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#### Abstract

W e present m olecular dynam ics sim ulations ofm ono-or bidisperse inelastic granular gases driven by vibrating walls, in two dim ensions (w ithout gravity). Because of the energy in jection at the boundaries, a situation often $m$ et experim entally, density and tem perature elds display heterogeneous pro les in the direction perpendicular to the walls. A generalequation of state for an arbitrary m ixture of uidized inelastic hard spheres is derived and successfully tested against num erical data. Single-particle velocity distribution functions with non-G aussian features are also obtained, and the in uence of various param eters (inelasticity coe cients, density...) analyzed. The validity of a recently proposed $R$ andom Restitution $C$ oe cient $m$ odel is assessed through the study of projected collisions onto the direction perpendicular to that of energy in jection. For the binary $m$ ixture, the non-equipartition oftranslationalkinetic energy is studied and com pared both to experim entaldata and to the case of hom ogeneous energy in jection (\stochastic them ostat"). T he rescaled velocity distribution functions are found to be very sim ilar for both species.


## I. INTRODUCTION

D ue to the intrinsic dissipative character of inter-particle collisions, an energy supply is requested to uidize a granular gas. $T$ his is often achieved by a vibrating boundary, and the resulting vibro- uidized beds provide non trivial realizations ofnon equilibrium steady states. T he understanding ofsuch far from equilibrium system s requires a correct description of the energy exchange betw een the vibrating piston and the granularm edium, as well as a macroscopic continuum theory to describe the evolution of the relevant coarse-grained elds[], [\}] (density, tem perature etc...). In particular, the derivation of an accurate equation of state is a key step in the hydrodynam ic approach.

A sim ple, fair and much studied theoretical fram ew ork to capture the inelastic nature of grain-grain collisions in a rapid granular ow is provided by the inelastic hard sphere $m$ odel [B, [4]. In this article, we present the results of extensive molecular dynam ics (MD) sim ulations of inelastic hard spheres driven by an energy in jection at the boundaries, for both a one com ponent $u$ id ( $m$ ono-disperse case) and a binary $m$ ixture (bidisperse situation). We analyze in detail the e ects of several param eters that $m$ ay be di cult to tune experim entally, with a particular em phasis on the pro les of the hydrodynam ic elds.

This article is organized as follow s: in section II, we present the model and derive an equation of state for an arbitrary $m$ ixture of inelastic hard spheres, going beyond the ideal gas contribution in view of perform ing accurate hydrodynam ic tests. The equation of state obtained is a natural generalization of its standard counterpart for elastic hard spheres. The two follow ing sections (IIT and IV ) are then devoted to molecular dynam ics sim ulations for onecom ponent system $s$ and for binary $m$ ixtures. In both cases, we restrict ourselves to two-dim ensional sim ulations, both for sim plicity and for com parisonsw ith 2 D experim entaldata [6, [6, 西]. A $s$ in the experim ents, the energy loss due to inelastic collisions is com pensated forby an energy in jection by vibrating or therm alw alls, which leads to heterogeneous density and tem perature pro les. The various pro les and velocity distribution functions are studied and com pared w ith experim ents whenever possible. M oreover, pro jecting the dynam ics onto the direction perpendicular to that of energy in jection allow s to assess the validity of the random restitution coe cient model proposed in (G, 10]. The in uence of various param eters on the non-equipartition of energy in a binary $m$ ixture is studied in section II, and com parison w ith experim ental data and w th the case of hom ogeneous energy in jection is perform ed. In this latter case, the velocity distribution functions are analyzed and shown to be very sim ilar for the two species. C onclusions are nally presented in section $\square$.

