }^{2} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{t}_{1}}^{2} ;
\end{align*}
$$

the pole contributions at $!=z_{1} ;!=z_{1}$ give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{hq}_{1_{r}}(t+\quad) q_{r}(t) i_{p_{p o l e}} \frac{k_{B} T}{t_{1}} e^{i z_{1}} \quad\left(1 \quad e^{2 t}\right): \tag{D16}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he cut part involves the tail of the Lorentzian distribution $1=j!\quad$ द ${ }^{2}=1=\left(\left(!\quad!_{1}\right)^{2}+{ }^{2}\right)$. It gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{hq}_{1_{\mathrm{Z}}^{\mathrm{Z}}}(\mathrm{t}+\quad) \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{r}}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{i}_{\text {cut }} \\
& \quad \mathrm{d}!\frac{{ }^{2} \mathrm{v}^{2}!}{j!+\mathrm{z}_{1} \jmath^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~T}}{!}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\left(e^{i!} \quad e^{i z_{1} t} e^{i!(t+}\right) \quad e^{i z_{1}(t+}\right) e^{i!t}+e^{i z_{1}} e^{2 t}\right): \tag{D17}
\end{equation*}
$$

In weak coupling case ( $\left.\quad \quad_{1}\right)$, the cut contribution is $\mathrm{m} u \mathrm{u}^{\mathrm{sm}}$ aller than the pole contribution. Replacing the result $\overline{\mathrm{D}} \overline{\mathrm{I}} \overline{\mathrm{G}})$ in Eq. (D) with $=0$ we obtain the sam e correlation Eq. (111) obtained from the phenom enologicallangevin equation.

W e have also

$$
\begin{equation*}
D \text { (t) } \frac{2 k_{B} T}{t_{1}}: \tag{D18}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ence we recover the $M$ arkovian Fokker $P$ lanck equation (1311) w th $\mathrm{z}_{1}=\mathrm{z}_{1}$.
APPENDIX E: PROOF OF EQ. (

In this appendix we show, by perturbation expansion that Eq. (81,) is satis ed for the equilibrium Gibbs ensem ble. T he sam e relation is valid for the class of ensem bles (generally non-equilibrium ensem bles) having deltasingularities in the wave num bers, of which the $G$ ibbs

$$
\begin{align*}
& h_{1_{1 r}}(t+\quad) q_{r}(t) i_{\text {pole }} \\
& \left.={ }_{1}^{Z_{1}} d!\frac{{ }^{2} v_{!}^{2}}{j!}{ }_{Z}\right\}^{2} \frac{k_{B} T}{!} \\
& \left.\left.\left(e^{i!} \quad e^{i z_{1} t} e^{i!(t+}\right) \quad e^{i z_{1}(t+}\right) e^{i!t}+e^{i z_{1}} e^{2 t}\right) \text {; }  \tag{D13}\\
& h_{I_{I r}}(t+\quad) q_{r}(t) i_{\text {cut }} \\
& =\quad{ }_{1} d!\frac{{ }^{2} v_{!}^{2}}{j!}{ }_{\text {Z }}{ }^{2} \frac{k_{B} T}{!} \\
& \text { ( } \left.\left.\left.e^{i!} \quad e^{i z_{1} t} e^{i!(t+}\right) \quad e^{i z_{1}(t+}\right) e^{i!t}+e^{i z_{1}} e^{2 t}\right): \tag{D14}
\end{align*}
$$

ensem ble is a special case $[13,142,13$ ble is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{\mathrm{eq}}=\mathrm{C} \exp (\quad \mathrm{H}) \tag{E1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where C is a norm alization constant, such that Z
$\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{eq}}(\mathrm{r})=1$ :
In the perturbation expansion we have

$$
e q=C \exp \left(\quad H_{0}\right) 1+V+\frac{1}{2!}{ }^{2} V^{2}+\quad:(\mathbb{E} 3)
$$

D ue to the angle integrations, only diagonalm onom ials are nonzero

C onsidering the explicit form of the potential $V$ we then have

$$
\begin{align*}
& h J_{k} i=\mathrm{Z} \\
& \quad \mathrm{R} J_{k} \text { eq } \\
& =\frac{\mathrm{R}^{d} J_{k} \exp \left(\quad H_{0}\right)}{R_{1} \exp \left(\quad H_{0}\right)}+O\left({ }^{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{Q_{1} d J_{k} J_{k} \exp \left(\quad!_{k} J_{k}\right)}{0}+O J_{k} \exp \left(\quad!_{k} J_{k}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{!_{k}}+O\left({ }^{2}\right) ;
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& h q_{k} q_{k} 0 i=\frac{R}{d q_{k} q_{k} 0\left({ }^{2} V^{2}=2\right) \exp \left(H_{0}\right)} \\
& d \exp \left(H_{0}\right) \tag{E6}
\end{align*} O\left({ }^{4}\right)
$$

