

# Interpocket polarization model for magnetic structures in rare-earth hexaborides

Yoshio KURAMOTO\* and Katsunori KUBO†

*Department of Physics, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8578*

(Received )

The origin of peculiar magnetic structures in cubic rare-earth (R) hexaborides  $\text{RB}_6$  is traced back to their characteristic band structure. The three sphere-like Fermi surfaces induce interpocket polarization of the conduction band as a part of a RKKY-type interaction. It is shown for the free-electron-like model that the interpocket polarization gives rise to a broad maximum in the intersite interaction  $I(\mathbf{q})$  around  $\mathbf{q} = (1/4, 1/4, 1/2)$  in the Brillouin zone. This maximum is consistent with the superstructure observed in  $\text{R}=\text{Ce, Gd}$  and  $\text{Dy}$ . The wave-number dependence of  $I(\mathbf{q})$  is independently extracted from analysis of the spin-wave spectrum measured for  $\text{NdB}_6$ . It is found that  $I(\mathbf{q})$  obtained from fitting the data has a similarity to that derived by the interpocket polarization model, except that the absolute maximum now occurs at  $(0, 0, 1/2)$  in consistency with the A-type structure. The overall shape of  $I(\mathbf{q})$  gives a hint toward understanding an incommensurate structure in  $\text{PrB}_6$  as well.

KEYWORDS:  $\text{GdB}_6$ ,  $\text{NdB}_6$ ,  $\text{PrB}_6$ ,  $\text{CeB}_6$ , hexaborides, RKKY interaction, elastic constant, quadrupolar interaction

Interest in cubic rare-earth (R) hexaborides  $\text{RB}_6$  comes mainly from the rich structure in their ordered phases. The best studied example is  $\text{CeB}_6$  which undergoes a quadrupole (orbital) order at 3.3 K and then a magnetic order at 2.4 K.<sup>1)</sup> The magnetic ground state is characterized by double- $\mathbf{k}$  structure with wave vectors  $(1/4, \pm 1/4, 1/2)$  in units of the reciprocal lattice parameter  $2\pi/a$ . Since the orbital order is superimposed on the magnetic order, it has been suspected that the orbital degeneracy in the crystalline electric field (CEF) ground state  $\Gamma_8$  plays an important role.<sup>2)</sup> Recently, however, neutron scattering experiment on  $\text{GdB}_6$  has detected an equivalent wave vector in the ordered phase below 15 K.<sup>3)</sup> Since the trivalent Gd ion has a half-filled 4f shell without orbital degrees of freedom, the order at  $\mathbf{k} = (1/4, 1/4, 1/2)$  should have an origin which does not depend so much on the particular configuration of 4f electrons. It is known that  $\text{PrB}_6$  also has the same wave number in the magnetically ordered ground state below 4.2 K, but the intermediate phase between 4.2 K and 6.9 K has an incommensurate structure.<sup>4)</sup> On the other hand, the ground state of  $\text{NdB}_6$  has a simple antiferromagnetic structure called the type I (or A-type) with alternating plane polarized along and against  $(0, 0, 1)$ .<sup>5, 6)</sup>

In this paper we propose a simple model to understand the origin of these structures from a unified point of view. The basic observation is that the Fermi surface of  $\text{RB}_6$  consists of three nearly spherical pieces centered on the  $X$  points  $X_x = (1/2, 0, 0)$ ,  $X_y = (0, 1/2, 0)$ ,  $X_z = (0, 0, 1/2)$  in the Brillouin zone. The RKKY interaction involves interpocket polarization, which has a new characteristic wave vector  $\mathbf{K}_3 = (1/2, 1/2, 0)$  which connects  $X_x$  and  $X_y$ , and equivalent ones. Just like the ordinary RKKY interaction can bring about the antiferromagnetic ordering by halving the reciprocal lattice vector, the halving of the characteristic wave vector  $(1/2, 1/2, 0)$  can bring about the ordering at  $(1/4, 1/4, 1/2)$ .

