Electronic structure of M illerite N iS

S.R.Krishnakum ar, N.Shanthi and D.D.Sarm a?

Solid State and Structural Chemistry Unit, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, 560012, India

(January 9, 2022)

Abstract

We investigate the electronic structure of Nickel sulphide (NiS) in the millerite phase using electron spectroscopic measurements and band structure as wellasmodelH amiltonian calculations. While band structure calculations are found to be relatively more successful in describing the experimental valence band spectrum of this highly conducting phase compared to the hexagonal phase of N iS, cluster calculations including electron correlation elects are found to be necessary for the description of certain features in the experimental spectra, indicating importance of correlation elects even in a highly metallic system. The electronic parameter strengths obtained from these calculations conmutate the millerite N iS is a highly covalent pd-metal. The comparative study of hexagonal and millerite forms of N iS, provides the information concerning the evolution of the spectral function in a pd-metal as a function of covalency.

PACS Numbers: 71 28.+ d, 79.60 Bm, 71.45 Gm, 71.30.+ h

Typeset using REVT_EX

I. IN TRODUCTION

There have been num erous electron spectroscopic investigations of the m etastable hexagonalphase of nickelm on osulphide (N is), prim arily to understand its unusual electronic phase transition [1,2]. However, no electron spectroscopic studies have been undertaken on the millerite phase of N is, which is the stable phase below 600 K. The resistivity measurements on the system show that it has a high metallic conductivity ($2 10^4$ O hm s 1 -cm 1 at room tem perature). It has been speculated [3,4] that the millerite phase is diam agnetic with the observed sm allm agnetic susceptibility attributed to the presence of param agnetic hexagonal m odi cation of N is. M illerite N is crystallizes in the lower symmetry trigonal space group (R 3m) with lattice parameters a = 9:589 A and c = 3:165 A [5]. The crystal structure of millerite N is is shown in Fig. 1 (a). Here, the Niatom has ve nearest neighbor S atoms, occupying the corners of a square pyram id. In this pyram idal geometry (see Fig. 1 (b)) the Ni atom s are displaced slightly out of the basal plane, towards the apical sulphur atom . Though the local coordination of N i in millerite is very similar to that in another N i-S system, BaN iS₂, m illerite has a three-dimensional structure in contrast to BaN iS₂ which is highly two-dimensional in nature. The N i-S bond in millerite N is (shortest $d_{N i S} = 225 \text{ A}$) is relatively shorter than that in hexagonal N is $(d_{N i S} = 2.39 \text{ A})$, N is $(d_{N i S} = 2.40 \text{ A})$ or BaN iS₂ (shortest $d_{N i S} = 2.32$ A) and leads to stronger hybridization e ects in this system .

There have been very few studies to understand the electronic structure of this com – pound. B and structure calculation perform ed for the millerite phase of N is [6] showed the ground state to be m etallic, in agreem ent with experimental results. However, no com parison between experimental and theoretical results exists and the details of the electronic structure in terms of the electronic structure parameters are still unknown.

In the present study, we investigate the electronic structure of millerite phase of N is using x-ray photoem ission (XP) and U ltra-V iolet photoem ission (UP) m easurements in conjunction with ab inito band structure as well as parameterized many-body calculations. The present results provide a consistent and quantitative description of the electronic structure

of the system. By comparing the hexagonal and millerite phases of N is, we study the evolution of the spectral function with changing correlation e ects in the metallic regime.

II.EXPERIM ENTAL

For the preparation of the m illerite sam ples, polycrystalline sam ples of hexagonal N is was prepared is by solid state reaction [1,7]. Hexagonal N is sam ple was then sealed in quartz tube in high vacuum and wasm aintained at 573 K for about 2-3 weeks and was cooled slow ly to room tem perature over a period of 8 hours, to obtain them illerite phase. The x-ray powder di raction m easurements con im ed the phase purity of the sam ple. Spectroscopic m easurements were carried out in a combined VSW spectrom eter with a base pressure of 2 10 10 m bar equipped with a monochrom atized A1K x-ray source and a Helium discharge lam p. XP spectroscopic m easurements were performed of better than 0.8 eV, while UP m easurements for H e I and H e II are performed with an instrumental resolution better than 90 m eV and 120 m eV, respectively. The sam ple surface was cleaned in situ periodically during the experiment by scraping with an alum inalle and the surface clean liness was monitored by recording the carbon 1s and oxygen 1s XP signal regions. The binding energy was calibrated to the instrumental Ferm i-level which was determined by recording the Ferm i-edge region from a clean silver sam ple.

