

# On the statistics of resonances and non-orthogonal eigenfunctions in a model for single-channel chaotic scattering

Yan V. Fyodorov<sup>1,2</sup> and B. M. Fehlig<sup>3</sup>,

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematical Sciences, Brunel University, Uxbridge UB83PH, UK

<sup>2</sup>Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina 188350, Russia

<sup>3</sup>Institute for Nuclear Theory, University of Washington, Box 351550, Seattle WA, 98195

We describe analytical and numerical results on the statistical properties of complex eigenvalues and the corresponding non-orthogonal eigenvectors for non-Hermitian random matrices modeling one-channel quantum chaotic scattering in systems with broken time-reversal invariance.

The statistical properties of non-orthogonal eigenvectors of large non-selfadjoint random matrices have recently been characterised in Refs. 1,2,3,4,5.

Correlations of non-orthogonal eigenvectors are expected to determine dynamical properties of classical random systems described by non-selfadjoint operators, such as Fokker-Planck operators<sup>6</sup> for example; they also play an important role in quantum systems: in Ref. 3 it was observed that the statistics of non-orthogonal eigenvectors determine the properties of random lasing media. This has led to an increased interest in eigenvector statistics in non-selfadjoint random matrix ensembles (see also Ref. 7).

In a model for quantum chaotic scattering, the complex eigenvalues  $E_k$ ;  $k = 1; \dots; N$  of a random  $N \times N$  non-Hermitian matrix (the so-called "effective Hamiltonian")  $H_N = \hat{H} + \hat{\Gamma}$  are used to describe generic statistical properties of resonances in quantum chaotic scattering (see Ref. 8 and references therein): for systems with broken time-reversal invariance (anti-unitary symmetry), the matrices  $\hat{H}$  are random  $N \times N$  matrices from the Gaussian Unitary Ensemble<sup>9</sup> with joint probability density  $P(H) dH \propto \exp[-(N/2) \text{Tr} H^\dagger H] dH$ . In the limit of large  $N$ , the mean eigenvalue density  $\rho(E)$  for such matrices is given by the semicircular law  $\rho(E) = (2/\pi) \sqrt{4 - E^2}$  for  $|E| < 2$  (and zero otherwise). The corresponding mean spacing between neighbouring eigenvalues around the point  $E$  in the spectrum is given by  $\langle \Delta(E) \rangle = 1/N \rho(E)$ .

The Hermitian matrices  $\hat{H}$  describe the energy-level statistics of the closed counterpart of the scattering system; the Hermitian  $N \times N$  matrix  $\hat{\Gamma} > 0$  models the coupling of the system to scattering continua via  $M = 1; 2; \dots$  open channels. It has rank  $M \leq N$ . For our purposes it can be chosen diagonal:  $\hat{\Gamma} = \text{diag}(\gamma_1; \gamma_2; \dots; \gamma_M; 0; \dots; 0)$ . The constants  $0 < \gamma_c < 1$  parametrise the strength of the coupling to the scattering continua via a given channel  $c = 1; \dots; M$ . Here  $\gamma_c = 0$  corresponds to a closed channel  $c$ , and  $\gamma_c = 1$  describes the so-called perfectly coupled channel. Empirical situations correspond to the regime of large  $N$ , with  $M$  fixed and  $M \ll N$ . Then the widths  $\Gamma_k = 2 \text{Im} E_k$  are of the same order  $1/N$  as the mean spacing  $\langle \Delta(E) \rangle$  between the positions of the neighbouring resonances along the real energy axis. In this regime, the resonances may partly or considerably overlap

and first-order perturbation theory valid for small resonance overlaps breaks down. Similarly, self-consistent perturbation schemes<sup>1,2,5,6</sup> assuming many channels and strongly overlapping resonances are inapplicable.