$N$ oting that $V_{k} \quad L^{1=2}$, and ${ }^{P} k^{0} \quad O(L)$, we obtain the result ( $\left.8 \mathrm{I} \bar{I}_{1}\right)$. O ne can check as well that term $s$ of higher order in V retain the sam e volum e dependences.

For an ensem ble of the form Eq. (4inㄱㄴ), we have hq $q_{k} q_{1}=$ 0 , while $h \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{i} \quad \mathrm{O}(1) . \mathrm{Th}$ is is a special case of q . ( ( $8 \mathbf{1} 1$ ).

## APPENDIX F: AND PRESERVATION OF THE M EASURE

From the requirem ent (2) in the Introduction, preserves the $m$ easure of phase space. This $m$ eans that
for any norm alized ensem ble. T he rst equality is easily show $n$, since it $m$ ay be w ritten as
Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left({ }^{\mathrm{y}} 1\right)=1 \tag{F3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Z } \\
& \mathrm{d}=1 ;  \tag{F1}\\
& \text { Z } \\
& d^{y}=1 \tag{F2}
\end{align*}
$$

where 1 is the unit operator．Since ${ }^{y}$ can be expressed as a perturbation expansion，

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{y}=1+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{V}}\right) \tag{F4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{v}} 1=0$ we conclude that $\mathrm{y}_{1}=1$ ，from which Eq． （ $\left.\bar{F}_{1}^{1} \overline{1}^{\prime}\right)$ follow s．Sim ilarly，one can show the second equality （ F 2）

So，Eq．（ $\overline{\mathrm{F}} \overline{\mathrm{Z}})$ should be satis ed for the speci c we have constructed in Sec．iv＿ł．T his condition w ill allow us to derive the relation（8\％）．C onsider the ensem ble

$$
\begin{equation*}
=C_{1} q_{1} q_{1} \exp (\quad J=屯) \tag{F5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{1}$ is the norm alization factor given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{s}=h^{h^{Z}} d q_{s} q_{s} \exp (J=屯)^{i_{1}} \tag{F6}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=\underbrace{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{s}} q_{s} \tag{F7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $J_{0}$ a constant that $m$ akes the argum ent of the ex－ ponential dim ensionless．The factor $\exp (J=屯)$ en－ sures the existence of a nite norm of，（see the Segal－ $B$ argm ann representation in［2＇rind）．The totalaction $J$ is an invariant of $m$ otion，because we have $L_{0} J=0$ and


$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~J}=\mathrm{J} ; \quad{ }^{\mathrm{y}} \exp (\quad J=Ђ)=\exp (\quad J=Ђ): \tag{F8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he operator $L_{v}$ is a di erential operator．A pplying the chain rule of di erentiation and Eq．（E）we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{\mathrm{y}} \mathcal{G}_{1} q_{1} \exp \left(\quad J=\omega_{6}\right)=\left({ }^{\mathrm{y}} \mathbb{q}_{1} q_{1}\right) \exp \left(\quad J=\omega_{6}\right): \tag{F9}
\end{equation*}
$$

 Eq．（8－（8）we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.C_{1}{ }^{Z} d \underset{\sim}{N} 1 j \dot{j} q_{1} q_{1}+2_{2}^{X}\left(r q_{k}^{2}+c: c:\right) q_{k} q_{k}\right] \\
& \quad \exp (J=\varnothing)=1: \tag{F10}
\end{align*}
$$

where the 0 －diagonal term $s$ such as $q_{1} q_{k}$ appearing in the product $\widetilde{Q}_{1} \widetilde{Q}_{1}$ in Eq．（8－1 ${ }^{-1}$ ）vanish due to the integra－ tion over angles in phase space．$W$ e can write Eq．（E－1d） as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{j} \mathrm{C}_{1}^{1}+2_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{X}}\left(\mathrm{rc}_{\mathrm{k}}^{2}+\mathrm{C}: \mathrm{C}:\right) \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}}^{1}\right]=1: \tag{F11}
\end{equation*}
$$

 to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}_{1} j\left[1+2_{k}^{\mathrm{X}}\left(\mathrm{rc}_{\mathrm{k}}^{2}+\mathrm{c}: \mathrm{C}:\right)\right]=1: \tag{F12}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation plus the condition $r+r=1$ yield the result（ （88ㅇ﹎ㅇ $_{1}$ ）．W th this result we can w rite

