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#### Abstract

W e study dilute gases $w$ th short range interactions and large two-body scattering lengths. At tem peratures betw een the condensation tem perature and the scale set by the range of the potential there is a high degree of universality. T he rst two term $s$ in the expansion of therm odynam ic functions in pow ers of the fugacity $z, w h i c h$ easures the dihuteness of the system, are determ ined by the scattering length only. The term proportional to $z^{3}$ depends only on one new param eter describing the threebody physics. W e com pute the third term of the expansion and show that, for $m$ any values of this new param eter, the $z^{3}$ term $m$ ay be the dom inant one.


PACS num bers:
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## I. INTRODUCTION

Only on special circum stances the them odynam ic functions of a system can be evaluated starting from the $m$ icroscopic interactions. H ow ever, in $m$ any situations only a few characteristics of the $m$ icroscopic interactions are relevant. All system s sharing these sam e m icroscopic characteristics have then the sam em acroscopic behavior, that is, there is a certain degree ofuniversality. A $n$ exam ple of such a system is a gas of particles $w$ ith short range interactions. As long as the density and tem perature are such that the typical wavelength
of the particles is $m$ uch larger than the range $R$ of the foroes, the details of the interaction potential is largely irrelevant and the them odynam ics is determ ined by the two-body scattering length $a$, up to corrections of order $=\mathrm{R}$. This observation has been used both for ferm ionic and bosonic gases since the 1950's 惊, ' dilute gas properties in an expansion in powers of na ${ }^{3}$, where $n$ is the density of particles.

Typically, the size of the two-body scattering length is com parable to the range of the force, a R. H ow ever, there are im portant situations where the interactions are ne-tuned in such a way as to $m$ ake a $R$, even though other low -energy param eters like the e ective range $r_{0}$, etc., still have the size expected on dim ensional grounds $r_{0} \quad R$. W e have in $m$ ind two of these situations. The rst one, a gas of neutral atom $s$, has received enorm ous experim ental and theoretical attention recently. The range of the interactions betw een the atom $s$ is set by the length scale $R \quad\left(M C_{6}=h^{2}\right)^{1=4}$ of the van derW als force $C_{6}=r^{6}$. In som e atom ic species like ${ }^{87} \mathrm{Rb}$ and ${ }^{4} \mathrm{H}$ e the ne tuning needed for large values of a is provided by N ature. In the case of ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$ atom s for instance, $a=104 \mathrm{~A}$, a value $m$ uch larger than $R \quad 5 \mathrm{~A}$ or the e ective range $r_{0}$ TA. M ore im portantly, an extemalm agnetic eld can be applied in order to arti cially $m$ odify the scattering length, $m$ aking it a tunable param eter (Feshbach resonance). The second exam ple of unnaturally large scattering lengths is a gas of neutrons (and protons, if the C oulom b force can be disregarded). The range of the nuclear forces is of the order of the C om pton wavelength of the pion $(\mathbb{R} \quad h=m \quad 1: 5 \mathrm{fm})$, but the scattering lengths are signi cantly larger ( $5: 42 \mathrm{fm}$ for the proton-neutron in the spin-triplet state to

18:8 fm for neutron-neutron in the spin-singlet state).
The typicalm om entum of the particles in a gas is set by the larger of the inverse interparticle distance $\mathrm{n}^{1=3}$ and the inverse them al wavelength ${ }^{-}=(\mathrm{M} T=2)^{1=2}$ (from now on we will use $h=1$ ). In the natural case, a $R$, the universal regime occurs for $1=-n^{1=3}<1=a \quad 1=R$. This regime is essentially perturbative in the sense that, at any given order of the expansion in powers of $1=R$, a properly set up diagram $m$ atic expansion involves only a nite num ber of diagram $s$ ' the details of the particle interactions are im portant and each system should be studied in a case by case basis. The presence of large scattering lengths opens up an interm ediate regim e, where the typical w avelength of the particles is com parable to a, but still sm aller than R. T he problem is no longer perturbative, as evidenced by the fact that bound states of size a are expected, but som e degree of universality should still hold. This regim e can be attained at very low tem peratures and a m oderately high densities $n a^{3} 1^{>} n R^{3}$ or at a m oderately high tem peratures $a=^{-} \quad 1^{>} R=^{-}$and a very low densities. It is an outstanding problem to understand the rst of these regim es, since, $m$ ost likely, $m$ any-body correlations are not suppressed, and a num ber of publications have appeared recently on the sub ject dilute ( $n a^{3}<1$ ), m oderately hot $\left.(M T=2)^{1=2} a \quad 1\right)$ gas of resonating $a^{>} R$ particles.

