M ott transitions in correlated electron system s with orbital degrees of freedom

Y.Ono,¹ M.Pottho,^{2;} and R.Bulla³

¹D epartm ent of Physics, N agoya U niversity, Furo-cho, C hikusa-ku, N agoya 464-8602, Japan

²Lehrstuhl Festkorpertheorie, Institut fur Physik, Hum boldt-Universitat zu Berlin, D-10115 Berlin, Germany

³T heoretische Physik III, Elektronische Korrelationen und Magnetismus, Institut fur Physik, Universitat Augsburg, D-86135

Augsburg, Germany

M ott m etal-insulator transitions in an M -fold orbitally degenerate H ubbard m odel are studied by m eans of a generalization of the linearized dynam ical m ean- eld theory. The m ethod allows for an e cient and reliable determ ination of the critical interaction U_c for any integer lling n and di erent M at zero tem perature. For half-lling a linear dependence of U_c on M is found. Inclusion of the (full) H und's rule exchange J results in a strong reduction of U_c. The transition turns out to change qualitatively from continuous for J = 0 to discontinuous for any nite J. This result is con m ed using the exact-diagonalization m ethod for M = 2.

PACS: 71.10Fd, 71.27.+a, 71.30.+h

I. IN TRODUCTION

The M ott m etal-insulator transition (M IT) has been the subject of num erous experim ental and theoretical investigations over the past decades^{1{3}. As a transition from a param agnetic m etal to a param agnetic insulator that is driven by the competition between the conduction electrons' kinetic energy and their m utual C oulom b repulsion, the M ott M IT represents a prime example for a quantum phase transition. R ealizations of the M ott transition can be found in di erent 3d transition-m etal oxides^{1;2} as wellas in alkali-doped Fullerides^{4;5}, for exam – ple. Its description is a challenging task for m any-body theory.

The minimal model to describe the M ott transition is the Hubbard model⁶ which in its simplest form contains the local C oulomb interaction between the electrons in a conduction band formed by a single orbital only. The investigation of such a single-band model might be justi ed in some cases, in particular as an elective model for low-energy properties. A consistent description of the experimental observations for the above-mentioned systems, how ever, certainly requires the use of more realistic models which include orbital degrees of freedom, possible crystal eld splittings, etc.

Theoretical advances in the past decade { mainly due to the development of the dynamical mean-eld theory $(DMFT)^{7,8}$ { have led to an increased understanding of multi-orbital Hubbard models. Recent investigations have either concentrated on fundamental questions such as the nature of the M ott M II as a function of orbital degeneracy⁹^{{16}</sup> or, within the so-called LDA+DMFT approach¹⁷, on a realistic description of the transition.

N evertheless, there are still no reliable DMFT results for the zero-temperature (T = 0) M ott transition in generalmultiband models: Numericalmethods to solve the DMFT equations are either restricted to fairly large temperatures (quantum M onte-C arb approach, QMC) or have not yet been extended to the multiband case

(num erical renorm alization-group m ethod, NRG). A dditionally, due to the sign problem within the QMC approach only a simplied exchange part of the Coulomb interaction can be taken into account^{13;18}. The exactdiagonalization m ethod (ED) is restricted to rather sm all system sizes, and data are available for M 2 orbitals only¹⁶. The M dependence of the critical interaction strength U_c for dierent integer llings n is particularly interesting. Detailed results for U_c as a function of M and n are available from the Gutzwiller approximation and from a slave-boson approach^{9;11}. Numerical DMFT-QMC calculations have been performed $3^{12;13}$. Remarkably, in the lim it of large orfor M bital degeneracy M ! 1 an analytical treatment of the DMFT becomes possible for the MIT 15 . A scaling $U_c = U_{ci^2} / M$ for the actual transition is found while $U_{c:1} / M$ is obtained for the critical interaction where the insulating solution breaks dow n¹⁵. This is consistent with the linear dependence for large M found in Refs. 9,11 and with the square-root dependence reported in Ref. 5. However, there is still a need for a DMFT method which works at T = 0 and which allows for an e cient and reliable determ ination of U_c for arbitrary n and M and for a model including the full exchange interaction.

