## Interaction between particles in a 1/ -statistics anyon gas.

B.A.Bernevig<sup>+</sup>, D.Giuliano<sup>y</sup>, D.I.Santiago

<sup>+</sup> Department of Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139

<sup>y</sup> Universita di Napoli \Federico II" and I.N.F.M., Unita di Napoli, Monte S.Angelo - via Cintia, I-80126 Napoli, Italy

Department of Physics, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305

(April 14, 2024)

W e analyze the dynam ics between 1/ -fractional statistics particles (anyons) in an exact three-body solution of the Sutherland H am iltonian. W e show that anyons interact by m eans of a short-range attraction. The interaction dictates important features of the spectral function for a charge-1 particle.

PACS num bers: 71.10.-w , 71.10 Pm , 73.20.-r

Particles constrained to move in a plane can exhibit exchange statistics interm ediate between Ferm i statistics and Bose statistics [1]. Intermediate-statistics particles are called anyons. Anyons occur in nature as the bulk excitations of Fractional Quantum Hall (FQH) sam ples [2]. In a FQH sample at lling fraction = 1=m, the elementary charged excitation is an anyon whose total charge is e=m and has 1=m statistics. A similar fractionalization of electric charge and statistics takes place within the edge excitations of such samples. Therefore, edges of a FQH-sam ple provide a physical realization of a one-dim ensional anyon gas [3]. Low -energy, long wavelength Quantum Halledge excitations are described by a chiral Luttinger liquid model [3]. The Luttinger liquid form alism, however, cannot provide a description of processes with high momentum transfer between anyons (short-distance physics). Short-distance anyon physics is what we study in this paper, in an exact solution of a simple one-dimensionalmodelwith 1= -statistics excitations ( being a positive integer number): the Sutherland model [4]. The Ham iltonian for N particles lying at  $x_1$ ;:::; $x_N$  on a circle of length L and constant density  $\frac{N}{L} = 1$  is:

$$H_{S} = \frac{2}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{X^{N}}{z_{i}} z_{i} \frac{\theta}{\theta z_{i}}^{2}$$

$$(1) \sum_{i \in j=1}^{N} \frac{z_{i} z_{j}}{(z_{i} - z_{j})^{2}}$$
(1)

where  $z_i = \exp\left[\frac{2 \text{ i} x_i}{T_i}\right]$ .

By generalizing the form alism developed in [5], we construct and analyze the Schrodinger equation for any num – ber of anyons. As a result, we show that anyons interact via a short-range attraction. W hen anyons are far apart, the probability of nding one at a certain position is essentially independent of the positions of the others, showing that anyons are free when far enough away from each other. W hen any number M () of anyons come

together there is an increase of probability. The maximum enhancement of probability occurs when of them com e together in the 1= statistics anyon gas. The short distance probability enhancement is the progeny of the short distance attraction; anyon attraction largely enhances the probability of con qurations with anyons at the same place. A lthough the contribution to the total energy due to the interaction disappears in the therm odynam ic lim it, the enhancem ent does not. Instead, the peak value of the probability increases with N, and diverges in the therm odynam ic lim it. The enhancement is the matrix element for a charge-1 excitation to decay into -anyons. In the therm odynam ic lim it, the charge-1 excitation completely loses its integrity; as soon as a charge-1 particle/hole is created in the system, it im mediately breaks up into anyons. In particular for the = 3 case we display the relevant physics of anyon at-

traction in Fig.1 where we plot the squarem odulus of the three-anyon wavefunction as a function of the separation between two anyons at a time.




FIG.1. Square of the three-anyon wavefunction versus the separation between anyons at x and x (x-axis) and at x and x (y-axis). In set: spectral function vs. ! at q = 0.9, q = 0.5, q = 0.

Let us start the m athem atical derivation of anyon interaction. The ground state of H  $_{\rm S}$  is

$$G_{S}(z_{1}; :::; z_{N}) = \begin{pmatrix} Y^{N} & Y^{N} \\ (z_{1} & z_{j}) & z_{t} \end{pmatrix} (N = 1)$$

$$i < j = 1 \qquad t = 1 \qquad (2)$$

with energy  $E_0 = \frac{2}{N} e^{-2} \frac{2}{12} (N^2 - 1)$ .