Let us consider the case of  $\text{GdB}_6$  where the 4f electrons have only the spin degrees of freedom. The exchange interaction between a 4f-spin  $\mathbf{S}_i$  at  $\mathbf{R}_i$  and conduction

electrons is taken to be

$$H_{df} = \frac{J}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}} \sum_i W_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}} e^{i(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{R}_i} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} c_{\mathbf{k}\alpha}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{p}\beta}, \quad (1)$$

where  $N$  is the number of lattice sites, and  $JW_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}}$  is determined by the exchange integral involving 4f wave functions and the conduction states. We follow the previous argument<sup>7)</sup> to derive  $JW_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}}$ . In analogy with the APW method we consider a muffin-tin sphere centered at the origin. The Bloch function  $\psi_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$  of the conduction band is expanded inside the sphere as

$$\psi_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{\lambda} R_{k\lambda}(r) \sum_{\Gamma\gamma} d_{\Gamma\gamma}^{(\lambda)}(\mathbf{k}) Y_{\Gamma\gamma}^{(\lambda)}(\hat{r}), \quad (2)$$

where  $R_{k\lambda}(r)$  describes the radial part with orbital index  $\lambda$ , and  $Y_{\Gamma\gamma}^{(\lambda)}(\hat{r})$  with  $\hat{r} = \mathbf{r}/r$  is the cubic harmonics for the point-group representation  $\Gamma$  and its component  $\gamma$ . We neglect the  $k$ -dependence of  $R_{k\lambda}(r)$  since the extent of 4f electrons is smaller than that of 5d electrons which contribute dominantly to the exchange. Because the orbital angular momentum is zero in  $\text{Gd}^{3+}$ , the exchange integral becomes diagonal with respect to the azimuthal quantum number of 4f states, and to  $(\Gamma, \gamma)$ . Thus the exchange interaction becomes isotropic with a factor

$$W_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}} = \sum_{\Gamma\gamma} d_{\Gamma\gamma}^{(5d)}(\mathbf{k})^* d_{\Gamma\gamma}^{(5d)}(\mathbf{p}). \quad (3)$$

The RKKY interaction  $I(\mathbf{q})$  is given by

$$I(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{2J^2}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} |W_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}|^2 \frac{f(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}) - f(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}})}{\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}}$$

with  $f(\epsilon)$  being the Fermi function. The intrapocket contribution to  $I(\mathbf{q})$  comes from such  $\mathbf{k}$  and  $\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}$  that belong to the same pocket of the conduction band. In addition, there arises the interpocket contribution explained earlier. Let us take the free-electron-like dispersion and set  $|W_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}|^2$  constant in order to see the consequence of the interpocket contribution in the simplest manner.

In order to keep the lattice periodicity, we take summation over the reciprocal lattice vectors  $\mathbf{G}$  rather than restricting the  $\mathbf{k}$ -summation within the Brillouin zone. The  $\mathbf{G}$ -summation corresponds to inclusion of higher energy bands. Namely we introduce

$$\tilde{\Pi}(\mathbf{q}) = \sum_{\mathbf{G}} F(\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{G}) \Pi(\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{G}),$$

where  $F(\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{G})$  is a form factor to be specified later, and  $\Pi(\mathbf{q})$  is the Lindhard function multiplied by the partial density of states at the Fermi level. The Fermi wave number  $k_F$  is given by  $k_F a / \pi = \pi^{-1/3} = 0.9656 / \sqrt{2}$ , which means that the three spherical Fermi surfaces barely touch with one another. In the real  $\text{RB}_6$  system, the Fermi surface also contains a fine structure along  $(1, 1, 0)$  and equivalent directions.<sup>8,9)</sup>

Adding both intrapocket and interpocket contributions we obtain for  $\mathbf{q}$  in Brillouin zone:

$$I(\mathbf{q}) = J^2 [3\tilde{\Pi}(\mathbf{q}) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^3 \tilde{\Pi}(\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{K}_i)] \equiv J^2 \chi(\mathbf{q}),$$