Here we present and apply a proper multi-band generalization of the so-called linearized DMFT $(L-DMFT)^{19}$ which is a simple but rather successful technique that originally was developed for the critical regime of the Mott MIT in the single-band model. Within the L-DMFT the lattice problem is mapped onto an Anderson impurity model with a single bath site only by considering a simpli ed self-consistency condition just at the critical point. The approach can be considered to be the sim plest non-trivial variant of the more system atic projective self-consistent m ethod (PSCM) by M celler et al^{20} . It allows for extrem ely fast num erical calculations or even analytical results to estim ate the critical param eters. Extensions have been considered for the M ott-H ubbard or charge-transfer) M II s in a d-p m $odel^{21}$, for a quantum critical point in the periodic Anderson $m \operatorname{odel}^{22}$, and for the M = 2 degenerate Hubbard model at half-lling and $J = 0^{16}$. Furthermore, a general two-site DMFT²³ has been developed which reduces to the L-DMFT at the M IT but is not restricted to the critical point. An application to multi-band system s is likewise possible but has not yet been considered.

A sconcemse ective single-band models, the linearized DMFT has been tested extensively by comparing with numerical results from the full DMFT.For the standard H ubbard model^{19;24} but also for di erent thin- Im and sem i-in nite surface geometries²⁵ as well as for the d-p model²⁶ a remarkable agreement has been found: Detailed trends of U_c as a function of electronic model parameters and as a function of parameters characterizing the lattice geometry are predicted reliably. For quantitative estimates errors of the order of a few percent have to be tolerated.

A s it is dem onstrated here, a straightforw and extension of the m ethod to m ulti-band system s is possible. We expect the L-DM FT to be a fast but reliable tool in this case as well since the e ective in purity model includes the complete atom ic part and the self-consistently determ ined bath with the complete degeneracy (although restricted to a single site only). Furtherm ore, the reliability of the L-DM FT for the multi-band case is checked by comparing with di erent fully num ericalDM FT techniques whenever results are available. AllDM FT calculations discussed in this paper were perform ed on a B ethe lattice with $t^2 = 1$, corresponding to bandwidth of 4.

II.M ODEL AND LINEAR IZED DM FT

W e consider a multi-band Hubbard-type model H = $H_0 + H_1$ including an intra-orbital hopping

$$H_{0} = \begin{array}{c} X \\ t_{ij}c_{i}^{y} c_{j} \end{array}$$
(1)

and the direct and the exchange part of the on-site $\ensuremath{\mathtt{C}}\xspace$ oulom b interaction:

$$H_{1} = \frac{1}{2} X_{i} U_{i} \circ C_{i}^{y} C_{i}^{y} \circ C_{i} \circ C_{i}$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2} X_{i} U_{i} \circ C_{i}^{y} C_{i}^{y} \circ C_{i} \circ C_{i} \circ C_{i}$$
(2)

using standard notations. i is a site index, and = ";# refers to the spin direction. The di erent orbitals labeled by = 1;:::;M are considered to be equivalent, M is the orbital degeneracy. Exploiting atom ic sym m etries, we have U \circ = (U + 2J) \circ + U (1 \circ) and J \circ = J (1 \circ) as usual (see e.g. Ref. 27). Opposed to calculations using the QMC m ethod (see, e.g. Refs. 13,18), H₁ includes the full exchange part and thus preserves rotational invariance.

