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> W e apply a B ethe-P eierls approach to statistical-m echanics m odels de ned on random netw orks of arbitrary degree distribution and arbitrary correlations betw een the degrees of neighb oring vertices. U sing the $\mathrm{N} P$ hard optim ization problem of nding m inim al vertex covers on these graphs, we show that such correlations m ay lead to a qualitatively di erent solution structure as com pared to uncorrelated netw orks. This results in a higher com plexity of the netw ork in a com putational sense: Sim ple heuristic algorithm $s$ fail to nd a minim alvertex cover in the highly correlated case, whereas uncorrelated netw orks seem to be sim ple from the point of view of com binatorial optim ization.
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The last few years have seen a great advance in the study of com plex netw orks [1] ], where the term com plex refers to the existence of one or $m$ ore of the follow ing properties: sm allw orld e ect ह, pow er-law degree distribution [1], and m ore recently also correlations 3, [4, [5, 白]. On the other hand, if we focus on the solution of a given task on top of these netw orks, the term com plex is better associated w ith the tim e required to solve it, i.e. $w$ ith its com putationalcom plexity []. In this context, a problem is com plex if its algorithm ic solution tim e is grow ing exponentially in the netw ork size. At the core of com plex optim ization problem s one nds the NP hard class 7. where NP stands for non-determ in istic polynom ialtim e.

In the case of uncorrelated netw orks w ith power law degree distributions we can take pro $t$ of the existence of hubs to solve di erent problem $s$, like destroying the giant com ponent [8], preventing epidem ic outbreaks [0], and searching 10]. The extrem ely inhom ogeneous structure of uncorrelated netw orks can also be exploited to approxim ate or even to solve instances ofN $P$-hard problem s using heuristic algorithm s running in polynom ial time. H ow ever, the in uence of properties like degree correlations or chustering is not clear yet. Recent studies of percolation [6] and disease spreading 11] have shown that degree correlations can quantitatively change, e.g., the transition threshold but qualitatively the results are sim ilar to those obtained for uncorrelated netw orks.
$T$ his changes drastically if we consider hard optim ization tasks de ned over correlated netw orks. In this w ork we study the in uence of degree correlations on the com putationalcom plexity, and in a m ore generalperspective the relation betw een the topology of com plex netw orks and the com putational com plexity of hard problem s dened on top of them. For this punpose we generalize the B ethe $P$ eierls approach to statistical-m echanics $m$ odels de ned on networks w ith an arbitrary degree distribution and anbitrary degree correlations of adjacent nodes.

The approach is applied to characterize the m inim al vertex covers on these graphs. W e have chosen this prob-
lem for tw o reasons: It belongs to the basic $N P$-hard optim ization problem s over graphs [1], and has found applications in $m$ onitoring Intemet tra c 2 and denialof service attack prevention [13]. O ur analytical results are later com pared w ith an approxim ate solution obtained using a heuristic algorithm. This heuristic fails to nd $m$ in im al vertex covers in the strongly correlated case, whereas netw orks w ith low correlations seem to be sim ple from the point of view of com binatorialoptim ization. W thin our analytical approach, this change of behavior is associated w ith replica sym $m$ etry breaking (RSB).

C onsider the set of undirected graphs w ith $N$ vertioes and arbitrary degree distribution $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{d}}$. Follow ing a random ly chosen edge, we will nd a vertex of degree d + 1 $w$ th probability $g_{d}=(d+1) p_{d+1}=c, w$ th $c$ denoting the average degree. T he num ber d of additionaledges w illbe called excess degree. W e further assum e correlations betw een adjacent vertices: T he probability that a random ly chosen edge connects tw o vertices of excess degrees $d ; d^{0}$ is given by ( $2 \quad \mathrm{~d} ; \mathrm{d}^{0}$ ) $\mathrm{edd}^{0}$. The conditional probability that a vertex of excess degree $d$ is reached follow ing any edge com ing from a vertex of excess degree $d^{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{~d} \dot{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{~d}^{0}\right)=\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{d} \mathrm{~d}^{0}}=g_{d^{0}} \text {; } \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

thus explicitly depends on both $d$ and $d_{1}$. C onsistency $w$ th the degree distribution requires $\quad \begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & d^{0}=0\end{aligned} e_{d d}{ }^{0}=q_{d}$, and $e_{d d^{0}}$ has to be sym $m$ etric. For uncorrelated graphs $e_{d d}{ }^{0}=g_{d} q_{d} 0$ factorizes. The strongest positive correlations are reached for $e_{d d^{0}}=q_{d} d^{0}$ where only vertioes of equal degrees are connected.

