G lauber Critical Dynamics: Exact Solution of the Kinetic Gaussian Model

Jian-Yang Zhu^{a,b,c} and Z.R. Yang^{a,b}
^aCCAST (W orld Laboratory), Box 8730, Beijing 100080, China
^bD epartm ent of Physics, Beijing Norm al University, Beijing 100875, China^y
^cD epartm ent of Physics, Jiangxi Norm al University, Nanchang 330027, China

In this paper, we have exactly solved G lauber critical dynam ics of the G aussian model on three dimensions. Of course, it is much easy to apply to low dimensional case. The key steps are that we generalize the spin change mechanism from G lauber's single-spin ipping to single-spin transition and give a normalized version of the transition probability. We have also investigated the dynam ical critical exponent and found surprisingly that the dynam ical critical exponent is highly universal which refer to that for one-two-and three-dimensions they have same value independent of spatial dimensionality in contrast to static (equilibrium) critical exponents.

PACS numbers: 64.60 Ht, 75.10 Hk

I. IN TRODUCTION

Irreversible dynam ic system sexhibit complicated and interesting non-equilibrium phenomena near the critical point. The study of non-equilibrium statistical mechanics is much more dicult than equilibrium state due to the complexity. However, the interesting dynamic critical behaviors have been attracting a large number of researchers to work hard for many years.

Up to now, there is no general theory based on the st principle to describe the dynam ic problems. However, a great progress has been made since the pioneering work completed by G lauber [1] and K awasaki [2]. A coording to their theory, the time-evolving of the order parameters is described by M arkov processes with G lauber single spin

ipping mechanism or Kawasaki exchange mechanism between two spins. Since then people have been paid much attentions to the study of critical dynamics. The research so far have been extended from the kinetic Ising model to the kinetic Potts model and from integer to fraction dimension, in which many approximate methods such as Monte Carlo simulation, high-temperature series expansion, -expansion, bond-moving renormalization-group method, etc. have been applied [3,19].

Now let us turn on master equation, a basic equation for treating critical dynamics. As we know, the key step for solving master equation is the determination of the transition probability. Usually the transition probability between dierent states, i.e., dierent spin-congurations of the system, is only determined in terms of the detailed balance condition. Since such a choice is not unique, then leaves behind some arbitrariness. For removing the arbitrariness, at least in part, we suggest a normalized transition probability, this means in unit time interval the transition may occurs ormay not. We apply this point to continuous spin (Gaussian) system and obtain exact solutions of one—, two—and three dimensional kinetic Gaussian model.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec.II, a single-spin transiting critical dynamics which suits arbitrary spin systems is presented. As an application, the Gaussian model is treated in Sec.III. We not only obtain the exact solutions of 1D, 2D and 3D kinetic Gaussian model, but also not that the dynamic critical exponent is highly universal. Finally, the conclusion is devoted to Sec.IV.

II. FORM ALIZATION

For an irreversible dynam ic system subjected to the time-dependent perturbation, once the perturbation is removed, the system will very slow by approach to equilibrium state because of the large-scale uctuation near the critical point, which is what we call the critical slowing down phenomenon. The critical dynamics will attempt to explain why the short-range interactions lead to long-time relaxation. Due to the complexity there have been no microscopic theory

E-m ail: zhu jy@ bnu .edu .cn

^yM ailing address

based on the rst principle so far, thus a suitable theoretical model will be quite important. As already mentioned, both the Glauber dynamics and Kawasaki dynamics have proven to be successful in many dynamic systems.

In this section, we give a brief review of G lauber dynam ics. O f course, we will give some improvement so that it can suits arbitrary-spin systems. For clearness, we start from the one-dimensional case (1D), and then the formulation is easy to extend to 2D and 3D.

The 1D lattice-spin m odel we shall discuss is a stochastic one. The spins of N xed particles are represented as stochastic functions of time $_j$ (t), (j = 1; ;N), which can be taken discrete values (discrete-spin m odel) or continuous values (continuous-spin m odel), and m ake transitions among these values. The transition, according to the G lauber dynamics, can only change single spin value each time, such as $_j$ (t)! $_j$ (t) because of the interacting of the system with heat reservoir. The transition probability W $_j$ ($_j$ (t)! $_j$ (t)! from conguration ($_j$ (t); $_j$ (t); $_j$ (t); $_j$ (t); $_j$ (t); $_j$ (t); $_j$ (t), in general, depends on the momentary values of the neighboring spins as well as on the in uence of the heat bath. For this reason statistical correlations exist between dierent spins. Therefore, it is necessary to deal with the entire N -spin system as a unit. The evolution of spin functions describing system form a Markov process of N discrete or continuous random variables with a continuous time variable as argument.