where  $3\tilde{\Pi}(\mathbf{q})$  accounts for the three equivalent pockets, and  $\tilde{\Pi}(\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{K}_i)$  describes the interpocket polarization. The  $\mathbf{K}_i$ 's are given by  $\mathbf{K}_1 = (0, 1/2, 1/2)$ ,  $\mathbf{K}_2 = (1/2, 0, 1/2)$ ,  $\mathbf{K}_3 = (1/2, 1/2, 0)$  in units of  $2\pi/a$ . The factor 2 for the interpocket term enters because each pocket can be both starting and ending states of the transition. For simplicity we take the form factor such that  $F(\mathbf{k}) = 1$  if  $|k_\alpha| < 6\pi/a$  for all components  $\alpha = x, y, z$  and zero otherwise. The choice of the cut-off in the form factor hardly influences the  $\mathbf{q}$ -dependence of the RKKY interaction, although it does influence the absolute value. Specifically with  $F(\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{G}) = 1$  for all  $\mathbf{G}$ ,  $\tilde{\Pi}(\mathbf{q})$  diverges logarithmically by summation over  $\mathbf{G}$ .

Figure 1 shows  $\chi(\mathbf{q})$  in the  $X$ - $M$ - $R$  plane of the Brillouin zone with  $X = (0, 0, 1/2)$ ,  $M = (1/2, 0, 1/2)$  and  $R = (1/2, 1/2, 1/2)$ . The unit of ordinate is such that  $\Pi(0) = 1$ , and the large numerical value of  $\chi(\mathbf{q})$  comes from summation over  $\mathbf{G}$ . For the intersite interaction, only the variation in the  $\mathbf{q}$ -space is relevant since the average of  $\chi(\mathbf{q})$  represents the intra-site contribution.

We have also made a scan of  $\chi(\mathbf{q})$  along  $(1/4, 1/4, q_z)$  and found that the peak indeed occurs at  $q_z = 1/2$ . It is apparent that the interaction favors the magnetic order near the center of the  $X$ - $M$ - $R$  plane, namely around  $(1/4, 1/4, 1/2)$ . Since the ridge extends more toward  $M$  rather than  $R$ , an incommensurate structure with  $q_x \neq q_y$  can be realized by slight change of the system parameters.

We now analyze in more detail the character of the conduction band, which consists mainly of  $t_{2u}$  molecular orbitals of 2p electrons in  $\text{B}_6$  clusters hybridized with  $e_g$  orbitals of 5d electrons. One of the  $t_{2u}$  orbitals has the angular dependence  $z(x^2 - y^2)$  if seen from the center of the  $\text{B}_6$  cluster, and hybridizes best with the 5d  $x^2 - y^2$  orbital at neighboring rare-earth sites. Since  $z(x^2 - y^2)$  changes sign below and above the  $\text{B}_4$  plane, the wave number  $(0, 0, 1/2)$  gains the bonding energy optimally.<sup>9)</sup> Thus the bottom of the conduction band goes to  $X_z$  and




Fig. 1. Wave-number dependence of the intersite interaction in the  $X$ - $M$ - $R$  plane: (a) three-dimensional plot; (b) contour plot.

equivalent points. For  $\Gamma = e_g$  we use a simplified notation  $(\gamma|\mathbf{k}) = d_{\Gamma\gamma}^{(5d)}(\mathbf{k})$  with  $\gamma$  being either the state  $x^2 - y^2$  or  $3z^2 - r^2$ . Then we have a large amplitude  $(x^2 - y^2|X_z)$ , while  $(3z^2 - r^2|X_z)$  is negligible. At another point  $X_x = (1/2, 0, 0)$ , the wave function has the character of  $y^2 - z^2$  which can also be represented by

$$|y^2 - z^2\rangle = -\frac{1}{2}|x^2 - y^2\rangle - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}|3z^2 - r^2\rangle, \quad (4)$$

with use of the basis set at  $X_z$ . Thus the orbital flip from  $|x^2 - y^2\rangle$  to  $|y^2 - z^2\rangle$  can take place even with the cubic symmetry. We note that the finite overlap does not contradict with the orthogonality of Bloch functions with different  $\mathbf{k}$ .