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{\mathrm{y}}{q_{1} Q_{1}}=Q^{(0)} \widetilde{Q}_{1} \widetilde{Q}_{1}+P{ }^{(0)}\left(r \widetilde{Q}_{1} Q_{1}+c: c:\right) \tag{F13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P^{(0)}$ is the projector to angle－independent $m$ ono－ m ials（such as $\mathrm{q}_{s} \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{s}}$ ）and $Q^{(0)}=1 \quad \mathrm{P}^{(0)}$ ．This show s that the transform ed product of particle $m$ odes can be sim ply w ritten as a com bination of renorm alized particle modes（i．e．，G am ow m odes）．

The derivation follow ed here is sim ilar to the derivation followed in $R$ ef．［［15］，where we used the transform ation to de ne dressed unstable states in quantum $m$ echanics． $T$ he only di erence is that in［1］$\left.{ }_{1}^{1}\right]$ the relation $r+r=1$ was derived from the requirem ent that the dressed un－ stable state has an energy uctuation of the order of the inverse lifetime．This uctuation is a quantum e ect． H ere we are dealing w ith classicalm echanics，so we pos－ tulate $r+r=1$ as a basic condition．A $n$ altemative derivation，presented in A ppendix $A$ of $R$ ef．$[1]$ 적，started w ith the analogue of Eq．（F131），as a postulate．All the derivations give the sam e result（ 88 dition di erent from $r+r=1$ would not allow us to express ${ }^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{G}_{1} \mathrm{q}_{1}$ as a combination of $G$ am ow m odes only， and it would lead to energy uctuations di erent from the inverse lifetim $e$ in the quantum case，which would be unphysical．
$T$ he preservation of the $m$ easure can be proven for $m$ ore general ensem bles involving $m$ onom ials of particle m odes，which we phave considered in Sec．N．Indeed， from the relation ${ }_{k} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}}=1$（see［1］［1］）we have（see Eqs．$\left.\overline{\mathrm{F}} \overline{1} \overline{2}_{1}\right),\left(\overline{8}_{-1}\right)$ ）

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{k}^{\mathrm{X}} \quad \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{k}}=1 \text { : } \tag{F14}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing this relation as well as the expression（ $9 \overline{1} \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}$ ）one can show that for $=C_{m n} q_{1}{ }^{m} q_{1}^{n} \exp (J=\omega)$ with $C_{m n}$ a norm alization constant，we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z} \mathrm{~d}^{\mathrm{y}}=\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{mn}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{Z}} \tag{F15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof（which we will om it here）uses the relation $\mathrm{d}{\widetilde{Q_{1}}}^{m} \widetilde{Q}_{1}^{n} \exp \left(\mathrm{~J}=\mathrm{W}_{6}\right)=0$ ．This follows from the fact that both $\widetilde{Q}_{1}{ }^{m} \widetilde{Q}_{1}^{n}$ and $\exp (J=屯)$ are eigenfunctions of $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{w}$ ith di erent eigenvalues，which im plies their orthog－ onality．

## APPENDIX G：PROOF OFANALYTICITYOF

In this appendix we show that Eq．（9191）rem oves all the non－analytic $j_{k}{ }^{?}$ term $s$ ，replacing them by $k=r c_{k}^{2}+c: c$ ． $F$ irst we derive recursive form ulas to calculate ${ }^{{ }^{\mathrm{V}}} \mathrm{G}_{1}{ }^{m} \mathrm{G}_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}$ ． W e start with Eq．（991＇）form $n$
（the $n>m$ case can be calculated by taking the com plex con jugate of Eq．（G－1 $\overline{-1})$ ）．W e have as well

$$
{ }^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{q}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{m}+1} \mathrm{q}_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}
$$

$$
=X_{l=0}^{X^{n}} \frac{(m+1) m!}{(m+1 \quad 1)!(n \quad 1)!1!} \widetilde{1}^{m+1} \chi_{1}^{n}{ }^{1} Y^{1}:(G 2)
$$

For l> 0 we have the identity

$$
\frac{(m+1)!}{(m+1 \quad 1)!1!}=\frac{m!}{(m \quad 1)!1!}+\frac{m!}{(m+1 \quad 1)!(1 \quad 1)!} ;(\mathrm{G} 3)
$$

