

Large N behavior of string solutions in the Heisenberg model

Takehisa Fujita,¹ Takuya Kobayashi² and Hidenori Takahashi³ *

Department of Physics, Faculty of Science and Technology,
Nihon University, Tokyo, Japan

* Laboratory of Physics, Faculty of Science and Technology,
Nihon University, Chiba, Japan

ABSTRACT

We investigate the large N behavior of the complex solutions for the two magnon system in the $S=1/2$ Heisenberg XXZ model. The Bethe ansatz equations are numerically solved for the string solutions with new iteration method. A clear evidence of the violation of the string configurations is found at $N = 22, 62, 121, 200, 299, 417$, but the broken states are still Bethe states. The number of the Bethe states is consistent with the exact diagonalization, except one singular state.

¹ffujita@phys.cst.nihon-u.ac.jp

²tkoba@phys.cst.nihon-u.ac.jp

³htaka@phys.ge.cst.nihon-u.ac.jp

1 Introduction

In 1931, Bethe presented a unique and genius way of solving one-dimensional Heisenberg model with spin $S = 1/2$ systems [1, 2]. The structure of the Bethe ansatz solutions has been studied quite extensively. Since the Bethe ansatz method has a history of many years, the fundamental properties of the Bethe ansatz solution for the Heisenberg XXZ model are well understood by now [3, 4, 5, 6, 7]. However, the completeness of the Bethe ansatz solutions is still discussed actively [8, 9, 10]. Bethe ansatz solutions contain complex solutions whose rapidities are complex values. For these solutions, Bethe proposed the *string hypothesis*. He assumed the shape of the complex solutions which are called "string solutions" and showed that the real solutions together with such complex solutions give the correct number of the states [1]. Therefore, it is widely believed that the completeness of the Bethe's state holds true.

In two magnon system, the solutions are parametrized by two integer numbers m_1 and m_2 . The string solutions can be classified into two different types, depending on the parity of $m_1 + m_2$ in the two magnon state. In fact, the behaviors of the wave function for the two string solutions are quite different from each other. The string solutions with odd parity of $m_1 + m_2$ were first discussed by Bethe, and since then they have been discussed quite extensively. In particular, Essler, Korepin and Schoutens (EKS) carried out the careful study of the string solutions. Here, we call this type of the string "EKS-string" as we define later in detail. Recently, it is found that this EKS-string solutions break down to two real solutions at $N = 22, 62, 121, \dots$ [12, 13, 14], which are partly predicted by Essler, Korepin and Schoutens. On the other hand, there are other type of complex solutions which are treated by Vladimirov [11]. This string solutions have even parity of $m_1 + m_2$, but their behaviors are quite different from the EKS-strings. Here, we call them "V-string". According to the string hypothesis, the imaginary part of the string is $1/2$. However, Vladimirov shows that the imaginary part of the V-strings behaves like \sqrt{N} .

In this paper, we present the large N behavior of the string solutions of the Bethe ansatz equations for the two magnon system. Here, we have solved the Bethe ansatz equations numerically up to quite large number of the site N . The numerical evaluation has some difficulties for the complex solutions in the Bethe ansatz equations. A simple iteration method cannot give any convergent results, and thus we have to develop a new

way to solve them. This is what we have achieved here as we discuss it later in detail. The solutions are compared with those of the exact diagonalization method up to $N = 180$. Here, we indeed confirm the violation of the EKS-strings up to $N = 417$. Further, they are *not* out of the Bethe Ansatz solutions, and therefore, the number of the state is unchanged. In addition, we confirm that the behavior of the V-string rapidity predicted by the $1/N$ expansion method [11] is indeed consistent with our numerical solutions.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we briefly explain the solutions of the Bethe ansatz equations. In section 3, we present a new iteration method of solving the Bethe equations numerically. In section 4, the string solutions for large N cases are discussed and compared with the predictions of the $1/N$ expansion method. Section 5 summarizes what we have clarified.