The DMFT assumes the self-energy to be local. Furthermore, the self-energy as well as the Green function are diagonal with respect to the orbital index for the m odel considered here. In case of equivalent orbitals and in the absence of any spontaneous symmetry breaking, we thus have $_{ij}$ (!) = $_{ij}$ (!) and likewise for the local G reen function: G_{ii} (!) = G (!). Within the framework of the DMFT, the model H is mapped onto an impurity model H⁰ = H⁰₀ + H¹₁ where H¹₀ is the local part of the interaction (2) at a distinguished site i_0 and

$$H_{0}^{0} = \begin{array}{cccc} X & X^{n_{s}} \\ t_{0} c_{i_{0}}^{Y} c_{i_{0}} + & _{k} a_{k}^{Y} a_{k} \\ & & ; k=2 \end{array}$$

$$+ \begin{array}{cccc} X^{n_{s}} \\ V_{k} (c_{i_{0}}^{Y} a_{k} + h.c.) \end{array} (3)$$

with $t_0 = t_{ii}$. The self-energy (!) of H ⁰ is identied with the self-energy of the lattice model H and yields, via the lattice D yson equation, the on-site G reen function G (!). The latter determ ines the one-particle param eters of H⁰_P or, equivalently, the hybridization function (!) = $_k V_k^2 = (! + _k)$ via the DM FT selfconsistency condition (!) = !+ t_0 (!) 1=G(!).

W ithin the fullDM FT an in nite number of bath degrees of freedom n_s ! 1 are necessary to full the self-consistency equation. This implies the need for further approximations to treat H⁰. Contrary, a simple two-site model is considered here. For $n_s = 2$ the model (3) can be solved exactly; however, a simplied self-consistency condition must be tolerated.

O ur approach rests on the assumption that similar as for the single-band model, the M IT is characterized by a vanishing weight of a low-energy quasi-particle resonance. Close to the M IT it is then plausible to neglect any internal structure of the quasi-particle peak and to assume that there is no in uence of high-energy features on the low-energy part of the excitation spectrum. The quasi-particle peak is then approximated by a single pole at the Ferm i energy ($_{c} = k = 2 = 0$) which reproduces itself in the DM FT self-consistency cycle. One can proceed as for the single-band model¹⁹ and is nally left with the following simple algebraic self-consistency equation which determ ines the critical parameters ($V = V_{k=2}$):

$$V^{2} = zM_{2}^{(0)}$$
: (4)

Here M₂⁽⁰⁾ is the second moment of the non-interacting density of states M₂⁽⁰⁾ = R dx x²⁽⁰⁾ (x). Form ally, Eq. (4) is the same as in the single-band model¹⁹. However, the quasi-particle weight z has to determ ined from the degenerate model (3). We calculate $z = 1 = (1 \quad ^{0}(0))$ from the spectral representation of G (!) by summing the residua / V² in the lim it V ! 0. The residua are obtained by standard (B rillouin-W igner) degenerate perturbation theory up to the order V². This yields an expression $z = z(V; _{c};t_{0};U;J) = V^{2}F(_{c} \quad t_{0};U;J)=M_{2}^{(0)} + O(V^{4})$. Using $_{c} =$ in the lim it V ! 0 and setting $t_{0} = t_{ii} = 0$ to x the energy zero, we obtain from Eq.

(4) F (;U;J) = 1 as a condition for the M IT . This condition is di erent for di erent orbital degeneracy M and integer lling n = 1; ...; 2M 1.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

For an integer lling n and for any U larger than a critical interaction $U_c = U_c$ (n; M) there are two critical values for the chem ical potential (U) which can be obtained by solving F (;U) = 1 for (the case J = 0 is considered rst). For $U > U_c$ (n; M) and (U) < < + (U) the system is a M ott insulator with integer lling n. On the other hand, for <(U) or > + (U),a non-integer lling is realized, and the system becomes m etallic. The chem ical potential is a continuous function of the lling n^0 in the vicinity of n for any $U < U_c$ (n; M) but (n^0) is discontinuous at $n^0 = n$ with a jump from

(U) to $_+$ (U) for U > U_c (n;M). U_c is determined by minimization of the function U () which is obtained by solving F (;U) = 1 for U.