A detailed analytical understanding of the statistical properties of the resonances in the regime of partial overlap has recently been achieved for the case of systems with broken time reversal invariance<sup>8,10</sup>. These results, based on the random matrix approach, are expected to be applicable to a broad class of quantum chaotic systems. Indeed, the distribution of the widths  $\Gamma_k$  derived in Ref. 8 is in good agreement with available numerical data for quite diverse models of quantum chaotic scattering<sup>11,12</sup>.

Much less is known on properties of non-orthogonal eigenvectors. Let  $\mathbf{R}_k$  and  $\mathbf{L}_k$  denote the right and the left eigenvectors of the matrix  $\hat{H}$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $E_k$ :  $\hat{H} \mathbf{R}_k = E_k \mathbf{R}_k$ ,  $\mathbf{L}_k^\dagger \hat{H} = E_k \mathbf{L}_k^\dagger$ .

$$\mathbf{H} \mathbf{R}_k = E_k \mathbf{R}_k; \quad \mathbf{L}_k^\dagger \mathbf{H} = E_k \mathbf{L}_k^\dagger \quad (1)$$

$$\mathbf{H}^\dagger \mathbf{L}_k = E_k^* \mathbf{L}_k; \quad \mathbf{R}_k^\dagger \mathbf{H}^\dagger = E_k^* \mathbf{R}_k^\dagger$$

where the symbols  $\dagger$  and  $*$  stand for Hermitian conjugation and complex conjugation, respectively. Except for a set of measure zero, the eigenvalues are non-degenerate. In this case the eigenvectors form a complete, bi-orthogonal set. They can be normalised to satisfy  $\mathbf{L}_k^\dagger \mathbf{R}_l = \delta_{kl}$ . The most natural way to characterise the non-orthogonality of eigenvectors is to consider statistics of the overlap matrix  $O_{kl} = \mathbf{L}_k^\dagger \mathbf{L}_l$ . This matrix features in two-point correlation functions in non-Hermitian systems, e.g. in description of the particle escape from the scattering region ("non-leakage", see Ref. 13).

Following Ref. 1, consider two correlation functions: a diagonal one

$$O(E) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_n O_{nn}(E, E) \quad (2)$$

and an off-diagonal one

$$O(E_1; E_2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n \neq m} O_{nm}(E_1, E_n)(E_2, E_m) \quad (3)$$

Here  $\langle \dots \rangle_{H_N}$  stands for an ensemble average over  $H_N$ . The correlation functions (2,3) characterise the average

non-orthogonality of eigenvectors corresponding to resonances whose positions in the complex plane are close to the complex energies  $E$ , and  $E_1; E_2$ . Here  $O(E)$  stands for a two-dimensional function of the complex variable  $E$ .

In the context of laser media, the diagonal correlator (2) characterises average excess noise factors (Pettmann factors), and the off-diagonal correlator (3) describes average cross correlations between thermal or quantum noise emitted into different eigenmodes<sup>14</sup>. Note that for any ensemble with orthogonal eigenvectors and complex eigenvalues  $E$  (for normal matrices),  $O(E)$  is equal to the mean density of complex eigenvalues, and the off-diagonal correlator vanishes:  $O(E_1; E_2) = 0$ .

Both diagonal and off-diagonal eigenvector correlators were introduced and calculated for the case of Ginibre's ensemble of non-Hermitian matrices in Ref. 1. For the ensemble  $H_N$  pertinent to chaotic scattering, both types of eigenvector correlators were found recently for the regime of very strongly overlapping resonances when widths typically much exceed the mean separation<sup>2,5</sup>. Physically this regime corresponds to a situation where the scattering system is coupled to the continuum via a large number  $M \gg 1$  of open channels<sup>8</sup>. In this case the self-consistent Born approximation is adequate<sup>1,2,5,6</sup>, a perturbative approximation valid in the limit of large  $N$ , large  $M$ , and  $E_1 - E_2 \notin 0$ , provided  $E_1; E_2$  are well inside the support of the spectrum. A non-perturbative expression for the diagonal correlator  $O(z)$  valid for any number of open channels was obtained in Ref. 3 by employing a heuristic analytic continuation procedure. For the case of the resonance widths, this heuristic scheme is known to reproduce the exact expression<sup>8</sup>. It is thus natural to expect that this procedure is adequate in the case of eigenvector statistics, too, although this remains to be proven.