Since we are considering low densities, it is convenient to phrase our discussion in term $s$
of the virial expansion, where the di erent them odynam ic functions are expressed as pow er series on the fugacity $z=e$, where $T=1=$ is the temperature and the chem ical potential. The particle density n and the pressure P are given by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{n}=\frac{1}{-3}\left(\mathrm{~b}_{1} z+2 \mathrm{~b}_{2} z^{2}+3 \mathrm{~b}_{3} z^{3}+\right. & ) ; \\
\mathrm{P}=\frac{\mathrm{T}}{-3}\left(\mathrm{~b}_{1} z+\mathrm{b}_{2} z^{2}+\mathrm{b}_{3} z^{3}+\quad\right): \tag{1}
\end{array}
$$

T he usefilness of the virialexpansion resides on the fact that, for sm alldensities, $\mathrm{n}<-3$, $z$ is also $s m$ all $z \quad$ 1. The coe cients $b_{1}$ contains contributions com ing from $m$-particle correlations for all $m \quad l$ so its com putation involves the solution of the lhody problem. The calculations of $b_{1}$ and $b_{2}$ are rather easy and can be done analytically in the system considered here. The com putation ofb $_{3}$ is a little $m$ ore involved: it includes som e num erical integrations, and it is related to the quite unusualproperties of the three resonating particle system .

There is a general form ula relating $\mathrm{b}_{3}$ to S -m atrix elem ents [17 $\left.\overline{1} \overline{1}\right]$. H ow ever, the relevant $m$ atrix elem ents relevant here are the ones describing a variety of processes like three-to-three-particle scattering, dim er break up in a collision to a particle, etc.. It seem s to us that the equivalent $m$ ethod follow ed below im plicitly includes all these processes in a sim ple way. A lso, since it is an straightforw ard application of standard e ective eld theory and $m$ any-body physics $m$ ethods, it $m$ ay have som e $m$ ethodological interest.

W ew ill use the language ofe ective eld theory, which is naturalwhen exploring universal, low energy, large distance properties that are independent of the short distance details. W ewill work at the leading order in the sm allm om entum expansion where the Lagrangian of the system is

$$
\begin{equation*}
L={ }^{y}\left(i Q_{0}+\frac{\widetilde{r}^{2}}{2 M}\right) \quad \frac{C_{0}}{4}(y)^{2} \quad \frac{D_{0}}{36}\left(y^{y}\right)+ \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left({ }^{\mathrm{y}}\right)$ is the eld that annihilates (creates) a particle, $C_{0}$ and $D_{0}$ are constants that w ill be determ ined later and the dots stand for term $s$ with either $m$ ore derivatives or
elds, whose contributions are suppressed at the order we are considering here. W e will discuss the bosonic case rst and com $m$ ent on the few, but im portant, di erences present in the ferm ionic case later. It is convenient to introduce a dummy eld $d w$ th the quantum num bers of two particles (a dim er) and use the equivalent Lagrangian