We introduce a probability distribution function p(1; N; t), which denotes the probability of spin system being in the state (1; N; t) at time t. Let $W_j(1; N; t)$ be the transition probability per unit time that the jth spin transits from one value 1; t to another possible value 1; t, while the others remain t xed. Then, on the supposition of single-spin transition, we may write the time derivative of the function P(1; N; t) as

$$\frac{d}{dt}P(fg;t) = \begin{cases} X & X \\ & [W_{j}(_{j}! ^{j})p(fg;t) + W_{j}(^{j}! _{j})p(f_{iej}g;^{*}_{j};t)]; \end{cases}$$
(1)

This is a probability equation, in which the first term in the hand-right side of Eq.(1) denotes the decrease of the probability distribution function P (f g;t) per unit time due to the transition of the spin state from the initial value j, (j = 1;2; ;N) to various possible final values and the second term denotes the increase of the probability distribution function P (f g;t) per unit time due to the transition of the spin state from the various possible initial values $^{\circ}_{j}$; (j = 1;2; ;N) to final value W e shall refer to the Eq.(1) as the master equation since its solution would contain the most complete description of the system available.

It is the most crucial step, obviously, that the transition probability must be determined before the master equation can be solved. Then, how to determine the transition probability? For this problem, G lauber' theory leaves some leave y to select. However, inappropriate selection will probably make the problem discult to solve. So, we hope to not out a more de nite expression to apply the G lauber' theory to arbitrary-spin systems. Now we consider it from both mathematics and physics aspects. In mathematics, generally speaking, the probability must be ergodic and positive de nite, and can be normalized; in physics, we often request that an equilibrium them odynamic system satis es the detailed balance condition. Based on these considerations, we can restrict the spin transition probability W_j , to satisfy the following conditions so that ensure the system in them odynamic equilibrium state nally:

For the set $(S; \hat{S})$ composed of a subset S and its dual subset \hat{S} in phase space, existing j belonging to S and j belonging to S, we have

(1) ergodicity

$$8_{i};^{\hat{}}_{i}: W_{i}(_{i}!_{i}) \in 0;$$
 (2)

(2) positivity

$$8_{j};^{\hat{}}_{j}:W_{j}(_{j}!_{\hat{}}^{\hat{}}_{j})=0;$$
 (3)

(3) norm alization

(4) detailed balance

in which

$$P_{eq} = \frac{1}{Z} \exp[H(fg)]; Z = X \exp[H(fg)];$$

where P_{eq} is the equilibrium Boltzmann distribution function, Z is the partition function, H (f g) is the system H am iltonian.

Although the spin transition probabilities are not determined uniquely by above restriction conditions, there are less room to choose them. Furtherm ore, considering the fact that the transition of the individual spin depends merely on the momentary values of the neighboring spins as well as on the in usence of the heat bath, we can suppose that the transition probability from $_{\rm i}$ to $_{\rm i}$ depend only on the heat Boltzmann factor of the neighboring spins, i.e.

or

$$W_{i}(_{i}!_{\hat{i}}) = \frac{1}{Q_{i}} \exp^{4} H_{i}@^{A}_{i}; \qquad _{j}^{A}5; \qquad \qquad _{(6)}$$

where $\frac{P}{\text{sij}}$ m eans that the sum m ation for j is only related to the neighboring values of i. By m eans of the norm alized condition (4), the norm alized factor Q_i can be determined as

0 by iously, Q_i is independent of i and is related to the temperature and neighboring spins.

Compared with Glauber' expression [1], Eq.(6) is a normalized version of transition probability. As we know, the constant in Glauber' expression is a free constant determined by the time scale. A ctuacily, our expression is only a denite selection for constant by extra restriction conditions and physical considerations.

