# $P$ ath-integral representation for a stoch astic sandpile 

R onald $D$ ickm an ${ }^{Y}$ and $R$ onaldo $V$ idigal<br>D epartam ento de F sica, IC Ex, U niversidade Federal de M inas G erais, 30123-970 Belo H orizonte - M G , B rasil<br>(A pril 14, 2024)


#### Abstract

W e introduce an operator description for a stochastic sandpile m odel with a conserved particle density, and develop a path-integral representation for its evolution. The resulting (exact) expression for the e ective action high lights certain interesting features of the $m$ odel, for exam ple, that it is nom inally $m$ assless, and that the dynam ics is via cooperative di usion. U sing the path-integral form alism, we construct a diagram $m$ atic perturbation theory, yielding a series expansion for the activity density in powers of the time.
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Sandpile models were introduced som e fteen years ago as exam ples of selforganized criticality (SO C ), or scale-invariance in the apparent absence of control param eters tijf'[j] . Subsequently the appearance of such \spontaneous" criticality was shown to result from a controlm echanism that forces the system to a critical
 the sam e local dynam ics as the original \selforganized" versions, but with strictly conserved particle density, p, exhibit an absorbing-state phase transition as p is varied. T hus the particle density is the tem perature-like controlparam eter for these m odels.

Sandpiles w ith strictly conserved particle density (so-called xed-energy sandpiles

 transition to turbulence [īj state fall generically in the universality class of directed percolation (DP) [1]i'i" $\overline{1}]$, num erical evidence indicates that this is not so for sandpiles nature of the transition has been attributed to the coupling of the order param eter (the density of active sites), to a second, conserved eld (the local particle density), which relaxes di usively in the presence of activity, and rem ains frozen in its absence [2] $\overline{4} \overline{4}]$. Independent of the connection $w$ ith SOC , xed-energy sandpiles fumish intriguing exam ples of phase transitions far from equilibrium, inconporating cooperative relaxation in the form of activated di usion. Until now, all quantitative results for FES have been num erical, based either on sim ulations or on coherent-anom aly analysis of a series of $n$-site cluster approxim ations $[\overline{2} \overline{3} \overline{1}]$.
 analysis is far from straightforw ard. It is therefore of great interest to develop theoretical approaches for FES.

This paper is the rst of a series analyzing a stochastic sandpile using operator and path-integral m ethods. In this work we establish the path-integral representation, and use it to develop a tim e-dependent perturbation theory that yields an expansion for the activity density in powers of time. In subsequent work the series w illbe extended and analyzed.

O ur analysis uses two principal tools. The rst is an operator form alism for M arkov processes, of the kind developed by D oi [2] $\overline{1}]$, and applied to various m odels exhibiting nonequilibrium phase transitions $m$ apping, due to $P$ eliti, from the $M$ arkov process to a path-integral representation解
 instance, how ever, we use the form alism not as the basis for an RG analysis, but to generate a series expansion for the order param eter. The expansion variable is the tim e; the coe cients are polynom ials in the particle density, $p$.

The balance of the paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we de ne the $m$ odeland the $m$ aster equation goveming its dynam ics using an operator form alism, which is then $m$ apped to the path-integral representation. Sec. III develops the perturbative expansion of the activity density in powers of time. In Sec. IV, we study som e generalproperties of the diagram $m$ atic expansion, allow ing us to sim plify and extend the analysis. A brief com parison $w$ th sim ulation results is presented in Sec. V. A summ ary and discussion of our results is given in Sec. V I.

## II.EVOLUTION OPERATOR

W e consider M anna's stochastic sandpile in its xed-energy (particle-conserving) version $[2 \overline{2} 1,1 \overline{3} 9 \overline{9}, 1 \overline{4} 01]$. The con guration is speci ed by the occupation number $n_{i}$ at each site; sites w th $\mathrm{n} \quad 2$ are said to be active, and have a positive rate of toppling. W hen a site topples, it loses exactly tw o particles (\grains of sand"), which m ove random ly and independently to nearest-neighbor (NN) sites. In this work, we adopt a toppling rate of $n(n 1)$ for a site having $n$ particles. This choice of rate represents a slight departure from the exam ples studied previously, in which all active sites have the sam e toppling rate. The present rate leads to a much sim pler evolution operator, and, on the other hand, should yield the sam e scaling properties, since sandpiles, like critical phenom ena in general, exhibit a high degree of universality. (C lose to the critical point, the density of sites with $n 3$ particles is quite low, so that in practical term s our choice of rate should not alter quantitative properties greatly. Since studies of restricted-height sandpiles $\underline{L N}_{2}^{2} \overline{2}_{1}^{\prime}$ the sam e universality class as their unrestricted counterparts, there is good reason to expect that a sm all change in transition rates, that does not modify the sym $m$ etry or conservation law s of the $m$ odel, $w i l l$ have no e ect on critical exponents.) Prelim inary sim ulation results [īili] indicate that the m odel studied here exhibits a continuous phase transition at $p_{c}=0: 9493$, in one dim ension; the corresponding value for the $m$ odel $w$ th the sam e toppling rate for all active sites is $p_{c}=0: 94885$


For sim plicity we begin the analysis in one dim ension; the generalization to d dim ensions is straightforw ard. W e represent the dynam ics of this continuous-tim e $M$ arkov process via the $m$ aster equation, w ritten in the form hi了

$$
\frac{d j i}{d t}=L j i ;
$$

where
is the probability distribution. H ere $p\left(\mathrm{fn}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{g} ; \mathrm{t}\right)$ is the probability of the con guration
w th occupation num bers $f n_{i} g$, and the state $f \mathrm{fn}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{gi}$ is a direct product of states ni $_{j} i$, representing exactly $n_{j}$ particles at site $j$.