$$
\begin{equation*}
L={ }^{y}\left(i 0_{0}+\frac{\tilde{r}^{2}}{2 M}\right)+d^{y} d P^{g}\left(d^{y}{ }^{2}+h: c:\right) \quad q d^{y} d^{y}+ \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $2 g^{2}=C_{0}$ and $36 g_{3} g^{2}={ }^{2}=D_{0}$. The equivalence between the two Lagrangian can be seen by perform ing the gaussian integration over the auxiliary eld d and recovering Eq. (2). $\left.{ }^{-}\right)$The value of the constant is arbitrary and a ects only the norm alization of the dim er eld. Physical quantities depend on it only through the com binations $\mathrm{C}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{0}$.
$W$ e now com pute the particle density $n$ in a expansion in powers of $z$ and, by com paring w th Eq. ( 11 ), determ ine the coe cients $\mathrm{b}_{1}$. The com putation of the two rst virialcoe cients is rather trivial, and there are fairly explicit general form ulae for them. We w ill quidkly discuss them here in order to explain them ethod used in selectingw chich diagram s contribute at each order.


F IG. 1: G raph determ ining the density at leading order in $z$. The cross represents an insertion of the particle num ber operator.

In selecting the diagram scontributing to given order in $z$ one should notioe that diagram $s$ w ith a closed particle line vanish in vacuum ( $z=0$ ). C onsequently, at low densities their contribution is suppressed by one power of $z$ for each closed particle loop. W ith that observation in $m$ ind we see that the only diagram contributing to the particle density at leading order in z is the loop diagram shown in F ig. (1-1):

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{n}_{1}= & \mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{X}} \frac{\mathrm{Z}}{\mathrm{k}_{0}} \frac{d^{3} k}{(2)^{3}} \frac{1}{i k_{9}+k} \\
& \frac{z}{-3}+\frac{z^{2}}{2^{\frac{3}{2}-3}}+\frac{z^{3}}{3^{\frac{3}{2}-3}}+O\left(z^{4}\right) ; \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he sum over the frequencies $\mathrm{k}_{0}$ is over all integer multiples of 2 T and ${ }_{k}=\mathbb{K}^{2}=2 \mathrm{M} \quad$. Eq. (4) determ ines $b_{1}=1$, which is the free gas result. It also gives som e contributions to $\mathrm{b}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{b}_{3}$.

T he $O\left(z^{2}\right)$ contributions can be divided into the one-body contribution $\mathrm{n}_{2}^{(1)}$ com ing from
 we nd $Q_{2}^{(1)}=2^{\frac{5}{2}}$. The diagram $s$ contributing to $n_{2}^{(2)}$ are shown in $F$ ig. ( $\left.\overline{2}\right)$. The need for the resum $m$ ation in the fill dim er propagator indicated at the bottom of $F$ ig. (2i) is m ore easily explained after com puting it. T he dim er propagator is given by a geom etrical sum

$$
\begin{align*}
D(p) & =\frac{1}{+}+\frac{1}{(p)}+ \\
& =\frac{1}{+(p)} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& (p)={ }^{(0)}(p)+r^{(1)}(p)+O\left(z^{2}\right)  \tag{6}\\
& =\frac{M g^{2}}{4} \frac{2}{\frac{r}{p^{2}}} 2 M \quad i M p \quad \frac{z M g^{2}}{2^{2} p}{ }_{0}^{2} d k^{2} e^{\frac{k^{2}}{2 M}} \operatorname{arctgh}\left(\frac{p k}{k^{2}+\frac{p^{2}}{2}} 2 M \quad i M p\right)+O\left(z^{2}\right):
\end{align*}
$$

In particular, the leading order dim er propagator is the sam e as in the vacuum

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{(0)}(p)=\frac{4}{M g^{2}} \frac{q}{\frac{4}{M^{2}}} \frac{1}{\underline{p^{2}}} \frac{2 M}{i M P} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
=P==\square=+\cdots
$$

FIG. 2: D iagram determ ining the density at leading order in $z^{2}$ (top) and the dressing of the dim er propagator.

