R obust half-m etallic ferrom agnetism in the zincblende C rSb

Bang-GuiLiu

Institute of Physics & Center of Condensed M atter Physics, Chinese Academ y of Sciences, Beijing 100080, P.R. China

(M arch 22, 2024)

U sing the accurate rst-principle m ethod within density-functional theory, we system atically study C rSb in the zincblende (zb) structure. The zb C rSb is predicted of robust halfmetallic ferrom agnetism (HM FM) with a magnetic m om ent of $3.000_{\rm B}$ per form ula. It is m uch better than other zb com pounds with HM FM because its spin- ip gap reaches 0.774 eV at the equilibrium volum e and persists nonzero with its volum e changing theoretically from 21% to + 60%. It is found there m ay be a common m echanism for the HM FM in all the zb C r-and M n-pnictides. Since being compatible with the III-V sem iconductors, this excellent HM FM of the zb C rSb should be useful in spin electronics and other applications.

PACS numbers: 75.90.+w, 75.30.-m, 71.20.-b, 71.20Be

Introduction. Halfm etallic (HM) ferrom agnets are absorbing m ore and m ore attention around the world, because they have only one electronic spin channel at the Ferm ienergy and should act as ideal components of spintronics devices [1,2]. Since de G root et al rst predicted HM ferrom agnetism in Heusler compounds [3,4]in 1983, several HM ferrom agnets, such as N M nSb [5], C rO₂ [6], Fe₃O₄ [7], and the m anganate m aterials [8], have been theoretically predicted and then experim entally con rm ed. M uch e ort has been paid to understand the m echanism behind the HM m agnetism and to study its implication in various physical properties [9]. At the same time it is still very important to nd new HM ferrom agnets which are m ore prom ising for basic properties and applications. [10]

Recently, much theoretical and experimental attention is paid to the HM ferrom agnetism in the zincblende (zb) compounds such as MAs and MSb (M is a transitionmetalelement) [11{16], which are structurally and chemically compatible with the important III-V and II-VI semiconductors. The zb MnAs was theoretically predicted to be of so-called hearly HM' ferrom agnetism [7]. Its Fermi level crosses the majority-spin (MAS) energy bands but touches the bottom of the minorityspin (MIS) conduction bands, and therefore there is no gap for spin- ip excitations in this case. A courate calculations within density-functional theory (DFT) showed that the zb MnSb and MnBi are of true HM ferrom agnetism [18]. On the other hand, it was already found that the zb CrAs is a true HM ferrom agnet with a nite spin-

ip gap [13,19]. A lthough crystalizing into the N iA s (na) phases in bulk form [20], the zb phases of C rA s [13,14], C rSb [15] and M nA s [12] have be successfully fabricated in form of thin Im s, multilayers or nanostructures on the III-V sem iconductors. It is very interesting to nd new HM ferrom agnets with not only the same crystalline structure but also m uch m ore prom ising properties.

In this paper we system atically study CrSb in the zb structure with the accurate full-potential (linear)

augm ented plane wave plus local orbitals (FLAPW LO) method within density-functional theory, and thereby predict that it is a true HM ferrom agnet with a magnetic m om ent of 3.000 _B per form ula. Being m uch m ore advantageous than the zb CrAs and Mn-pnictides, its theoretical spin- ip gap reaches 0.774 eV at equilibrium volum e and persists nonzero with its volum e changing 21% to + 60%. Because not only the zb C rAs lm s from of 5-6 unit cells (30 A) in thickness [13,14] but also the zb CrSb thin Im s of 2 unit cells in thickness [15] have been successfully fabricated by means of epitaxial growth, the high-quality zb ferrom agnetic (FM) CrSb lm s (and multilayers) of more than 5 unit cells in thickness [15] are believed to be obtained in the same way in the near future and therefore realize the prediction. It is also found there may be a common mechanism, which is similar to that of the Heusler by de G root et al, for the HM ferromagnetism in all the zb Cr-and Mn-pnictides. Because being compatible with the III-V sem iconductors, the robust zb HM FM phase of CrSb should be useful in spin electronics and in other applications.