For half-lling n = M and arbitrary M we succeeded with a completely analytical calculation for F (;U):

F (;U)=M₂⁽⁰⁾ =
$$\frac{1}{2}$$
 $\frac{M+1}{MU}$ + $\frac{M}{(M-1)U}$ ²
+ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{M}{MU}$ + $\frac{M+1}{(M-1)U}$ ²: (5)

For U < U_c and half-lling n = M in the symmetric model, the chemical potential is xed to = $(M \quad \frac{1}{2})U$ due to particle-hole symmetry. Then we nd F = $M_2^{(0)} (4M + 2)^2 = U^2$ which results in the critical interaction

$$U_{c} (n = M; M) = (4M + 2) M_{2}^{(0)}$$
: (6)

By solving F (;U) = 1 with Eq. (5) for $U > U_c$ we obtain the jump in the chem ical potential

$$= U B B^{2} 1 + \frac{U_{c}^{2}}{U^{2}}$$
(7)

where B $1 + 1 = (2 (2M + 1)^2 (U = U_c)^2)$. The result for

(U) is shown in Fig. 1 for M = 1; ...; 5. Here and hereafter we set $M_2^{(0)} = 1$. For xed M and U ! 1, the jump in the chem ical potential increases linearly with U. For U ! U_c, (U) shows a square-root behaviour.

Q ualitatively and even quantitatively, this agrees well with results from numerical methods to solve the full DMFT equations. In particular, we compare with results from ED calculations which we have performed with $n_s = 6$ for M = 2 (circles) and with data available for T = 0 from the projective self-consistent method (crosses)¹⁴. The non-degenerate case M = 1 has already been discussed in Ref. 24. For M = 2 and $n_s = 6$ the

error due to nite-size e ects in the ED is almost negligible. One can state that the agreem ent of the L-DMFT results with the ED and PSCM data is equally good for both M = 1 and M = 2.

The generalized L-DMFT predicts U_c (n = M; M) to depend linearly on M, see Eq. (6). This agrees with previous results from the Gutzwiller method⁹, slave boson calculations¹¹, the non-crossing approximation for low tem perature²⁸, and the projective technique for large M¹⁵. This nding can easily be understood by looking at the weight factors in the Lehmann representation of the spectral density of the two-site Anderson model. Expanding into the di erent con gurations, one nds that the ground state is composed of the order of M singlet states in the lim if V ! 0 and that there are of the order of M excited states coupling to the ground state. Hence, there are of the order of M² processes to be considered in the V-perturbation theory which are contributing to the spectral weight near ! = 0. This implies z / M $^{2}V^{2}=U^{2}$ and eventually results in U_c / M .

In Fig. 2 the dependence of U_c on both n and M is shown for all integer llings and M 5. We nd U_c to be reduced away from half-lling, consistent with previous results^{5;9;12 {14}. The t shows an alm ost perfect quadratic dependence of U_c on the lling.

Let us now discuss the in uence of the Hund's rule coupling J on the M ott transition at T = 0. Contrary to the QMC m ethod, the full exchange part of the onsite C oulomb interaction can easily be included in the L-DMFT calculation. A quantitative in uence, i.e. a reduction of U_c due to J has already been observed in Refs. 5,13. This behavior is also evident from our L-DMFT results, as shown below.

The situation is, however, more complicated: It turns out that a nite J leads to a qualitative change of the nature of the T = 0 M ott transition. This is illustrated in Fig. 3 which shows the U-dependence of the quasiparticle weight z for various values of J and n = M = 2. Note that z is plotted not only close to the transition but also for U far below U_c which means that the self-




FIG.1. (U) for half-lling n = M and dierent M as obtained from Eq. (7). Results from the full DM FT using ED, NRG, and PSCM ^{14;20} are shown for comparison.




FIG.2. Critical interaction U_c for dierent llings n = 1;2;...;2M 1 and dierent M as a function of n=M. Symbols: L-DMFT results. Lines: simple t function $U_c(n;M) = U_c(n = M;M) - c(1 - (n=M))^2$ and c = 0:217 + 2:671M.