The evolution operator $L$ is written in term s of creation and annihilation operators, de ned via the relations:

$$
a_{i} \dot{\eta}_{i} i=n_{i} \dot{\eta}_{i} \quad 1 i
$$

and

$$
\dot{i}_{\mathrm{\eta}}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{i}=\dot{\eta}_{\mathrm{i}}+1 \mathrm{i}:
$$

The evolution operator for the one-dim ensional stochastic sandpile takes the form,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L={ }_{i}^{x} \frac{1}{4}\left(i i_{i+1}\right)^{2} \quad{\underset{i}{2}}^{2} a_{i}^{2}: \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is readily seen that $L$ conserves the num ber of particles.
It is convenient to work in the Fourier representation; on a lattice of $N$ sites $w$ ith periodic boundaries, we introduce the discrete Fourier transform :

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{k}={ }^{x} e^{i j k} a_{j} ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith inverse

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{j}=\frac{1}{N}_{k}^{x} e^{i j k} a_{k} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(and sim ilarly for $k$, etc.), where the allowed vales for the wave vector are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}=\quad ; \quad+\frac{2}{\mathrm{~N}} ;::: \frac{2}{\mathrm{~N}} ; 0 ; \frac{2}{\mathrm{~N}} ;::: ; \quad \frac{2}{\mathrm{~N}}: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(To avoid heavy notation, we indicate the Fourier transform by the subscript $k$; the subscript $j$ denotes the corresponding variable on the lattice.) In Fourier representation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=N^{k_{k_{1} ; k_{2} ; k_{3}}^{\mathrm{x}} \quad!_{k_{1} ; k_{2}} k_{1} k_{2} a_{k_{3}} a k_{k_{1}} \quad k_{2} \quad k_{3} ; ~ ; ~ ; ~} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $!_{\mathrm{k}_{1} ; \mathrm{k}_{2}}=1 \quad \operatorname{cosk}_{1} \operatorname{cosk}_{2}$.
Since $L$ is in norm al form (all operators to the left of all operators a), we can

w ith the boundary conditions ${ }_{k}(0)={ }_{k}$ and $\hat{k}_{k}(t)=z_{k}$. (T he dot denotes a time derivative; fzg stands for the set of param eters $z_{k}$ associated $w$ ith each wave vector, and sim ilarly for $f$ g.) The functional integrals are over the variables $k$ and $\hat{k}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{D}} \hat{D} \quad \mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{Z}} \hat{D}_{k}^{\mathrm{Z}} \hat{D}_{k}^{\mathrm{Z}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

[T he product is over the rst B rillouin zone, Eq. (Āי) .] In the d-dim ensional case, Eq. (G) rem ains valid if we let

$$
\begin{equation*}
!_{k_{1} ; k_{2}}=1 \quad d\left(k_{1}\right) d\left(k_{1}\right) ; \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{\mathrm{d}}(\mathrm{k}) \quad \frac{1}{\mathrm{~d}}_{=1}^{\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{d}}} \operatorname{cosk}: \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he wave vectors now range over the rst B rillouin zone in d dim ensions.
${ }_{P}$ The kemel is used to evolve the probability generating function, ${ }_{t}\left(f_{Z_{j}} g\right)$
 via [3]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.t\left(f Z_{k} g\right)=Y_{k}^{Z} \frac{d_{k} d_{k}^{0}}{2} e^{i^{P}} k_{k}{ }_{k}^{0} U_{t}\left(f Z_{k} g ; f{ }_{k} g\right) 0\left(f i_{k}^{0} g\right) \text { (t } 0\right): \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e consider an initialproduct-P oisson distribution, with each site having the sam e $m$ ean num ber of particles, $p$, so that,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.0\left(f z_{j} g\right)=\exp _{\left[p^{x}\right.}^{j}\left(z_{j} \quad 1\right)\right] ; \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

corresponding to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.0\left(f z_{k} g\right)=\exp \mathbb{N} p\left(z_{k=0} \quad 1\right)\right] ; \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

in k -space. N oting that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d d^{0}}{2} f() e^{i^{0}(p)}=f(p) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

we see that for a P oisson initial distribution,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{t}(\mathrm{fzg})=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{N} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{t}}}\left(\mathrm{fzg}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{Np} \mathrm{k} ; 0\right): ~: ~} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

 with $k(0)=N P_{k ; 0}$.

T he sim plest observables of interest are the $m$ ean particle num ber (at site j),

$$
\begin{equation*}
h n_{j} i=@_{t\left(f z_{n} g\right)}^{@ z_{j}} \quad z_{n}=1 \quad \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the $m$ ean activity,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h n_{j}\left(n_{j} \quad 1\right) i={\frac{@^{2}}{t\left(f z_{n} g\right)}}_{@ z_{j}^{2}}^{z_{n}=1} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In $k$-space the condition $z_{j}=1$ for all sites $j$ becom es $z_{k}=N \quad k ; 0$. Since the system is translation-invariant it is m ore convenient to study $\quad N \quad{ }_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \quad{ }_{j} \mathrm{hn}_{j} i$ and $N \quad{ }^{1}{ }^{P}{ }_{j} h n_{j}\left(n_{j} 1\right) i$. ( $N$ ote that $=p$ is a constant of the $m$ otion, so this quantity serves principally as a check on our analysis.)