Let us now consider a general statistical-m echanics $m$ odel $w$ th discrete degrees of freedom de ned on vertioes, and interactions de ned on edges. W e use a lattice gas $m$ odel described by the $H$ am iltonian
de ned for any $m$ icroscopic con guration $x_{i}=0 ; 1 ; i=$ $1 ;:: ; ; N$. $J$ is the adjacency $m$ atrix $w$ ith entries $J_{i j}=1$
if vertioes $i$ and $j$ are adjacent, and $J_{i j}=0$ else. The inverse tem perature is denoted by , the chem icalpotential by . The interactions $w\left(x_{i} ; x_{j}\right)$ are arbitrary, thus including also the case of the ferrom agnetic Ising $m$ odel, $w\left(x_{i} ; x_{j}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 x_{i} & 1\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 x_{j} & 1\end{array}\right) . T$ he only disorder present in Eq. (2) is given by the edges $J_{i j}$. Generalizations to disordered interactions, as present e.g. in spin-glasses, random local elds or non-binary discrete variables are evident. For clarity of the presentation, we restrict ourselves to the sim ple $m$ odel given above.

Since the graphs are locally tree-like, the m odel can be solved by the iterative B ethe $P$ eierls schem e which becom es exact if only one pure state is present. T he free energy can be expressed in term sof sim ple e ective- eld distributions acting on vertices of given degree. In the case ofm ultiple pure states this has to be generalized to the cavity approach, see e.g. 15] for the exam ple of a spin-glass on a Bethe lattice of constant vertex degree. A ltematively, one can apply the replica approach. T he sim ple $B$ ethe $P$ eierls solution corresponds to the assum $p-$ tion of replica sym $m$ etry ( R ) , whereas the full cavity approach is able to handle also the case ofRSB.

Take any edge ( $i ; j$ ), i.e. $J_{i j}=1$. Let us introduce $Z_{x}{ }^{\text {(ijj) }}$ as the partition function of the subtree rooted in $i, w$ ith deleted edge $(i ; j)$, and $w$ ith $x_{i}$ xed to the value x . This partition function can be calculated iteratively,

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{0}^{(i j j)}=\sum_{k \in j j J_{i k}=1}^{Y} e^{\mathrm{w}(0 ; 0)} Z_{0}^{(k j i)}+e^{w(0 ; 1)} Z_{1}^{(k j i)}  \tag{3}\\
& Z_{1}^{(i j j)}=e_{k \in j j J_{i k}=1} e^{w(1 ; 0)} Z_{0}^{(k j i)}+e^{w(1 ; 1)} Z_{1}^{(k j i)}
\end{align*}
$$

The e ective eldsh ${ }_{(i j j)}=\ln \left(Z_{1}^{(i j j)}=Z_{0}^{(i j j)}\right)$ are thus determ ined by the iterative description

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{(i j j)}=+\sum_{k \notin j j J_{i k}=1}^{s} u\left(h_{(k j i)}\right): \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u\left(h_{(k i i)}\right)$ is the e ective eld induced by $x_{k}$ on site $i$, and is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u\left(h_{(k j i)}\right)=\ln \frac{e^{w(1 ; 0)}+e^{w(1 ; 1)+h_{(k j i)}}}{e^{w}(0 ; 0)+e^{w}(0 ; 1)+h_{(k j i)}} \quad: \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The free energy of the system can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
N f={\underset{i}{X} f_{i}+{ }_{i<j}^{X} J_{i j}\left(f_{i j} \quad f_{i} \quad f_{j}\right)}^{\text {l }} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the link contribution equals

