# LETTER TO THE EDITOR

# Speci cheat of heavy ferm ion $CePd_2Si_2$ in high magnetic elds

I Sheikiny, Y W angy, F Bouquety, P Lejayz and A Junody y University of Geneva, DPMC, 24 Quai E mest-Ansem et, 1211 Geneva 4, Switzerland z CRTBT, CNRS, BP 166, 38042 G renoble, France

#### Abstract.

We report specic heat measurements on the heavy fermion compound  $\text{CePd}_2\text{Si}_2$  in magnetic elds up to 16 T and in the temperature range 1.4  $\mid$  16 K . A sharp peak in the speci c heat signals the antiferrom agnetic transition at  $T_{\,\mathrm{N}}$ 9:3 K in zero eld. The transition is found to shift to lower temperatures when a magnetic eld is applied along the crystallographic a-axis, while a eld applied parallel to the tetragonal c-axis does not a ect the transition. The magnetic contribution to the speci c heat below  $T_{\mathrm{N}}$  is well-described by a sum of a linear electronic term and an antiferrom agnetic spin wave contribution. Just below  $T_{\rm N}$ , an additional positive curvature, especially at high elds, arises most probably due to thermal uctuations. The eld dependence of the coe cient of the low tem perature linear term,  $_0$ , extracted from the ts shows a maximum at about 6T, at the point where an anomaly was detected in susceptibility measurements. The relative eld dependence of both  $T_{\rm N}$  and the magnetic entropy at  $T_{\rm N}$  scales as  $[1 \quad (B = B_0)^2]$  for B k a, suggesting the disappearance of antiferrom agnetism 42 T. The expected suppression of the antiferrom agnetic transition tem perature to zero makes the existence of a magnetic quantum critical point possible.

The heavy ferm ion system  $C ext{ eP } d_2 ext{ Si}_2$  has recently become a subject of considerable experimental and theoretical interest. This compound undergoes an antiferrom agnetic transition at  $T_N = 9 ext{ K}$  with a static moment of 0.6  $_B$  at low temperature. Its spin conguration consists of ferrom agnetic (110) planes with spins normal to the planes and alternating in directions along the spin axis.

The existence of a quantum critical point at a pressure of about 30 kbar, where the antiferrom agnetic transition tem perature is suppressed to zero, was established a long time ago [1]. It is, however, only recently that G rosche et al [2] discovered the appearance of superconductivity in a small pressure range in the vicinity of the quantum critical point. This pioneering work gave rise to a new wave of interest in this compound. Recently, several experimental studies of  $CePd_2Si_2$  were performed both at ambient and high pressure on dierent samples and using dierent experimental techniques [3, 4, 5, 6]. These works con med the emergence of superconductivity close to the critical pressure and gave an insight into the nature of the superconducting ground state. It has been also shown that non-Ferm i liquid behavior at low temperature appears close to the critical pressure. Such behavior observed in resistivity, magnetization and speci c heat measurements is a common trend in heavy ferm ion compounds in the vicinity of a magnetic instability induced by application of hydrostatic pressure.

A di erent way to tune a heavy ferm ion com pound to a quantum critical point is by applying a high magnetic eld. Field suppression of antiferrom agnetism, like pressure, does not induce any disorder and, like pressure, opens up a new dimension in the phase diagram for study. Such a suppression of the magnetically ordered ground state by high magnetic eld was found in  $CeCu_{5:2}Ag_{0:8}$  [7], YbCu<sub>5 x</sub>Al<sub>x</sub> [8, 9], YbRh<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> [10] and  $CeCu_{5:2}Au_{0:8}$  [11]. In all these cases, unusual non-Ferm i liquid behavior was also found near the magnetic quantum critical point. There are, however, other system s, e.g.  $CePtSi_{0:4}Ge_{0:6}$  [7], that show Ferm i-liquid behavior upon eld suppression of  $T_N$  to zero.

Two experim ental investigations of CeP  $d_2Si_2$  at high m agnetic eld were recently reported. The rst publication [12] reports m agnetization m easurements up to 28 T at  $4.2\,\mathrm{K}$  with the magnetic eld applied along both a and c crystallographic axes. No anomalies have been seen for either direction of the magnetic eld. The other work [7] presents special cheat measurements at 0, 13 and 28.9 T on a polycrystalline sample. At 28.9 T, the antiferromagnetic transition was found to be broadened with both the peak in the special cheat and the transition midpoint suppressed to lower temperatures though the onset did not shift. This left the situation in this compound somewhat obscure, and no further high eld investigations have been reported.