E lem entary excitations on top of such a ground state are the one-dim ensional analogs of Laughlin's anyons for a = 1 = FQH - uid.W e create a 1= charge and statistics quasihole at z by rem oving 1= of an electron from the ground state. The excitation is a localized charge defect given by the wavefunction:

$$(z_{1}; :::; z_{N}) = \begin{array}{c} Y^{N} \\ (z_{j} \quad z \ ) \quad _{G \ S} (z_{1}; :::; z_{N}) \quad (3) \\ \\ \stackrel{j=1}{} \end{array}$$

is not an eigenstate of H  $_{\rm S}$  . Energy eigenstates are provided by Fourier transform ing  $\quad$  :

$$m(z_{1}; :::; z_{N}) = \frac{dz}{2 i(z)^{1+m}} (z_{1}; :::; z_{N}) (4)$$

(m = 0;:::;N and <sup>H</sup> denotes the integral over a closed path surrounding the origin). The corresponding eigenvalues are given by  $E_0 + E(q_n) = E_0 + \frac{2}{N} {}^2 [(N m)(m+1)]$ . The momentum of m is dened as  $q_m \frac{2}{N} [N=2 m]$ . In the therm odynamic lim itm =N is kept nite, and  $E(q_m) = {}^2 q_m^2$ , where  $q_m$ .

Multi-anyon states are constructed by a procedure analogous to the one used for one-anyon states. The wavefunction for M anyons localized at z  $_1$ ;:::;z  $_{M}$  is given by:

$$Y^{N} Y^{i} (z_{1}; ...; z_{N}) = (z_{j} z_{i}) G_{S} (z_{1}; ...; z_{N})$$

$$j = 1 i = 1$$
(5)

A convenient basis for the M -anyon eigenstates is given by Fourier transform ing Equation (5):

$$m_{1};...;m_{M} = \prod_{i=1}^{L} \frac{Y^{i}}{2 i z^{1+m_{i}}} \frac{dz_{i}}{2 i z^{1+m_{i}}}$$
(6)

with 0  $m_M$  :::  $m_1$  N. These many-anyon plane waves are not energy eigenstates. However, when acting on  $m_1$ ;::: $m_M$  with H<sub>S</sub>, we obtain [4]:

$$[H_{S} E_{0}]_{fmg} = \frac{2}{N} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & X^{f} & X^{f} \\ E & (q_{n_{i}}) & (m_{i} & m_{j}) \end{bmatrix}_{fmg}$$

$$X^{M} X + (m_{i} m_{j} + 2k) \dots m_{i^{+} k} + (m_{i} m_{j} + 2k) \dots m_{i^{+} k}$$
(7)

In Eq.(7) fm g is shorthand for  $m_1$ ;:::; $m_M$ .

Eq.(7) is a lower-diagonal matrix equation. The energy eigenvalues are the diagonal elements E  $_{fm g} = E_0 + P_{\substack{i=1 \\ j=1}} E(q_{m_1}) \frac{2}{N} \sum_{i < j=1}^{2P} m_i m_j$ . M any-anyon eigenstates of H  $_{S}$ ,  $_{fm g}$ , can be constructed from the  $_{fm g}$ 's by the so-called \squeezing procedure" [4]. The (m  $_i m_j$ ) term sm ake E  $_{fm g}$  not be equal to the sum of the energies of the single isolated anyons. Therefore, these terms show an interaction for anyons with large relative m om enta, i.e. at short distances. The anyon interaction is attractive because of the negative sign.

In the therm odynam ic lim it, the energy eigenvalues E  $_{\rm fm\ g}$  becomes equal to

$$E_{0} + \sum_{i=1}^{X^{M}} E(q_{n_{i}}) = E_{0} + \sum_{i=1}^{X^{M}} (2 q_{n_{i}}^{2}) : (8)$$

W e see that the energy for an M -anyon eigenstate just reduces to the sum of the energies of the single isolated anyons. The interaction contribution apparently disappears in the therm odynam ic lim it. However, as it happens with spinons [5], the interaction plays a crucial role in determ ining som e of the relevant physics of the anyon gas, as we are going to discuss next.