No non-perturbative results for the off-diagonal eigenvalue correlator  $O(E_1; E_2)$  have so far been reported, to the best of our knowledge.

In the present paper we provide exact non-perturbative expressions for both diagonal and off-diagonal eigenvector correlators valid for the case of a system with broken time-reversal invariance (anti-unitary symmetry) coupled to continuum via a single open channel ( $M = 1$ ) with coupling strength  $Y$ . The single-channel case describes pure resonant chaotic reaction. This case is more amenable to analytical treatment than a general case ( $M > 1$ ), combining both reaction and transmission phenomena. Understanding the single-channel case should be considered as a useful step towards a more complete picture<sup>15</sup>.

Our result for the diagonal correlator is

$$O(E) = e^{4gY} = \frac{d}{dY} e^{2gY} = \frac{\sinh(2Yg)}{2Yg} \quad (4)$$

where  $E = E_r + iY$ ,  $E_r = \text{Re} E$ ;  $g = (1 + 1/Y^2)^{1/2}$  is the effective (renormalised) coupling strength. The result for  $O(E)$  agrees with one




FIG. 1: Numerical ( ) and analytical results (solid line) for (a)  $d(E)$  and  $O(E)$  as a function of  $Y$  for  $N = 32$ , and  $g = 0.9$ ; (b)  $\ln(L_x; L_y)i$  and  $O_1(L_x; L_y)$  for  $N = 32$ ,  $L_x = 0.1$ , and  $g = 0.9$ ; (c)  $O_2(L_x; L_y)$  for  $N = 32$ ,  $L_x = 0.1$ , and  $g = 0.9$ .

reported in Ref. 3 confirming the validity of the analytical continuation scheme used there. For the sake of comparison we present here also the expression for the single-channel resonance density defined as  $d(E) = N^{-1} \sum_k \delta(E - E_k) / H_N$  and given by<sup>8</sup>:

$$d(E) = \frac{d}{dY} e^{2gY} = \frac{\sinh(2Yg)}{2Yg} \quad (5)$$

We have compared these analytical expressions, valid in the limit  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , with direct numerical diagonalisations of finite-dimensional matrices  $H_N$ , see Fig. 1 (a). This is of interest since empirically, the ensemble average  $\langle \cdot \rangle_{H_N}$  is usually replaced by an energy average over several spectral windows, each of which may typically contain of the order of 10 or 100 resonances, corresponding to a finite value of  $N$ . We observe that the analytical results describe the numerical data well, except for small deviations at large values of  $Y$ . Numerically it is easier to compute smoothed averages, such as the mean number of eigenvalues  $\ln(L_x; L_y)i$  inside a rectangular domain

$$A = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} L_x = 2 \\ \text{Re } E \\ L_x = 2 \\ 0 \\ \text{Im } E \\ L_y \end{array} \right\} \quad (6)$$

in the complex plane. This quantity can be obtained from the mean density  $d(E)$  by integration over  $A$ . Similarly




FIG. 2: Numerical ( ) and analytical results (solid line) for the distribution of the narrowest resonance for  $\gamma = 2$ , and  $\gamma = 0.1$ ,  $W = 0.2$ , and  $N = 128$ . Here  $x = \gamma n = \gamma$ .

one can define the function  $O_1(L_x; L_y)$  as the integral of the diagonal correlator  $O(E_1)$  over the same domain, obtaining  $O_1(L_x; L_y) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{E_k \in A} O_{kk}$ . Numerical versus analytical results for these two quantities are plotted in Fig. 1(b).