2 The solutions of the Bethe Ansatz equations

The Heisenberg XXZ model with spin $1/2$ is a model which is most frequently studied among all the models of the spin systems. It is solved by the Bethe ansatz technique. Here, we briefly describe the Heisenberg model and the Bethe ansatz solutions. The XXZ model is described by the following Hamiltonian

$$H = J \sum_{i=1}^N (S_i^x S_{i+1}^x + S_i^y S_{i+1}^y + \Delta S_i^z S_{i+1}^z) = \frac{J}{2} \sum_i (S_i^+ S_{i+1}^- + S_i^- S_{i+1}^+ + 2\Delta S_i^z S_{i+1}^z), \quad (1)$$

where S_i^a is a spin operator at the site i and $S_i^- (S_i^+)$ flips down (up) the spin, and Δ is the anisotropic parameter. The periodicity $S_{N+i} = S_i$ is assumed. For XXX model, we take $\Delta = 1$. This Hamiltonian can be diagonalized by the following Bethe state [1, 2] for two magnon system,

$$|\Psi_2\rangle = \sum_{x_1 < x_2} A(x_1, x_2) S_{x_1}^- S_{x_2}^- |0\rangle, \quad (2)$$

where $|0\rangle$ is the ferromagnetic state with all spins up. The coefficient $A(x_1, x_2)$ is assumed to be of the following shape,

$$A(x_1, x_2) = e^{ik_1x_1+ik_2x_2} + e^{ik_2x_1+ik_1x_2}e^{-i\varphi} \quad (3)$$

and must satisfy

$$A(x_j, x_j) + A(x_j + 1, x_j + 1) - 2A(x_j, x_j + 1) = 0. \quad (4)$$

Therefore, the phase shift φ should satisfy the following equation,

$$\cot \frac{\varphi}{2} = \frac{\Delta \sin \frac{k_1 - k_2}{2}}{\cos \frac{k_1 + k_2}{2} - \Delta \cos \frac{k_1 - k_2}{2}}, \quad (5)$$

where φ is taken to be $-\pi \leq \varphi \leq \pi$. Further, imposing the periodic boundary conditions, we have

$$k_1N + \varphi = 2\pi m_1, \quad (6)$$

$$k_2N - \varphi = 2\pi m_2, \quad (7)$$

where m_1 and m_2 are integers running between 0 and $N - 1$. Without loss of generality, we can take $m_1 \leq m_2$. In this case, the energy eigenvalue of the Hamiltonian can be written as

$$E/J = \sum_{j=1}^2 \cos k_j + \Delta \left(\frac{N}{4} - 2 \right). \quad (8)$$

We can define the rapidity as

$$\lambda_j = -\frac{1}{2} \cot \frac{k_j}{2}. \quad (9)$$

In this case, the energy is given by

$$E/J = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{1}{\lambda_j^2 + 1/4} + \Delta \left(\frac{N}{4} - 2 \right) + 2. \quad (10)$$

In order to obtain the energy eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian, one has to solve eqs.(5), (6) and (7). Here, we show the rapidity and the energy in the case of $N = 8$ and $\Delta = 1$ for simplicity. We must solve the equation

$$\cos \frac{\pi}{N}(m_1 + m_2) \cos \left(\frac{NK}{2} - \frac{\pi}{2}(m_1 - m_2) \right) = \cos \left(\frac{N-2}{4}K - \frac{\pi}{2}(m_1 - m_2) \right), \quad (11)$$

where $K = (k_1 - k_2)/2$. This equation can be solved analytically once we specify the values of m_1 and m_2 . It is difficult to prove the completeness of the Bethe Ansatz solutions. Therefore, we must carefully treat the Bethe Ansatz equation whose solutions are indeed the answer of the model.

In table 1, we show the calculated results of the energies for $N = 8$ with the two down spins together with the energies by the exact diagonalization for $\Delta = 1$. The detailed method to solve the Bethe equations numerically will be given in section 3.