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i j}=\quad \ln ^{0} \sum_{x_{i} ; x_{j}}^{X} e^{w\left(x_{i} ; x_{j}\right)+h_{(i j j)} x_{i}+h_{(j i j)} x_{j} A} \quad 1 ; \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereas the site contribution

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i}=\quad \ln x_{x_{i}}^{X} e^{h_{i} x_{i}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

depends on the cavity eld

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{i}=+\sum_{k j J_{i k}=1}^{X} u\left(h_{(k j i)}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

resulting from the in uence of all neighbors on vertex $i$.
Let us now assum e, that the m odel has only one pure state, which corresponds to the assum ption ofR S. In th is case, the iterative procedure given by Eq. (4) converges to well-de ned distributions $P_{d}(\mathrm{~h})$ ofe ective eldsh ${ }_{(i j j)}$ restricted to vertioes of excess degree $d$. They are deter$m$ ined by the self-consistency equation
$P$ lease note that, in contrast to the uncorrelated case, we do need the eld distributions for all possible excess degrees. In the uncorrelated case, the average of these distributions over $g_{d}$ is su cient. W em ay also introduce the analogous distributions $P_{d}(h)$ of cavity elds $h_{i}$ for vertices of given degree d (note: here the full degree is relevant), they can be calculated from $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{d}}(\mathrm{h})$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { h } u\left(h_{1}\right) \text { : }  \tag{11}\\
& \mathrm{l}=1
\end{align*}
$$

Replacing the sum over vertices and edges in Eq. (G) by the corresponding averages over $P_{d}(h)$ resp. $P_{d}(h)$, we nally nd the free-energy density

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f=X_{d=0}^{X^{A}}(d \quad 1) P_{i}^{Z_{1}} \int_{1}^{\sim} d h(h) \ln 1+e^{h} \\
& \left.+\frac{C_{2}^{2}}{d=0}{ }_{d ; d^{0}=0}^{X^{A}} e_{d d^{0}}^{Z_{1}}{ }_{1}^{1} d h ~ d h P_{d}^{0} P_{d}\right) P_{d^{0}}\left(h^{0}\right) \\
& \ln \left(e^{\mathrm{w}}(0 ; 0)+e^{\mathrm{w}}(1 ; 0)+\mathrm{h}+\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{w}}(0 ; 1)+\mathrm{h}^{0}+\mathrm{e}^{\left.\mathrm{w}(1 ; 1)+\mathrm{h}+\mathrm{h}^{0}\right)}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

The sim plest application of this approach is given by the ferrom agnetic Ising $m$ odel. Ifwe look to the groundstates, i.e. to the lim it ! 1 , we nd that, as to be expected, the globalm agnetization is determ ined by the size of the giant com ponent. T he existence of a ferrom agnetic phase at low tem perature is thus related to percolation. T he latter w as already analyzed in Ref. [6].

A nother application is given by the vertex cover (V C ) problem. It belongs to the basic NP hard optim ization problem s 7] and, therefore, it is expected to require a solution time which is grow ing exponentially $w$ th the graph size. Let us be $m$ ore precise. G iven a graph with
vertices i $2 \mathrm{fl} ;::: ; \mathrm{N}$ g and edges $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{j}) \mathrm{jl} \quad \mathrm{i}<\mathrm{j}$ $\mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{ij}}=1 \mathrm{~g}$, a vertex cover V is a subset of vertioes, V f1; :::;N g, such that at least one end-vertex ofevery edge is contained in $V$. So no edge ( $i ; j$ ) is allow ed to exist $w$ ith $i z V$ and $j z V$. Ofcourse, the set of all vertioes form $s$ a trivialVC.T he hard optim ization problem consists in nding the $m$ inim alvC.
U sing the hard-sphere lattice-gas representation introduced in 16], where $x_{i}=1$ ifiz V , and $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}=0$ ifi2 V , the VC condition can be rew ritten as

Y

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1 \quad x_{i} x_{j}\right)=1 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