III. CALCULATIONS

Scalar relativistic linearized m u n-tin orbital (LM TO) band structure calculations have been performed within the atom ic sphere approximation (ASA) for millerite phase of N is with the real crystal structure [5]. Here, the rhom bohedral unit cell consisting of 3 formula units was employed. Sphere radii used for N i and S were 2.49 and 2.55 a.u., respectively. 17 empty spheres with sphere radii in the range of 0.9 to 1.65 a.u. were also used. C onvergence

was obtained with s, p and d orbitals at N i and S atom ic spheres and s and p for the empty spheres, with 220 k points in the irreducible part of the B rillouin zone.

C ore level and valence band (VB) spectra were calculated for m illerite N is, using a N is₅ cluster as found in the solid (see Fig. 1 (b)), within a parameterized m any-body m odel including orbital dependent electron-electron (multiplet) interactions. The calculational method has been described in detail elsewhere [8,9]. The calculations were perform ed including all the transition metal 3d and sulphur 3p orbitals. In the VB calculation, Ni 3d and S 3p contributions to the valence band spectra were calculated within the same m odel and with the sam e param eter values. As the dimension of the Ham iltonian matrix is large, Lanczos m ethod was used to evaluate the spectral function and the calculated one-electron rem oval spectra were appropriately broadened to simulate the experimental spectra. In the Ni2p core level calculation, D oniach-S un jic line shape function [10] was used for broadening the discrete energy spectrum of the cluster model, in order to represent the asymmetric line shape of core levels from these highly metallic compounds; sim ilar asymmetric line shapes are also found in the other core levels in this system. In the case of VB calculations, energy dependent Lorentzian function was used for the lifetim e broadening. O ther broadening effects such as the resolution broadening and solid state e ects were taken into account by convoluting the spectra with a G aussian function. The broadening parameters were found to be consistent with values used for similar system s [8,9,11]. Since the atom ic cross-sections for the Ni3d and S 3p states are vastly di erent, it is necessary to calculate a weighted average of these two contributions to the valence band. The atom ic cross-section ratio [12] between S 3p and N i 3d states (0.17) is not appropriate in this context, since solid-state e ects alter this ratio signi cantly [13]. It was found that S 3p/N i 3d cross section ratio of approximately 5.5 times that obtained from the atom ic calculations gives the best result for the valence band calculations.

The total as well as partial Nid and S p densities of states (DOS) obtained from the LM TO band structure calculation are shown in Fig. 2. The thick solid line represents the totalDOS, while thin solid line and dashed line show the Ni3d and S 3p partialDOS, respectively. Our results are in good agreem ent with that of a previously published calculation [6]. The overall features of the DOS form illerite phase is similar to that obtained for the param agnetic hexagonal phase of N is [8]. How ever, in this case, the Ferm i-level lies in the rising part of the DOS, instead of close to a minimum in DOS as in the case of hexagonal N is where an instability in the Ferm i-surface can open up a gap in certain directions of the Ferm i-surface [1]. This distinction m ay partially be responsible for the absence of any phase transition in the millerite phase. The DOS between -3.5 eV and 1.2 eV is dominated by the N i 3d contributions. Near the Ferm i energy region, there is a substantial contribution from the S 3p states, due to the strong covalency (Nid-S p interactions) in the system, forming the antibonding states. The DOS in the energy range -5.5 eV to -3.5 eV has dom inant Ni3d and S 3p contributions and represents the bonding states of the system . In the energy range, -8 eV to -5.5 eV, S 3p contribution is dom inant with a smaller contribution from the Ni3d states; these non-bonding states of the S 3p are stabilized in energy compared to Nid-S p bonding states due to strong S-S interactions, sim ilar to the case of hexagonal N is and other sulphides [6]. The peak at 1:9 eV resulting from the Ni3d (t_{2g} -like states) is shifted to a higher energy compared to the t_{2g} states of the hexagonal N is. This is related to the form ation of the direct N i-N i bonds in the m illerite phase leading to the stabilization of this phase com pared to the hexagonal phase [6].