The particle-particle scattering am plitude in the vacuum is given by $g^{2} D . T h u s$, by setting $4=\mathrm{M} \mathrm{g}^{2}+2==1=\mathrm{a}$, we obtain the leading order scattering am plitude in the e ective range expansion (after analytically continuing the am plitude to real values of the energy by setting $\mathrm{k}_{0}$ ! $\left.\mathrm{k}_{0} \quad 2 \mathrm{M}+i\right)$. N otioe the ne tuning between the linearly divergent term $2=$ (kinetic energy) and the interaction term $4 \Rightarrow \mathrm{Mg}()^{2}$ (potential energy) needed to produce a sm all value of $1=a$. W e can understand now the reason for the resum $m$ ation of graphs at the bottom off ig. ( the denom inator ofEq. ( $\left.\underline{l}_{1}\right)$, generated by the loops in the dim er propagator, is not negligible com pared to $1=a$.

P hugging the expression in Eq. (ī) in the diagram in Fig. (2) we have

The integration over, on a contour encircling the im aginary axis, can be deform ed into an integral over the discontinuity on the branch cut (which describes the dim er break up) plus the contribution of the dim er pole. The nal result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{2}^{(2)}=2 \mathrm{~b}_{2} \frac{z^{2}}{-3}=\frac{z^{2}}{-3} e^{B_{2}} 2^{3=2} \quad 1+\operatorname{Erf} \frac{1}{\mathrm{p} \frac{1}{\mathrm{MT}}} ; \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{2}=1=\left(M a^{2}\right)$ is the location of the pole in the dim er propagator. This pole corresponds to a bound state (if a is positive) or a \virtual" bound state, that is, a pole in the unphysical sheet (if a is negative). Eq. $\underset{\substack{9}}{(\underset{)}{\text { ) }}}$ is a particular case of the classic form ula relating $\mathrm{b}_{2}^{(2)}$ to the two-particle scattering phase shift

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{2}^{(2)}=2^{1=2} e^{B_{2}}+\frac{1}{Z}_{0}^{Z_{1}} d k \frac{d(k)}{d k} e^{\frac{k^{2}}{M}} \text {; } \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the case where the phase shifts are given by the leading order e ective range expansion k cot $(\mathrm{k})=1=\mathrm{a}$. A few points are worth m entioning here. F irst, b is a continuous


FIG. 3: G raph determ ining the density at leading order in $z^{3}$ and the integral equation for the three-body am plitude.
function of a at $1=a=0$. The change in the potential needed to take a from a large and positive value to a large and negative value is $s m$ all, and that change has only a sm all e ect in the therm odynam ics, even though there is a qualitative di erence in the spectrum (from a bound state to a virtualbound state). Second, $\mathrm{b}_{2}$ is positive and, for $\mathrm{B}_{2}>\mathrm{T}$, it is exponentially large. In the case a $>0$, this is easily understood as a consequence of the existence of a bound state: when $T \quad B_{2} \mathrm{~m}$ ost particles form tight two-body bound states therefore increasing the density for a xed tem perature and chem ical potential. In fact, if the $z^{2}$ term s dom inate, the ratio of the two equations in Eq. (ī) give the equation of state of a free gas w ith density $n=2$.
$T$ he third virial coe cient $\mathrm{b}_{3}$ inchudes three pieces. T he $n s t, \mathrm{l}_{9}^{(1)}$, is determ ined by the $z^{3}$ piece in Eq. (4ㄴ) and equals $\mathrm{b}_{3}^{(1)}=3^{\frac{5}{2}}$. The second one, $\mathrm{b}_{3}^{(2)}$, com es from a subleading piece of the diagram on $F$ ig. (2) and is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{n}_{3}^{(2)}=3 \mathrm{~b}_{3}^{(2)} \frac{\mathrm{z}^{3}}{-3}  \tag{11}\\
& =2 \mathrm{~T}^{\mathrm{X}} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{3} \mathrm{k}}{(2)^{3}}{\left(D^{(0)}(\mathrm{k})\right)^{2} \quad(1)(\mathrm{k}) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{i d \mathrm{k}_{0}}{ }^{(0)}(\mathrm{k})+\mathrm{D}^{(0)}(\mathrm{k}) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{i d \mathrm{k}_{0}} \quad(\mathrm{l})}_{(\mathrm{k})} \\
& =2 \mathrm{~T}^{\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{K}_{0}} \mathrm{Z}} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{3} \mathrm{k}}{(2)^{3}} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{dk}_{0}}{\left(D^{(0)}(\mathrm{k})^{(1)}(\mathrm{k})\right)}^{(\mathrm{k}}{ }^{(1)}
\end{align*}
$$