The real-space wavefunction for M anyons localized at z  $_1$ ;:::;z  $_{M}$  can be expanded in terms of the energy eigenstates:

$$\Psi_{i=1}^{M} z_{i}^{m_{i}} P_{fm g} \frac{Z_{2}}{Z_{1}}; \frac{Z_{3}}{Z_{2}}; \dots; \frac{Z_{M}}{Z_{M-1}} fm g \qquad (9)$$

where the function  $P_{fm g} = \frac{z_{2}}{z_{1}}; \frac{z_{3}}{z_{2}}; \dots; \frac{z_{M}}{z_{M-1}}$  is a polynomial in each of its variables. By denition,  $Q_{i=1}^{M} z_{i}^{m_{1}} P_{fm g} = \frac{z_{2}}{z_{1}}; \frac{z_{3}}{z_{2}}; \dots; \frac{z_{M}}{z_{M-1}}$  is the coordinate representation of the wavefuction for M anyons in the state of energy  $E_{fm g}$ .

The Schrödinger equation for P  $_{fm g}$  is derived from the identity:

$$h_{fmg}j(H_s E_0)j_{1}$$
;...;  $M i = (E_{fmg} E_0)$ 

$$h_{fmg} j_{fmg} i_{i=1} z_{i}^{m_{i}} P_{fmg} \frac{z_{2}}{z_{1}}; \frac{z_{3}}{z_{2}}; \dots; \frac{z_{M}}{z_{M-1}}$$
(10)

and from the fact that  $H_S$  acts on  $_1, \dots, _M$  as:

$$(H_{S} E_{0})_{1} = \frac{2}{N} \left( N - 2 \right)_{i=1}^{X^{H}} z_{i} \frac{\theta}{\theta z_{i}}$$

By putting together Eqs.(9,10,11), we obtain the equation of m otion for the relative coordinate M -anyon wave-function P<sub>fmg</sub>  $\frac{z_{2}}{z_{1}}; \frac{z_{3}}{z_{2}}; \dots; \frac{z_{M}}{z_{M-1}}$ :

$$2 \sum_{i=1}^{M} m_{i} z_{i} \frac{\theta}{\theta z_{i}} + \sum_{i=1}^{M} z_{i} \frac{\theta}{\theta z_{i}}^{2}$$

$$+ \frac{\mathbf{X}^{i}}{i < j = 1} \quad \frac{\mathbf{z}_{i} + \mathbf{z}_{j}}{\mathbf{z}_{i} \quad \mathbf{z}_{j}} \quad \mathbf{z}_{i} \frac{\mathbf{\theta}}{\mathbf{\theta} \mathbf{z}_{i}} \quad \mathbf{z}_{j} \frac{\mathbf{\theta}}{\mathbf{\theta} \mathbf{z}_{j}}$$

$$+ \frac{X^{i}}{(m_{i} - m_{j})} \frac{z_{i} + z_{j}}{z_{i} - z_{j}}$$

$$+ \sum_{i < j=1}^{M} (m_{i} m_{j}) P_{fmg} \frac{z_{2}}{z_{1}}; \frac{z_{3}}{z_{2}}; \dots; \frac{z_{M}}{z_{M-1}} = 0 :$$
(12)

Eq.(12) for three anyons and any can be solved exactly [6]. In order to illustrate the features of anyon interaction, in the rest of this letter we shall analyze the full solution of Eq.(12) for the case of three anyons and = 3 (the case of two spinons, i.e. two anyons with = 2 has been solved in [5].)  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fm g}}(z;w)\hat{j}$ , with  $z = z_2 = z_1 w = z_3 = z_2$ , is the function we plot in Fig.1, for = 3;N = 20;m\_1 m\_2 = 10;m\_2 m\_3 = 7.