As can be seen from table 1, there is one state, denoted by $*$, which cannot be reproduced by the Bethe ansatz. The configurations of the states are different for different values of Δ . Here, we only show the results for $\Delta = 1$ case. For other values of Δ , we only make a comment here. We have the real solution for $\Delta = 1$ in the Category I, which is defined in [3]. The string solutions appear for the Category II and III. For $\Delta = 2$, there is an irregular solution at $(m_1, m_2) = (0, 7)$. Even though the element belongs to the Category I, the solution is a string. We have a similar configuration for the case $\Delta > 1$. On the other hand, there is no complex solution for $\Delta = 1/2$ at $N = 8$. In what follows, we will only discuss for $\Delta = 1$ case. The general Δ cases will be treated elsewhere.

Now, there is a state which cannot be reproduced by the Bethe ansatz solution for $\Delta = 1$. We can easily verify that the energy of this state is given by

$$E/J = \Delta \left(\frac{N}{4} - 1 \right). \quad (12)$$

The corresponding state is

$$|Non - Bethe\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^N (-)^i S_i^- S_{i+1}^- |0\rangle. \quad (13)$$

Note that the *kinetic term* of this state is null,

$$\sum_i (S_i^+ S_{i+1}^- + S_i^- S_{i+1}^+) |Non - Bethe\rangle = 0. \quad (14)$$

Thus, we cannot describe this state in the Bethe Ansatz equation because eq.(5) is not valid for this case any more. We note here that this state is not reproduced by the algebraic Bethe Ansatz at the isotropic point either [15].

Bethe suggested that there is a string solution whose imaginary part is infinity [1]. However, the wave function is divergent. For appropriate normalization, we get the wave function given as eq.(13). Therefore, the wave function eq.(13) corresponds to the singular Bethe state.

We comment on the degeneracy of the energy states. Suppose that the k_1, k_2 are solutions for the configuration (m_1, m_2) ($1 \leq m_1 \leq m_2 \leq N - 1$) with the phase φ . The Bethe equations are

$$k_1 N + \varphi = 2\pi m_1, \quad k_2 N - \varphi = 2\pi m_2. \quad (15)$$

Now we consider the configuration $(N - m_2, N - m_1)$ with k'_1, k'_2, φ' . We can easily show that two states have the same energy if the following equations are satisfied

$$k'_1 + k'_2 = 4\pi - (k_1 + k_2), \quad k'_1 - k'_2 = k_1 - k_2, \quad \varphi' = \varphi. \quad (16)$$

Note that

$$k'_i = k_i \quad (i = 1, 2), \quad \text{for} \quad m_1 + m_2 = N. \quad (17)$$

In this case, there is no pair and the Bethe state is a single state. For $(0, m_2), (1 \leq m_2 \leq N - 1)$ case, the configuration $(0, N - m_2)$ is a solution with the same energy as the configuration $(0, m_2)$ when

$$k'_1 + k'_2 = 2\pi - (k_1 + k_2), \quad k'_1 - k'_2 = -(k_1 - k_2) - 2\pi, \quad \varphi' + \varphi = 0. \quad (18)$$

3 New iteration method

The Bethe ansatz equations (5),(6) and (7) can be solved by the iteration method even for the large N cases. The convergence of the iteration method is good for the normal

Table 1: The energies E_{exact} and E_{Bethe} which are solved by the exact diagonalization and by the Bethe ansatz method are presented for the $N = 8$ and $\Delta = 1$ case. * means that there is no corresponding state in the Bethe Ansatz method.