Inserting this in Eq. (G2) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{C}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}{ }^{+1} \mathrm{C}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{n}}=\widetilde{Q}_{1}{ }^{m+1} \mathcal{Q}_{1}^{n} \\
& +\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{l}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \frac{\mathrm{~m}!}{(\mathrm{m} \quad 1)!1!}+\frac{\mathrm{m}!}{(m+1 \quad 1)!(1 \quad 1)!} \\
& \frac{n!}{(n \quad 1)!} \tilde{\mathscr{O}}_{1}{ }^{m+1} \quad \widetilde{Q}_{1}^{n}{ }^{1} Y^{1}: \tag{G4}
\end{align*}
$$

The rst term plus the second term give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left({ }^{\mathrm{y}} \mathcal{Q}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{m}} \mathcal{C}_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)^{\mathrm{y}} \mathcal{C}_{1} \tag{G5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(note that ${ }^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{G}_{1}=\widetilde{Q}_{1}$ ). The third term m ay be written as ( $w$ ith $l^{0}=1 \quad 1$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& =n Y \quad{ }^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{G}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{G}_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{1} \text { : } \tag{G6}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{C}_{1}{ }^{m+1}{ }_{\mathrm{q}_{1}} \tag{G7}
\end{align*}
$$

Form > n we have, from Eq. (Glil),

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{C}_{1}{ }^{m} q_{1}^{n+1}=\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{n}} \frac{\mathrm{~m}!(\mathrm{n}+1)!}{(m \quad 1)!(\mathrm{n}+1 \quad 1)!1!} \\
& \widetilde{Q}_{1}{ }^{m} \quad \mathfrak{Q}_{1}^{n+1}{ }^{1} Y^{1}: \tag{G8}
\end{align*}
$$

U sing Eq. (G-3i) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{q}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{q}_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\mathrm{n}} \\
& =\widetilde{Q}_{1}{ }^{m} \widetilde{Q}_{1}^{n+1}+\frac{m!}{(m \quad n \quad 1) \tilde{Y}_{1}}{ }^{m}{ }^{n}{ }^{1} Y^{n+1} \\
& \left.+\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{l}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \frac{\mathrm{~m}!}{(\mathrm{m} \quad \mathrm{l})!} \frac{\mathrm{h}}{(\mathrm{n} \quad 1)!1!}+\frac{\mathrm{n}!}{(\mathrm{n}+1} \mathrm{l}\right)!\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1
\end{array}\right)! \\
& \widetilde{Q}_{1}{ }^{m} \quad \mathfrak{Q}_{1}^{n+1}{ }^{\mathrm{l}} \mathrm{Y}^{1}: \tag{G9}
\end{align*}
$$

Adding the rst and the third term swe get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left({ }^{\mathrm{y}} \mathcal{G}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{m}} \mathcal{P}_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)^{\mathrm{y}} \mathcal{G}_{1} \tag{G10}
\end{equation*}
$$

(note that ${ }^{{ }^{Y}} \mathrm{q}_{1}=\widetilde{Q}_{1}$ ). Adding the second and fourth term swe get (w th $l^{0}=1 \quad 1$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& =m Y \quad{ }^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{G}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{m}} \quad{ }^{1} \mathrm{q}_{1} \mathrm{n} \text { : } \tag{G11}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }^{y_{i}}{ }^{m}{ }^{m} q_{1}^{n+1} \tag{G12}
\end{align*}
$$

Eqs. $\bar{G}-\overline{1})$ and $(\bar{G} \overline{1} \overline{2})$ plus their com plex con jugates per$m$ it one to construct ${ }^{y_{q_{1}}}{ }^{m} q_{1}^{n}$ recursively.

Now we prove the analyticity of ${ }^{\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{g}_{1}}}{ }^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{G}_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}$ at $=0$ from the recursive relations. In the recursive relation, we show that if the lower order term $s$ in $m$ and $n$ like ${ }^{y_{q}} q^{m} q_{1}^{n},{ }^{y_{q}}{ }_{1}{ }^{m} q_{1}^{n}{ }^{1}$ and ${ }^{y} q_{1}{ }^{m}{ }^{1} q_{1}^{n}{ }^{1}$ are analytic, then the higher order term $s{ }^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{q}^{m+1}{ }^{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{q}_{1}$ and ${ }^{{ }^{\mathrm{y}}} \mathrm{G}_{1}{ }^{m} \mathrm{G}_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}$ are also analytic. Then from $m$ athem atical induction, the analyticity of ${ }^{y} q_{1}{ }^{m} q_{1}^{n}$ is proved for general $m$ and $n$ (the $m<n$ case can be show $n$ in the sam eway). In Eq. (G_7),