U sually, we are interested in local magnetization and the spin-pair correlation, they are de ned as follows [1]

$$q_k(t) = \langle k(t) \rangle = \begin{cases} X \\ kP(f g;t); \end{cases}$$
(8)

$$_{k1}(t) = \langle _{k}(t)_{1}(t) \rangle = X$$
 $_{k}_{1}P(fg;t):$
(9)

A coording to the de nitions (8)-(9) and the master equation (1), and using the normalized condition (4), time-evolving equations of q_k (t) and q_k (t) can be derived (see Appendix A)

Sim ilarly, tim e-evolving equation of equal-tim e multi-spin correlation function can be further derived

Eqs.(1), (4) { (7) and (10) { (12) are the basic form ulas of the single-spin transition-type critical dynam ics which suits one-dimensional arbitrary-spin systems.

All of these form ulas can readily extended to spin systems on square lattice and cubic lattice. The only corrections are changing subscript i into ij and ijk respectively.

III. EXACT RESULTS

In this section, three examples of the application are given, including one-, two-, and three-dimensional kinetic Gaussian model.

Now we treat the kinetic Gaussian model. First of all we will introduce Gaussian model, then we will exactly solve the evolution of the local magnetization and equal-time spin-pair correlation function, and we will obtain the dynamical exponent z. Here, we will only give the solving process of three-dimensional case in detail.

The Gaussian model, proposed by T.H.Berlin and M.K.ac [20] at rst in order to make Ising model more tractable, is an continuous-spin model. Comparing with the Ising model, besides having the same Hamiltonian form (three-dimensional case)

$$H (_{ijk}) = k \qquad _{ijk} (_{i+w;jk} + _{i;j+w;k} + _{i;j;k+w});$$

$$_{i,j;k=1 \ w}$$
(13)

where $\frac{P}{w}$ m eas sum m ation over near neighbors, there are two extension: First, the spin $\frac{ijk}{ijk}$ can take any real value between (1; + 1). Secondly, to prevent from all spins tend to in $\frac{iijk}{ijk}$ and $\frac{iijk}{ijk}$ is assumed to be the Gaussian-type distribution

$$f(_{ijk})d_{ijk} = \frac{r}{2} = \frac{b}{2} \exp \frac{b}{2} = \frac{b}{2ijk} d_{ijk};$$
 (14)

where b is a distribution constant independent of tem perature. A lthough being an extension of Ising model, G aussian model shows much di erence from Ising model in the properties of phase transition. In equilibrium case, on translational invariant lattices the G aussian model was exactly solvable, and later as a starting point to study the unsolvable models it was also investigated with mean—eld theory and momentum—space renormalization—group method [21-22] Recently the G aussian model on fractal lattices was studied by Li and Yang [23]. However, the critical dynam ic problem of continuous—spin model have never been investigated so far.

We now proceed to treat the isotropic kinetic Gaussian model on the cube lattice. The system Hamiltonian and the spin distribution probability are (13) and (14) respectively. In this case the spin transition probability can be expressed as

$$W_{ijk} (_{ijk} ! ^{ijk}) = \frac{1}{Q_{ijk}} \exp_{k^{ijk}} (_{i+w;j;k} + _{i;j+w;k} + _{i;j;k+w}) :$$
 (15)

Because the spin take continuous value, the sum m ation for spin value turns into the integration

then the normalized factor Q_{ijk} can be determined as

and the another useful combination formula can also be obtained

$$X = \sum_{\substack{ijk \ W \ ijk \ (ijk \ ! \ ^{ijk}) = \\ }} Z_{1} = \sum_{\substack{ijk \ W \ ijk \ (ijk \ ! \ ^{ijk}) f \ (^{ijk}) d^{ijk}}} = \frac{k}{b} X = \sum_{\substack{ijk \ W \ ijk \ (i+w;jk + \ ij+w;k \ + \ ij;k+w \)}} (18)$$

Substituting (18) into the following time-evolving equations of the local magnetization and the equal-time spin-pair correlation function

$$\frac{d}{dt}_{ijk;i^{0}j^{0}k^{0}}(t) = 2_{ikj;i^{0}j^{0}k^{0}}(t) + X_{ijk}^{2} = 2_{ikj}^{2} = 2_{ikj;i^{0}j^{0}k^{0}}(t) + X_{ijk}^{2} = 2_{ikj}^{2} =$$

we get

$$\frac{d}{dt}q_{ijk}(t) = q_{ijk}(t) + \frac{k}{b} (q_{i+w,j;k} + q_{i;j+w,k} + q_{i;j;k+w});$$
(21)

$$\frac{d}{dt} _{ijk,i^{0}j^{0}k^{0}}(t) = 2 _{ijk,i^{0}j^{0}k^{0}}(t) + \frac{k}{b} _{w} (_{ijk,i^{0}+w,j^{0};k^{0}}(t) + _{ijk,i^{0}j^{0}k^{0}}(t) +$$