The relative weight of the interpocket polarization against the intrapocket one should influence the detailed behavior of  $I(\mathbf{q})$ . We estimate from the above argument the weight factor  $W_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{p}}$  for  $\mathbf{k} = X_z$  and  $\mathbf{p} = X_x$  relative to  $W_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}}$  as

$$W_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{p}}/W_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}} \sim -1/2.$$

These points  $X_z$  and  $X_x$ , however, are not on the Fermi surface. In the region where two pieces of the Fermi sur-

face almost touch with each other, the interpocket contribution connecting the nearby  $\mathbf{k}$  states should have a larger weight factor than the intrapocket one with remote  $\mathbf{k}$  and  $\mathbf{p}$ . We have made a tight-binding calculation taking the  $e_g$  and  $t_{2u}$  orbitals, and examined the character of the wave functions at various points in the Brillouin zone. It is found that 2p-electron weight is larger than the 5d-electron weight in general, and the latter changes gradually from  $|x^2 - y^2\rangle$  to  $|y^2 - z^2\rangle$  as  $\mathbf{k}$  moves from  $X_z$  to  $X_x$ . In a future work, we shall evaluate  $W_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{p}}$  by using realistic wave functions.

The presence of orbital degeneracy in rare-earth ions other than Gd makes it necessary to consider more complicated form of  $H_{df}$ . Namely not only the spin exchange interaction but multipole interactions also enter.<sup>7,10,11</sup> As long as the conduction band consists purely of  $e_g$  for the 5d electron part, the wave-number dependence of the multipole intersite interactions is the same as that of the exchange interaction. Actually, however,  $t_{2g}(=\Gamma_5)$  also enters into eq.(2). In the presence of orbital degeneracy,  $W_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{p}}$  is no longer given by eq.(3) but with different weights for each  $\Gamma$ .<sup>7</sup> Moreover, hybridization between 4f electrons and boron 2p electrons may become important in the open-shell case. The hybridization constitutes another mechanism of the intersite interaction.

With these complications in mind we proceed to analysis of the exchange interaction in NdB<sub>6</sub> where the spin-wave spectrum has been measured. The dipole part of  $H_{df}$  can be taken in the same form as eq.(1) except that  $\mathbf{S}_i$  is replaced by the angular momentum operator  $\mathbf{J}_i$  with  $J = 9/2$ . With only the magnetic intersite interaction, the easy axis of the magnetic moment should be along  $(1, 1, 1)$ .<sup>12</sup> Actually the moment is parallel or antiparallel to  $(0, 0, 1)$ , which has been explained in terms of ferroquadrupolar interaction.<sup>13,14</sup> With inclusion of the magnetic and  $\Gamma_3$ -type quadrupolar interactions, we consider the following model:

$$H = - \sum_{(i,j)} I_{ij} \mathbf{J}_i \cdot \mathbf{J}_j - g'_3 \sum_i (\langle O_2^0 \rangle O_{2i}^0 + 3 \langle O_2^2 \rangle O_{2i}^2),$$

where we assume that the average of the quadrupole moment does not depend on a site. Other interactions such as the  $\Gamma_5$ -type quadrupolar interaction<sup>15</sup> are neglected since they do not affect the spin-wave spectrum.

The CEF ground state is  $\Gamma_8^{(2)}$ , which is four-fold degenerate, and the first excited state lies 132~135 K above.<sup>13,16</sup> We introduce the Pauli matrix  $\sigma^\alpha$  ( $\alpha = x, y, z$ ) to describe the Kramers pair, and another Pauli matrix  $\tau^\alpha$  to describe the orbital pair in the  $\Gamma_8^{(2)}$  quartet. Then the angular momentum operator  $J^\alpha$  within the  $\Gamma_8^{(2)}$  subspace is written as

$$J^\alpha = \frac{1}{2}(\xi + \eta T^\alpha) \sigma^\alpha,$$

where  $\xi = -0.883$  and  $\eta = -4.712$  are numerical constants corresponding to the Lea-Leask-Wolf<sup>17</sup> parameter  $x = -0.82$ .<sup>13</sup> The orbital effect on the magnetic moment is described by  $T^\alpha$  with  $T^z = \tau^z$  and