The band structure results are compared with the experimental data in Fig. 3. The partial densities of states of N i 3d and S 3p are broadened with the experimental XP spectroscopic resolution of 0.8 eV and are shown along with valence band spectra taken with 21.2 eV (He I), 40.8 eV (He II) and 1486.6 eV (AlK) photon energies. Such a comparison provides an experimental determination of the orbital characters of the various features in

the experimental spectra, since the photoem ission cross sections for N i 3d and S 3p vary signi cantly with the photon energy. The decrease in the intensity of features B and C in going from 21.2 eV photon energy to 40.8 eV is explained by the Cooper minimum in the S 3p photoionization cross section at around 50 eV, indicating the dominance of the S 3p contributions in these two spectral features, with feature A dominated by the N i 3d contribution. From band structure results, the feature B has contributions from S 3p as well as from N i 3d, while the feature C is dominated by S 3p states. A lthough the band structure calculations reproduce the features B and C rather well, the energy position of the feature A is not correctly reproduced, with the calculated peak appearing at about 0.4 eV higher binding energy compared to the experiment. This discrepancy between the experimental results and band structure calculations is attributed to the electron correlation e ects within the N i 3d levels; thus, it appears that correlation e ects continue to have in portant in uence even for this highly metallic system. This has promipted us to go beyond the band structure theories and study the electronic structure of this system using a cluster-model, where the electron correlation e ects are explicitly taken into account.

The N i2p core level spectrum for the millerite phase of N iS is shown in the inset of F ig. 4 by solid circles. The spectrum consists of spin-orbit split $2p_{3=2}$ (852.8 eV binding energy) and $2p_{1=2}$ (870.2 eV binding energy) signals with strong satellite features at about 859.5 eV and 876 eV binding energies, corresponding to $2p_{3=2}$ and $2p_{1=2}$ signals, respectively. The intense satellite features in the N i 2p core level spectrum point to the presence of the electron correlations in the system. The $2p_{3=2}$ and $2p_{1=2}$ peaks show strong asymmetries, sim ilar to hexagonal form of N is [8]. In order to determ ine the inelastic scattering background, we have perform ed electron energy loss spectroscopy (EELS) on these samples, with the same prim any energy as that of the N i 2p core level peak. U sing a procedure that have been previously employed [8,9], the inelastic background function obtained form illerite N is is shown in the inset of F ig. 4 as a solid line. The background function is a highly structured function with a broad plasm on loss feature around 876 eV, giving rise to an apparently stronger satellite intensity for the N i $2p_{1=2}$ peak com pared to the satellite intensity accom panying the $2p_{3=2}$

signal.

We have performed core and valence band calculations within a single model for a N iS₅ cluster. The cluster fragment used for the calculation is shown in Fig. 1(b). Within this m odel, we consider only one N i atom; e ects due to the strong N i-N i interactions present in this compound could not be considered due to the prohibitively large basis set involved in such calculations. For N ${\rm \hat{f}^+}$, the electron–electron interaction parameters, F $_{dd}^2$, F $_{dd}^4$, F $_{pd}^2$, G $_{pd}^1$ and G_{pd}^3 used were same as that for hexagonal form of N is [8]. In the main panel of F ig. 4, we show the calculated core level spectrum (solid line) including the experim entally determ ined inelastic scattering background, superim posed on the experim ental spectrum (open circles) for the parameter set S-Ni (pd) = -1.8 eV, = 1.0 eV and U $_{dd}$ = 4.0 eV. The calculated spectrum without any broadening e ect is presented as a stick diagram at the bottom of the same gure. The calculated spectrum matches reasonably well with the experimental spectrum. However, there are some di erences between the calculated spectrum and the experimental one; the rising edge of the $N i 2p_{3=2}$ at 852 eV and the satellite energy region of the $2p_{1=2}$ peak are not accurately described by the calculation. Such discrepancies between the experim ental and calculated spectrum m ay have its origin in the neglect of the strong m etalm etal bonds in the system or due to the slight di erences in the background function generated from the EELS spectrum and the actual background in the photoem ission spectrum.