In order to solve Eqs.(21) and (22) in the nearest-neighbor interaction case (w = 1), we introduce two generating functions[1]:

$$F_{1}(_{1};_{2};_{3};t) = \int_{1}^{x^{2}} \int_{2}^{x} q_{ijk}(t);$$

$$i_{j;k=1}$$
(23)

and

$$F_{2}(_{1}; _{6}; t) = \begin{array}{c} X^{1} \\ & \stackrel{i}{_{1}} \stackrel{j}{_{2}} \stackrel{k}{_{3}} \stackrel{i^{0}}{_{4}} \stackrel{j^{0}}{_{5}} \stackrel{k^{0}}{_{6}} \\ & \stackrel{i}{_{1}} \stackrel{j}{_{2}} \stackrel{k}{_{3}} \stackrel{i^{0}}{_{5}} \stackrel{j^{0}}{_{5}} \stackrel{k^{0}}{_{5}} \\ & \stackrel{i}{_{1}} \stackrel{j}{_{2}} \stackrel{k}{_{2}} \stackrel{i^{0}}{_{2}} \stackrel{j^{0}}{_{2}} \stackrel{k^{0}}{_{2}} \\ & \stackrel{i}{_{1}} \stackrel{j^{0}}{_{2}} \stackrel{k^{0}}{_{2}} \stackrel{j^{0}}{_{2}} \stackrel{k^{0}}{_{2}} \\ & \stackrel{i}{_{1}} \stackrel{j^{0}}{_{2}} \stackrel{k^{0}}{_{2}} \stackrel{j^{0}}{_{2}} \stackrel{k^{0}}{_{2}} \\ & \stackrel{i}{_{1}} \stackrel{j^{0}}{_{2}} \stackrel{k^{0}}{_{2}} \stackrel{k^{0}}{_{2}} \stackrel{j^{0}}{_{2}} \stackrel{k^{0}}{_{2}} \stackrel{j^{0}}{_{2}} \stackrel{k^{0}}{_{2}} \stackrel{k^{0}}{_{2}} \stackrel{j^{0}}{_{2}} \stackrel{k^{0}}{_{2}} \stackrel{j^{0}}{_{2}} \stackrel{k^{0}}{_{2}} \stackrel{j^{0}}{_{2}} \stackrel{k^{0}}{_{2}} \stackrel{j^{0}}{_{2}} \stackrel{k^{0}}{_{2}} \stackrel{k^{0}}{_{2}} \stackrel{j^{0}}{_{2}} \stackrel{k^{0}}{_{2}} \stackrel{j^{0}}{_{2}} \stackrel{k^{0}}{_{2}} \stackrel{k^{0}}{_{2}} \stackrel{k^{0}}{_{2}} \stackrel{k^{0}}{_{2}} \stackrel{k^{0}}{_{2}} \stackrel{k^{0}}{_{2}} \stackrel{k^{0}}{_{2}} \stackrel{k^{0}}{_{2}} \stackrel{k^{0}}{_{2}} \stackrel{k^{0$$

then the Eqs.(21) and (22) turn into the following equations with resect to F_1 and F_2 , respectively,

$$\frac{d}{dt}F_{1}(_{1};_{2};_{3};t) = 1 + \frac{k}{b} \sum_{i=1}^{X^{3}} F_{1}(_{1};_{2};_{3};t);$$
 (25)

$$\frac{d}{dt}F_{2}(_{1}; _{6};t) = 2 + \frac{k}{b} \sum_{i=1}^{X^{6}} F_{2}(_{1}; _{6};t):$$
 (26)

Solving Eqs.(25) and (26), we get

$$F_{1}(_{1};_{2};_{3};t) = F_{1}(_{1};_{2};_{3};0)e^{t} \exp \frac{k}{b} \sum_{i=1}^{X^{3}} t ;$$
 (27)