Computational details. We make use of the Vienna package W IEN2k [21] for FLAPW LO method within density functional theory (DFT) [22] for all our calculations. As for the EC potentials, we mainly took the PBE 96 [23] version for the generalized gradient approximation (GGA), but other versions of GGA and local spin density approximation (LSDA) [24] were also used for comparison and con mation. The relativistic e ect was taken into account in the scalar style, but the spinorbit coupling was neglected in the results presented in this paper because it has little e ect on the main results. W e always used 3000 k-points in the Brillouin Zone, took $R_{m t}$ $K_{m ax}$ as 8.0 and m ade the expansion up to l = 10in the mun tins. The radii R_{mt} of the mun tins was chosen to be approximately proportional to the corresponding ionic radii and as large as possible until reaching 2.3 (Cr) and 2.8 (Sb) Bohr, respectively. They were kept equivalent for di erent phases at their equilibrium

volum es in order to accurately com pare their total energies. The self-consistent calculations were considered to be converged only when the integrated charge distance per cell, $j_n = 1$ jdr, between input charge density (n = 1 (r)) and output (n = 1 (r)) was less than 0.00001.




FIG.1. The structure optim ization (upper panel) and the m agnetic m om ents per form ula (low er panel) as functions of the volum e per form ula. The solid circles are for the zb FM phase, the open circles the na FM phase, and the stars the na AFM phase. The (volum e, m om ent) at the equilibrium volum e are equivalent to $(57.825 \text{ A}^3, 3.000 \text{ }_{B})$, $(41.275 \text{ A}^3, 2.801 \text{ }_{B})$, and $(41.00 \text{ A}^3, 1.412 \text{ }_{B})$ for the three phases, respectively. The m om ent of the zb phase rem ains the sam e 3.000 _{B} between -21 % (46.0 A³) and + 60 % (92.3 A³) of the volum e changes.

Main ndings. At low temperature CrSb crystalizes into the na antiferrom agnetic (AFM) phase with the m odulation vector along the [001] direction. The AFM N eeltem perature is 710 K and the experim ental lattice constants are a = 4:122 A and c = 5:464 A. A lthough not being the ground state, the zb phase of CrSb has been grown epitaxially on the substrates of G aAs, (A LG a)Sb and GaSb, and proved to be of FM order [15] with Curie tem perature higher than 400 K.W e made system atical structure optimization of CrSb in the two phases: na and zb. The total energy results as functions of form ula volum e are shown in the upper panel of Fig. 1. The equilibrium na AFM phase is indeed lowest in total energy and therefore is the ground state of CrSb, with their lattice constants being the same as the experim ental result [16]. The na FM phase is also studied for com parison. Its equilibrium energy is a little higher than the AFM phase, being consistent with the AFM exchange coupling. The equilibrium zb FM phase is about 1 eV higher in total energy than the na AFM phase, and therefore should not exist as bulk crystals. A nyw ay, it has been grown as thin

In s epitaxially on the III-V sem iconductors [15]. In the lower panel of F ig. 1 the dependence of the magnetic m om ents on the form ula volum e are presented. The moment increases with the volum e for both the na phases, but the m om ent of the zb phase rem ains the same 3.000 $_{\rm B}$ at least between -21 % and 60 % in the relative volum e changes. This characteristic of the zb phase im plies that the zb C rSb is an HM ferrom agnet.




FIG.2. The spin-dependent total density of states (DOS) of the equilibrium zb phase. The upper part denotes the majority-spin (MAS) DOS and the lower part the minority-spin (MIS) DOS. The bottom (U) of the MIS conduction bands is 0.872 eV and the top (L) of the MIS valence bands -0.774 eV, with the spin- ip gap being 0.774 eV.