For the off-diagonal correlator  $O(E_1; E_2)$  we obtain

$$O(E_1; E_2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{E_1} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{E_2} e^{2g(Y_1 + Y_2)} = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{E_1} \sum_{E_2} e^{2g(Y_1 + Y_2)} e^{i(Y_1 - Y_2)} = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{E_1} \sum_{E_2} e^{2g(Y_1 + Y_2)} e^{i(Y_1 - Y_2)} \quad (7)$$

where  $\text{Re} E_{1;2} = E_{1;2} = E$  and it is assumed that  $E_1, E_2 \in A$ . We have also calculated the corresponding smoothed average  $O_2(L_x; L_y) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{E_m \in A} O_{mn}$ , by integrating  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  in (7) over the domain  $A$ . In Fig. 1(c) we compare this result (valid in the limit of  $N \rightarrow \infty$ ) with those of numerical diagonalisations of finite matrices; the agreement is good already for  $N = 32$ .

We have also found a way to calculate exactly the distribution  $f(\gamma)$  of the width of the most narrow resonance among those falling in a window  $[E - W/2; E + W/2]$  in the vicinity of a given point  $E$  in the spectrum. Assuming that the mean number  $n = W$  of resonances is large ( $n \gg 1$ ), but still  $W \ll 1$  to preserve spectral locality (the density of states should not change significantly across the spectral window):

$$f(\gamma) = \frac{\gamma n}{N} e^{-\gamma n} \quad (8)$$

This distribution is of great interest in the theory of random lasing<sup>3</sup>. The functional form of the distribution was found in Ref. 3 by employing plausible qualitative arguments yielding Eq. (8), but with renormalised effective coupling  $g$  replaced by its "weak coupling" limit  $\gamma = 2$ . We see that the difference with exact formula amounts to the factor 2 in the exponent for the case of perfect coupling  $\gamma = 1$ . In Fig. 2, the result (8) is compared to results of numerical diagonalisations for  $N = 128$  and  $W = 0.2$ , corresponding to  $n = 8.15$ .

In the remainder of this article, we outline the derivation of the results (4), (7), (8). The main idea is to use that fact that the complex eigenvalues (resonances)  $E_k$

are poles of the  $M \times M$  scattering matrix  $\hat{S}(E)$  in the complex energy plane. Using the standard expression for the scattering matrix in terms of the non-Hermitian Hamiltonian  $H_N$  (see e.g. Ref. 8) the residues corresponding to these poles can be found and after some algebraic manipulations we arrive at the following relation:

$$\text{Tr} \text{Res}_{E=E_n} \hat{S}(E) = \text{Res}_{E=E_n} \hat{S}^\dagger(E) \quad (9)$$

$$= (E_n - E_n) (E_n - E_n) O_{nn} :$$

This relation is valid for arbitrary  $M$ , but for  $M > 1$  it appears to be of no obvious utility, due to difficulties in evaluating the ensemble average of the trace of the residues on the left-hand side. However for the case of one single open channel the scattering matrix can be written as

$$S(E) = \prod_{k=1}^N \frac{E - E_k}{E - E_k^*} ; \quad S^\dagger(E) = \prod_{k=1}^N \frac{E - E_k^*}{E - E_k} \quad (10)$$

which follows, up to an irrelevant "non-resonant" phase factor, from the requirement of  $S$  matrix analyticity in the upper half-plane and unitarity for real energies. Substituting Eq. (10) into Eq. (9) yields the relation:

$$O_{mn} = \frac{(E_n - E_n) (E_m - E_m)}{(E_n - E_m)^2} \prod_{k \in n} \frac{E_n - E_k}{E_n - E_k^*} \prod_{k \in m} \frac{E_m - E_k}{E_m - E_k^*} \quad (11)$$

expressing the eigenvector overlap matrix in terms of complex eigenvalues  $E_k$ <sup>16</sup>. This gives a possibility to find the diagonal and off-diagonal correlators, Eqs. (2,3), by averaging  $O_{mn}$  over known joint probability density of complex eigenvalues<sup>10</sup> for the single-channel scattering system:

$$P(E_1; \dots; E_N) = \frac{e^{-\frac{N}{2}}}{N!} \prod_{j=1}^N f(E_j) \prod_{j=1}^N g_j^2 \quad (12)$$

$$e^{-\frac{N}{4}} \prod_{k=1}^N (E_k^2 + E_k^{*2}) \prod_{k=1}^N \text{Im} E_k :$$