FIG.3. U-dependence of the quasi-particle weight z for n = M = 2 and di erent J. D ashed lines correspond to m etastable solutions; vertical lines indicate the actual M ott transition at $U = U_c$.

consistency relation (4) is used for any U. This is reasonable as long as there is a clear separation between the low-energy (quasi-particle) and the high-energy scale (H ubbard bands). A lthough the approximation becomes questionable for higher z and particularly in the limit $z \ 1$, the trend of $z \ U$) looks rather plausible in whole range.

In the critical regime where z goes to zero continuously, we nd z(U) to decrease with decreasing U which seems to be unphysical. In fact, this behavior belongs to a metastable state (dashed line) while for the true ground state (solid line) z(U) is always decreasing with increasing U. The two metallic states with nite z > 0but di erent slope dz=dU are coexisting in a nite range of interaction strengths, namely for U larger than $U_{c;2}$ (given by z = 0) and for U smaller than another critical value $U_{c;3} > U_{c;2}$ where they merge together²⁹.




FIG.4. Energy of the two m etallic solutions relative to the energy of the insulator for J = 0.1. At $U_{c,2}$ (closed circle) the m etastable m etallic state continuously m erges with the insulating state. At $U_{c,3}$ (cross) the two m etallic states m erge. The discontinuous phase transition takes place at U_c (open circle).




FIG.5. Localm om ent at the impurity site hS^2 i as a function of U for di erent J.D ashed lines: m etastable solutions; vertical lines: actual M ott transition for U = U_c.

The actual critical interaction strength U_c where the transition to the insulator takes place must be determ ined by comparing the respective energies with the energy of the insulator. This is shown in Fig. 4 for J = 0:1. For any J > 0 it is found that $U_{c,2} < U_c < U_{c,3}$. At U_c the energies of the metallic and insulating states are crossing as functions of U. Consequently, the transition at T = 0 is discontinuous with a jum p in physical quantities like the double occupancy $hn_n n_{\#}i = dE = dU \cdot Equiv$ alently, one can look at the local magnetic moment at the impurity site hS^2i (see Fig. 5). The local moment increases with U, typical for a strongly correlated normalmetal. At U_c it jumps to $hS^2i = 2$ for any nite J. Sim ilarly, the quasi-particle weight z decreases with increasing U and drops to zero at U_c from a nite value z > 0. In Fig. 3 this is indicated by solid vertical lines.

The phase diagram in the (U,J)-plane is shown in Fig. 6. The critical interaction for the actual transition is




FIG.6. (U,J) phase diagram for n = M = 2. U_c: critical interaction for the M IT.U_{c;3}: maximum U up to which a metallic solution is found. U_{c;2}: critical U where z ! 0 (U_{c;2} = 14=3 3J for J > 0 and M₂⁽⁰⁾ = 1).




FIG.7. DMFT-ED results ($n_s = 6$) for the U-dependence of the quasi-particle weight (left scale) and the local moment (right scale). J = 0 and J = 0.1 for n = M = 2.

plotted together with $U_{c;2}$ and $U_{c;3}$. U_c is found to be very close to $U_{c;3}$ for all J-values. Note that already a m oderate value of J 0:3 leads to a drastic reduction of U_c from $U_c = 10$ to U_c 5.

Interestingly, sim ilar results have been obtained beforehand by a rather di erent m ethod: Applying a generalized G utzw iller approximation to a degenerate twoband m odel, B unem ann et al.¹⁰ found the M ott transition to be discontinuous for any nite J > 0 and to be continuous for J = 0 only. W hile the G utzw iller m ethod (for J = 0) signi cantly overestimates the critical interaction strength as compared to the L-DM FT, the reduction of U_c by a nite J is stronger. Common to both m ethods, how ever, is the qualitative change of the M ott transition for a nite J.