(i;j) $\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{ij}}=1$
which ts into the above fram ew ork by setting $e^{w\left(x_{i} ; x_{j}\right)}=$ $1 \quad x_{i} x_{j}$. The chem ical potential can be used to $x$ the cardinality of the $\mathrm{VC}, \mathrm{m}$ inim al ones are obtained in the lim it ! 1 . They correspond to $m$ axim al packings in the lattioe-gas picture. To perform the lim it ! 1 all elds have to be rescaled as $\mathrm{h}=\mathrm{z}$ 16. W e obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{l}=1 \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

which is solved by $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{d}}(\mathrm{z})=\begin{gathered}\mathrm{P} \\ +1 \\ \mathrm{l}_{1} \\ l_{1}\end{gathered} \mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{d})}^{(\mathrm{z}+1)}$. This ansatz allow s for integer valued elds only, we nd a sim ple relation including only the ${ }_{1}^{(d)}$ :

$$
\underset{1}{(d)}=\sum_{d_{1}=0}^{X^{1}} p\left(d_{1} \dot{j}\right)\left(1 \quad \begin{array}{c}
\left(d_{1}\right)  \tag{15}\\
1
\end{array}\right)
$$

All other ${ }_{1}^{(d)}$ follow easily. The expression inside the parenthesis can be understood as the average probability
d that an edge entering a vertex of degree $d+1$ carries a constraint, i.e. that it is not yet covered by the neighboring vertex. It thus ful lls the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
d=\int_{d_{1}=0}^{X^{1}} p\left(d_{1} j d\right)\left(1 \quad d_{1}\right)^{d_{1}}: \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Having in $m$ ind that, due to the lim it ! 1 , every vertex w ith positive $z$ is $x e d$ to $x=1$, every one $w$ th negative $z$ has $x=0$, we can im mediately read $o$ the fraction of vertices belonging to a m inim alVC ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{C}=1 \sum_{d=0}^{x_{d}} p_{d}(1 \quad d \quad 1)^{d} 1+\frac{d \quad 2}{2} d 1: \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Rem em ber that the last expressions are related to the validity of RS, i.e. to the existence of a single connected cluster of $m$ inim al VCs in con guration space. A s observed in 16], RS is related to the local stability of this
solution. In presence of R SB , Eq. 16) has no stable solution. Since it has to be solved by num erical iteration in the general case, an instability prevents the program from convergence and thus provides a precise tool to detect R SB w thout any RSB calculation.

To see how this works out, we concentrate on netw orks having equal degree distributions but di erent correlation properties. W e restrict our attention to scale-free graphsw ith $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{d}$ for $\mathrm{d}=1$;::; 1 , with $>2$. For vertex cover, interesting e ects are expected to appear for positive correlations, or assortative netw orks. W e therefore consider

For $0 \quad r \quad 1$, this expression linearly interpolates betw een uncorrelated ( $r=0$ ) and fully assorted ( $r=1$ ) netw orks. $P$ lease note that this netw ork is percolated for all as soon as $r>0$. In Fig . 1 we show the resulting size of them inim alVC sfordi erent values of as a function of $r$. TheRS solution breaks at a certain value of $r$ that depends on . There, the solution-space structure changes drastically, from being unstructured, or RS, in the low correlated case to being clustered, or R SB, for su ciently high correlations.

To check the consequences of th is transition for heuristic optim ization algorithm s , we have num erically generated scale-free netw orks w ith correlations 18), and applied a generalization of the leaf-rem oval heuristic of $B$ auer and G olinelli 17]. For the special case of correlations given by Eq. 18), the netw ork can be generated using a m odi cation of the $M$ olloy $R$ eed algorithm 14$]$ for random graphs of arbitrary degree distribution. First, each node $i$ is assigned a degree $d_{i} w$ th probability $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}}$. $T$ hen we create a set $L$ containing $d_{i}$ copies ofeach node i. F inally, pairs of nodes are connected according to follow ing rule: (i) Select a node $i$ in $L$ at random . (ii) $W$ ith probability $r$, select a second node $j 2 L w$ th $d_{j}=d_{i}$ in L at random; otherw ise select an arbitrary node $j 2 \mathrm{~L}$ at random. (iii) C onnect $i$ and $j$ and delete both from $\mathrm{L} . \mathrm{Th}$ is is repeated until L is em pty.