E_{exact}/J	E_{Bethe}/J	(m_1, m_2)	k_1	k_2	φ
-1.801938	$-2 \cos \frac{\pi}{7}$	(3,5)	$6\pi/7$	$8\pi/7$	$6\pi/7$
-1.267035	-1.267035	(2,4) (4,6)	0.6035π 1.1035π	0.8965π 1.3965π	0.8279π 0.8279π
-1.144123	$-\frac{\sqrt{10} + \sqrt{2}}{4}$	(2,5) (3,6)	0.5875π 0.8375π	1.1625π 1.4125π	0.7003π 0.7003π
-0.4450419	$-2 \cos \frac{3}{7}\pi$	(2,6)	$4\pi/7$	$10\pi/7$	$4\pi/7$
-0.4370160	$-\frac{\sqrt{10} - \sqrt{2}}{4}$	(1,4) (4,7)	0.3184π 1.0684π	0.9316π 1.6816π	0.5475π 0.5475π
-0.2586520	-0.2586520	(1,5) (3,7)	0.3085π 0.8085π	1.1915π 1.6915π	0.4684π 0.4684π
0	0	(0,4) (1,3) (5,7)	0 $\pi/3$ $4\pi/3$	π $2\pi/3$ $5\pi/3$	0 $2\pi/3$ $2\pi/3$
0.2928932	$\frac{2 - \sqrt{2}}{2}$	(0,3) (0,5)	0 0	$3\pi/4$ $5\pi/4$	0 0
0.4370160	$\frac{\sqrt{10} - \sqrt{2}}{4}$	(1,6) (2,7)	0.2990π 0.5490π	1.4510π 1.7010π	0.3920π 0.3920π
1.0	1	(0,2) (0,6)	0 0	$\pi/2$ $3\pi/2$	0 0
1.0	*	*			
1.144123	$\frac{\sqrt{10} + \sqrt{2}}{4}$	(1,2) (6,7)	$3\pi/8 + 0.9578i$ $13\pi/8 + 0.9578i$	$3\pi/8 - 0.9578i$ $13\pi/8 - 0.9578i$	$\pi + 7.6626i$ $\pi + 7.6626i$
1.246980	$-2 \cos \frac{5}{7}\pi$	(1,7)	$2\pi/7$	$12\pi/7$	$2\pi/7$
1.525687	1.525687	(1,1) (7,7)	$\pi/4 + 0.3945i$ $7\pi/4 + 0.3945i$	$\pi/4 - 0.3945i$ $7\pi/4 - 0.3945i$	$3.1559i$ $3.1559i$
1.707107	$\frac{2 + \sqrt{2}}{2}$	(0,1) (0,7)	0 0	$\pi/4$ $7\pi/4$	0 0
2.0	2	(0,0)	0	0	0

cases, but the complex solutions cannot be obtained easily by the simple-minded iteration method. Here, we present somewhat a different way of numerically solving the Bethe equations. But before going to the new method, we briefly explain the usual iteration method. The φ in eq.(5) can be written as

$$\varphi = 2 \cot^{-1} \frac{\Delta \sin \frac{k_1 - k_2}{2}}{\cos \frac{k_1 + k_2}{2} - \Delta \cos \frac{k_1 - k_2}{2}} \equiv X(\varphi). \quad (19)$$

The usual iteration method is done as follows

$$\varphi^{(i)} = X(\varphi^{(i-1)}), \quad (20)$$

where we start from some initial value of $\varphi^{(0)}$. k_1 and k_2 are given in eqs.(6) and (7). As mentioned above, this procedure works well for the normal cases. But for the complex solutions, it does not work.

In this paper, we show a new way of solving the complex solutions. First, we define a new variable v by

$$\varphi = iv \quad \text{for } (m, m) \quad (\text{V-string}), \quad (21)$$

$$\varphi = \pi + iv \quad \text{for } (m, m+1) \quad (\text{EKS-string}), \quad (22)$$

where v is taken to be real. For V-string case (m, m) , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \coth \frac{v}{2} &= \frac{-\Delta \sinh \frac{v}{N}}{\cos \frac{2m\pi}{N} - \Delta \cosh \frac{v}{N}}, \\ \sinh \frac{v}{2} &= \frac{\cos \frac{2m\pi}{N} - \Delta \cosh \frac{v}{N}}{-\Delta \sinh \frac{v}{N}} \cosh \frac{v}{2} \equiv X(v), \\ v &= 2 \ln \left(X + \sqrt{X^2 + 1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the iteration equation becomes

$$v^{(i)} = 2 \ln \left(X + \sqrt{X^2 + 1} \right) \Big|_{v=v^{(i-1)}}. \quad (23)$$