In Fig. 2 we present the spin-dependent totalDOS for the zb CrSb phase at its equilibrium volume. The upper part is for the MAS totalDOS between -5 and 7 eV and the low erpart the M IS. It is clear that the M A S electrons are metallic but there is a gap of 1.646 eV around the Ferm i energy for the M IS electronic bands. The bottom (U) of the M IS conduction bands is at + 0.872 eV and the top (L) of the valence M IS bands at -0.774 eV. The gap for creating an M IS hole at the top of the M IS valence bands by exciting an M IS electron into the conducting MAS bands is 0.774 eV and the gap for an MIS electron at the bottom of the M IS conduction bands is 0.872 eV.As a result, the m in im algenergy gap for a spin- ip excitation, or the HM gap, is 0.774 eV. This nonzero gap is essential to form a true HM ferrom agnet. Fig. 3 dem onstrates the corresponding energy bands in the high-symmetrical directions. There are 3 M IS and 5 M A S full- lled bands above -5 eV. The $_1$ bands, corresponding to the Crs states, are pushed above the Fermi energy by the interaction with the Sb s electrons. The three led M IS bands $(_{15})$ and the three led MAS bands $(_{15})$ re ect

the bonding of the three Sb p electrons and three of the C r electrons, and do not contribute to the totalm om ent. The two very narrow M A S bands ($_{12}$) around -1 eV are mainly of C r d eg character. The two M A S bands crossing the Ferm i energy originates from the Sb p and C r d t_{2g} states, but their lled sections are mainly of C r d t_{2g}. The magnetic moment of 3.000 $_{\rm B}$ per form ula results from the remaining 3 C r d electrons with 3 C r electrons already bonding with the 3 Sb p electrons. Therefore, it is shown by the D O S, magnetic moment, and energy bands that the zb C rSb is a true HM ferrom agnet with the moment 3.000 $_{\rm B}$ per form ula.




FIG.3. The spin-dependent energy bands of the equilibrium zb phase. The right panel is for the majority-spin (MAS) bands and the left the minority-spin (MIS) bands. There are three full-lled MIS bands and ve full-lled MAS bands above -5 eV. There are only two partly-lled MAS bands, which together contribute 1 $_{\rm B}$ to the total moment per formula.

How does the HM ferrom agnetism form ? The \mbox{Crs} states have m oved above the Ferm i energy because they must be orthogonalwith the Sb 5s states [18]. It is shown by comparing the spin-dependent partial DOS, partial charge density and band structures that the two triplet band clusters in B and B' both result from the bonding of the Sb p and Cr d t_{2q} triplet electrons. There are approximately 2 and 2.6 Sb p (and 1 and 0.4 Crd t_{2g}) electrons in B and B', respectively. On the other hand, the Crde_a states do not bond with Sb so that there exist two very narrow MAS bands (A) at -1.15 eV and the two M IS bands (A') around 1.7 eV. They are dom inantly of Crde_q character, and are separated 2.85 eV from each other due to the exchange interaction. This exchange splitting is consistent with Im in the Stone theory if we 1 eV for the exchange integration and m = 3take I for the present case. This kind of exchange splitting usually is enough to produce ferrom agnetism in traditional ferrom agnets such as bcc Fe, but, in addition, other en-

ergy bands must be of right structures at right positions in order to form HM ferrom agnetism . In the case of the zb CrSb, the excellent HM ferrom agnetism is form ed because (1) The large exchange splitting of the narrow e_{α} bands pushes the M IS bands in A' above the Ferm i energy, opening the M IS gap at the Ferm i energy, and at the same time pulls the MAS e_{q} bands in A below the Ferm i energy; (2) The presence of the Sb 5s electrons pushes the extended Cr 4s states above the e_{α} bands, keeping the M IS gap clean through avoiding them from mixing with the Crd bands; (3) The triplet bands in C are partly lled with 1 electron per formula in order to conserve the total number of the electrons. The Cr t_{2q} states form polarly covalent bonds with the Sb 5p states so that approxim ate 1.5 electrons per form ula are transferred towards the Sb sites. This enhances the Crd exchange splitting and pins the Ferm i energy at the right position through guaranteeing that the eq bands rem ain very narrow and the DOS at the bottom of the bands in C is large enough. This explanation is similar to that by de G root et al in their cases [3,4]. The di erence is mainly in the role played by the Sb 5s electrons.