The (pd) values obtained for m illerite N is (-1.8 eV) is considerably larger than that obtained for other N is system s like, hexagonal N is [8] ((pd) = 1.4 eV), N is₂ [14] ((pd) = 1.5 eV) and BaN is₂ [9] ((pd) = 1.5 eV). This signi cant increase in the (pd) for the m illerite case is related to the shorter N is bonds in the system compared to the other nickel sulphides, as mentioned earlier, leading to a high degree of covalency. The value of the charge transfer energy (= 1 eV) obtained for the m illerite N is is smaller than the hexagonal form of N is [8] (2.5 eV) and N is₂ [14] (2.0 eV). However, a similar value of has been observed in another N is system, BaN is₂ [9] (= 1.0 eV); signi cantly, BaN is₂ has the same local geometry around N i atom s as that in the m illerite N is with N is₅ cluster in a

pyram idalarrangem ent. It has been observed in the case of divalent nickel oxides [11] that as the dimensionality of the N iO connectivity decreases, the charge transfer energy decreases, via a change in the M adelung potential. Thus, the decrease in the form illerite phase compared to hexagonal N iS is also possibly due to a change in the M adelung potential arising from the decreased local coordination of N i atom s. The lower value of for the m illerite phase compared to the hexagonal phase leads to a higher degree hybridization m ixing of N i d and S p states in the system , leading to an enhanced covalency. It turns out that the on-site C oulom b interaction strength, U, is very similar between m illerite, hexagonal N iS and N iS₂ [14]. This shows that U is not very sensitive to the local co-ordination or to the m etallic/insulating property within the series of nickel sulphibles. A coording to the ZSA [15] phase diagram , these param eters (sm all and large hopping strength) place the m illerite phase deep inside the pd-m etallic regim e.

0 ur calculations suggest that the ground state wavefunction of the millerite phase consists of 52%, 42.6% and 5.4% of d^8 , d^9L and $d^{10}L^2$ congurations with a high-spin S = 1 state. The average d-occupancy (n_d) of the system is found to be 8.53, which is substantially larger than that obtained for hexagonal N is $(n_d = 8.43)$. This establishes a strongly covalent ground state of the m illerite phase, com pared to other sulphides studied. In order to understand the origin of various features in the experimental core level spectrum, we have analyzed the characters of some typical nalstates, marked 1-10 in the main panel of Fig. 4, in terms of contributions from various con gurations (d^8 , d^9L^1 and $d^{10}L^2$) (see Table I). These features can be grouped into four regions; the main peak region, 852-855 eV (labelled 1-3 in Fig. 4), the weak satellite region between 856 eV and 858 eV (labelled 4 and 5), intense satellite region between 858 eV and 861 eV (labelled 6–8) and the high energy satellites beyond 863 eV (labelled 9 and 10). The rst, third and fourth group of features have essentially similar characteristics as those in the hexagonal phase, with dom inant $d^{9}L^{1}$, signi cant contributions from all con gurations, and dom inant d⁸ con guration, respectively [8]. However, the second group of features, which is absent in the hexagonal phase of N is, have alm ost 90% of the contributions coming from the well-screened $d^{9}L^{1}$ con guration. A 1m ost pure charge transfer nature of these states is possibly due to the shorter N i-S distances and a lower value of $\ .$