Using this expression one may notice that

$$O(E) = \frac{\gamma^{N-2}}{N!} e^{-\frac{1}{2} N^2 (N-1) \sim 1} e^{-\frac{N}{4} (E^2 + E^{*2})} \quad (13)$$

$$\det E - H^\dagger(E - H)_{H_{N-1}}$$

where  $H_{N-1}$  stands for the non-Hermitian matrix  $H$  of the same type as  $H_N$  but of the lesser size  $(N-1) \times (N-1)$ , and with coupling  $\gamma$  replaced by a modified coupling  $\gamma_1 = \text{Im} E$ . Analogously

$$O(E_1; E_2) = \frac{\gamma^{N-3}}{N!} e^{-\frac{1}{2} N^2 (N-2) \sim 2} e^{-\frac{N}{4} \sum_{n=1}^2 (E_n^2 + E_n^{*2})} (E_1 - E_1) (E_2 - E_2) \quad (14)$$

$$\det E_1 - H^\dagger(E_1 - H^\dagger(E_2 - H) (E_2 - H)_{H_{N-2}}$$

where  $H_{N-2}$  is of the size  $(N-2) \times (N-2)$ , and with coupling replaced by a modified coupling  $z = \text{Im } E_1 - \text{Im } E_2$ .

In this way the problem is reduced to calculating a correlation function of characteristic polynomials of large non-Hermitian matrices. A closely related object was calculated in Ref. 10, and we can adopt those methods to our case. The scaling limit  $N \rightarrow \infty$  such that  $\text{Im } E_{1,2} = \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} = \text{Re}(E_1 - E_2) / N^{1/2}$  of the resulting expressions yields the formulas Eqs. (4)–(7) above.

Let us briefly comment on a way of calculating the distribution Eq. (8) of the widths of the most narrow resonance in a given window. Instead of extracting such a quantity from the joint probability density Eq. (12) we find it more convenient to consider

$$P(z_1; \dots; z_n) / \frac{1}{T^{n-1}} \int_{-T}^T \int_{-T}^T \dots \int_{-T}^T dz_1 \dots dz_n g^2 \quad (15)$$

$$= \int_{-T}^T \int_{-T}^T \dots \int_{-T}^T \text{Im } z_k^2$$

$$k=1$$

defined for complex variables  $z_i = r_i e^{i\phi_i}$  inside the unit circle:  $r_i = |z_i| \leq 1$ . For  $0 \leq T \leq 1$  this formula has interpretation of the joint probability density of complex eigenvalues  $z_i$  for the ensemble of  $n \times n$  subunitary matrices and is a very natural "circular" analogue of Eq. (12). The similarity is in no way a superficial one, but rather has deep roots in the theory of scattering<sup>17</sup>. The parameter  $T$  controls the deviation of the corresponding matrices from unitarity, much in the same way as the parameter controls the deviation of  $H_N$  from Hermiticity. More precisely,  $T$  should be associated with the renormalised coupling constant  $g$  via the relation  $g = 2/T \rightarrow 1$ . In the limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$  the eigenvalues  $z_k$  are situated in a narrow

vicinity of the unit circle. Their statistics is shown<sup>17</sup> to be indistinguishable from that of the complex eigenenergies  $E$ , when the latter considered locally, i.e. on the distances comparable with the mean spacing. In particular, the distances  $1 - r_i$  from the unit circle should be interpreted as the widths of the resonances.