where the integral over runs on a vertical line just to the left of the pole at $B_{2}$. The integral in Eq. ( $\left(\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1}_{1}\right)$ cannot be expressed analytically and has to be com puted num erically. $T$ he third piece, $\mathrm{b}_{3}^{(3)}$, com es from actual three-body correlations in the system, and brings in new physics besides that contained in two-body scattering. The diagram s contributing to it are show $n$ on $F$ ig. ( $\overline{1}_{-1}$ ). Just as the tw ołoody scattering am plitude cannot be com puted in perturbation theory, the three-body am plitude that enters in Fig . ( ${\underset{1}{1}}_{1}^{1}$ ) also involves a
resum $m$ ation ofan in nite num ber ofdiagram $s$. This is because each additionalloop involves a factor of pa, where $p$ is the typical $m$ om entum ow ing through the loop. In the case considered here $\mathrm{Q} \quad 1=1=\mathrm{a}$ and the \suppression" factor is of order $1 . \mathrm{T}$ he diagram s in Fig. (3̄-1) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
n_{3}^{(3)} & =T^{2} X^{Z} \frac{d^{3} k}{(2)^{3}} \frac{d^{3} p}{(2)^{3}} \frac{1}{i p_{0}+p_{0}} D^{2} D^{(0)}(p+k) T(p ; p+k ; p ; p+k)  \tag{12}\\
& =T^{2^{2} X^{Z}} \frac{d^{3} k}{(2)^{3}} \frac{d^{3} p}{(2)^{3}} \frac{d}{2} \frac{1}{i e} \frac{1}{k_{0}}{ }^{2} D^{(0)}(p+k) T(p ; p+k ; p ; p+k)
\end{align*}
$$

where in the second line $p=(i ; p)$ and $T(p ; p+k ; p ; p+k)$ is the forw ard particle-dim er scattering am plitude determ ined by the diagram $s$ at the bottom of $F i g$. (3̄1). The integral over is dom inated by the particle pole at $=p, w$ ith the contribution com ing from the dim er pole and cut suppressed by two powers of $z$. W e then have

$$
\begin{align*}
& n_{3}^{(3)}=\frac{2 z^{3}}{g^{2}} \frac{d^{3} k}{(2)^{3}} \frac{d^{3} P^{Z}}{(2)^{3}} \frac{d}{2 i} e \quad T\left(P+\frac{k}{3} ; P+\frac{2 k}{3} ; \quad P+\frac{k}{3} ; P+\frac{2 k}{3}\right) \dot{k}_{k_{0}=i 3}^{i} \\
& \frac{1}{\frac{1}{a}+\frac{3 \mathrm{P}^{2}}{4}+\frac{\overleftarrow{K}^{2}}{6} \quad M} \xlongequal{\frac{3 \mathrm{P}^{2}}{4}+\frac{\mathrm{K}^{2}}{6}} \mathrm{M} \text {; } \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

where we use the center-ofm ass variable $P=\left(i_{p} ; p+\widetilde{K}=3\right)$. The integration over the new variable $=3+$ in on a vertical line just to the left of the left $m$ ost singularity that can be a trim er pole at $k^{2}=6 M \quad B_{3}$ or a dim er pole at $k^{2}=6 M+3 P^{2}=4 M \quad B_{2}$. The cuts in the three-body am plitude describing dim er-particle scattering, dim er breakup, etc., are on the real axis, to the right of 2 and 3 particle poles.