On the other hand, for the case of EKS-string $(m, m + 1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tanh \frac{v}{2} &= \frac{-\Delta \sinh \frac{v}{N}}{\cos \frac{(2m+1)\pi}{N} - \Delta \cosh \frac{v}{N}}, \\ \cosh \frac{v}{2} &= \frac{\cos \frac{(2m+1)\pi}{N} - \Delta \cosh \frac{v}{N}}{-\Delta \sinh \frac{v}{N}} \sinh \frac{v}{2} \equiv Y(v), \\ v &= 2 \ln \left(Y + \sqrt{Y^2 - 1} \right).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the iteration equation becomes

$$v^{(i)} = 2 \ln \left(Y + \sqrt{Y^2 - 1} \right) \Big|_{v=v^{(i-1)}}. \quad (24)$$

We can carry out the iteration procedure for million times, and obtain good convergent results. In this way, this iteration method gives complete solutions, and thus we can find all of the string solutions of V-string as well as EKS-string. With this method, we can find the string solutions up to $N \simeq 5000$.

4 String solutions for large N

Next, we discuss the properties of the string solutions. For the string hypothesis, the complex solutions are given for M -magnon system by

$$\lambda_j = x + i \left(\frac{M+1}{2} - j \right) + O(e^{-\delta N}), \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, M), \quad (25)$$

where x is the same real part of the complex roots λ_j , and δ is a positive parameter. According to the string hypothesis, the imaginary part y of the string at large N is given as,

$$y \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \quad (26)$$

for the two magnon case ($M = 2$). On the other hand, Vladimirov predicts [11] the non-string type complex solutions by making use of the $1/N$ expansion method. Defining the real and imaginary parts of the rapidity by $\lambda = x \pm iy$, they can be expressed as

$$x = \frac{N}{\pi\ell} \left(1 - \frac{1}{N} - \frac{\pi^2\ell^2}{6N^2} + \cdots \right), \quad y = \frac{\sqrt{N}}{\pi\ell} \left[1 + \frac{1}{N} \left(\frac{\pi^2\ell^2}{24} - \frac{1}{2} \right) + \cdots \right], \quad (27)$$

where $\ell = 2, 4, 6, \dots \leq \sqrt{N}$. For large N , they behave as

$$x \sim N, \quad y \sim \sqrt{N}. \quad (28)$$

In table 2, we show the complex solutions of the XXX model for several cases of N . The Vladimirov's solutions are given in the following configurations,

$$(m_1, m_2) = (r, r), \quad r = 1, 2, \dots \left[\frac{\sqrt{N}}{2} \right], \quad (29)$$

where $[x]$ denotes the maximum integer n for $n \leq x$ (Gauss's symbol). In figures 1 and 2, we show the large N behavior of the imaginary part and the real part of the complex solutions, respectively. We can see that the large N behavior of the configuration for $(m_1, m_2) = (1, 1), (2, 2)$ is indeed consistent with eq. (28).

On the other hand, the large N behavior of the configurations for $m_2 = m_1 + 1$ case is different. We show the behavior of the imaginary part and the real part of the complex solutions in figure 3 and figure 4. There are branch points where the string solutions break down. At the branch point, the imaginary part is close to zero, and the real part is divided into two parts. However, the broken string becomes two real solutions in the Bethe Ansatz equation and thus these broken states are still degenerate, which is shown in table 3. In table 4, we list the configurations for the complex solutions.

In figure 5, the minimum number of m_1 and the sites number N are given. The number of the string does not increase linealy for N . Note that, in both cases, there are string solutions whose imaginary part is $1/2$. However, the value of the imaginary part starts to deviate from $1/2$ when the value of the site number N increases, and finally becomes zero for sufficiently large values of N .

Finally, the string configurations for the XXX model ($\Delta = 1$) are classified by the large N behavior. In [14], Ilakovac et al. distinguish the string solution in terms of the

Table 2: The energies E_{exact} and the string solutions and non-string type solutions which are solved numerically for the XXX model ($\Delta = 1$). λ_{vlad} is the Vladimirov's prediction.