The form of Eq. (15) allows one to integrate out the phases  $\phi_i$  by noticing that:

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\phi_1}{2} \dots \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\phi_n}{2} \prod_{k < j} z_k e^{i\phi_k} \bar{z}_j e^{-i\phi_j} = \int_{-1}^1 \dots \int_{-1}^1 r_1^{n-1} \dots r_n^{n-1} \quad (16)$$

where the summation goes over all possible permutations  $f, g = (1; \dots; n)$  of the set  $1; \dots; n$  (in fact in the right-hand side we deal with the object known as "permanant", see e.g. Ref. 19). In this way we arrive at a joint probability density of the radial coordinates only, and the distribution Eq. (8) follows after a number of integrations and the limiting procedure  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

In conclusion, we presented a detailed analytical and numerical investigation of statistics of resonances and associated bi-orthogonal eigenfunctions in a random matrix model of single channel chaotic scattering with broken time-reversal invariance. Among challenging problems deserving future research we would like to mention extending our results to the case of more than one channel and to time-reversal invariant systems<sup>18</sup>, as well as the problem of understanding fluctuations of the non-orthogonality overlap matrix  $O_{mn}$ .

Acknowledgements. Financial support by EPSRC Research Grant GR/13838/01 (YVF) and by Vetenskapsrådet (BM) is acknowledged with thanks.

On leave from: School of Physics and Engineering Physics, Chalmers/GU, 41296 Göteborg, Sweden

- <sup>1</sup> J. T. Chalker and B. M. Eichli, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81 (1998), 3367; B. M. Eichli and J. T. Chalker, J. Math. Phys. 41 (2000), 3233
- <sup>2</sup> R. A. Janik et al., Phys. Rev. E 60 (1999), 2699
- <sup>3</sup> H. Schomerus et al., Physica A 278 (2000), 469; K. Frahm et al., Europh. Lett. 49 (2000), 48; M. Patra et al., Phys. Rev. A 61, (2000) 23810
- <sup>4</sup> S. Yu. Grebenshikov, J. Phys. Chem. A 104 (2000) 10409
- <sup>5</sup> B. M. Eichli and M. Santer, Phys. Rev. E 63 (2001), art. no. 020105
- <sup>6</sup> J. T. Chalker and Z. J. Wang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79 (1997), 1797
- <sup>7</sup> G. Hackenbroich et al., arXiv:quant-ph/0111156; C. Viviescas and G. Hackenbroich arXiv:quant-ph/0203122
- <sup>8</sup> Y. V. Fyodorov and H.-J. Sommers, J. Math. Phys. 38, (1997), 1918 and JETP Lett. 63 (1996), 1026
- <sup>9</sup> M. L. Mehta, Random Matrices (Academic Press, Boston, 1990).
- <sup>10</sup> Y. V. Fyodorov and B. A. Khoruzhenko, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83 (1999), 65

- <sup>11</sup> T. Kottos and U. Smilansky, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85 (2000), 968; H. Ishio, Phys. Rev. E 62 (2000), 3035
- <sup>12</sup> M. G. Luck, A. R. Koblinsky and H. J. Korsch, Phys. Rev. E 60 (1999), 247
- <sup>13</sup> D. V. Savin and V. V. Sokolov, Phys. Rev. E 56 (1997), 4911
- <sup>14</sup> K. Petermann IEEE J. Quantum Electron 15 (1979), 566; H. A. Kaus and S. Kawakami IEEE J. Quantum Electron 21 (1985), 63; A. E. Siegman Phys. Rev. A 39 (1989) 1253 and ibid. 39 (1989), 1264
- <sup>15</sup> F. Izrailev et al., Phys. Rev. E 49 (1994), 130
- <sup>16</sup> Similar ideas were used in Refs. 4 and 15.
- <sup>17</sup> Y. V. Fyodorov in: "Disordered and Complex Systems", edited by P. Sollich et al., AIP Conference Proceedings v. 553, p. 191 (Melville NY, 2001); Y. V. Fyodorov and H.-J. Sommers, JETP Letters, 72 (2000), 422
- <sup>18</sup> H.-J. Sommers et al., J. Phys. A 32 (1999) L77
- <sup>19</sup> M. L. Mehta, Matrix Theory: Selected topics and useful results" (Dekker/Hindustan Publishing Corporation, 1989) p.14