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{t}(f z g ; f \quad g)=D^{Z}{ }^{\wedge} G^{0}\left[;^{\wedge}\right] ; \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G^{0}$ represents the exponential in Eq.( $\left.\bar{\sigma}_{1}^{-1}\right)$, and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { hAi } e^{N p} D \hat{D}^{Z} A G^{0}\left[;^{\wedge}\right]_{z_{k}=N} ; \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is a function of the elds and ${ }^{\wedge}$. Evaluating the derivatives in Eqs. (1̄5్1) and ( $(\overline{1} \overline{-} \overline{-})$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\mathrm{N}^{1} \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{k}=0 \mathrm{i}} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
=N_{k}{ }^{\text {X }} h_{k}{ }_{k} i: \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is convenient at this point to perform a change of variables, letting $\sim_{k}=$ $\hat{k}^{k} \quad N \quad$ k;0 . A s a result, $G^{0}$ gains a factor of $e^{N}{ }^{p}$ [w hich cancels the prefactor in Eq. ( $\overline{1} \bar{Q}$ Q $)$, and the boundary term in the argum ent of the exponential vanishes when we set $z_{k}=N \quad k ; 0$. Then we have

$$
\text { hA } i={ }^{Z} D \sim^{\sim} \text { AG }\left[;^{\sim}\right] \text {; }
$$

where
and where the \interaction" is now:

Eq. $(\underline{2} \overline{2} \overline{2})$ w ith $L_{I} 0$ de nes $G_{0}$; Eq. ( $(\overline{2} \overline{1} \overline{1})$ w ith $G_{0}$ in place of $G$ de nes hA $i_{0}$.
The usual procedure at this point would be to take the continuum (sm all-k) lim it, generating a eld theory for the process. W hile this is not our purpose in the present work, we note som e interesting features of the m odel in this context. $T$ he rst is that the interaction $L$ contributes nothing to the quadratic part of the action. (This can be seen immediately from Eq.(II): L is quartic in the elds.) Thus the resulting theory is nom inally massless, and has no evolution at all in the G aussian approxim ation. (D i usion, in this model, is cooperative, requiring the presence of at least two particles at the same site.) The action, moreover, contains no param eters whatsoever; the relevant param eter $p$ is \hidden" in the initialprobability distribution. A further di erence from continuum descriptions of $m$ ore fam iliar processes such as D P $\left[1 \overline{1} \bar{q}_{1}^{\prime} \overline{1} 9\right]$ is that the order param eter is given by h ${ }^{2}$ inoth i.

In sim ulations and cluster approxim ations $[\underline{2} \overline{0}[\underline{0}[2 \overline{2}]$, FES clearly show a continuous phase transition betw een an active and an absonbing state as the param eter $p$ is varied, in close analogy to $m$ ore fam iliar exam ples, such as directed percolation. Thus at som e m ore reduced level we might expect to nd an e ective eld theory of the usual kind, w ith a nonzero $m$ ass, bare di usion coe cient, and one or $m$ ore relevant param eters. Such theories have indeed been proposed for sandpile m odels [2] pled to that of the order param eter. W e leave the system atic derivation of such a description, starting from the present exact action, as a topic for future work.

## A. Free expectations

To evaluate expectations of the form of Eq. (2̄1י1) we w rite

$$
\begin{equation*}
h A i=h A e^{R_{t}} d t^{0} L_{I} i_{0} ; \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the intention of expanding the exponential. Each term in the expansion (corresponding to a diagram, as speci ed below), can be evaluated once we have determ ined the free expectations of products of elds, $h_{k_{1}}\left({ }_{1}\right) \quad k_{m}\left(m_{m}\right) \sim_{q_{1}}\left(1_{1}\right) \quad \sim_{q_{h}}\left(n_{n}\right) i_{0}$. As usual, this can be reduced to expectations of a single eld and of pairs of elds. To begin, consider

The integrals over $\sim_{k}$ forae the condition $\pi_{k}=0$, so that ${ }_{k}(s)={ }_{k}(0)=N p_{k ; 0}$. Evidently, the sam e reasoning im plies that free expectation of a product of $n$ elds
is $(\mathbb{N ~ p})^{\mathrm{n}}$ if all the wave vectors are zero, and is zero otherw ise. (T he fact that a single eld has a nonzero expectation $m$ ay appear unusual, but in fact will not cause any inconvenience. It is possible to $m$ ake a further change of variables to ${ }_{k}^{0}=k \quad \mathrm{NP}_{k ; 0}$, at the cost of introducing four new term s in $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{i}}$.)

To evaluate free expectations involving ${ }^{\sim}$, we de ne an operator $K_{q}()$ via the property:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{q}() G_{0}=\sim_{k}() G_{0}: \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating by parts in the exponential, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& =N{ }^{1} @ \sim_{k} G_{0}: \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

Recalling that $\sim_{k}(t)=0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{~s})=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{~d} \frac{{ }_{k}(\mathrm{)}}{\mathrm{t}}: \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{k}^{\sim}(s) i_{0}=N^{Z} D^{\sim} \sim^{Z}{ }_{s}^{t} d{ }_{k}() \quad G_{0}=0 ; \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the nal result is obtained via functional integration by parts. In the sam e $m$ anner we nd the basic contraction (free propagator) :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Z } \quad Z_{t} \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

where denotes the step function. As is usual in this form alism, (0) $=0$ [30 The free expectation of $n$ elds $\sim$ and $n$ elds is given by the sum of allproducts of $n$ pairw ise contractions. In case there are $m>n$ elds there are additional factors of $\mathrm{N} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}_{1} ; 0}$ associated w ith each uncontracted .

## B. P erturbative expansion

W e now have in hand all the ingredients needed to expand expectations of the form ofEq. ( $\overline{2} \overline{4} \overline{4})$. The rst and second term $s$ in $L_{I}$, Eq. ( $\left.\overline{2} \overline{\bar{L}_{1}}\right)$, correspond to vertioes $w$ ith four and three lines (a \4-vertex" and a \3-vertex", respectively). Each $\sim_{k}$ ( ) m ust be contracted w ith a $k\left({ }^{0}\right)$, where ${ }^{0}>$. (The required factors of $m$ ay com e from other vertioes or from A.) W e adopt a graphicalnotation in which elds
 the left, the direction of increasing time. Fig. 1 show s the vertioes associated w ith $L_{I}$, and the nodes that represent and . W e refer to the latter as $\backslash \sin k s "$ since they have no outgoing lines. U ncontracted elds are called \extemal lines".