We can extract physical consequences of anyon attraction by computing the spectral density for the charge-1 excitation. The state where one more charge-1 hole has been created at , on top of the N-particle ground state, is described by the wavefunction  $(z_1; :::; z_N) = Q_{j=1}^{N} (z_j)^3_{GS} (z_1; :::; z_N)$ . From the denition of P fm g we not the following decomposition for in terms of the states fm g:

$$= \frac{X^{N} \quad X^{R} \quad X^{R}}{m = 0 m = 0 m = 0} m + m + m P_{fmg}(1;1) m_{fmg} (13)$$

Since only positive-energy states propagate, the G reen function for a charge-1 hole is de ned as G (;t) = i (t)h (t)j = 1 (t = 0)i. The G reen function corre-

ponds to a physical hole, which is obtained by pulling

a real electron out of the system . The spectral density of states, A (!;q) is the imaginary part of the Fourier transform of G with respect to both t and , that is:

$$A (!;q) = X \qquad \underbrace{p_{fmg}(1;1)\hat{f}h_{fmg}j_{fmg}i}_{m + m + m n} N \qquad \underbrace{p_{fmg}(1;1)\hat{f}h_{fmg}j_{fmg}i}_{m \in S_{1}^{2} S_{1}^{2}}$$

(q = 2 n=L, 0 n 3N is the total momentum of the hole).

In order to compute A (!;q), we need the norm of the energy eigenstates and the enhancements  $P_{fm g}(1;1)$ . The norm s are obtained by following the methods developed in [5] and can be expressed in term s of  $h_{GS}j_{GS}i = [3N + 1] = [3]^{N}$  [7]. The solution is given by:

$$\frac{h_{\text{fm g} j \text{fm g} i}}{h_{\text{G} \text{S} j \text{G} \text{S} i}} = \frac{Y^3}{_{i=1}} \frac{N + 1 + (i - 1) = 3]}{N + i = 3]}$$

$$\frac{Y^3}{_{i=1}} \frac{N - m_i + i = 3] \text{ [m }_i + (4 - i) = 3]}{N - m_i + 1 + (i - 1) = 3] \text{ [m }_i + 1 + (3 - i) = 3]}$$

$$\frac{Y^3}{_{i\leq j=1}} \frac{\text{[m }_i - m_j + (j - i) = 3] \text{ [m }_i - m_j + (j - i) = 3 + 1]}{_{i\leq j=1}}$$

 $P_{fm g}(1;1)$  can be computed from equation (12). The derivation will be described in a forthcoming paper [6]. Here, let us just quote the main result:

$$P_{fmg}(1;1) = \frac{2 \left[\frac{1}{3}\right]}{\left[\frac{2}{3}\right]} \frac{Y^3}{\underset{i < j=1}{} \frac{[m_i m_j + \frac{j_{i+1}}{3}]}{[m_i m_j + \frac{j_{i+1}}{3}]}$$

By inserting in Eq.(14) the form ulas for the norm s and for the enhancements, it is straightforward to work out A (!;q) in the therm odynam ic lim it. In this lim it, we can trade the sum s for integrals over the B rillouin zone of the three anyons, so that Eq.(14) takes the form :

A 
$$(!;q) = \frac{\left[\frac{1}{3}\right]^{Z}}{2\left[\frac{2}{3}\right]} = ; \qquad \frac{dq}{6} \qquad [! E(q;q;q)]$$

$$\frac{(q q)(q q)(q q)(q q)}{(^{2} q^{2})(^{2} q^{2})(^{2} q^{2})(^{2} q^{2})} \begin{bmatrix} q q q q \end{bmatrix}$$

In the inset of F ig.1, we plot A (q; !) at di erent values of q. W e recognize a fundam ental feature of the anyon gas in that no de nite Landau quasiparticle peak appears. This corresponds to the lack of integrity of the charge-1 hole we discussed before. M ore in portantly, we can distinguish a well-de ned sharp peak in the spectral function, whose height crucially depends on q. Such a \spectralenhancem ent" is the enhancem ent in the matrix elem ent for a hole to decay in three anyons, P  $_{\rm fm~g}$  (1;1). The dependence on q re ects the dependence of  $P_{fm q}$  (1;1) on the relative anyon m om enta, the larger the relative m om enta, the larger the enhancem ent. Therefore, the maximum enhancement should appear for values of q that m ake the di erences am ong anyon m om enta as large as possible, namely, when two anyons lie at opposite sites of the Brillouin zone (q ), while a third one has momentum q 0.0 n the other hand, the double constraint of momentum and energy conservation implies that the num ber of three-anyon states in which the hole decays is proportional to the size of the intersection between the plane  $_{i}q_{i} = 0$ , the sphere  $_{i}q_{i}^{2} = 3^{2}$  !=6 and the Brillou in zone  $[; ]^3$ . Such a size is maximum when all three anyons lie at the corners of the Brillouin zone, that i= 1;2;3. The two competing maximum conis, q<sub>i</sub> ditions discussed above imply that the maximum in the spectral function in this system does not appear exactly at the em ission threshold, as it happens with spinons [5], but at som e interm ediate value of !, depending on q.