Suppose that the quantities inside large parenthesis are analytic in . The additional non-analytic term s appear whenever additional products $\widetilde{Q}_{1} \widetilde{Q}_{1}$ appear. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{1}=N_{1}^{1=2}\left(q_{1}+{ }_{k}^{X} q_{k} q_{k}\right) ; \tag{G14}
\end{equation*}
$$

each $\widetilde{Q}_{1} \widetilde{\mathscr{Q}}_{1}$ produces a $\dot{\jmath}_{k} \tilde{\jmath}$ term, which is non-analytic in . Let us denote the non-analytic part of a function $f()$ as $\mathrm{Fn}(\mathrm{f}())$. The non-analytic_part in the rst term in the right hand side of Eq . ( G (13) ) is $m$ ade by the additional $\widetilde{Q}_{1}$ m ultiplied by $\widetilde{Q}_{1}^{n}{ }^{1}$, which generates $\mathrm{n} \quad \mathrm{l}$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left.\operatorname{Fn}{\underset{l}{\mathrm{X}}}_{\mathrm{X}=0}^{\mathrm{m}} \quad \mathrm{l}\right)!(\mathrm{n} \quad \mathrm{l})!1!_{1}^{m} \quad \widetilde{\Omega}_{1}^{\mathrm{n}} \quad Y^{\mathrm{l}}\right) \widetilde{\Omega}_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }^{2}{ }_{j} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \dot{j} \quad \dot{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{k}}{ }^{2} q_{k} q_{k}: \tag{G15}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he non-analytic part in the second term in the right hand side of Eq. ( $\left.(\mathrm{G} 13)^{-1}\right)$ is com ing from Y. Since

$$
\begin{align*}
& Y={ }^{X} \quad \mathrm{~b}_{k} q_{k} q_{k} \\
& =\quad{ }^{k}{ }^{2} \mathrm{~N}_{1} j\left(\quad \dot{\operatorname{K}} \mathrm{~K}^{2}+r{q_{k}^{2}}^{2}+r{q_{k}^{2}}^{2}\right) q_{k} q_{k} ; \tag{G16}
\end{align*}
$$

the non-analytic function $\dot{j}_{k} \stackrel{\jmath}{\rho}$ appears inside $Y$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\quad{ }^{2} \mathrm{~N}_{1} j{ }_{k} \dot{j}_{k}{ }^{\rho} q_{k} q_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

The non-analytic parts from the rst term and second term in Eq. ('G 1 side of Eq. (G_131) is analytic in . N ote that term s of the form $\dot{\mathcal{j}}_{k} \mathrm{~J}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{w}^{-\dot{\text { ith}}} \mathrm{n}>1$ give $\mathrm{O}(1=\mathrm{L})$ contributions and thus they are negligible.

Next, we show that the left hand side of Eq. (G-12) is analytic in. The non-analytic part of the rst term in the right hand side ofEq. (G12) is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { " \# } \\
& \operatorname{Fn}\left({ }^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{q}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{G}_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}\right){ }^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{q}_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }^{2} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \dot{j}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{X}} \quad \dot{\mathrm{q}}_{\mathrm{k}}{ }^{2} q_{k} q_{k} \tag{G18}
\end{align*}
$$

The non-analytic part of the second term in the right hand side of Eq. (G $\overline{12} \overline{2})$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { " \# } \\
& \operatorname{FnmY} \quad{ }^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{G}_{1}{ }^{m} \quad{ }^{1} \mathrm{G}_{1}{ }^{n} \\
& =m^{2} \mathcal{N}_{1} j_{k}^{X} \quad \dot{\mathcal{M}}_{k}{ }^{2} q_{k} q_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

A gain, the non-analytic parts of the rst and second term $s$ of $E q$. ( $\bar{G} \overline{1} \overline{2})$ exactly cancel out. T he right hand side of Eq. ( G 12) is analytic in . Therefore from the $m$ athem aticalinduction ${ }^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{q}_{1}{ }^{m} \mathrm{q}_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}$ is analytic in .