O nce the netw ork is generated, we construct a VC using a generalized leaf-rem oval algorithm 18] de ned as follow s: Select a vertex of $m$ inim al current degree from the netw ork and cover all its neighbors. T he considered vertices and all incident edges are rem oved from the network. This step is terated untill the full netw ork is rem oved. If, for som e graph, this algorithm stops $w$ ithout having ever chosen vertioes of current degree d 2, the constructed VC is m inim al 17]. O verestim ations $m$ ay appear if the algorithm is forced to select also vertioes of higher degree d 2 , where the error can be at $m$ ost d 1.Thus, summing (d 1) (1 d;0)=N overalliteration steps, we get an upperbound $x$ on the totalerrorm ade in estim ating $x_{C}$ using the above heuristic algorithm. If $x$ goes to zero in the large N lim it, the algorithm has consequently constructed an alm ost $m$ inim alVC.


FIG.1: M inim alVC size for a netw ork with degree distribution $p_{d} d$ and degree correlations given by Eq. 18). The lines give the analytical solution for $=2: 5$ (lower curve) and
$=3: 0$ (upper curve). The curves stop at the point where RS breaks. The sym bols are num erical estim ates for $=2: 5$ (circles) and $=3: 0$ (squares), and network size $N=10^{6}$. In the inset we plot the upper error bound x for generalized leaf rem oval. The onset of non-zero error coincides with the R SB transition, $m$ arked by arrow $s$.

In $F$ ig. 1 we show the size of C s found by generalized leaf rem ovalas a function ofr. Up to the RSB point the num erical solutions are close to the analytical values, up to nite-size corrections resulting $m$ ainly from a degree cuto $d_{m}$ ax $N^{1=}$. Beyond the $R S B$ point we still have a num erical estim ate but we cannot be sure that it is optim al. In the inset of Fig . 1 the upper bound on the error is displayed. In the RS region we have $x 0$ for N 1 and, therefore, the heuristic algorithm asym ptotically yields the exact value $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{C}}$. H ow ever, in the highly correlated region we nd a nite $x$ at any netw ork size, thus the heuristic algorithm fails to nd alm ost minim al vertex covers. M oreover, the point where x becom es di erent from zero coincides $w$ ith the RSB point.

It is interesting to know in which phase realistic net$w$ orks are. VC shave found applications in $m$ onitoring the Intemet tra c 2 and in denial of service attack prevention 13]. The analysis of Intemet $m$ aps has revealed negative (dissasortative) correlations at the autonom ous system level [4]. Negative correlations are actually com m on in technological and biological netw orks $\mathbb{G}]$. H ence, the generalized leaf-rem oval heuristic should output al$m$ ost optim alVC s in linear tim e. On the contrary, social netw orksexhibit positive (assortative) correlations 目]. In th is case V C s can be used to $m$ onitor social relations betw een pairs of individuals but, because of the existence of positive correlations, sim ple heuristic algorithm smay fail to produce near optim al solutions.

To sum $m$ arize, we have generalized the B ethe $P$ eierls approach to random networks with degree correlations and analyzed the VC problem as a prototype optim iza-
tion problem de ned over graphs. W e have found that uncorrelated power-law networks are simple from the point of view of com binatorial optim ization, inhom ogeneities of neighboring vertioes can be exploited. The introduction ofsu ciently large degree correlations leads to RSB and thus to a failure of sim ple heuristic algorithm s. For constructing optim al solutions, com plete algorithm s including e.g. backtracking have to be used. These, how ever, result in general in exponential solution tim es, and thus in a higher algorithm ic com plexity. O ur results point out that optim ization problem s in many technological and biological netw orks can be sim ple due to the strong degree inhom ogeneities and negative correlations present on them .
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