We have, therefore, decided to reexam ine the experimental situation in this material. We have performed specic heat measurements on a single crystal of CePd $_2$ Si $_2$  in magnetic elds up to 16T in the temperature range  $1.4 \mid 16$ K.

The speci c heat measurements were performed on a single crystal of CeP  $d_2Si_2$ , grown in a triarc furnace by the Czochralskim ethod. Further details of the sample preparation are given elsewhere [13]. The sample, with a mass of 15 mg, was mounted on a small sapphire plate. A carbon lm with four contacts made of phosphor bronze was painted on the other side of the plate. The whole set-up including two thermometers and a heater was sealed inside a vacuum sample chamber. A carbon glass resistive thermometer was used to regulate the temperature inside the sample chamber. The temperature was measured by a Cemox thermometer calibrated in eld. A thermal relaxation technique was used to measure the specience heat [14]. To extract the specience heat of the sample, the contribution of the sapphire, electrical wires and grease used as an adhesive was measured and subtracted. Magnetic elds up 16 T were generated by a superconducting coil. The temperature was varied from 1.4 to 16 K using a standard variable temperature insert (VTI).

Figure 1 shows the zero-eld specic heat. The data are in good agreement with previous measurements [13, 15]. The antiferrom agnetic transition manifests itself by a sharp, almost step-like increase of the specic heat at 9.3 K. The magnetic contribution,  $C_{mag}$ , to the specic heat is obtained by subtracting the data of the nonmagnetic reference system LaPd<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> [16] (also shown in gure 1). At low temperature, LaPd<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> shows the classical behavior  $C = {}_{r}T + {}_{r}T^{3}$  with  ${}_{r} = 6.0 \, \text{m}$  J/m o K  $^{2}$  and  ${}_{r} = 0.267 \, \text{m}$  J/m o K  $^{4}$ . This is to be compared with  $C = T = 160 \, \text{m}$  J/m o K  $^{2}$  found for  $C = Pd_{2}Si_{2}$  at 1.4 K, the lowest temperature of our measurements (see, however, the discussion below for ts of C = T as T! 0).

Above the antiferrom agnetic transition,  $C_{\text{mag}}=T$  increases with temperature decrease due to the K ondo spin uctuations. Below  $T_{\text{N}}$ ,  $C_{\text{mag}}$  (T) can be described in terms of spin uctuations and an additional contribution from the antiferrom agnetic spin waves [13]. We have integrated  $C_{\text{mag}}=T$  to obtain the magnetic entropy. Since the lowest temperature of our measurements was 1.4~K, we extrapolated the data below 1.4~K using the empirical temperature dependence  $C = T + T^3$  discussed

below. The calculated magnetic entropy is shown in the inset of gure 1. The entropy at the transition temperature reaches about 75% of R ln 2, the value expected for a doublet ground state [13]. This is not necessarily surprising and is likely to imply that the ordered moment in the antiferrom agnetic phase is somewhat compensated by the K ondo interactions. At 22 K, the highest temperature of the zero-eld measurement, the magnetic entropy reaches 95% of R ln 2.

When a magnetic eld is applied parallel to the crystallographic a-axis, the transition gradually moves to lower temperatures remaining, however, as sharp as in zero eld as shown in gure 2. Conversely, a magnetic eld of 14 T applied along the tetragonal c-axis was not found to a ect the transition temperature (see the inset of gure 2). This implies the existence of strong magnetic anisotropy between the basal plane and the tetragonal c-axis of the compound. Such anisotropy is consistent with the magnetic structure of the material with its spins aligned in the basal plane. This anisotropy explains the result of a previous report obtained on a polycrystal [7], where at 29 T, the transition was found to be much broader with the peak in C=T suppressed to about 5 K but without any shift of the onset. Indeed, in the case of a polycrystal, the part of the sample more or less aligned with the eld along the c-axis shows no change and that is why the onset of the transition does not move. On the other hand, the other eld directions suppress the transition which leads both to a shift of the speci c heat peak and the transition broadening.