## II. THEMODEL \{COMPUTATION OFANEQUATIONOFSTATE

$W$ e consider a mixture of $N_{s}$ species of hard spheres in dim ension $d$, $w$ ith diam eters $i$ and $m$ asses $m{ }_{i}$, where 1 i $N_{s}$. A binary collision betw een grains of species $i$ and $j$ is $m$ om entum conserving and dissipates kinetic

[^0]energy. In the sim plest version of the $m$ odel, the collision $i-j$ is characterized by one inelasticity param eter: the coe cient of nom al restitution $i j$. A ccordingly, the pre-collisional velocities ( $v_{i} ; v_{j}$ ) are transform ed into the postcollisional couple ( $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}^{0} ; \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j}}^{0}$ ) such that
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{i}^{0}=v_{i} \frac{m_{j}}{m_{i}+m_{j}}\left(1+i_{j}\right)\left(b \quad{ }_{\mathrm{Y}}^{\mathrm{j}}\right) \mathrm{b}  \tag{1}\\
& v_{j}^{0}=v_{j}+\frac{m_{i}}{m_{i}+m_{j}}\left(1+i_{j}\right)\left(b \quad Y_{j}\right) b \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

$w$ here $v_{i j}=v_{i} \quad v_{j}$ and $b$ is the center to center unit vector from particle $i$ to $j$. $N$ ote that $i j_{j i}=j$ to ensure the conservation of total linear $m$ om entum $m{ }_{i} V_{i}+m_{j} V_{j}$.

W e also considered an extension of the previousm odel allow ing for rotations, introducing a coe cient of tangential restitution ${ }_{i j}^{t}$ [1] , see appendix A. The collision law (B)- (A) is then recovered for ${ }_{i j}^{t}=1$.

Irrespective of the value of the tangential restitution coe cient ${ }^{t}$, the linearm om entum change for particle i in a collision i-j reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{i}=\quad p_{j}=\frac{m_{i} m_{j}}{m_{i}+m_{j}}\left(1+i_{j j}\right)\left(b \quad \mathrm{y}_{j}\right) b: \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In appendix $B$, we use this relation to com pute an equation of state for the hom ogeneous isotropic $m$ ixture, invoking the virial theorem (the pressure is de ned kinetically from the $m$ om entum transfer and does not follow from a statistical $m$ echanics derivation). The total density is denoted and the partial densities $i=x_{i}$ (the number fractions $x_{i}$ are such that ${ }_{i} x_{i}=1$ ). The tem perature of species $i$ is $T_{i}$, de ned from the $m$ ean kinetic energy of subpopulation i: $\mathrm{dT}_{\mathrm{i}}=1 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}$. Only for an elastic system is the energy equipartition $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{T}$; 8i recovered $5,12,13,14,15,16,17,16,13,20,21,22]$. It is found in appendix $B$ that the pressure in dim ension d reads
independently of $t_{i j}$, where $i j=\left(i+{ }_{j}\right)=2, h_{i}{ }_{i}=P{ }_{i} x_{i}{ }_{i}^{d}$, is the packing fraction (e.g. $=h^{3} i=6$ in three dim ensions), and the $i_{i j}$ are the pair correlation functions at contact. T he latter \{unknow $n\{$ quantities $m$ ay be approxim ated by their elastic counterparts (see 23] for a general procedure to infer reliable pair correlation functions in a multi-com ponent d-dim ensional hard-sphere uid from the equation of state of the $m$ ono-disperse system ). In the follow ing analysis, it will tum su $p$ cient to include only the low density behaviour $i j=1$ to im prove upon the ideal equation of state $P=P^{\text {ideal }}={ }^{2} \quad{ }_{i} T_{i}$, that holds for ! 0 only. We emphasize that no approxim ation has been $m$ ade on the single-particle velocity distribution in the derivation of Eq. 4) (the key assum ption is that the tw o-body distribution function factorizes at contact in a product of the single-particle distribution 24]).