W e have additionally checked the nature of the M ott transition by a fully num erical evaluation of the DM FT equations using the ED m ethod with $n_s = 6$ sites for n = M = 2. The results for J = 0 and J = 0.1 are shown in Fig. 7. The critical interaction U_c is found to be somewhat sm aller as compared to the L-DM FT result

as shown in Fig. 6. M ore important, however, the ED calculations show the same qualitative trend: The slope of z (U) approaches 1 at a critical value U = $U_{c;3}$. This clearly indicates a second m etastable m etallic solution and a discontinuous transition and thus corroborates our results discussed above. Fig. 7 also shows the U dependence of the localm agnetic moment where the e ect is even m ore pronounced. N ote that in the insulator hS^2i is close but not equal to the prediction of the linearized DMFT $hS^2i = 2$ (cf. Fig. 5). This is due to residual local uctuations which are neglected by the L-DMFT for the insulating phase.

The limit J ! 0 which appears to be som ewhat exceptional can be analyzed easily. From Fig. 3 it is obvious that the critical interaction $U_{c;2}$ at which z ! 0 in the metastable phase shows a discontinuous jump from a value $U_{c;2}$ 5 < $U_{c;3}$ for J > 0 to $U_{c;2}$ = 10 = $U_{c;3}$ for J = 0. To nd the physical reason for this discontinuity ofU_{c:2}, one can again look at the Lehm ann representation of the spectral density for V ! 0. The main di erence between the case J = 0 and the case J > 0 consists in the fact that for J > 0 only those con gurations with a triplet on the impurity site contribute to the (singlet) ground state in the lim it V ! 0 (see Fig. 5). As com pared with J = 0 this is about a factor of 2 con gurations less. Thus, the discontinuous jump of U_{c;2} is caused by a strong suppression of orbital uctuations due to a nite J (a sim ilar argum ent in a slightly di erent context has been put forward in Ref. 16). On the other hand, it should be stressed that the actual critical interaction U_c remains continuous in the lim it J ! 0 as U_c ! $U_{c,3}$. Note that to uncover this subtle distinction it has been necessary to extend the theory beyond the z ! $0 \lim it$.

IV.CONCLUSION

To sum marize, we have presented an extension of the linearized dynamical mean-eld theory (L-DMFT) to multi-orbital Hubbard models including the fullexchange term. This allows a reliable calculation of the critical interaction strength U_c for the zero-temperature Mott transition analytically for all M at half-lling and numerically for all integer llings n \in M and M 5. We nd a linear dependence of U_c on the number of orbitals. Remarkably, a qualitative change of the nature of the T = 0 M ott transition is observed for any nite J: the transition becomes discontinuous with a nite jump of the quasi-particle weight at U_c close to U_{ci3} .

For nite temperatures one might speculate that a nite J increases the tendency for a rst-order M otttransition. This could be of experimental relevance for the M ott-transition in transition-m etal oxides.

The L-DMFT for correlated electron systems with orbital degrees of freedom is a handy and trustable approach with a large potential for future applications. One might consider, for instance, the multi-band Hubbard m odel investigated in the context of the LDA+DMFT approach for the transition-m etal oxide $V_2O_3^{18}$. The L-DMFT can be easily extended to answer questions about the in portance of the e_g-bands (neglected in Ref. 18) on the M ott transition, or the in uence of the full exchange term. We have already observed a signi cant quantitative change of U_c when excluding the spin-dependent part from the exchange term in (2). This should have consequences for a realistic description of the M IT in transition-m etal oxides which are worth to be studied.

ACKNOW LEDGMENTS

W e acknowledge the support of the Grant-in-Aid for Scientic Research from the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture (YO) and the Deutsche Forschungsgem einschaft through the Sonderforschungsbereich 290 (MP) and 484 (RB).