N	E_{exact}/J	(m_1, m_2)	λ	λ_{vlad}
12	2.76225903	(1,1)	$1.6510879 \pm 0.619417i$	$1.6538681 \pm 0.617998i$ ($\ell = 2$)
	2.25054560	(2,2)	$0.5769306 \pm 0.500242i$	—
	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	
	2.4909371	(1,2)	$1.0184604 \pm 0.4808314i$	—
	2.0669861	(2,3)	$0.2679495 \pm 0.4999999i$	—
	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	
	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	
22	5.4233830	(1,1)	$3.2913953 \pm 0.7913153i$	$3.2918067 \pm 0.7910191i$ ($\ell = 2$)
	5.2100924	(2,2)	$1.5434373 \pm 0.5190729i$	$1.5531493 \pm 0.5155661i$ ($\ell = 4$)
	4.9289111	(3,3)	$0.8664011 \pm 0.500090i$	—
	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	
	5.0704584	(2,3)	$1.1559456 \pm 0.4978173i$	—
	4.7922902	(3,4)	$0.6426630 \pm 0.4999987i$	—
	4.5793732	(4,5)	$0.2936265 \pm 0.5000000i$	—
60	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	
	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	
	14.989239	(1,1)	$9.3722865 \pm 1.2576148i$	$9.3723056 \pm 1.2575917i$ ($\ell = 2$)
	14.957218	(2,2)	$4.6566352 \pm 0.6881097i$	$4.6571019 \pm 0.687560i$ ($\ell = 4$)
	14.904929	(3,3)	$3.0641980 \pm 0.5397430i$	$3.0673519 \pm 0.5372569i$ ($\ell = 6$)
	14.834704	(4,4)	$2.2441546 \pm 0.5042061i$	—
	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	
100	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	
	24.996095	(1,1)	$15.745726 \pm 1.6103627i$	$15.745730 \pm 1.6103563i$ ($\ell = 2$)
	24.984411	(2,2)	$7.8560250 \pm 0.8483587i$	$7.8561233 \pm 0.8481972i$ ($\ell = 4$)
	24.965063	(3,3)	$5.2162758 \pm 0.6205981i$	$5.2169764 \pm 0.6195799i$ ($\ell = 6$)
	24.938297	(4,4)	$3.8857124 \pm 0.5339379i$	$3.8883774 \pm 0.5314536i$ ($\ell = 8$)
	24.904572	(5,5)	$3.0756442 \pm 0.5062334i$	$3.0816823 \pm 0.5074819i$ ($\ell = 10$)
	24.864499	(6,6)	$2.5254416 \pm 0.5006816i$	—
	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	
	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	
	24.952091	(3,4)	$4.5042779 \pm 0.3353532i$	—
	24.922034	(4,5)	$3.4477639 \pm 0.4797970i$	—
	24.885222	(5,6)	$2.7784399 \pm 0.4976799i$	—
	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	
	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	

Table 3: The energies and the string solutions near the breaking point for the XXX model. * means the exact diagonalization is not possible.

(m_1, m_2)	N	E_{exact}/J	E_{bethe}/J	λ
(1, 2)	21	5.05193773	5.05193773	$2.1766735 \pm 0.17888955i$
	22	5.31910954	5.31910954	2.3727865
				2.2284619
(2, 3)	61	15.1840740	15.1840740	$3.8607743 \pm 0.06641234i$
	62	15.4361213	15.4361213	4.0193617
				3.8366348
(3, 4)	120	29.9665138	29.9665138	$5.4408068 \pm 0.05989996i$
	121	30.2170566	30.2170566	5.5399897
				5.4351240
(4, 5)	199	*	49.7298474	$7.0260588 \pm 0.04464858i$
	200	*	49.9800466	7.1045560
				7.019490

parity of $m_1 + m_2$. They call the string solutions as s -string for odd parity case, and c -string for even parity case. The string solutions are given by

$$\text{V-string}(c\text{-string}): (m_1, m_2) = (r, r), (N - r, N - r), \quad \left(0 < r < \frac{N}{4}\right), \quad (30)$$