Unlike the dim er propagator, the diagrams adding up to the three-body amplitude $T(p ; p+k ; p ; p+k) d o$ not form a sim ple geom etrical series and cannot be sum med up analytically. H ow ever, their sum is determ ined by the integral equation depicted at the bottom of F ig. ( $\overline{3}_{1}^{-1}$ ). This integral equation (Faddeev equation) is particularly sim ple for the separable potential used here ${ }^{1}$ and $w$ as derived $m$ any tim es before $w$ ith a variety of tech-
 de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
M g^{2} \frac{T_{0}\left(; P ; P^{0}\right)}{P^{2} \frac{4 M}{3}\left(+B_{2}\right)}=\frac{d P^{\hat{P}}}{4} \frac{d P^{0}}{4} \frac{T\left(P+\frac{k}{3} ; P+\frac{2 k}{3} ; P+\frac{k}{3} ; P+\frac{2 k}{3}\right) \dot{j}_{0}=i 3 \quad i}{\frac{1}{a}+\frac{3 P^{0}}{4}+\frac{k^{2}}{6}} \mathrm{M}, \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

H igher partial waves, describing m ore peripheral particle-dim er collisions, give suppressed contributions. $T_{0}\left(; P ; P^{9}\right)$ satis es

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{0}\left(; \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P}^{0}\right)=\mathrm{K}\left(; \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P}^{0}\right)+2^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{dqq}^{2} \frac{\mathrm{~T}_{0}(; \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{q})}{\mathrm{q}^{2} \frac{4 \mathrm{M}}{3}\left(+\mathrm{B}_{2}\right)} \mathrm{K}\left(; \mathrm{q}^{2} \mathrm{P}^{0}\right) ; \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]w ith the kemel
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
K\left(; P ; P^{0}\right)=\frac{4}{3} \frac{1}{a}+\frac{\mathrm{r}}{\frac{3 P^{2}}{4}} M \quad \frac{1}{P P^{0}} \log \frac{P^{2}+P P^{0}+P^{02}}{P^{2} P P^{0}+P^{02}} M \quad M \quad \frac{g_{3}()}{M g^{2}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

 $m$ ore naturally described in term s of renorm alization theory. It was shown in $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[\bar{q}, \underline{\prime}, 1 \overline{9} \overline{-1}]}\end{array}\right.$ that $T_{0}\left(; P ; P^{9}\right)$ is kept cuto independent for sm all values of $P ; P^{0} \quad$ if, and only if, the three-body force varies w the cuto as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{g_{3}()^{2}}{2 \mathrm{M}^{2}}=\frac{\sin \left(\mathrm{s}_{0} \log (=) \quad \arctan s_{0}\right)}{\sin \left(s_{0} \log (=)+\arctan \mathrm{s}_{0}\right)}+\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{a}}\right) ; \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is a new param eter that cannot be $m$ easured through two-particle experim ents and $s_{0} \quad 1: 006$ is the solution of a œertain trigonom etric equation. The failure to include the three-body force term leads to a strong cuto dependence on the results and thus, to the im possibility of arriving at $m$ odel independent results. The three-body scattering am plitude depends, even at the leading order in the expansion in powers of ${ }^{-}=R$, on the value of the three-body force, here param eterized by . The param eter encodes all short distance physics, besides the value of a, necessary to describe three-body system s. Like a, it varies from one system to another and only the m easurem ent of som e three-body observable allow s us to x it. The appearance of an extra param eter not determ ined by two-body scattering precludes the possibility of predictions in the three-body sector based only on the value of a.