Present address: Institut fur Theoretische Physik und A strophysik, Universitat W urzburg, Am Hubland, 97074 W urzburg, Germany

- ¹ N.F.Mott, Proc. Phys. Soc. London A 62, 416 (1949); Metal-Insulator Transitions, 2nd ed. (Taylor and Francis, London, 1990).
- ² M. Im ada, A. Fujim ori, and Y. Tokura, Rev. M od. Phys. 70, 1039 (1998).
- ³ F. Gebhard, The Mott Metal-Insulator Transition, Springer Tracts in Modern Physics Vol. 137 (Springer, Berlin, 1997).
- ⁴ O.Gunnarson, Rev.M od.Phys. 69, 575 (1997).
- ⁵ E.Koch, O.G unnarsson, and R.M.Martin, Phys. Rev.B 60, 15714 (1999).
- ⁶ J. Hubbard, Proc. R. Soc. London A 276, 238 (1963); M. C.Gutzwiller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 10, 59 (1963); J.K anam ori, Prog. Theor. Phys. 30, 275 (1963).
- ⁷ W . M etzner and D . Vollhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 324 (1989).
- ⁸ A.Georges, G.Kotliar, W.Krauth, and M.J.Rozenberg, Rev.Mod.Phys.68,13 (1996).
- ⁹ J.P.Lu, Phys.Rev.B 49, 5687 (1994).
- ¹⁰ J.Bunem ann and W .W eber, Phys.Rev.B 55, 4011 (1997); J.Bunem ann, W .W eber, and F.Gebhard, Phys.Rev.B 57, 6896 (1998).
- ¹¹ R.Fresard and G.Kotliar, Phys.Rev.B 56, 12909 (1997); R.Fresard and M.Lamboley, J.Low Temp.Phys. 126, 1091 (2002).
- ¹² M.J.Rozenberg, Phys.Rev.B 55, R4855 (1997).
- ¹³ J. E. Han, M. Jarrell, and D. L. Cox, Phys. Rev. B 58, 4199 (1998).
- ¹⁴ G.K otliar and H.K a jueter, Phys. Rev. B 54, 14221 (1999).
- ¹⁵ S.F lorens, A.G eorges, G.K otliar, and O.Parcollet, Phys. Rev.B 66, 205102 (2002).

- ¹⁶ A. Koga, Y. Im ai, and N. Kawakam i, Phys. Rev. B 66, 165107 (2002).
- ¹⁷ for a review see: K. Held, I. A. Nekrasov, G. Keller, V. Eyert, N. Blumer, A. K. McMahan, R. T. Scalettar, T. Pruschke, V. I. Anisimov, and D. Vollhardt, condmat/0112079.
- ¹⁸ K.Held, G.Keller, V.Eyert, D.Vollhardt, and V.I.Anisim ov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 5345 (2001).
- ¹⁹ R.Bulla and M.Pottho, Eur.Phys.J.B 13, 257 (2000).
 ²⁰ G.Moeller, Q.Si, G.Kotliar, M.Rozenberg, and D.S. Fisher, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 2082 (1995); see also: G. Moeller, PhD-thesis, Rutgers (1994).
- ²¹ Y.Ono, R.Bulla, and A.C.Hewson, Eur. Phys. J.B 19, 375 (2001).
- ²² K.Held and R.Bulla, Eur.Phys.J.B 17,7 (2000).
- ²³ M .Pottho , Phys.Rev.B 64, 165114 (2001).
- ²⁴ Y.Ono, R.Bulla, A.C. Hewson, and M. Pottho, Eur. Phys. J.B 22, 283 (2001).
- ²⁵ M.Pottho and W.Nolting, Eur. Phys. J.B 8, 555 (1999); Phys. Rev. B 60, 7834 (1999).
- ²⁶ Y .O hashiand Y .O no, J.P hys.Soc.Japan 70, 2989 (2001); ibid. 71, 217 (2002) Suppl.
- ²⁷ A.M. O les and G. Stollho, Phys. Rev. B 29, 314 (1984).
- ²⁸ M. Zol, PhD-thesis, University of Regensburg (2001).
- ²⁹ Taking into account additionally the insulating (z = 0) solution for U > $U_{c;1}$ ($U_{c;1}$ U_{c;2}), this amounts to three coexisting solutions of the mean-eld equations in the above interval.