$$\text{EKS-string}(s\text{-string}): (m_1, m_2) = (s, s + 1), (N - s - 1, N - s), \quad \left(s_0 < s < \frac{N}{4}\right), \quad (31)$$


where r, s are integer and s_0 is minimum of m_1 . The distribution of s_0 is given by figure 5. For the large N , we have

$$s_0 \sim 0.3347N^{0.4934} \sim \sqrt{N}. \quad (32)$$

Therefore, we can estimate the ratio of the number of the string N_{string} and the total states $N_{\text{total}} = N(N - 1)/2$ at

$$\frac{N_{\text{string}}}{N_{\text{total}}} \sim \frac{2}{N} - \frac{2}{N\sqrt{N}}. \quad (33)$$

Figure 1: The large N behavior of the V-string solutions for the XXX model ($\Delta = 1$). Plot of the imaginary part of the string against \sqrt{N} for $(m_1, m_2) = (1, 1)$ (plotted with the symbol \circ) and $(m_1, m_2) = (2, 2)$ (plotted with the symbol \bullet).



If the string violation does not occur, the ratio is

$$\frac{N_{\text{string}}}{N_{\text{total}}} \sim \frac{2}{N} - \frac{6}{N^2}. \quad (34)$$

Table 4: The configurations and the number of the states N_{string} of the complex solutions for the XXX model ($\Delta = 1$). Here, we list for $m_1, m_2 < N/2$ cases. The other cases are given by taking $(N - m_2, N - m_1)$. The boldface show the missing point of the string.

N	(m_1, m_2)		$N_{\text{string}}/2$
8	(1,1)	(1,2)	2
10	(1,1),(2,2)	(1,2)	3
		\vdots	
20	(1,1) \sim (4,4)	(1,2) \sim (4,5)	8
22	(1,1) \sim (5,5)	(2,3) \sim (4,5)	8
		\vdots	
60	(1,1) \sim (14,14)	(2,3) \sim (14,15)	27
62	(1,1) \sim (15,15)	(3,4) \sim (14,15)	27
		\vdots	
120	(1,1) \sim (29,29)	(3,4) \sim (29,30)	56
121	(1,1) \sim (29,29)	(4,5) \sim (29,30)	55
		\vdots	
199	(1,1) \sim (49,49)	(4,5) \sim (48,49)	94
200	(1,1) \sim (49,49)	(5,6) \sim (48,49)	94
		\vdots	
298	(1,1) \sim (74,74)	(5,6) \sim (73,74)	143
299	(1,1) \sim (73,73)	(6,7) \sim (73,74)	142
		\vdots	
416	(1,1) \sim (103,103)	(6,7) \sim (103,104)	201
417	(1,1) \sim (103,103)	(7,8) \sim (103,104)	200
		\vdots	

Figure 2: The large N behavior of the V-string solutions for the XXX model ($\Delta = 1$). Plot of the real part of the string against the sites number N for $(m_1, m_2) = (1, 1)$ (plotted with the symbol \circ) and $(m_1, m_2) = (2, 2)$ (plotted with the symbol \bullet).




Figure 3: The large N behavior of the EKS-string solutions for the XXX model ($\Delta = 1$). Plot of the imaginary part of the string against the sites number N for $m_2 = m_1 + 1$ case.




Figure 4: The large N behavior of the EKS-string solutions for the XXX model ($\Delta = 1$). Plot of the real part of the string against the sites number N for $m_2 = m_1 + 1$ case.





Figure 5: Plot the minimum(s_0) of m_1 against the sites number N for $m_2 = m_1 + 1$ case in the XXX model. \circ means that the point is excluded. The fitting function which is denoted by dotted line is given by $s_0 \sim N^{0.4934}$.



5 Conclusion

We have presented the systematic calculations of the large N behavior in the spin $1/2$ Heisenberg XXX model. Here, we have developed a new iteration method which enables to evaluate all of the complex solutions. Though we have presented the calculation up to the site number $N \simeq 5000$, it is possible to extend the calculation to the larger number of $N = 5000$. But we believe that the essential behavior of the string solutions is clarified.