W ithin the same model, we have calculated the XP valence band spectrum of the millerite phase. The calculated spectrum (solid line) along with Ni3d (dashed line) and S 3p (dot-dashed line) contributions for the millerite phase are shown superimposed on the experimental XP valence band spectrum (open circles) in Fig. 5. The inelastic scattering background is taken to have an integral energy dependence and is shown as a dotted line. The Ni3d contributions to the VB spectrum without any broadening e ects are shown as a stick diagram. The parameter set used for the VB calculation is very similar to that used for the core level calculation; however, it was found that a (pd) of -1.6 eV instead of -1.8 eV provides a better agreem ent with the experim ental result. The agreem ent between the experimental and calculated spectra is reasonably good over the entire energy range. The features A and B are reproduced rather well, however, the feature C as well as the region close to the Fermi energy (lower binding energy side of the feature A) could not be described very accurately. This is how ever, not very surprising. The feature C is dom inated by states arising from S p-S p interactions, as shown by the band structure results (see Fig. 3). Since we do not take this interaction in to account, and also due to the intrinsic limitation of a cluster model to account for such band structure e ects, the feature C is com pletely absent in the results of the cluster m odel. It is reasonable to expect the features close to the E_F to be in uenced by substantial N i N i nearest-neighbor interactions present in this compound, explaining the discrepancy between the experiment and the results based on the cluster model neglecting such metal-metal interactions.

The analysis of the ground state wave function shows that the ground state has 55.2%, 40.4% and 4.4% of d⁸, d⁹L¹ and d¹⁰L² con gurations, respectively yielding an average occupancy of 8.49, with a high-spin con guration. The analysis of the nal state con gurations for a selected set (m arked 1-10 in Fig. 5) of nal states have been carried out and the results are given in table II. The various features can be grouped into di erent categories, nam ely the main peak region at 1-3 eV binding energy (labelled 1-3, corresponding to feature A),

region B in the range of 4-7 eV (labelled 4-7) and weaker satellites beyond 7 eV (labelled 8-10 and corresponding to feature D). The rst group of features has the dom inant contribution coming from the well screened $d^{8}L^{1}$ states with a non-negligible contribution from the poorly screened d^7 states and overscreened $d^9 L^2$ states. These contributions are found to be sim ilar to those observed in the case of hexagonal N is [8]. The second group of features are predom inantly contributed by $d^{8}L^{1}$ and $d^{9}L^{2}$ states, while in the case of hexagonal phase it was dom insted by d^7 states. The third group of features have a high degree of d^7 character along with contributions from d^9L^2 character, suggesting correlation e ects within the Nid states. These high energy, weak intensity satellites manifest in the valence band spectrum as a weak tailing of the spectrum beyond about 7 eV (feature D in Fig. 5). It is to be noted here that the characters of these weak intensity features are nearly same as that of the features appearing between 6 and 8 eV binding energy in the VB spectrum of hexagonal N is which have been attributed to the spectral signature of the lower Hubbard band, persisting in the pd-m etallic regime. Hence, the lower Hubbard band features are shifted to higher binding energies as well as becoming weaker in intensity in going from hexagonal N is to m illerite N is, prim arily due to an enhanced hopping strength in the m illerite phase driving the system deeper in to the metallic regime.

A lithough the presence of the H ubbard bands in the m etallic regime has been predicted by the dynam icalmean-eld theoretical (DMFT) calculations, the evolution of these spectral features well inside the m etallic regime has not been studied in detail as a function of various electronic interaction strengths. A recent DMFT calculation for the spectral functions of a charge-transfer insulator near the m etal-insulator transition boundary [16] suggests that within the m etallic regime, the spectral signatures of the H ubbard band m oves towards the Ferm i-level, collapsing with the coherent states, forming the m etallic ground state of the system with decreasing . On the other hand, our experimental results establish that well inside the pd-m etallic regime, the H ubbard band is further stabilized, m oving towards higher binding energy region with increasing m etallicity driven by enhanced hopping interaction strength between N i d and S p states. Further experimental and theoretical e orts are

needed in this direction to have a better understanding of the evolution of the electronic structure of these system s.