It is instructive to check the validity of our equation of state by considering the elastic lim it where ij $=1$ and $T_{i}=T . A$ straightforw ard calculation (under the reasonable and often $m$ ade assum ption that $i j^{=} \quad$ ji) show $s$ that the $m$ ass ratio simpli es and expression (4) $m$ ay be cast in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{P}{T}=1+2^{d 1}{ }_{i ; j}^{X} x_{i} x_{j} \frac{d_{i j}^{d}}{h^{d}} i_{i j} ; \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the correct result (see e.g. 25]). In particular, for the single species (m ono-disperse) problem, we recover the virial relation $P=(T)=1+2^{\text {d }}$

W e nally generalize Eq. (4) to the sifuation of a continuous size distribution, w ith a probability density distribution $W$ ( ) (nom alized to 1 so that $h^{n} i={ }^{n} W$ ); the tem perature is in generala continuous function $T$ () of size and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{P}={ }^{Z} W() T() d+\frac{Z}{2} d d^{0} W() W\left({ }^{0}\right) \frac{m o}{m+m 0_{0}}(1+\quad 0) T() \frac{\left(+{ }^{0}\right)^{d}}{h^{d}{ }_{i}} \quad 0: \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the follow ing sections, the above equation of state $w$ illbe used to test hydrodynam ic predictions for a m onodisperse system and for a binary mixture.
III. MOLECULAR DYNAM ICS SIMULATIONS FOR THEONECOMPONENTSYSTEM

> A. Introduction
$W$ e have im plem ented $m$ olecular dynam ics sim ulations $w$ ith an event-driven algorithm for $N$ sphericalparticles in a tw o-dim ensionall L box. P eriodic boundary conditions are en forced in the $x$ direction, while the energy loss due to
collisions is com pensated by an energy in jection by tw o walls situated at $y=0$ and $y=L$ (we consider the am plitude ofm otion of the walls to be sm all so that their positions are considered as xed [b], which avoids the com plication of heat pulses propagating through the system [2]]). W e w ill refer to the y direction as the \vertical" one, although we are interested in regim es for which gravity can be neglected G] (i.e. when the shaking is violent enough). T he energy can be in jected in two ways:
by them al walls which im pose a given tem perature of order $\mathrm{T}_{0}$ 27]: when a particle collides with the wall, its new vertical (along y) velocity is extracted at random from the probability distribution function $\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{P}_{0} \exp \left[\mathrm{v}^{2}=\left(2^{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{T}_{0}\right)\right]$, whereas $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{x}}$ is una ected.
by vibrating walls: for sim plicity, we consider walls of in nite $m$ ass $m$ oving in a saw tooth $m$ anner: all particles colliding with a wall nd it w th the sam e velocity $v>0$ at $y=0, v_{0}$ at $y=L . T$ he particlewall collisions are considered elastic. A particle of velocity $v$ w ith $v_{y}<0$ colliding $w$ th the bottom wallat $y=0$ (resp. $v_{y}>0$ at the upper $w a l l$ ) sees its velocity change to $v^{0}$ according to $v_{y}^{0}=2 v_{0} \quad v_{y}\left(\right.$ resp $\left.. v_{y}^{0}=2 v_{0} \quad v_{y}\right)$, whereas the x -com ponent is una ected ( $\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{x}}$ ).

In both cases, energy is in jected in the vertical direction only, and transferred to the other degrees of freedom through inter-particle collisions. The vibrating walls being the situation closer to the experim ental one, m ost of our results will be presented in this case, and the e ect of in jection m odes willbe brie y discussed.

In this section, we consider the $m$ onodisperse case: allparticles have the sam em ass $m(=1)$, diam eter , restitution coe cients and ${ }^{t}$. M ost of the simulations are done $w$ th $N=500$ particles, som $\mathrm{e} w$ th $\mathrm{N}=1000$ particles (low enough to avoid chustering or inelastic collapse). For our tw o-dim ensional system, the local packing fraction at height $y$, where the localdensity is $(y)$, is de ned as $(y)=(y)^{2}=4$. The global ( $m$ ean) packing fraction is denoted 0 : $0=\begin{gathered}\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}} \\ 0\end{gathered} \quad(\mathrm{y}) \mathrm{dy}=\mathrm{L}$.

Starting from a random con guration of the particles (w th the constraint of no overlap), we let the system evolve until a steady state is reached. D ata on density and tem perature pro les as well as on velocity distributions are $m$ ontored as a tim e averages; the various quantities are averaged along the x direction since the system rem ains hom ogeneous in this direction.