$$T^{x,y} = -\tau^z/2 \pm \sqrt{3}\tau^x/2.$$

In the Néel state the  $\Gamma_8^{(2)}$  quartet undergoes a Zeeman splitting by the molecular field. This splitting induces a finite quadrupole moment which is enhanced by positive  $g'_3$ . Then the lowest level is characterized by  $(\tau^z, \sigma^z) = (+, \uparrow)$  in the A-sublayer and  $(+, \downarrow)$  in the B-sublayer. One may expect two branches corresponding to excitations

$$(+, \sigma) \rightarrow (\pm, -\sigma),$$

with intensities  $I_\pm$ . Here  $\sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow$  in the A- and B-sublayers, respectively. The intensity ratio  $I_+/I_-$  is given by

$$I_+/I_- = (2\xi/\eta - 1)^2/3 \sim 0.13.$$

Thus we identify the observed branch as the inter-orbital transition  $(+, \sigma) \rightarrow (-, -\sigma)$ , and assume that intra-orbital branch was not detected because of the small intensity.

By neglecting the small matrix elements for intra-orbital magnetic excitations, we obtain a reduced model which keeps only the two levels leading to  $I_-$ . Assuming the A-type antiferromagnetic structure with  $\mathbf{Q} = (0, 0, 1)$ , we obtain the excitation spectrum by the standard spin-wave theory as

$$\omega_{\mathbf{q}}^2 = [J(\mathbf{q}) - \Delta][J(\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{Q}) - \Delta], \quad (5)$$

where  $J(\mathbf{q})$  is the Fourier transform of  $J_{ij} = (3\eta^2/16) I_{ij}$ , and

$$\Delta = \frac{8\xi(\xi + \eta)}{3\eta^2} J(\mathbf{Q}) + \frac{9}{2}(\xi - \eta - 9)^2 g'_3. \quad (6)$$

The spectrum given by eq.(5) was also postulated in the previous work, where  $\omega_{\mathbf{q}}$  experimentally measured was fitted by intersite interactions up to third neighbors. The authors of ref.6 noted that the calculated Néel temperature was about half of the experimental one, 8~9 K. We point out further that the substantial softening around  $(1/4, 1/4, 0)$  was not reproduced by the previous fit.

In view of the fact that the RKKY interaction has a long range, we have included a sufficient number ( $= 34$ ) of intersite interactions  $J_{ij}$ . The comparison between theory and experiment is shown in Fig.2. The experimental spectrum is well reproduced by our fit. The fit gives  $J(\mathbf{Q}) = 1.16$  meV and  $\Delta = 1.47$  meV. Then we obtain  $g'_3 = 108$  mK from eq.(6). This value is in excellent agreement with experimental one,  $g'_3 \sim 100$  mK, deduced from elastic constant.<sup>13</sup> In the mean-field approximation the Néel temperature is not influenced by  $g'_3$ , and is given by

$$T_N^{\text{MF}} = \frac{4}{3} \frac{\xi^2 + \eta^2}{\eta^2} J(\mathbf{Q}),$$

which is 9.3 K with the fitted value of  $J(\mathbf{Q})$ .

Figure 3 shows  $J(\mathbf{q})$  in the  $X$ - $M$ - $R$  plane. The maximum of  $J(\mathbf{q})$  occurs at  $(0, 0, 1/2)$  in consistency with the A-type order. In addition, there appears a local maximum near  $(1/4, 1/4, 1/2)$ . The latter indicates a tendency toward the ordering with  $\mathbf{q} = (1/4, 1/4, 1/2)$ , and brings about the softening of  $\omega_{\mathbf{q}}$  near this wave number. We note that the overall behavior of  $J(\mathbf{q})$ , and thus




Fig. 2. The magnetic excitation spectrum in  $\text{NdB}_6$  along various symmetry directions. Experimental data<sup>6)</sup> are shown by solid circles with error bars, and the solid lines are theoretical fits.




Fig. 3. Wave-number dependence of  $J(\mathbf{q})$  in the  $X$ - $M$ - $R$  plane.

$I(\mathbf{q}) = (16/3\eta)^2 J(\mathbf{q})$ , is similar to the result of the inter-pocket polarization model shown in Fig.1. This similarity supports relevance of the model to real  $\text{RB}_6$  systems. The difference should mainly come from our simplification for  $W_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{p}}$  and  $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}$ , and partly from the presence of orbital degeneracy, hybridization, and correlation effect among conduction electrons.