APPENDIX H: CALCULATION OF THENOISE CONSTANT $\hat{R}_{C}$

In this appendix we determ ine the noise constant $\hat{\mathrm{R}_{c}}$. $W$ e assum e that the noise $\hat{R}(t)$ com es from the them al bath $w$ ith tem perature $T$. In this case, we expect that the system reaches them al equilibrium fort! 1 . Furthem ore, from the equipartition theorem we expect that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~m}_{\hat{\prime}}^{2} \hat{\mathrm{x}}_{1}^{2} \text { eq }=\frac{\hat{p}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{eq}}{2 \mathrm{n}}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~T} ; \tag{H1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{B}$ is Boltzm ann's constant. Substituting the relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{x}_{1}(t)=\frac{s}{\frac{1}{2 m_{p} \wedge_{1}}}\left(\hat{q}_{1}(t)+\hat{q}_{1}(t)\right) ; \\
& \hat{p}_{1}(t)=i \frac{m_{p} ⺊_{1}}{2}\left(\hat{q}_{1}(t) \quad q(t)\right) \tag{H2}
\end{align*}
$$

into Eq. $\left(\mathrm{H}_{-1}^{1}\right)$, we get the conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{q}_{1}^{2}(t){ }_{e q}+\hat{q}_{1}^{2}(t){ }_{e q}=0 ;  \tag{H3}\\
& r_{1} h_{q_{1}}(t) \hat{q}_{1}(t) \dot{i}_{e q}=k_{B} T: \tag{H4}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& h_{q_{1}}(t) q_{1}(t) i_{\text {eq }} \\
& =\lim _{t!1}\left(h{\hat{q_{1 a}}}(t){g_{1 a}}(t) i+h \hat{1}_{1 r}(t) g_{1_{r}}(t) i\right) \\
& =\lim _{t!1} h_{\hat{I}_{r}}(t) \hat{Q}_{1 r}(t) i ; \tag{H5}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =e^{2^{\wedge} t} \quad d t_{1} d t_{2} \hat{R}\left(t_{1}\right) \hat{R}\left(t_{2}\right) \\
& \begin{array}{lc}
e^{j \hat{z}_{1} t_{1}} & \left.0 \hat{z}_{1} t_{2}\right)
\end{array} E^{0} \\
& \left.=e^{2^{\wedge t}}{ }_{0}^{Z} d t_{1} \hat{R}_{c}^{2} e^{2 \wedge t_{1}} \frac{\hat{R}_{c}^{2}(1}{e^{\wedge}} e^{{ }^{\wedge} t}\right), \tag{H6}
\end{align*}
$$



$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{R}_{C}^{2}=\frac{2^{\wedge} k_{B} T}{{ }^{1}} \tag{H7}
\end{equation*}
$$

APPEND IX I: CALCULATION OFTHE MOMENTS

In this appendix we calculate the $m$ om ents in Eq. $\left(121^{\prime}\right) \cdot W$ e have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { d }\left(\begin{array}{lll}
q_{1} & \stackrel{0}{q})^{m}\left(q_{1} \quad q\right.
\end{array}\right)^{0} \sim\left(\begin{array}{l}
\text { n }
\end{array}\right) \\
& \text { Z } \\
& =d\left[{ }^{\sim y}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
)\left(q_{1}\right. & \stackrel{0}{q})^{n}\left(q_{1}\right. & q_{1}
\end{array}\right)^{m}\right] \quad\left(\quad{ }^{0}\right) \\
& \text { Z }
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used the relation $L_{H}^{Y}=L_{H}$ and $L_{H}=L_{H}$. $T$ he quantity inside the brackets in Eq. (TI I ) (which we call I] is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I=\left({ }^{y}\right){ }^{1} L_{H} \quad{ }^{y}\left(q_{1} \quad \stackrel{0}{q}\right)^{m}\left(q_{1} \quad q_{\underline{0}}\right)^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =X_{l=0}^{X^{n} X^{n}}\left(\quad \dot{q}^{0}\right)^{1}\left(q^{0}\right)^{j} \frac{m \ln !}{(m \quad l)!(n \quad j)!!!j!} \tag{I2}
\end{align*}
$$

U sing Eq. (115) , we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I=\underbrace{X^{m} X^{n}}_{l=0}(\quad \stackrel{0}{q})^{1}\left(\quad q^{0}\right)^{j} \frac{m m!}{(m \quad l)!(n} \quad j)!1!j!\left(i \frac{d}{d t}\right) \\
& m \text { in (ng lin 1) } \\
& \left.\begin{array}{llll} 
& (m & l)!(n & l
\end{array}\right)!\quad 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { ( } \left.e^{2} \quad 1\right)^{\text {P }} \dot{\mathbf{i}}=0 \text { : } \tag{I3}
\end{align*}
$$