> B. D ensity and tem perature pro les

The rst observations concem the density and tem perature pro les: Figs. 11 and 2 show that the density is low er near the walls, where the tem perature is higher as expected since energy is in jected at the walls and dissipated in the bulk of the system 28]. The pro les are qualitatively sim ilar for them al or vibrating boundaries. M oreover, the whole tem perature pro $l e$ is proportional to the tem perature $T_{0}$ im posed by a them alwall or to the square of the velocity $v_{0}$ of the vibrating boundary, while a change in $T_{0}$ or $v_{0}$ does not change the density pro le (not shown). As the $m$ ean density increases or decreases, the pro les get $m$ ore heterogeneous; as ${ }^{t}$ is increased, m ore energy is transferred to rotational degrees of freedom, so that the tem perature decreases, while the density pro les become slightly m ore peaked (F ig. 2) .

Fig. 3 clearly show $s$ another feature resulting from the energy in jection into the verticaldirection: the tem perature is anisotropic, i.e. $h v_{x}^{2} i \not h v_{y}^{2} i$, w ith $T_{y}>T>T_{x} . T$ he anisotropy $A(y)=\left(T_{y} \quad T_{x}\right)=(2 T)$ is larger at the boundaries, where energy is fed into the vertical direction, decreases due to inter-particle collisions, and reaches a plateau in the m iddle of the slab. T he plateau value decreases for increasing num ber of particles or increasing densities (not show $n$ ), as in experim ents [7]; the global anisotropy pro $l e$ and the plateau values are com parable to experim ental values [ $\dagger$ ].

## C. Equation of state and hydrodynam ics

The equation of state derived in section II reduces, in the case of a tw o dim ensional one-com ponent hom ogeneous system, to the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{T}[1+(1+)] ; \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, the pair correlation function at contact, depends on the packing fraction. We will use the form = (1 $7=16)=(1 \quad f$, which has been show $n$ to be accurate for elastic hard disk liquids 29].
$T$ he hydrodynam ic equations (see appendix C, and 回]) lead to $\varrho_{y} P=0$ in the absence of a ow eld. We check in $F$ ig. 4 (a) the constancy of $P$ w th $y$ by plotting the idealgas contribution ( $y$ ) $T$ ( $y$ ) (lines) and $P$ ( $y$ ) given by Eq. (7) (i.e. ideal gas contribution plus Enskog correction). W hile, at sm all enough densities (not shown), (y)T (y) is
constant in the bulk (y 2 [0:2L; 0:8L]), the Enskog correction is necessary for the densities used in Fig . 4 (note that the density can be quite larger in the $m$ iddle of the system than at the boundaries). W e also note that the inelasticity term $(1+\quad)$ is relevant the pro les of $T(1+2)$, not show $n$, do not display a uniform shape $w$ ith $y$ ]. In all cases, boundary layers ( $\mathrm{y}<0: 2 \mathrm{~L}$ and $\mathrm{y}>0: 8 \mathrm{~L}$ ) are observed [1] in which the pressure decreases. This discrepancy can be related to the anisotropy described in the previous subsection (pressure and tem perature are $m$ ost anisotropic near the walls).

The com parison w ith hydrodynam ics may be im proved as follow $s$. The pressure tensor $P$ is diagonalin the present no ow situation, but has di erent $x x$ and yy com ponents, and the hom ogeneity along the $x$ direction im plies that the condition ofvanishing ow eld $r \quad P=0$ reduces tQ $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{yy}}=0$. W e therefore check in F ig. 4 (b) that the yy com ponent of the pressure tensor, given by the equation of state (7) with the total tem perature $T=\left(T_{x}+T_{y}\right)=2$ replaced by its vertical com ponent $T_{y}$, is uniform in the whole system. $W$ ith Enskog correction, the corresponding pro les are rem arkably at. This result could be tested in experim ental situations in which both $T_{x}$ and $T_{y}$ are $m$ easured. Such an analysis validates both the hydrodynam ic picture and the equation of state proposed by autom atically sam pling severaldensities in a single run.