W hen we expand the exponentialin $\underset{R}{E_{R}}$. ( $\left.2 \overline{1} \overline{4}\right)_{R}$ the $n$-th order term carries a factor $1=n$ !, and there are $n$ tim e integrations, $d t_{1} \quad d t_{n}$, all over the interval [0; $\left.t\right]$. W e absorb the factor $1=n$ ! by xing the tim eordering $t \quad t_{1} \quad t_{2} \quad n \quad t 0$. This im poses certain restrictions on diagram topology, since a eld ${ }^{\sim}$ must always be contracted with a at a later time. O nce this ordering is im posed, the integrand has no further tim e dependence, and the tim e integrations yield $\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{n}$ !.

Before form ulating general nules, we study a few exam ples. C onsider

$$
\begin{equation*}
=N \sum_{k}^{2_{k}} h_{k} e^{R_{t}}{ }^{d t^{0} L_{I}} i_{0}: \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he zeroth-order term is sim ply:

$$
\begin{equation*}
N{\underset{k}{2} h_{k} \quad{ }_{k} i_{0}=p^{2} ; ~ ; ~}_{x} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., the initial activity for the product-P oisson distribution. At nst order we have the diagram s (a) and (b) shown in Fig. 2. For diagram (a) there is a com binatorial
factor of 2 , since there are tw o w ays to contract the lines exiting the vertex $w$ th the elds in ; the contribution from this diagram is

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \int_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{dNN}{ }^{1} \mathrm{p}^{2}{ }_{k}^{\mathrm{x}}!_{\mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{p}^{2} \mathrm{t} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

In graph (b) we see that the condition that uncontracted elds have $\mathrm{k}=0$ forces the line exiting the vertex to have $k=0$ as well. But there is then a factor of $!_{0 ; 0}=0$ associated w ith this vertex, so the contribution due to graph (b) vanishes. In general, we can exclude diagram sin which the two lines entering a 3-vertex have $m$ om enta that sum to zero.

At order $t^{2}$ we have diagram $s$ (c) |( f$)$ shown in Fig . 2; (d) and ( f$)$ vanish for the sam e reason as (b). (From here on we sim ply ignore such diagram s.) The contribution of graph (c) is readily obtained: there is a com binatorial factor of 4; the tim e integrations yield $t^{2}=2$; there is a rem aining factor of $N{ }^{2} t$ im es the square of the sum encountered in graph (a). The result is $\mathrm{p}^{2} \mathrm{t}^{2}=2$. In graph (e) there is a com binatorial factor of 8 ; its contribution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.16\left(t^{2}=2\right) p^{3} N_{k}^{1}{ }_{k}^{x} \quad \cos k\right][1 \quad \cos k]=4 p^{3} t^{2}: \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that our expansion conserves the particle density. W ith a oneline $\sin k$ in place of the tw o-line sink corresponding to, there $w$ ill never be enough factors of to contract $w$ th all the ${ }^{\sim}$ factors, if use only four-line vertioes. On the other hand, if we contract the sink w ith a three-line vertex, there $w$ ill be a factor of $!_{0 ; 0}=0$. Thus $(t)=(0)=p$.
C.D iagram rules

In the analysis that follow s it w illbe convenient to em ploy the Laplace transform ; the factor $t^{n}=n$ ! then becom es $1=s^{n+1}$, where $s$ is the transform variable. Each diagram in the series for $\sim(s)$ carries a factor ofN ${ }^{L} 3 n_{4} 2 n_{3} 2$, where $L$ is the num ber of lines (including extemal lines), and $n_{3}$ and $n_{4}$ are the numbers of 3 -vertioes and 4 -vertioes, respectively. There is exactly one factor of $N{ }^{1}$ for each free wave vector sum; from here on, we simply associate such a factor w th each sum. We can form alize the foregoing discussion into a set of rules for nding the $n$-th order contribution to the order param eter ~ (s) :

1) D raw all connected diagram $s$ with $n$ vertioes, and a two-line sink to the left of all vertioes. It is perm issible for a line entering a node to be uncontracted (each extemal line carries a factor $p$ and $m$ ust have $m$ om entum zero), but each line exiting a vertex ( $j$ ) m ust be contracted with a vertex ( $i<j$ ) to the left; there is a factor
of $k^{0}$; $k$ associated w ith each intemal line. (Here $k$ is the wave vector of the line exiting vertex $j$ and $k^{0}$ the wave vector entering vertex i.) $G$ iven the restriction noted above, that the sum of the $m$ om enta entering a 3 -vertex cannot be zero, the rightm ost vertex of any diagram $m$ ust be a 4 -vertex. W e refer to this (and any other) 4-vertex with two extemal lines as a source point.
2) For each graph there is an overall factor of $1=s$, and a com binatorial factor counting the num ber of ways of realizing the contractions. In the series for , the com binatorial factor is the product of a factor $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{V}}$, associated w th the choice of lines at each vertex (for a xed set of connections betw een vertioes), and a factor $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{L}}$ giving the num ber of choioes of, and connections betw een vertioes, consistent $w$ ith a given diagram. It is easy to show that $C_{V}=2^{Q}$, where $Q=1+n_{3}+2 n_{4} \quad$, f , f th ' the num ber of sim ple loops, and $f$ the num ber of source points. By a sim ple lop we m ean a pair of vertioes directly connected by two lines, as in Fig. 2 (a). The connection factor $C_{L}$ is unity for diagram s of three or fewer vertices, but can take nontrivial values for $n$ 4. Exam ples are discussed below .
3) Each 3-vertex carries a factor of $2 \mathrm{~s}^{1}!_{\mathrm{k} ; 0}=2 \mathrm{~s}^{1}\left[\begin{array}{l}1 \\ \text { cosk] }] \text {, where } \mathrm{k}\end{array}\right.$ is the m om entum leaving the vertex. Each 4 -vertex carries a factor of $\mathrm{s}^{1}!_{\mathrm{k}_{1} ; k_{2}}$, where $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ are the $m$ om enta of the lines exiting the vertex.
4) A fter taking into account all of the -fiunctions associated w ith propagators, the rem aining free wave vectors are sum $m$ ed over the rst B rillouin zone, Eq. (