Because of the ( $\left(e^{2 t} \quad 1\right)^{p}$ term, the only non-vanishing term $s$ in Eq. $\overline{[13})$ at $t=0$ are for $a=0$ or $a=1$. So the above equation becom es

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.I=x_{l=0}^{x^{n} X^{n}}(\quad \stackrel{0}{q})^{1}\left(q^{0}\right)^{j} \frac{m \ln !}{l!j!(m \quad l)!(n} \quad j\right)! \\
& \left(\frac{d}{d t}\right)\left(e^{i z_{1} t} q_{I}\right)^{m} \quad{ }^{1}\left(e^{i z_{1} t} q_{1}\right)^{n} \quad \dot{\dot{z}}=0 \\
& \left.+{ }_{l=0}^{\mathrm{rX}}{ }^{1} \mathrm{X}^{1}(\quad \underset{\mathrm{q}}{\mathrm{q}})^{1}\left(\quad \mathrm{q}^{0}\right)^{j} \frac{\mathrm{~m} \operatorname{m}!}{1!j!(\mathrm{m}} \quad 1 \quad 1\right)!(\mathrm{n} \quad \mathrm{j} \quad 1)! \\
& \left.\left(\frac{d}{d t}\right) e^{i\left(z_{1}\right.} \quad_{1}\right) t\left(e^{i z_{1} t} q_{1}\right)^{m} \quad{ }^{1}{ }^{1}\left(e^{i z_{1} t} q_{1}\right)^{n} \quad{ }^{j}{ }^{1} Y \\
& \left(e^{t} \quad 1\right) \dot{\hat{y}}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\left(\frac{d}{d t}\right) \operatorname{mYY}\left(1 \quad e^{2 t}\right) \tag{I4}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting Eq. (I4) into Eq. (in) and integrating w ith ( ${ }^{0}$ ), we get Eq. (124).

## APPENDIX J:FACTORIZATION PROPERTY

W e show the factorization of Eq. (128) when ~ ( ; 0) has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim(; 0)=g_{1}\left(q_{1} ; q_{1}\right)^{Y} g_{k}\left(q_{k} ; q_{k}\right): \tag{J1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In E q. (12 $\left.\overline{2} \overline{2}_{1}\right)$, by integrating by parts, we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Z } d G\left(q_{1} ; q_{1}\right){\frac{@^{2}}{@ q_{1} @ q_{1}}}_{k}^{X} b_{k} J_{k} \sim(; t) \\
& =\mathrm{Z} \frac{@^{2}}{@ q_{1} @ q_{1}} G\left(q_{1} ; q_{1}\right)^{X} \quad b_{k} J_{k} \sim(; t)  \tag{J2}\\
& =\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{Z}} \frac{@^{2}}{@ q_{1} @ q_{1}} G\left(q_{1} ; q_{1}\right)^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{k}} J_{k} e^{i^{\sim} \mathrm{t}} \sim(; 0):
\end{align*}
$$

Let us expand

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@^{2}}{@ q_{1} @ q_{1}} G\left(q_{1} ; q_{1}\right)={\underset{m ; n}{X} G_{m n} q_{1}^{m} q_{1}^{n}:, ~: ~}_{\text {m }} \tag{J3}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e have

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\quad \mathrm{Z}\left[\left(e^{i^{\sim}{ }^{\sim}}\right)^{y} q_{1}^{m} q_{1}^{n}{ }^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{k}} J_{k}\right] \sim(; 0) \text { : } \tag{J4}
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{0} \int_{k}^{X} b_{k} J_{k}=0 ; \quad L_{V}{ }_{k}^{X} b_{k} J_{k}=O\left(1=\frac{p}{L}\right) \tag{J5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and is expressed in term sof $L_{0}$ and $L_{V},{ }^{\sim} \overline{\bar{p}} L_{H} \quad{ }^{1}$ treats ${ }_{k} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{k}}$ like constant. N eglecting $\mathrm{O}(1=\overline{\mathrm{L}})$ term s , we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z \\
&  \tag{J6}\\
& d q_{1}{ }^{m} q_{1}^{n}{ }_{k}^{X} b_{k} J_{k} e^{i^{\sim} t} \sim(; 0) \\
& \\
& ={ }^{Z} d^{X}{ }_{k} b_{k} J_{k}\left[\left(e^{i^{\sim} t}\right)^{y} q_{1}^{m} q_{1}{ }^{n}\right] \sim(; 0):
\end{align*}
$$