We have tried to t the data below  $T_N$  by the commonly used empirical thing functions  $C_{m\,ag}=T+A$  exp( =T) for a spin gap [17] and  $C_{m\,ag}=T+T^3$  for antiferrom agnetic spin waves [13]. While both of them twell the low temperature part of the data, neither of them succeeded in providing a satisfactory tover the whole temperature range. We found, however, that the magnetic contribution to the specicheat is well described by a model composed of a linear electronic contribution as above,  $_0T$ , plus a term that accounts for the contribution from antiferrom agnetic spin waves with the dispersion relation ! =  $^{\frac{1}{2}} + D k^2$  [18]:

$$C_{m \text{ ag}} = {}_{0}T + {}^{7=2}T^{1=2}e^{-T} [1 + (39=20) (T = ) + (51=32) (T = )^{-2}](1)$$

Here is the spin-wave gap, and is related to the spin-wave sti ness D by / D  $^{3=2}$ . As shown in gure 3 for B = 0 and 16 T, the above equation yields a good to the data, except for a small temperature range just below  $T_{\rm N}$ , which was ignored when thing the data. The failure of the tover this small temperature range is due to the existence of a positive curvature just below  $T_{\rm N}$ . This curvature becomes gradually stronger with eld as can be seen in gure 3. We associate this curvature with thermal uctuations that are expected to play a role in the vicinity of the transition, and to become stronger in magnetic eld.

The eld dependence of the low temperature electronic contribution to the specic heat extracted from the ts is shown in gure 4. As one can see, the low temperature Sommer eld coe cient,  $_{0}$ , passes through a maximum at about 6 T before starting to decrease monotonically. This maximum matches a clear anomaly observed in susceptibility measurements when the magnetic eld was also applied along the crystallographic a-axis [19]. The anomaly can be associated with a spin-op process. Indeed, the behavior of  $_{0}$  in magnetic eld we not here is very similar to that of the coe cient A of the T  $^{2}$  term of the resistivity found by M cD onough and Julian in CePb3 around a spin-op transition [20]. Note that the coe cient A also rejects the many-body enhancement, the ratio  $A = _{0}$  having an universal value in heavy ferm ion systems, as pointed out by K adowaki and W oods [21].

The eld-dependent values of  $T_N$  have been determined by an equal-entropy construction with an ideal step transition. Figure 5 shows the eld dependence of the transition tem perature and the magnetic entropy at  $T_N$ . Both follow a simple scaling relation [1 (B=B $_0$ )²]. Here B $_0$  corresponds to the eld necessary to suppress the antiferrom agnetic order, and is found to be (41.5 0.6) T. This scaling relation explains quantitatively why no anomalies were observed in the magnetization measurements up to 28 T at T = 4.2 K [12]. A coording to the above formula, at T = 4.2 K the transition should occur at about 31 T for B ka, while in [12] the highest applied eld was only 28 T. The same a scaling behavior was found for another heavy fermion system UR u $_2$ Si $_2$  [22], where the value of B $_0$  was found to be in relatively good agreement with the results obtained from resistivity, thermal expansion and magnetization measurements.

In conclusion, we have shown that the antiferrom agnetic transition in CeP d<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> shifts to lower tem peratures in magnetic elds applied in the basalplane. The absence of in uence of the magnetic eld parallel to the tetragonal c-axis on the transition tem perature points to the existence of a strong magnetic anisotropy, which is a  $com\ m$  on trend for heavy ferm ion  $com\ pounds$ . A nalysis of the  $\ eld\ dependence$  of  $T_N$ and the magnetic entropy suggests the suppression of the antiferrom agnetic ground state at about 42T, rising the possibility of the existence of a quantum critical point at this eld. This might make this compound a new member of a growing family of heavy ferm ion antiferrom agnets which can be tuned by a magnetic eld through the magnetic quantum critical point, where the Neel temperature vanishes. This prediction calls for further experim ents at higher, probably pulsed elds. Them agnetic speci cheat below T<sub>N</sub> is best described by the sum of an electronic contribution and antiferrom agnetic spin waves. The t, however, breaks down just below  $T_N$  where therm all uctuations give rise to a positive curvature. The low temperature coe cient of the electronic term of the specic heat extracted from the ts is found to have a maximum at about 6 T. This suggests the existence of a magnetic transition, presumably a spin-op, the idea being also supported by the results of the magnetization measurements [19].

# A cknow ledgm ents

W e thank Dr. A Semeno for communication of magnetization measurement results prior to publication. This work was supported by Fonds National Suisse de la Recherche Scienti que.