W e close this subsection w th a discussion of the combinatorial factor $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{L}}$. A s noted, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{L}}$ represents the num ber of distinct choices of vertioes, and of connections between vertices, consistent with a given diagram topology. Evidently, diagram s w th n vertioes arise when we expand the product $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{I} ; 1} ;::: ; \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{I} ; \mathrm{n}} ;$ by $\backslash$ choioe of vertioes" we $m$ ean whether the 3-vertex, or the 4 -vertex, associated $w$ th each $L_{I_{; i}}$ is selected. T he n-th vertex is, as noted, alw ays a 4 -vertex. O fcourse, if the rem aining $n \quad 1$ vertioes of a diagram are all of the sam e kind, then only one choioe exists. In $m$ ost cases, exchanging the positions a 3 -vertex and a 4 -vertex yields a di erent diagram, but this is not always so. C onsider, for exam ple, diagram (a) shown in F ig. 3. Let us refer to the $i$-th vertex in a given diagram (in order of decreasing time) as $V_{i}, w$ th $V_{0}$ denoting the sink. In this diagram $V_{1} m$ ust be a 3-vertex, and $\mathrm{V}_{4}$ a 4-vertex, but we are free to choose between $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{3}$ as the other 3-vertex. $T$ hus this diagram appears twice in the expansion of the activity, so that $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{L}}=2$ in this case. ( T hat $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{L}}=2$, and not $m$ ore, rests on the fact that, given the choice of vertioes, there is only one way of connecting them to yield the desired topology.)

Next we consider the possibility of di erent connections am ong a xed set of vertioes. $W$ e use $[i ; j]$ to denote a link between $V_{i}$ and $V_{j}$. C onsider diagram $s$ (b) and (c) off ig. 3. For diagram (b), the set of connections betw een vertioes is unique: $[0 ; 1],[0 ; 4],[1 ; 2],[2 ; 3]$, and $[3 ; 4]$, so $C_{L}=1$ for this diagram. For diagram (c), by contrast, there are several di erent sets of connections that yield the sam e topology. Evidently, the links betw een $V_{1}$ and $V_{0}$, and betw een $V_{4}$ and $V_{3}$, are obrigatory. But
this leaves open the question of which vertex the other outgoing line of $V_{4}$ links to, and of which vertex is linked to the other line entering the sink. O ne readily veri es that the follow ing possibilities exist:
i) $[0 ; 1],[0 ; 2],[1 ; 4],[2 ; 3],[3 ; 4]$;
ii) $[0 ; 1],[0 ; 2],[1 ; 3],[2 ; 4],[3 ; 4]$;
iii) $[0 ; 1],[0 ; 3],[1 ; 2],[2 ; 4],[3 ; 4]$.
$T$ hus $C_{L}=3$ for diagram (c). C onsider, nally, diagram (d) of $F$ ig. 3. If $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ are both 3 -vertices, there are tw o possible sets of connections:
i) $[0 ; 1],[0 ; 2],[1 ; 3]^{2},[2 ; 4],[3 ; 4]$;
ii) $[0 ; 1],[0 ; 2],[2 ; 3]^{2},[1 ; 4],[3 ; 4]$.

In addition, this diagram can be realized (w ith a unique set of connections), w ith $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{3}$ as the 3-vertioes, so that $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{L}}=3$ in this case.

> D. E xam ples and som e general results

There are seven diagram s at third order ( $F$ ig. 4). D iagram (a), which continues the series whose rst two term s are (a) and (c) of $F$ ig. 2, is readily show $n$ to yield $\mathrm{p}^{2}=\mathrm{s}^{4}$. A pplying the rules to diagram (b) in F ig. 4, we nd:

$$
16(2) N{ }^{2} p^{3}{\frac{1}{s^{4}}}_{k}^{x}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \left.\cos ^{2} k\right]_{k^{0}}^{x}\left[1 \quad \cos ^{2} k^{0}\right]\left[1 \quad \operatorname{cosk}^{0}\right]=\frac{8}{s^{4}} p^{3}: ~ \tag{35}
\end{array}\right.
$$

The contribution of diagram (c) is identical. For diagram (d) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
16(4) N{ }^{1} p^{4}{\frac{1}{s^{4}}}_{k}^{x}\left[1 \quad \cos ^{2} k\right][1 \quad \operatorname{cosk}]^{2}=\frac{40}{s^{4}} p^{4}: \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

D iagram (e) $m$ akes the sam e contribution. Sim ilar analysis yields $18 p^{3}=s^{4}$ for diagram ( $£$ ) and $32 p^{3}=s^{4}$ for ( $g$ ). C ollecting results, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
=p^{2} \quad p^{2} t+\frac{p^{2} t^{2}}{2}(1+8 p) \quad \frac{p^{2} t^{3} h}{6} 1+66 p+80 p^{2^{i}}+O\left(t^{4}\right): \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

In evaluating $w$ ave vector sum $s$ the follow ing observations are helpful. $F$ irst, note that any wave vector sum $N{ }^{1}{ }_{k}$ can be written as (2) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{R} \quad \mathrm{dk}$. The analysis is facilitated by use of the identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{n} \quad \frac{1}{N}_{k}^{X}\left(1 \quad \cos ^{2} k\right)[1 \quad \operatorname{cosk}]^{n}=4 \quad 2 \frac{(2 n+1)!!}{(2 n+4)!!}: \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

[I his can be show by writing $I_{n}$ as an integral, and letting $u=1$ cosk so that

$$
I_{n}=\frac{1}{Z}_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}} \operatorname{duu}^{\mathrm{n}+1=2^{P}} \overline{2} \mathrm{u}:
$$