In Eq. $\left(\overline{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{G}}\right)$, $\left[\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}^{\sim} t}\right)^{\mathrm{y}} q_{1}^{m} q_{1}^{n}\right]$ can be written as (see Eq. ( $11 \mathbf{N}^{\prime}$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\left(e^{i^{\sim} t}\right)^{y} q_{1}^{m} q_{1}{ }^{n}\right]=\left({ }^{y}\right)^{1} e^{i L_{H} t}{ }^{\mathrm{y}} q_{1}{ }^{m} q_{1}^{n}} \\
& =\min _{l=0}^{(m ; n)} \frac{m n!}{(m \quad l)!(n \quad l)!!} \\
& \left.e^{l=0}{ }^{i\left(m z_{1}\right.} \quad n z_{1}\right) t q_{1}{ }^{m} \quad q_{1}^{n}{ }^{1} Y^{1}\left(e^{2 t} \quad 1\right)^{1}: \tag{J7}
\end{align*}
$$

## Since



$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { we can write } \\
& \qquad \begin{array}{l}
X \\
b_{k} J_{k}\left[\left(e^{i^{\sim} t}\right)^{y} q_{1}^{m} q_{1}^{n}\right] \\
\\
={ }^{k} X \quad b_{k} J_{k}\left[\left(e^{i^{\sim} t}\right)^{y} q_{1}^{m} q_{1}^{n}\right]_{f} \quad k+O(1=L):
\end{array}
\end{align*}
$$

 mode. W ith Eq. (Jg) and neglecting $O(1=\mathrm{L})$ term $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Eq}$. (ㄴ) becom es

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Z X } \\
& d^{X} \quad b_{k} J_{k}\left[\left(e^{i^{\tau} t}\right)^{y} q_{1}^{m} q_{1}^{n}\right] \sim(; 0)  \tag{J10}\\
& z^{k} \\
& =X^{X} \quad d b_{k} J_{k}\left[\left(e^{i^{\sim} t}\right)^{y} q_{1}^{m} q_{1}{ }^{n}\right]_{f} \quad \sim(; 0) \\
& x^{k} \quad Z \\
& \text { Y } \\
& =\quad d b_{k} J_{k}\left[\left(e^{i^{\sim} t}\right)^{y} q_{1}^{m} q_{1}^{n}\right]_{f} g_{1}(1) \quad g_{k}(k) \\
& X^{k} Z{ }_{k}{ }^{k} b_{k} J_{k} g_{k}(k) \\
& Z^{k} \quad\left(e^{i^{\sim}}\right)^{y} q^{m} q^{n}{ }^{n} \\
& d_{f}\left[\left(e^{i^{\tau} t}\right)^{y} q_{1}^{m} G_{1}^{n}\right]_{f}{ }_{k} g_{1}\left(1_{1}\right){ }_{k^{0} \in k}^{Y} g_{k}\left(k^{0}\right):
\end{align*}
$$

For any k we have

$$
\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{k} g}\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)=1:
$$

Then we can write
Z

$$
\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{k}} g_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{k})
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Z } \\
& =d b_{k} J_{k} g_{1}\left(1_{1}\right) \quad g_{k}\left({ }_{k}\right)=b_{k} h J_{k} i ;  \tag{J12}\\
& Z \quad{ }^{k} \quad Y \\
& d_{f k}\left[\left(e^{i^{\sim} t}\right)^{y} q_{1}^{m} q_{1}\right]_{f}^{n} g_{1}\left(l_{1}\right) \quad g_{k^{0}}\left(k^{0}\right) \\
& Z \quad \mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{k}^{0} \mathrm{k}}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\quad d q_{1}^{m} q_{1}^{n} e^{i^{\sim} t} \sim(; 0) \text {; } \tag{J13}
\end{align*}
$$

and Eq. ( $\mathrm{M}^{-11} \mathrm{O}_{1}^{-1}$ ) can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d^{Z} \quad b_{k} J_{k}\left[\left(e^{i^{\sim} t}\right)^{y} q_{1}^{m} q_{1}{ }^{n}\right] \sim(; 0) \\
& \mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{Z} \\
& \text { X } \quad \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{hJ}_{\mathrm{k}} i^{Z} \quad \mathrm{~d} q_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{~g}_{1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}^{\sim t}} \sim(; 0) \\
& \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{k}} \quad \mathrm{Z} \\
& =\quad b_{k} h J_{k} i \quad d q_{1}{ }^{m} q_{1}^{n} \sim(; t): \\
& \text { k }
\end{aligned}
$$

 to Eq. (128).
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