F₂(₁; 6;t) = F₂(₁; 6;0)e^{2t} exp
$$\frac{k}{b}$$
 $_{i=1}^{1}$ t: (28)

In term s of a generating function of the Bessel functions of im aginary argument

$$e^{x(t+t)^{2}} = \sum_{v=1}^{\frac{1}{2}} v_{I_{v}}(x);$$
 (29)

we obtain im mediately the following exact solutions

$$q_{i,jk}(t) = e^{t} \qquad q_{nm 1}(0) I_{i n} \quad \frac{2k}{b} t \quad I_{j m} \quad \frac{2k}{b} t \quad I_{k 1} \quad \frac{2k}{b} t \quad ;$$
(30)

where $q_{nm 1}(0)$ and $q_{nm 1,n} q_{m 0,1}(0)$, respectively, correspond to their initial values.

By using of the asymptotic expansion expression of the rst-kind imaginary argument Bessel function

$$I_{v}(x) = \frac{e^{x}}{2} \frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}}}{x} \sum_{n=0}^{\frac{N}{2}} \frac{()^{n}(v;n)}{(2x)^{n}} + \frac{e^{\frac{x+(v+\frac{1}{2})}{2}}}{\frac{p}{2}} \frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}}}{x} \sum_{n=0}^{\frac{N}{2}} \frac{(v;n)}{(2x)^{n}}; (=2 < \arg x < 3 =2); \dot{x}\dot{y}! 1;$$
(32)

w here

$$(v;n) = \frac{\frac{1}{2} + v + n}{n! \frac{1}{2} + v n};$$

we can obtain the long-time asymptotic behavior of the local magnetization

$$q_{ijk}$$
 (t) $(\frac{2k}{b}t)^{3=2} e^{(1-6k=b)t}$ $q_{nm}(0) = \frac{1}{t^{3=2}}e^{t}$; (33)

$$=\frac{1}{1-6k=b};$$
(34)

where is the relaxation time of the system. We know that the critical point of the Gaussian model is $k_c = J = k$ $T_c = b = 2d$, where d is the spatial dimension, and the correlation length critical exponent is = 1 = 2 [22]. So, by means of the following dynamical scaling hypotheses

$$T_{c}$$
 ; (35)

the dynam ic critical exponent z of the 3D kinetic Gaussian model can be obtained

$$z = 2: (37)$$

With the same treatment, we can easily solve one—and two-dimensional kinetic Gaussian model. Ignoring the process of solution, we give only the following exact results:

(1).1D case

$$q_k(t) = e^{t} \qquad q_m(0) I_{km}(\frac{2k}{b}t);$$
 (38)

$$k_{1}(t) = e^{2t} \int_{n_{m}=1}^{X} (0) I_{k_{n}} (\frac{2k}{b}t) I_{1_{m}} (\frac{2k}{b}t);$$
 (39)

$$= \frac{1}{1 \quad 2k=b}; \tag{40}$$

$$z = 2: (41)$$

(2).2D case

$$q_{nm}(t) = e^{t} \int_{k;l=1}^{x^{k}} q_{k;l}(0) I_{n,k} \frac{2k}{b} t I_{m,l} \frac{2k}{b} t;$$
 (42)

$$=\frac{1}{1}\frac{1}{4k=0};\tag{44}$$

$$z = 2$$
: (45)

IV.CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have suggested a normalized transition probability to solve the time evolution equations of the localmagnetization and spin-pair correlation function. Our treatment can in part remove the arbitrariness in G lauber dynamical theory, and makes exactly solve the time evolution equation possible.

Based on our treatment, we have re-investigated one-dimensional kinetic Ising model, resulting in same dynamical critical exponent z as Glauber[1]. We have also exactly solved the kinetic Gaussian model, and given the detail of solving process of three-dimensional case. We have in surprise found that the dynamical critical exponents have same value independent of spatial dimension, which shows the dynamical behavior has super-universality in contrast with static behavior. In fact, in equilibrium phase transition the critical exponents are strongly dependent on dimensionality.

ACKNOW LEDGMENTS

The work was supported by the National Basic Research Project \Nonlinear Science" and the National Natural Science Foundation of China.