Integrating by parts one readily nds that

$$
I_{n}=2 \frac{2 n+1}{2 n+4} I_{n}
$$

leading to Eq ( $\overline{3} \overline{8} \overline{1})$, since $I_{0}=1=2$. For convenience we note: $I_{1}=1=2 ; I_{2}=5=8$; $I_{3}=7=8$; and $I_{4}=21=16$.] $U$ seful identitites in the d-dim ensional case are:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\quad X \quad{ }_{d}^{2}(k)=\frac{1}{2 d} ; \\
X \quad{ }_{k}^{4}(k)=\frac{3(2 d 1)}{8 d^{3}} ;
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
{ }_{k} \quad{ }_{d}(k){ }_{d}(q \quad k)=\frac{1}{2 d}{ }_{d}(q):
$$

Two general properties of the expansion are readily veri ed. First, the leading term at each order is $p^{2}(t)^{n}=n!$. This set of contributions sum sto $p^{2} e^{t}$, describing the relaxation of the initial density of active sites. In $d$ dim ensions this becom es $p^{2} \exp [(2 d 1) t=d]$. The decay rate, (2d 1)=d, represents tw ioe the probabillty that the two particles released by a toppling site $m$ ove to distinct neighbors, the factor of tw o representing the toppling rate for a site $w$ th exactly tw o particles.

A second observation is that the highest pow er ofp appearing at order $t^{n}$ is $p^{n+1}$, com ing from diagram $s$ w th one source point and $n 1$ extemal lines term inating at 3 -vertioes. The coe cient of this term can be found as follow s. N ote that the relevant diagram s consist of ( $n$ 1) 3-vertioes attached to the lines of the sim plest graph ( $F$ ig. 2a). The num ber of realizations of such topologies (that is, the sum of the $C_{L}$ over all diagram $s$ of this kind), is given by one half the num ber of distinct sequences of r symbols A and n r 1 symbols B (A and B corresponding to 3vertices inserted in one or the other line), sum $m$ ed over $r$ from $r=0$ to $n$. ( $T$ he factor of one half is required because a pair of sequences that m erely exchange A's and B 's in fact represent the sam e diagram .) T he num ber of such realizations is:

$$
\frac{1}{2}_{r=0}^{1} n^{1}=2^{n} \quad:
$$

Each diagram of this kind has a com binatorial factor $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{V}}=2^{\mathrm{n}+1}$, and an additional factor of $(1)^{\mathrm{n}} 2^{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{1} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{n}} 1$ com ing from the 3 -vertices and the $w$ ave vector sum. $U$ sing our result for $I_{n}$, we nd that this contribution is:

$$
(1)^{\mathrm{n}} 2^{4 \mathrm{n}} \frac{1}{} \frac{(2 \mathrm{n} \quad 1)!!\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{n}+1} \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{n}}}{(2 \mathrm{n}+2)!!} \mathrm{n}!
$$

for $n$ 2. Call the sum of such contributions $m$ ax $(t)$; it can be evaluated in closed form by noting that

$$
\begin{align*}
\max (t) & =\frac{p}{4} \frac{1}{2}^{z} d k\left(1 \cos ^{2} k\right)^{X^{1}} \frac{(8 p t)^{n}(1 \quad \operatorname{cosk})^{n} 1}{n!} \\
& =\frac{p^{n}}{4} e^{8 p t}\left[I_{0}(8 p t)+I_{1}(8 p t)\right] 1^{\circ}+p^{2} t ; \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

where I denotes the $e_{p}$ odi ed Bessel function. The factors of $e^{8 p t} I$ ( 8 pt ) $\dot{m} \mathrm{ply}$ slow relaxation ( $1=\overline{\mathrm{t}}$ ) typical of di usive processes.

## IV. D IA GRAMMATICANALYSIS

A $s$ is evident from the foregoing discussion, $m$ any diagram $s$ represent simple variations of those appearing at som e lower order. T he calculation w ill be sim pl ed if we can identify classes of related diagram s . To begin we enum erate som e ways in $w$ hich diagram $s\left(g^{0}\right) w$ th $v+1$ vertioes can be form ed from a $v$-vertex diagram $g$.
a) Insert a 3 -vertex into any intemal line; $g^{0}$ has one $m$ ore extemal line than does g. An exam ple is the generation of diagram (e), starting from (a) in Fig. 2.
b) Replace any 4 -vertex by a $\backslash 4$-loop", consisting of a pair of 4 -vertioes joined by two lines. D iagram (c) (Fig. 2) is generated from (a) by this process.
c) Replace any 3 -vertex $V$ by a 4 -vertex, contracting the new outgoing line with a new 3 -vertex inserted in an existing intemal line. In case the contraction is to a 3 -vertex $V^{0}$ on the sam e line as $V$, and there are no other vertices on this line, betw een $V$ and $V^{0}$, we call the resulting structure a $\backslash 3$-loop". Starting w ith diagram (e) in Fig. 2, we m ay generate ( f ) and ( g ) ( F ig. 4) by this procedure. D iagram ( f ) has a 3-loop but ( g ) does not, since in this case $V^{0}$ does not lie on the sam e line as as $V$.
d) Insert a 4-vertex into an intemal line, contracting one of its outgoing lines to an existing extemal line. (T he vertex associated w ith the latter m ust lie to the left of
the new 4-vertex.) G raphs ( f ) and ( g ) ( F ig. 4) are form ed from (e) ( F ig. 2) by this $m$ eans.
e) A dd a new 4-vertex to $g$ by joining its outgoing lines to two extemal lines in $g$. C onsider again (e) in Fig. 2; if we join two extemal lines (one of them associated $w$ ith the 3 -vertex) to a new 4 -vertex, the result (after som e redraw ing) is ( $g$ ) of F ig. 4.

N ow consider the inverse of operations a) - c) . G iven a graph $g \mathrm{w}$ ith two orm ore vertices:
$\left.a^{\prime}\right)$ Rem ove all 3-vertiges bearing an extemal line.
b') \C ollapse" any 4-loop to a single 4-vertex.
c') C ollapse any 3-loop to a 3-vertex.
T he system atic application of these steps, until no further subtractions are possible, will be called reduction of a diagram. Let us de ne an articulation point as a 4-vertex (other than a source point), which, if rem oved, will leave the diagram disconnected. (Such a vertex has, by necessity, totalm om entum zero entering it.) In $F$ ig. 2 only graph (c) has an articulation point, while graphs (a), (b) and (c) of Fig. 4 all possess one or $m$ ore such point.