U sing Eq. (1] $\overline{1}$ ) and Eq. (1 $\overline{1} \overline{1})$ and perform ing a trivial integralover $k$ and the angular part of $P$ we arrive at

$$
\left.n_{3}^{(3)}=3 b_{3}^{(3)} \frac{z^{3}}{-3}=i \frac{9^{p} 3}{4^{2}} M{\frac{z^{3}}{-3}}_{0}^{Z} d P P^{2} d e \frac{T_{0}(; P ; P)}{\left(\frac{3 P^{2}}{4}\right.} M \quad \mathbb{M}\right)^{2}{ }^{2} 1+\frac{1}{a} q \frac{1}{\frac{3 P^{2}}{4}} M^{A}
$$

The am plitude $\mathrm{T}_{0}(; \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P})$ and the quadratures in Eq. $T$ his is not com putationally dem anding and a $M$ athem atica notebook that perform $s$ this task can be dow nloaded from iht tp: I/ww-nsdeh.ībīgov/dēdāuè.

The coe cient $b_{3}=b_{3}^{(1)}+b_{3}^{(2)}+b_{3}^{(\sqrt{3})}$ is a function of the temperature and of the param eters describing the $m$ icroscopic dynam ics (the scattering length a and the three-body param eter ). In $F$ ig. ( ( $\overline{4}$ ) we show the value of $b_{3}$ as a function of $1=a$ for a few values of these param eters. As an exam ple we pick $M=M_{4_{\mathrm{He}}}, \mathrm{T}=10^{7} \mathrm{eV} \quad 1: 16 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~K}$ and
${ }^{2} \mathrm{~g}_{3}(\mathrm{r})=\left(2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{g}{ }^{2}\right) \quad \mathrm{H}()=3: 235$ (low er blue solid curve), $0: 22$ (interm ediate red solid curve) and 0:05 (upper black solid curve). In all of them we use $=200 \mathrm{eV}$, but, as mentioned above, the results are cuto independent up to sm all corrections of order $1=\mathrm{a}$. The
rst of these values was chosen follow ing $[$ [ $[\overline{3} 0]$ ] and it gives rise to a trim er w ith the binding energy of the shallow er ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$ trim er (as predicted through potential m odel calculations
 [3]
$W$ hen a and are such that there is a three-body bound state $B_{3}>B_{2}$, we nd em pirically that $b_{3}$ scales roughly as e ${ }^{\mathrm{B}_{3}}$, F ig. ${ }^{4}$. T his is not surprising and is a direct analogue of the physics described by Eq. ( $\underset{-1}{ }$ ) in the case of the coe cient $\mathrm{b}_{2}$ : when $\mathrm{B}_{3}$


FIG.4: $\mathrm{b}_{3}$ as a function of the inverse scattering length for three values of H ( $=200 \mathrm{eV}$ ) (solid lines, $H=3: 23 ; 0: 22 ; 0: 05$, from bottom to top). The dashed line is the estim ate $e^{B_{3}}$.

1 m ost particles form threebody bound states, which increases the density for a given tem perature and chem ical potential as com pared to the free gas. This does not $m$ ean, how ever, that the integral in Eq. $\ddagger \ddagger$ ) is dom inated by the trim er pole: the contribution from the tw o-and three-particle cuts is never negligible. For values of a forwhich $B_{3}$ approaches $B_{2}$ the dim er-particle cross section diverges. Still, the three-particle correlations described by $b_{3}$ are $s m$ ooth at those points. For system $s w$ ith a trim er deeper than the dim er, $b_{3}$ can be $m$ uch larger that both $b_{1}$ and $b_{2}$, and dom inate the virial expansion. T his is not a rare situation, due to a well know $n$ but strange feature of the three-resonating particle system : as the potential is changed to $m$ ake the dim er shallower, the trim er becom es deeper [ $\overline{2} \overline{5}]$. This is what happens in the $H=0: 05$ case show $n$ in the upper (black) solid curve in $F$ ig. 1

In atom ic traps close to a Feshbach resonance it is a that is a tunable param eter. T he in uence of the $m$ agnetic eld on the value of the three-body foroe param eter is $s m$ all, since that describes short-distance physics of an energy scale $m$ uch higher than the m agnetic
eld, and can be disregarded in a rst approxim ation. Thus we can regard the horizontal axis in $F$ ig. ( $(\overline{4})$ ) as denoting the $m$ agnetic eld using the Feshbach resonance form ula