APPENDIX A:PROOFS OF EQS. (10) { (12)

According to the de nition (8) and using the master equation (1), we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}q_{k}(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{k} P(f g;t)$$

$$= \sum_{k} \sum_{k} W_{i}(i! ^{i}) P(f g;t) + kW_{i}(^{i}! ^{i}) P(f _{j \in i}g;^{i};t)]$$

$$= \sum_{k} \sum_{k} [W_{i}(i! ^{i}) P(f g;t) + W_{i}(^{i}! ^{i}) P(f _{j \in i}g;^{i};t)]$$

$$= \sum_{k} \sum_{k} [W_{i}(i! ^{i}) P(f g;t) + W_{i}(^{i}! ^{i}) P(f _{j \in i}g;^{i};t)]$$

$$= \sum_{k} \sum_{k} [W_{i}(i! ^{k}) ^{i} + W_{i}(^{k}! ^{k}) P(f _{j \in k}g;^{k};t)]$$

$$= \sum_{k} \sum_{k} [W_{k}(i! ^{k}) P(f g;t) + W_{k}(^{k}! ^{k}) P(f _{j \in k}g;^{k};t)]$$

$$= \sum_{k} [W_{k}(i! ^{k}) P(f g;t) + W_{k}(^{k}! ^{k}) P(f _{j \in k}g;^{k};t)]$$

$$= \sum_{k} [W_{k}(i! ^{k}) P(f g;t) + W_{k}(^{k}! ^{k}) P(f _{j \in k}g;^{k};t)]$$
(A1)

Looking at the rst term $(i \in k)$ in the latter of the last equality sign of (A 1),

it is easy to see that this term equals to zero, as long as $^{\circ}_{i}$ exchange with $_{i}$ before doing sum for $^{\circ}_{i}$ and $_{i}$. So the surplus term of (A1) is only the last term (i = k):

in which, the normalized condition and the technic of exchange of $^{\circ}_{i}$ for $_{i}$ were used. Hitherto, Eq.(10) have been proven exactly. As for the proof of the Eqs.(11) and (12), it is easily accessible via the same method, so without the necessity for further proof.

```
[1] R.J.G lauber, J.M ath. Phys. 4, 294 (1963).
```

^[2] K.Kawasaki, Phys.Rev.145, 224 (1965).

^[3] G. Forgacs, S.T. Chui and H. L. Frisch, Phys. Rev. B 22, 415 (1980).

^[4] E.J.Lage, J.Phys.A:Math.Gen.18, 2289 (1985).

^[5] E.J. Lage, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 18, 2411 (1985).

^[6] E.J. Lage, Phys. Lett. A 127, 9 (1988).

^[7] Y. Achiam, Phys. Rev. B 31, 4732 (1985).

^[8] Y. Achiam, Phys. Rev. B 32, 1796 (1985).

^[9] Y.Achiam, Phys. Rev. B 33, 7762 (1986).

^[10] Jian Zhou and Z.R.Yang, Phys.Rev.B 39, 9423 (1989).

^[11] M .D .Lacasse, J.V inals and M $\mathcal G$ rant, Phys.Rev.B 47, 5646 (1993).

^[12] B.C.S.G randi and W. Figueiredo, Phys. Rev. E 54, 4722 (1996).

- [13] J.Rogiers and J.O.Indeleu, Phys.Rev.B 41, 6998 (1990).
- [14] J.W ang, Phys.Rev.B 47,896 (1993).
- [15] Y. Achiam and J. M. Kosterlitz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 41, 128 (1978).
- [16] G.F.M azenko and O.T.Valls, Phys.Rev.B 24, 1419 (1981).
- [17] P.C. Hoohenberg and B.I. Halperin, Rev. Mod. Phys. 49, 435 (1977).
- [18] Z.R.Yang, Phys.Rev.B 46, 11578 (1992).
- [19] Peiqing Tong, Phys. Rev. E 56, 1371 (1997).
- [20] T.H.Berlin and M.Kac, Phys. Rev. 86, 821 (1952).
- [21] J. J. Binney, N. J. Dowrick, A. J. Fisher and M. E. J. newman, The Theory of Critical Phenomena, Clarendon Press, Oxford 1992.
- [22] L.E.Riechl, A. Modern course in Statistical Physics, University of Texas Press, Austin, 1980.
- [23] Song Liand Z.R. Yang, Phys. Rev. E 55, 6656 (1997).