W em ay now de ne an irreducible diagram ( $\mathbb{R} D$ ) as one free of3-vertioes bearing extemal lines, free of collapsible loops, and having no articulation points. The simplest irreducible diagram is (a) of Fig. 2; we shall refer to it as the one-loop $\operatorname{RD}$. At each order only a sm allm inority of the diagram s are irreducible. At second order there are no $\mathbb{R} D \mathrm{~s}$; the only one at third order is ( g ) in F ig. $4 . \mathrm{T}$ he $\mathbb{R} \mathrm{D}$ sw ith four vertioes are show $n$ in $F i g$. 5; there are $23 \mathbb{R D} s w$ ith ve vertioes.

In addition to the localm odi cations ( $\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{e}$ ) described above, we can also form new diagram s via com position. G iven two diagram $s g$ and $g^{0} w e$ form the com posite diagram $\mathrm{gg}^{0}$ by:
i) Deleting the two lines entering one of the sourae points r of $g$;
ii) Identifying the $\sin k$ in $g^{0} w$ ith $r$ in $g$.
(If $g$ and $g^{0}$ are distinct we can also form $g^{0} g$. .) G raph (b) of $F$ ig. 4 is com posed, in this sense, from (a) and (e) off ig. 2. C om posite graphs are reducible by de nition (the two com ponents are joined at an articulation point.) W hen we have rem oved all 3-vertioes w ith extemal lines, and collapsed all collapsible loops in a diagram, the result is ether an $\mathbb{R D}$ or a com posite of such diagram $s$.

The expansion up to order $t^{v} m$ ay be organized as follow $s$. $F$ irst we identify all of the $\mathbb{R} D$ sw ith v or fewer vertiges. For each such $\mathbb{R} D$, we evaluate its contribution
and that of all diagram swith vertioes that are directly reducible to it. There rem ain the com posite diagram $s$. Let $C_{g}(s)$ be the contribution to $\sim(s)$ due to diagram g. From the de nition of the com position process, we see that the contribution of a com posite diagram ${g g^{0}}^{0}$ to the activity is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{g g^{0}}=\frac{s}{p^{2}} C_{g} C_{g^{0}}: \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

W em ake use of this relation as follow s. For each $\mathbb{R} D \mathrm{~g}$, de ne

$$
F_{g}^{\sim}(s)=\frac{s^{2}}{p^{2}}{ }^{4} C_{g}(s)+{ }_{g^{0} g}^{x} C_{g^{0}}(s)^{5} ;
$$

as $s=p^{2}$ tim es the sum of contributions due to $g$ and all graphs reducible to it (we use $g^{0} \quad g$ to $m$ ean $\backslash g^{0}$ is reducible to $g$ "). $N$ ow de ne

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}^{\sim}(\mathrm{s})={ }_{\mathrm{g}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{g}}^{\sim}(\mathrm{s}) \text {; } \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(s)={ }_{g}^{x} n_{g} F_{g}^{r}(s) ; \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum is over all $\mathbb{R D S}$, and and in the second sum $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{g}}$ is the number of source points in $g$. Each source point serves as a possible attachm ent site for the preceding elem ent. Then the sum of (i) all irreducible diagram $s$, (ii) all diagram $s$ reducible to an $\mathbb{R D}$, and (iii) all linear com posite diagram $s$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim_{\mathrm{L}}(\mathrm{~s})=\frac{\mathrm{p}^{2}}{\mathrm{~s}} \frac{\mathrm{~F}^{\sim}(\mathrm{s})}{1 G^{( }(\mathrm{s})}: \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

M issing from $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{L}}$ are branching com posite diagram s . These are com posite diagram s in which at least one com ponent has two or m ore diagram $s$ attached to its source points. Since diagram $s w$ th $m$ ore than one source point have at least four vertices, branching diagram sm ust have at least six. T hus $\sim(s)=\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{I}}(\mathrm{s})$ to $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{s}{ }^{6}\right)$. Evaluating $F^{r}$ to and $G$ to this order, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
= & p^{2} p^{2} t+\frac{p^{2} t^{2}}{2}(1+8 p) \quad \frac{p^{2} t^{3} h}{6} 1+66 p+80 p^{2^{i}} \\
+ & \frac{p^{2} t^{4} h}{4!} 1+442 p+2076 p^{2}+896 p^{3^{i}} \\
& \frac{p^{2} t^{5} h}{5!} 1+2842 p+35396 p^{2}+52240 p^{3}+10752 p^{4}+O\left(t^{6}\right): \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

In d dim ensions the result is:

$$
\begin{align*}
& =p^{2} p^{2} t+\frac{p^{2} t^{2}}{2} \quad(+8 p) \\
& \quad \frac{p^{2} t^{3}}{6}{ }^{3}+\frac{2 p}{d^{4}} 80 d^{4} \quad 56 d^{3}+12 d^{2} \quad 6 d+3+\frac{16 p^{2}}{d^{3}} \quad 8 d^{3} \quad 6 d+3 \\
& +\frac{p^{2} t^{4}}{4!}{ }^{4}+\frac{p}{d^{5}} 1152 d^{5} \quad 960 d^{4}+336 d^{3} \quad 128 d^{2}+36 d+6 \\
& +\frac{4 p^{2}}{d^{5}} 960 d^{5} 368 d^{4} 112 d^{3} \quad 48 d^{2}+108 d \quad 21+\frac{128 p^{3}}{d^{3}} 8 d^{2}(d+1) \quad 9(2 d \quad 1) \\
& +  \tag{46}\\
& 0\left(t^{5}\right) ;
\end{align*}
$$

where $\quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 d & 1\end{array}\right)=\mathrm{d}$.
V.COMPARISON W ITH SIM ULATION

A though the series we have derived are too short to yield reliable predictions for the activity (t) at long tim es, it is of interest to consider a prelim inary com parison w ith simulation results, as a check on our analysis. W e have sim ulated the onedim ensionalm odel on lattioes of $L=1000$ and 2000 sites, w ith periodic boundaries; initially $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{pL}$ particles are placed random ly on the lattice, generating a productPoisson distribution ofoccupation num bers $n_{j}$. W e average over $5 \quad 10^{5}$ independent realizations of the process.