In this paper we have concentrated on the  $\mathbf{q}$ -dependence of the intersite interaction  $I(\mathbf{q})$ . As the magnetization grows, the associated nonlinearity favors a commensurate structure in general. Then the maximum of  $I(\mathbf{q})$  does not necessarily give the ordering wave number at the ground state. We suggest that the incommensurate-commensurate transition in  $\text{PrB}_6$  may be interpreted along this line. It should be worth investigating detailed features which depend on 4f-electron configurations of each rare-earth species.

Another feature to be addressed with finite order parameters is the direction of magnetic moment at each site. Even with the same  $\mathbf{q} = (1/4, 1/4, 1/2)$ , the moment patterns are rather different between  $\text{CeB}_6$ <sup>1)</sup> and  $\text{DyB}_6$ .<sup>18)</sup> While in  $\text{CeB}_6$  the nearest-neighbor moments are orthogonal to each other and within the (001) plane, the moments in  $\text{DyB}_6$  point to  $(1/2, 1/2, 1/2)$ . The latter is consistent with the magnetic anisotropy in the paramagnetic region. In this connection it is interesting to inquire into the spin patterns of  $\text{GdB}_6$  at low temperature, since the magnetic anisotropy in the paramagnetic region is extremely small.<sup>19)</sup>

## Acknowledgements

This work has been supported partly by Special Coordination Funds for Promoting Science and Technology, by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Priority Areas (B) from MEXT Japan, and by the NEDO international collaboration program “New boride materials”.

- 1) J. M. Effantin, J. Rossat-Mignod, P. Burlet, H. Bartholin, S. Kunii and T. Kasuya: J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **47-48** (1985) 145.
- 2) H. Kusunose and Y. Kuramoto: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **70** (2001) 1751.
- 3) K. Kuwahara *et al.*: Applied Physics A (to be published).
- 4) P. Burlet, J. M. Effantin, J. Rossat-Mignod, S. Kunii and T. Kasuya: J. Phys. (Paris) **C8** (1988) 459.
- 5) C. M. McCarthy and C. W. Tompson: J. Phys. Chem. Solids **41** (1980) 1319.
- 6) W. A. C. Erkelens, L. P. Regnault, J. Rossat-Mignod, M. Gordon, S. Kunii, T. Kasuya and C. Vettier: J. Phys. (Paris) **C8** (1988) 457.
- 7) D. Schmitt and P. M. Levy: J. Mag. Mag. Mater. **49** (1985) 15.
- 8) Y. Onuki, T. Komatsubara, P. H. P. Reinders and M. Springford: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **58** (1989) 3698.
- 9) H. Harima, O. Sakai, T. Kasuya and A. Yanase: Solid State Commun. **66** (1988) 603, and private communication.
- 10) T. Kasuya and D. H. Lyons: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **21** (1965) 287.
- 11) H. H. Teitelbaum and P. M. Levy: Phys. Rev. **B14** (1976) 3058.
- 12) G. Uimin and W. Brenig: Phys. Rev. **B61** (2000) 60.
- 13) G. Pofahl, E. Zirngiebl, S. Blumenröder, H. Brenten, G. Güntherodt and K. Winzer: Z. Phys. **B66** (1987) 339.
- 14) M. Sera, S. Itabashi and S. Kunii: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **66** (1997) 548.

- 15) S. Nakamura, T. Goto, S. Kunii, K. Iwashita and A. Tamaki: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **63** (1994) 623.
- 16) M. Loewenhaupt and M. Prager: Z. Phys. **B62** (1986) 195.
- 17) K. R. Lea, M. J. M. Leask and W. P. Wolf: J. Phys. Chem. Solids **23** (1962) 1382.
- 18) K. Takahashi, H. Nojiri, K. Ohoyama, M. Ohashi, Y. Yamaguchi, S. Kunii and M. Motokawa: Physica **241-243** (1998) 696; K. Takahashi: PhD thesis (Tohoku University, 2002).
- 19) R. M. Galera, P. Morin, S. Kunii and T. Kasuya: J. Mag. Mater. **104-107** (1992) 1336.