Such a trend clearly appears from the plots in the inset of F ig.1 as a signature of the nonelem entarity of the charge-1 excitation and of the corresponding attraction am ong fractionally charged quasiparticles.

In the present work, the results of [5] have been generalized to excitations of arbitrary statistics 1 = . Them ain result is that fractionalized particles of any statistics always interact through a short distance attraction discovered in [5]. We conclude that short distance attraction is a generic feature of the dynam ics of fractionalized excitations. Such an attraction has profound consequences in the spectral function of the charge-1 hole. The peculiar distribution of spectral weight should provide a possible experimental evidence of anyon attraction.

In conclusion, it clearly appears that, because of its generality, our result should be a common feature of any anyon gas and, in particular, that it m ight provide a sim – ple explanation of some of the interesting and puzzling tunelling experimental ndings on FQH samples [8,9].

As a mathematical appendix we provide the explicit recursion relation for the coe cients of P  $_{\rm fm~g}$ . We assume a polynomial solution of the form

$$P_{fm g}(z;w) = \sum_{p;q=0}^{X} b_{p;q} z^{p} w^{q}; P_{fm g}(0;0) = 1$$

where the sum mations over p and q stop at some nite indices. The boundary condition in plies  $b_{0;0} = 1$ . M oreover, by inserting into Eq.(12) the polynom ialexpansion, it is straightforward to work out a recursion relation for the coe cients  $b_{p;q}$ :

$$(p+q)(p+2)+(q-2)(q+2)+\frac{p+q+4}{3}b_{p+2;q+2}=$$

( p 1+q)(p+2)+ ( q 1)(q+1) 
$$1+\frac{+q 2p}{3} b_{p+2;q+1}$$

+ ( 
$$p+q+1$$
) ( $p+1$ )+ (  $q$  2) ( $q+2$ ) 1+  $\frac{+p}{3}$   $b_{p+1;q+2}$ 

$$(p+q 1)(p+1)+(q)q+\frac{+2+1+p 2q}{3}b_{p+1;q}$$

$$(p+q+1)p+(q+1)(q+1)+\frac{+2+1+q-2p}{3}b_{p;q+1}$$

+ p+ q+ pq 
$$p^2$$
  $q^2$  +  $\frac{2(+)}{3}$   $p_{pq}$  (15)

where, if either p or q (or both of them ) is < 0,  $b_{p;q} = 0$ ,  $b_{+k;j} = 0$  for k > j,  $b_{++i;q} = 0$  for i > 0.

This work has been conceived at a suggestion by R. B.Laughlin. H is support and his advice to us in writting this paper have been extrem ely precious. W e kindly thank him. W e acknow ledge interesting discussions with A.Tagliacozzo, P.Sodano, G.M aiella and M.Bergere.

- [1] F W ilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. 48, 1144 (1982); Phys. Rev. Lett. 49, 957 (1983).
- [2] R.B.Laughlin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 50, 1395 (1983).
- [3] X.G.Wen, Phys. Rev. B 41, 12838 (1990); M. Stone, Ann. Phys. 207, 38 (1991).
- [4] B.Sutherland, Phys. Rev. A 4, 2019 (1971).
- [5] B.A.Bernevig, D.Giuliano and R.B.Laughlin, Phys. Rev.Lett. 86, 3392 (2001).
- [6] B.A.Bernevig, D.G iuliano and D.I.Santiago, in preparation.
- [7] K.G.W ilson, Jour. M at. Phys. 3, 1040 (1962).
- [8] L.Sam idanayar et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 2526 (1997).
- [9] E.Com fortiet.al. Nature 416, 515 (2002).