Comparing with the zb C rA s and the M n pnictides, we nd their energy band structures to be sim ilar to that of the zb C rSb. It is observed in all these cases that (1) the 1 bands are above the narrow 12 bands in band clusters A and A', (2) the Ferm ienergies are in the M IS band gaps form ed between the empty M IS $_{12}$ in A' and the full-led M IS $_{15}$ bands in B ', and (3) the corresponding MAS 15 in B and 12 bands in A are all full-lled. The di erence relies only on the relative positions of the $_1$ bands and the 15 bands in C and C'. This means that the mechanism behind the HM ferrom agnetism should be the same for all the zb C r- and M n-pnictides. It appears to be also the sam e as that in the Heusler com pounds by de G root et al [3,4]. It is very interesting that the m echanism behind the half-m etallic ferrom agnetism is the same in m any cases, if not in all cases.

Is the HM ferrom agnetism theoretically robust? The spin- ip gap, the essential characteristic for HM ferrom agnetism, persists nonzero even when we theoretically change the cell volume from -21 % and 60 %. During this volum e change, the M IS gap changes little, but the spin- ip gap decreases with the cell deviating from the equilibrium volume. The Fermi energy moves upwards when the volum e decreases, but dow nw ards when the volum e increases. Its theoretical spin- ip gap, 0.774 eV, is much wider than 0.46 eV of the zb C rAs, 0.2 eV of the zb M nSb, and 0 eV of the zb M nAs. Its HM ferrom agnetism survives from the large theoretical volum e changes, being much more robust than the zb C rA s between (-15%, +27 %) and the zb M nSb between (-6 %, +12 %). It is clearly much better than other known HM or nearly HM ferrom agnetism in the zb com pounds. We already con med the main results by using other EC potentials and param eters. Because of physical errors in the GGA

potential, there should be some calculation errors, but the relative volum e errorm ust be less than 10 % in these accurate full-potential DFT calculations. A nyway, since persisting for the volum e changes from -21% to +60%, the HM ferrom agnetism in the zb C rSb is indeed robust against any theoretical errors, even m ore robust when taking into account the fact that all real DFT calculations underestim ate energy gaps.

W hat does this accurate DFT prediction im ply for real zb C rSb m aterials? Because the zb C rSb is theoretically 1 eV unstable with respect to the ground-state phase, it has been realized only in form of thin Ims through epitaxial grow th on the III-V sem iconductors [15]. A nyway, the zb CrAs is also theoretically 0.9 eV unstable with respect to the na CrAs phase, but the zb CrAs thin Im swith 5-6 unit cells in thickness [13] have been successfully fabricated. This success makes us believe that one can successfully fabricate in the near future the high-quality zb CrSb thin Im swith more than 5 unit cells in thickness and sim ilar zb multilayers. W hen the thickness of a zb CrSb lm sample is larger than 5 unit cells, the prediction, based on the accurate DFT crystal calculation, must apply to their internal parts deep beyond 2 unit cells, where there is very little surface effect. The real spin- ip gap should be approximately 1 eV because allLSDA and GGA calculations within DFT usually underestim ate the gap by 30 - 60 % . A wide spin- ip gap in plies that nearly 100 % spin polarization can be achieved at quite high tem perature. The zb C rSb of the HM ferrom agnetism may be the only candidate, which not only has excellent robust HM ferrom agnetism but also shares the same crystalline structure as the im portant III-V sem iconductors, and therefore, should be useful in spin electronics and in fabricating HM m agnetic quantum wells, dots and multilayers with some of them .