A typical result is show $n$ in $F$ ig. 6 , for $p=1=2$. Since this is wellbelow the critical value, ( t ) ! 0 ast! 1 . (N ote that the average is over all realizations, including those that have fallen into the absorbing state.) T he sim ulation result (data points m erging to the bold line) decays quite rapidly at rst, and then approaches zero $m$ ore slow ly; a system atic study $\left[\begin{array}{l}\overline{4} \overline{1} \overline{1}]\end{array}\right]$ reveals that the approach to $=0$ is best characterized as a stretched exponential, $\exp [$ at ]w th $\quad$ 1=2.
 6. The upper one is generated by transform ing the tim e series to the variable $y=\left[1 \quad e^{b t}\right]=b$, and then constructing the $[3,2]$ P ade approxim ant to the $y$-series. Forb in the range 025 to 0.4 we nd good agreem ent at short tim es; for $b=0: 35$ (show h here) there is excellent agreem ent for $t \quad 10$, but the theoretical prediction attains a constant, nonzero value thereafter.

Since ( $t$ ) must decay to zero in this case, it is interesting to study the series for $d \ln \left(=p^{2}\right)=d t$. T he latter rem ains negative for large $t$, so that the activity itself decays to zero. T he low er curve in F ig. 6 is obtained by transform ing the derivative$\log$ series to the variable $z=1 \quad(1+b t)^{1=3}(w$ ith $b=2: 7)$, and form ing the [2,2] approxim ant to the z-series, which is then used to evaluate $\ln \left(=\mathrm{p}^{2}\right)$ via num erical
integration. The activity does indeed decay to zero, but too rapidly. W e obtain very sim ilar results using $b$ in the range of 2 to 3 , and using other transform ations, for exam ple $y$ given above, or a $m$ odi ed $z w$ th the exponent $1 / 2$ in place of $1 / 3$. A fter exam ining various further transform ations and analyses, we conclude that the present series is sim ply too short to yield usefulpredictions for long tim es.

It should be noted that the apparent radius of convergence of the original series (estim ated from ratios of successive coe cients), is about $t<1=4$ for $p=1=2$; evidently, the transform ation and approxim ant analysis greatly extends the utility of the series. (For $p=1$, the radius of convergence is about $t<1=6$; the transform ed series agrees well w ith sim ulations fort 2.) Thus, despite the divergence betw een sim ulation and theory for tim es greater than about 10, we regard the present com parison, based as it is on a very short series, as con m ing the validity ofour analysis. Since the approach to the stationary state is netther exponential nor pow er-law, we anticipate that a fair number of term $s w i l l$ be required to represent it. O nce extended series are available, transform ations that are consistent $w$ ith the asym ptotic behavior should yield good num erical estim ates.

## VI. D ISC U SSIO N

W e have derived an exact path-integral representation for the dynam ics of a stochastic sandpile $m$ odel sim ilar to $M$ anna's sandpile, in a version with strictly conserved particle num ber. (T he key di erences are: (1) W e analyze a continuoustim e process, whereas $M$ anna's model is de ned in discrete tim e; and, (2) The toppling rate for a site $w$ ith $n$ particles is $n\left(\begin{array}{ll}n & 1\end{array}\right)$ rather than uniform, for all sites w ith n 2.) W e have argued that such m inor di erences should not a ect scaling properties. The path-integral $m$ apping yields an e ective action that is nom inally $m$ assless and param eter-free, the relevant param eterp (the particle density), appearing in the intial distribution not the evolution operator. This conclusion applies generally to non-dissipative sandpiles.

In contrast to phenom enological eld theories of sandpiles ${ }_{2}^{2} 6$ order param eter density is coupled to a second slow ly relaxing variable (the particle density), the exact action derived in the present work involves but a single eld, , whose expectation is the particle density. The order param eter is given by $h{ }^{2} i$. The derivation of a phenom enological description along the lines of $R$ efs. [2]
$W$ e develop a diagram $m$ atic perturbation theory, leading to an expansion for the activity density in powers of tim $e$, where the coe cients are polynom ials in $p$. We point out certain general properties of the expansion: its lim ting form sfor very sm all, and very large p, and the slow, di usion-like nature of the relaxation. T he series provides an im portant check on other theoreticalm ethods, and on sim ulation results. W hile it appears to have a lim ited radius of convergence, experience with
sim ilar series, for the contact process $\left[\frac{3}{3} 1{ }_{1}^{1}\right]$ and for random sequential adsorption [ $4 \overline{4} \mathcal{Z}$ ' 1 im ant analysis, useful predictions can be obtained. W e present som e prelim inary num erical evidence for this assertion. Thus, if the series can be extended, it should be possible to investigate scaling properties of the sandpile. W e intend to pursue such extensions and analyses in the near future.
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FIGURECAPTIONS

Fig. 1. Vertioes and $\sin k s$ in $L_{I}$, , and.

Fig. 2. O ne- and tw o-vertex diagram $s$ in the expansion of the order param eter.

Fig. 3. D iagram s analyzed in the discussion in the com binatorial factor $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{L}}$ •

Fig. 4. D iagram s w ith three vertioes. O nly (g) is irreducible.

Fig. 5. Irreducible diagram s w ith four vertioes.

Fig. 6. A ctivity in the sandpile w ith $p=1=2$. T he points ( $m$ erging to a bold line) are sim ulation results; the curves above and below are seriesłbased predictions discussed in the text.
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