# References

- [1] Thom pson J D , Parks R D and B orges H 1986 J M agn M agn M ater.  $54\text{--}57\ 377$
- [2] Grosche F M , Julian S R , M athur N D and Lonzarich G G 1996 Physica B 223& 224 50
- [3] Raymond S and Jaccard D 2000 Phys.Rev.B 61 8679
- [4] Sheikin I, Steep E, Braithwaite D, Brison J-P, Raymond S, Jaccard D and Flouquet J 2001 J. Low Temp. Phys. 122 591
- [5] Demuer A, Jaccard D, Sheikin I, Raymond S, Salce B, Thomasson J, Braithwaite D and Flouquet J 2001 J.Phys.: Condens M atter 13 9335
- [6] D em uer A , H olm es A T and Jaccard D 2002 P reprint cond-m at/0202390
- [7] Heuser K, Kim JS, Scheidt E W, Schreiner T and Stewart G R 1999 Physica B 259-261 392
- [8] Bauer E, Galatanu A, Naber L., Galli M, Marabelli F, Seuring C, Heuser K, Scheidt E W, Schreiner T and Stewart G R 2000 Physica B 281-282 319
- [9] Seuring C, Heuser K, Scheidt E W, Schreiner T, Bauer E and and Stewart G R 2000 Physica B 281-282 374
- [10] Custers J, Gegenwart P, Geibel C, Steglich F, Tayam a T, Trovarelli O and Harrison N 2001 Acta Physica Polonica B 32 3211

- [11] v.Lohneysen H, P eiderer C, Pietrus T, Stockert O and W illB 2001 Phys.Rev. B 63 134411
- [12] AbeH,KitazawaH,SuzukiH,KidoG and Matsum oto T 1998,PhysicaB 246-247 141
- [13] van D ijk N H , Fak B , C harvolin T , Lejay P and M ignot J M 2000 Phys.Rev. B 61 8922
- [14] Junod A 1996 Studies of H igh Tem perature Superconductors Vol 19 edited by A .N arlikar (N ova Science, C om m ack, New York) p 1
  - W ang Y, Revaz B, Erb A and Junod A 2001 Phys.Rev. B 63 094508
- [15] Steem an R A, Frikkee E, Helm holdt R B, M enovsky A A, Van den Berg J, N ieuwenhuys G J and M ydosh J A 1988 Solid State Commun. 66 103
- [16] Besnus M J, Braghta A and Meyer A 1991 Z. Phys. B: Condens. Matter 83 207
- [17] Fisher R A, K im S, W u Y, Phillips N E, M cElfresh M W , Torikachvili M S and Maple M B 1990 Physica B 163 419
- [18] de Medeiros S N, Continentino M A, Orlando M T D, Fontes M B, Baggio-Saitovitch E M, Rosch A and Eichler A 2000 Physica B 281-282 340
- [19] Sem eno A 2002 Private com munication
- [20] M cD onough J and Julian S R 1996 Phys.Rev.B 53 14411
- [21] Kadowaki K and Woods S B 1986 Solid State Commun. 58 507
- [22] van Dijk N H, Bourdarot F, K laasse J C P, Hagmusa IH, Bruck E and Menovsky A A 1997 Phys.Rev. B 56 14493

# Figure captions

Figure 1. Specic heat C=T of CeP d<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> in zero m agnetic eld. The anomaly at  $T_N=9.3\,\mathrm{K}$  signals the antiferrom agnetic transition. For comparison, the specic heat of the non-magnetic reference system LaP d<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> is shown [16]. The inset shows the magnetic entropy,  $S_{m\,ag}=R$ , also in zero eld.

Figure 2. Specic heat C of CePd2Si2 around the antiferrom agnetic phase transition in magnetic elds of 0, 4, 8, 12 and 16 T (B ka). Both the transition temperature,  $T_{\rm N}$ , and the jump in the specic heat decrease with magnetic eld. The inset shows the transition in zero eld (closed circles) and at 14 T applied along the c-axis (open circles). The two curves are practically indistinguishable as the transition does not shift in elds parallel to the c-axis.

Figure 3. Magnetic specie heat C  $_{\text{mag}}$ =T of CePd $_2$ Si $_2$  in zero eld and in a magnetic eld of 16 T. The solid curves correspond to a ttaking into account both the electronic contribution and antiferrom agnetic spin waves (equation 1). Parameters obtained from the tare:  $_0$  = (0:131 0:003) J/molK $^2$ , = (1:7 0:1) 10  $^3$ J/molK $^4$ , = (4:6 0:2)K forB = 0 and  $_0$  = (0:110 0:006)J/molK $^2$ , = (2:5 0:3) 10  $^3$ J/molK $^4$ , = (4:2 0:3)K forB = 16 T.

Figure 4. Magnetic eld dependence of the coe cient of the electronic linear term of the speci cheat extracted from the tsby equation 1. The weak maximum suggests the possibility of a magnetic phase transition, presumably a spin-op. The line is a guide for the eyes. Errors bars correspond to the standard errors obtained from the t.

Figure 5. Field dependence of the transition temperature  $T_N$  (solid circles, left axis) and the magnetic entropy at  $T_N$  (open squares, right axis). The curve represents a scaling function of the form  $T_N \circ [1 \quad (B=B \circ)^2]$  with  $B \circ = 41.5$  T.