In summary, we system atically study CrSb in the zb structure with the full-potential LAPW method within density-functional theory, and thereby predict that it is a robust HM ferrom agnet with a magnetic moment of 3.000 _B per form u.a. The large exchange splitting of the narrow Creq bands is essential in form ing its ferrom agnetism. The presence of the low-lying Sb 5s electrons and the polar-covalent bonding between the Sb p and $C r t_{2q}$ states both are necessary in further forming its half-m etallic characteristic. It is found there may be a common mechanism for the HM ferrom agnetism in all the cases of the zb C r- and M n-pnictides. Its excellent spin- ip gap makes it possible to achieve nearly-full spin polarization at high tem perature. The HM ferrom agnetic phase of the zb C rSb is much better than those of the other zb com pounds, and therefore should be useful in spin electronics and other applications because being compatible with the important III-V sem iconductors.

A cknow ledgm ent. This work is supported in parts by China National Key Projects of Basic Research (Grant No. G 1999064509), by Nature Science Foundation of China, and by British Royal Society under a collaborating project with Chinese A cadem y of Sciences.

- [1] S.A.W olf et al, Science 294, 1488 (2001); I.S.O sbome, Science 294, 1483 (2001); D.D.Awschalom and J.M. Kikkawa, Physics Today 52, June 1999, page 33.
- [2] W .E.Pickett and J.S.M oodera, Halfm etallic magnets, Physics Today 54, M ay 2001, p39.
- [3] R.A.de G root et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 50, 2024 (1983).
- [4] R.A.de Groot, Physica B 172, 45 (1991).
- [5] J.W .Dong et al, Appl. Phys. Lett. 75, 1443 (1999).
- [6] S.M.W atts et al, Phys.Rev.B 61, 9621 (2000).
- [7] F.J.Jedem a, A.T.Filip, B. van W ees, Nature 410, 345
 (2001); S. Soeya et al, Appl. Phys. Lett. 80, 823 (2002).
- [8] J.M.D.Coey, M.Viret, S.von Molnar, Adv. Phys. 48, 169 (1999).
- [9] T. Akim oto et al: Phys. Rev Lett. 85, 3914 (2000); J.
 M. D. Coey and M. Venkatesan, J. Appl. Phys. 91, 8345 (2002); I. G alanakis, P. H. D ederichs and N. Papaniko-laou, cond-m at/0203534.
- [10] C.M.Fang, G.A. de W ijs and R.A. de G root, J.Appl. Phys. 91, 8340 (2002).
- [11] T.Pake et al, Appl.Phys.Lett. 80, 2523 (2002); S.Sugahara and M.Tanaka, Appl.Phys.Lett. 80, 1969 (2002).
- [12] K.Ono et al, J.Appl.Phys. 91, 8088 (2002).
- [13] H. Akinaga, T. Manago and M. Shirai, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 39, L1118 (2000).
- [14] M.Mizuguchi et al, J.Appl. Phys. 91, 7917 (2002).
- [15] J.H.Zhao et al, Appl. Phys. Lett. 79, 2776 (2001).
- [16] P.Radhakrishna and J.W .Cable, Phys. Rev. B 54, 11940 (1996).
- [17] S.Sanvito and N.A.Hill, Phys.Rev.B 62, 15553 (2000); A.Continenza, S.Picozzi, W.T.Geng and A.J.Freem an, Phys.Rev.B 64, 085204 (2001); Y.J.Zhao, W.T.Geng, A.J.Freem an and B.Delley, Phys.Rev.B 65, 113202 (2002).
- [18] Y.-Q. Xu, B.-G. Liu and D.G. Pettifor, submitted to Phys. Rev. B.
- [19] M. Shirai, Physica E 10, 147 (2000); I.Galanakis, condm at/0203535.
- [20] P.Ravindran et al, Phys. Rev. B 59, 15680 (1999).
- [21] P. Blaha, K. Schwarz, P. Sorantin and S. B. Trickey, Comp. Phys. Comm. 59, 399 (1990).
- [22] P. Hohenberg and W. Kohn, Phys. Rev. 136, B864 (1964); W. Kohn and L.J. Sham, Phys. Rev. 140, A 1133 (1965).
- [23] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke and M. Emzerhof, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 3865 (1996).
- [24] J. P. Perdew and Y. W ang, Phys. Rev. B 45, 13244 (1992).