In conclusion, the millerite phase of N is has been studied by means of electron spectroscopic techniques, band structure and model H am iltonian calculations. The band structure calculations were found to be more successful in describing the experimental valence band spectrum in comparison to the case of hexagonal N is, suggesting a reduced e ect of electron correlation in the millerite phase. This is consistent with the highly conducting ground state of the millerite phase, in contrast to the antiferrom agnetic and poorly conducting ground state of hexagonal N is. However, calculations including the electron correlation e ects are found to be necessary for the description of certain features in the experimental spectra, indicating importance of such interaction e ects even for such a highly metallic system. Thus, it appears that both band structure e ects and correlation e ects need to be treated on an equal footing for a complete description of such systems. The various electronic param eter strengths obtained from these calculations indicate that the millerite phase of N is is a highly covalent metal (pd-metal). From the comparative study between hexagonal and millerite phases of N is, the evolution of the spectral functions in a pd-metal as a function of the covalency is discussed.

V.ACKNOW LEDGMENTS

The authors thank Professor C.N.R.Rao for continued support and the Department of Science and Technology, and the Board of Research in Nuclear Sciences, Government of India, for nancial support. SRK thanks Dr. P.M ahadevan for helpful discussions. DDS thanks Dr. M.Methfessel, Dr. A.T.Paxton, and Dr. M. van Schiligaarde for making the LM TO -A SA band structure program available. The authors also thank Professor S. Ram asesha and the Supercomputer Education and Research Center, Indian Institute of Science, for providing the computational facility.

REFERENCES

- [?] A lso at Jawaharlal N ehru C enter for A dvanced Scienti c R esearch, B angalore, India.
 E lectronic address: sam a@ sscu.iisc.emet.in
 - [1] D.D.Sarma, S.R.Krishnakumar, Nimala Chandrasekharan, E.Weschke, C.Schuler-Langeheine, L.Kilian, and G.Kaindl, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 1284 (1998).
 - M. Nakamura, A. Sekiyama, H. Namatame, H. Kino, A. Fujimori, A. Misu, H. Ikoma,
 M. Matoba, and S. Anzai, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73, 2891 (1994).
 - [3] F. Hulliger, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 26, 639 (1965).
 - [4] R.Benoit, J.Chim. Phys. 52, 119 (1955).
 - [5] N.H.Kolkmeijer and Th.A.L.Moesvelt, Z.Kristallorg., Kristallgeom., Kristallphys., Kristallchem. 80, 91 (1931); J.D.Grice and R.B.Ferguson, Canad. Miner. 12, 248 (1974); V.Rajamani and C.T.Prewitt, Canad. Miner. 12, 253 (1974).
 - [6] P. Raybaud, J. Hafner, G. Kresse, and H. Toulhoat, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter. 9, 11107 (1997).
 - [7] S.Anzai, M. Matoba, M. Hatori, and H. Sakamoto, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 55, 2531 (1986).
 - [8] S.R.Krishnakum ar, N.Shanthi, Priya M ahadevan, and D.D.Sarm a, Phys.Rev.B 61, 16370 (2000); S.R.Krishnakum ar, N.Shanthi, Priya M ahadevan, and D.D.Sarm a, Phys.Rev.B 62, 10570(E) (2000).
 - [9] S. R. Krishnakum ar, T. Saha-Dasgupta, N. Shanthi, Priya Mahadevan, and D. D. Sarma, Phys. Rev. B 63, 045111 (2001).
- [10] S.Doniach and M. Sunjic, J. Phys. C 3, 285 (1970).
- [11] K.Maiti, P.Mahadevan, and D.D.Sama, Phys. Rev. B 59, 12457 (1999).
- [12] J.J.Yeh and I.Lindau, At.D ata Nucl.D ata Tables 32, 1 (1985).

[13] D.D.Sama, N.Shanthi and Priya Mahadevan, Phys. Rev. B 54, 1622 (1996).

[14] S.R.Krishnakum ar and D.D.Sarm a, Unpublished results.