In particular, we have studied the properties of the string solutions which are classified into two types, EKS-string and V-string. For the EKS-string, we find a clear evidence of the violation of the complex solutions, and at some number N , they become real solutions. We confirm the results up to $N = 417$. Initially, the EKS-string is a usual string solution, that is, the imaginary part of the EKS-string is $1/2$. However, it deviates from $1/2$ when the sites number N increases, and the imaginary part of the EKS-string becomes zero. On the other hand, the V-string behaves in a rather normal way. We show that the real part and the imaginary part of the V-strings behave like N and \sqrt{N} , respectively, and the calculated results are consistent with the prediction by the $1/N$ expansion method. Finally, the complex solutions of the XXX model in the Bethe Ansatz method are classified by three types of solutions for given N . The first type is given by the string solution based on the string hypothesis. The second is given by the EKS-string whose imaginary part is lower than $1/2$. The third is V-string which behaves as N^α with $\alpha = 1$ or $1/2$.

The EKS-string and V-string are out of the solutions based on the string hypothesis but *not* out of the Bethe Ansatz solutions. Therefore, the number of the state which is given by the Bethe Ansatz method is unchanged. Further, the number of the complex solutions is less than the prediction of the string hypothesis. But, as shown in eq.(33) and eq.(34), the difference is order \sqrt{N} . Therefore, the thermodynamic quantity like as the entropy cannot be affected by this phenomena.

The string hypothesis has been applied to many exactly solvable models and has given physically plausible results. However, even for the XXX model, some of the string solutions break down. Therefore, we must carefully analyze the exactly soluble model in the Bethe Ansatz method with the string hypothesis [16, 17]. In the massive Thirring model, the bound state is calculated using the string hypothesis [18]. But the recent investigation shows that it is not correct [19, 20, 21]. We will investigate for the future

issue how these violations of the string solutions affect the bound state problem of the massive Thirring or XYZ model.

References

- [1] H.A. Bethe, Z. Phys. **71** (1931) 205.
- [2] S. Katsura, Ann. Phys. **31** (1965) 325.
- [3] R. Orbach, Phys. Rev. **112** (1958) 309.
- [4] C.N. Yang and C.P. Yang, Phys. Rev. **150** (1966) 321.
- [5] M. Takahashi, Prog. Theor. Phys. **46** (1971) 401.
- [6] M. Gaudin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **26** (1971) 1301.
- [7] L.D. Faddeev and L. Takhtajan, Phys. Lett. **A85** (1981) 375.
- [8] T. Koma and H. Ezawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. **78** (1971) 1009.
- [9] A.N. Kirillov and N.A. Liskova, J. Phys. **A30** (1997) 1209.
- [10] K. Fabricius and B.M. McCoy, J. Stat. Phys. **103** (2001) 647.
- [11] A.A. Vladimirov, Phys. Lett. **A105** (1984) 418.
- [12] F.H.L. Essler, V.E. Korepin and K. Schoutens, J. Phys. **A25** (1992) 4115.
- [13] K. Isler, M.B. Paranjape, Phys. Lett. **B319** (1993) 209.
- [14] A. Ilakovac, M. Kolanovi, S. Pallua and P. Prester, Phys. Rev. **B60** (1999) 7271.
- [15] R. Siddharthan, cond-mat/9804210.
- [16] F. Woynarovich, J. Phys. **A15** (1982) 2985.
- [17] C. Destri and J.H. Lowenstein, Nucl. Phys. **205** (1982) 369.
- [18] H. Bergknoff and H.B. Thacker, Phys. Rev. **D19** (1979) 3666.
- [19] T. Fujita, Y. Sekiguchi and K. Yamamoto, Ann. Phys. **255** (1997) 204.
- [20] T. Fujita, T. Kake and H. Takahashi, Ann. Phys. **282** (2000) 100.
- [21] T. Fujita and M. Hiramoto, Phys. Rev. **D58** (1998) 125019.