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(B)=a(B=0) \quad 1+\frac{B}{B \quad B_{0}}: \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us sum $m$ arize the approxim ation perform ed here that allow ed such a sim ple evaluation of such a com plex threebody dynam ics. First, we approxim ate the two-body potential by a short range, delta-function-like interaction. Corrections to this approxim ation are proportional to the ective range $r_{0}=-$, where $r_{0} \quad R$ is the ective range, $m u c h$ sm aller than a by assum ption. E ective range corrections can be easily included, even to very high orders, w ithout spoiling the simplicity of the $m$ ethod, as it has been done in few -nucleon physics $[\underline{2} \overline{2}, \underline{\prime}, 13 \overline{5}]$. The second approxim ation was the non-inclusion ofhigher partialw aves. In the tw o-body sector, p -w aves and higher are suppressed by at lest tw o pow ers ofR $=^{-}$, and are sm all. In the three-body sector the suppression of the particle-dim er lw ave interactions are not suppressed by pow ers of $R=^{-}$, but by $1=(1+1)$. A ll the higher partial w aves correspond to a repulsive kemel in Eq. (155), which do not support bound states and cannot produce an
enhancem ent of the form $e^{B_{3}}$. In practioe the phase shifts are rather sm all but, if needed, they can also be easily included by solving the analogue of Eq. (1] higher partial wave and adding it to Eq. (1̄3̄).

O ur results are valid only in true therm alequilibrium, after tw o-and three-particle bound states had the tim e to form, and assum ing that they stay in the system (do not escape from the trap, if that is the case). For system s like the alkali atom s studied in m agnetic/optical traps, that have deep bound states $w$ ith typical interparticle distance of order $\quad R$ that lie outside the validity range of our e ective theory, this $m$ eans that our results are relevant only for the $m$ etastable state before the collapse of the system, but after the tw o-and threebody bound states form . The form ation of a l body bound state requires the approach of l+ 1 particles in order to conserve energy and $m$ om entum, and their rates are consequently suppressed by $\mathrm{n}^{1+1}$. These rates are not know n at nite tem perature but have been studied at
 into deep states). In cases where a $>0$ the recom bination rate into deep (two-body) bound states is estim ated to be much sm aller than the rate into shallow bound states suggesting that there is a time window in which our results apply. In the a $<0$ case there is no twobody bound state and it is not know n how the rates for the form ation of deep and shallow three-body bound states com pare.

Finally, let us consider the changes introduced in case of ferm ionic particles. In the nondegenerate case considered here, thee ect of the quantum statistics in the therm odynam ics is $m$ inor, and am ounts to a change in sign in som e of the coe cients $\mathrm{b}_{1 ; 2}^{(1 ; 3 ;:: 1)}$. The elem entary collisions, however, $m$ ay di er a great deal due to the exclusion principle. If we have only one ferm ionic species in the system, s-w ave scattering is im possible and all virialcoe cients $\mathrm{b}_{2} ; \mathrm{b}_{3} ; \quad$ are suppressed. In the case of two ferm ionic species (as a dilute neutron gas), tw o-body collisions are possible and the standard result in Eq. $(\overline{9})$ is valid. The physics of the three-body correlations is however, very di erent. No three-body force term without derivatives exist, and the three-body force contribution is suppressed. $b_{3}$ can be com puted in term s of a alone, but cannot ever be large and dom inate the expansion, as the kemel appearing in Eq. (1]-1) would be repulsive and would not support a bound state. The case w ith three orm ore ferm ionic species w ith all the scattering lengths large but not necessarily equal, which includes the dihute nuclearm atter case (protons and neutrons w ith spin either up or down), is very sim ilar to the bosonic case. Three particles can occupy the sam e point in space w thout violating the exclusion principle and, as a consequence, the two coupled equations that substitute Eq. (1̄15) have very sim ilar properties to the bosonic equation [ $\overline{1} 0 \overline{0} \overline{-1}]$.
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