- [15] J. Zaanen, G. A. Sawazky, and J. W. Allen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 55, 418 (1985); D. D. Sarm a, H. R. Krishnam urthy, Seva N in kar, P. P. M itra, S. Ram asesha and T. V. Ram akrishnan, Pram ana J. Phys. 38, L531 (1992); Seva N in kar, D. D. Sarm a, H. R. Krishnam urthy, and S. Ram asesha, Phys. Rev. B 48, 7355 (1993).
- [16] H.W atanabe and S.D oniach, Phys. Rev. B 57, 3829 (1998).

FIGURES

FIG.1. (a) Schem atic representation of the crystal structure of the millerite N is.D ark spheres represent N i atom s and grey spheres represent S atom s. (b) The local structural environment of the N i atom which is penta-coordinated with S atom s in the millerite N is.

FIG.2. The total density of states (thick solid line) as well as partial N i 3d (thin line) and S 3p (dashed line) density of states obtained from the LM TO band structure calculations for the millerite phase of N is. The zero of the energy scale refers to the Ferm i energy.

FIG.3. Experimental valence band spectra of millerite N is using 21.2 eV (He I), 40.8 eV (He I) and 1486.6 eV (XPS) photon energies along with the LM TO band structure partial DOS for N i 3d and S 3p, broadened by the experimental XP resolution function. Various features in the spectra are shown by the vertical lines and are labeled as A, B and C (see text).

FIG.4. The Ni2p core level spectrum of the millerite NiS (solid circles) along with the generated inelastic scattering background function from the EELS spectrum at the same primary beam energy are shown in the inset. In the main panel, experimental Ni2p spectrum (open circles) along with the calculated spectrum (solid line) for millerite NiS obtained from the cluster calculation are shown. Various nalstates of the cluster calculation and the corresponding intensity contributions without any broadening are shown as the stick diagram s.

FIG.5. The experimental VB spectrum (open circles) along with the calculated spectrum (solid line), Ni 3d component (dashed line), S 3p component (dot-dashed line) and the integral background (dotted line) are shown for millerite NiS. The nal states of the calculation and the corresponding intensities without any broadening are shown as the energy stick diagram s.


TABLES

TABLE I. Contributions from various con gurations in the nalstates of the Ni2p core level photoem ission in m illerite N is. The peak numberings correspond to the labels indicated in Fig. 4; the corresponding binding energies (BE) in eV are also shown.


Peak no.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
BE	852 . 9	853 . 7	854.3	857.2	857.4	859.0	859 . 7	860.7	864.8	866.4
d ⁸	16.48	12.57	10.06	4.77	6.35	26 . 67	28 . 96	22 . 91	60 . 43	72.18
$d^9 \underline{L}^1$	57.35	58 . 53	56 . 63	90.58	87 . 05	44.58	29 . 89	33.25	30.84	23.81
d ¹⁰ <u>L</u> ²	26.17	28 . 90	33.41	4.65	6.60	28.75	41.15	43.84	8.73	4.01

TABLE II. Contributions from various con gurations in the nal states of valence band photoem ission in m illerite N iS. The peak numberings correspond to the labels indicated in Fig. 5; the corresponding binding energies (BE) in eV are also shown.


Peak no.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
BE	1.4	1.67	2.18	4.40	4.83	5.12	6.23	7 . 64	8,33	8.57
d ⁷	16.70	14 . 64	9.34	0.94	1.69	0.90	4.3	39.66	32.55	26 . 98
$d^8 \underline{L}^1$	53 . 93	53 . 70	52 . 81	66 . 49	64.14	71.58	59.25	10.12	13.39	17.56
d ⁹ <u>L</u> ²	26 . 90	28 . 96	34.36	31 . 99	33.37	26 . 98	35 . 68	41.44	38 . 94	41.08
d ¹⁰ L ³	2.47	2.70	3.49	0.58	0.80	0.54	0.77	8.78	15.12	14.38




Krishnakumar et al., Fig. 1




Krishnakumar et al., Fig. 2



Krishnakumar et al., Fig. 3



Krishnakumar et al., Fig. 4



Krishnakumar et al., Fig. 5