At low densities, assum ing the ideal gas equation of state to hold, the hydrodynam ic study of $R$ ef. [l] (recalled in appendix ( ), leads to the follow ing analytical prediction for the tem perature pro $l e$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{Y}{L} & =\frac{+\sinh \cosh (m}{\left.m+\sinh _{r^{m}}\right)} \\
& =\frac{m}{2} \cosh ^{1} \frac{T}{T_{0}} \cosh \frac{m}{2} \quad ; \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $T_{0}$ is the tem perature at the boundaries and $m$ is proportional to the total num ber of particles. The corresponding ts of the tem perature pro les are shown in Fig.5; a good agreem ent is obtained, especially at low er densities as expected [since the ideal gas equation of state is a crucial ingredient in the derivation of Eqs. (G)]. We use one tting param eter $m$ to obtain $T=T_{0}$. Fig. th showed that consideration of the \vertical" pressure $P_{y y}$ led to a better agreem ent $w$ ith hydrodynam ic predictions than the total $P_{x x}+P_{y y}$. A sim ilar conclusion is incorrect for the tem perature pro les: the transport equation for the tem perature is scalar [see Eq. (C ( ) ] and Eqs. ( $\beta$ ) hold for the total $T$, not for the vertical $T_{y}$.

## D. Velocity distributions

Because of the energy in jection through the walls, the velocily distributions are anisotropic, and a prioridepend on the distance to the walls. The vertical velocity distribution also depends on the nature of the walls as show $n$ in $F$ ig. (1. A sm ooth distribution is obtained in the vicinity of a therm alw all, while the incom ing and out-com ing particles yield tw o separated peaks for vibrating walls (see also 1] ]).

O $n$ the otherhand, the rescaled horizontalvelocity distribution $P\left(c_{x}\right)$ (w ith $c_{x}=v_{x}={ }^{p} \overline{T_{x}}$ ) is rem arkably independent of the distance from the walls (outside the boundary layers), even if the tem perature changes with y. Fig. $\square$ shows clearly non-G aussian features sim ilar to the experim entally observed ones $6,12,301$, w ith in particular overpopulated both sm all-velocity and high-velocity regions. A slight dependence on the param eters is obtained: P ( $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{x}}$ ) broadens if the inelasticity increases (i.e. if decreases), if ${ }^{t}$ increases, or if 0 or N increase. Experim entally, the dependence on density or $m$ aterial properties is weak and di cult to $m$ easure 目] but seem $s$ to exist, in particular as far as $N$ is varied [8]. The angular velocity distributions, also displayed in $F$ ig. 7 , share a sim ilar non-G aussian character and the sam e dependence w ith the param eters.

As density or inelasticity are further increased, clustering phenom ena $m$ ay occur, leading to heterogeneities along the x direction, w th the coexistence of colder, denser regions w ith hotter, less dense ones. T he average over the x direction then leads to arti cially broad P (G).

Finally, as a general rule, therm alwalls lead to slightly broader velocity distributions than vibrating walls.

> E. E ective restitution coe cients

W e now tum to the study of the e ective inelasticities introduced in the context of a R andom Restitution C oe cient $m$ odel ( $R$ RC) 9, 10]: even if the restitution coe cient is constant, the energy is in jected in the vertical direction and transferred to other degrees of freedom through collisions, so that the e ective restitution coe cient for collisions
pro jected onto the x direction,

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \mathrm{~d}=\frac{\mathrm{v}_{12 ; \mathrm{x}}^{0}}{\mathrm{v}_{12 ; \mathrm{x}}} ; \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$m$ ay be either smaller or larger than 1. This leads to the de nition of a one-dim ensionale ective model with a restitution coe cient taken at random from a given distribution at each collision 0 , 10].

Values of 1d have been experim entally m easured 8, 19] and show $n$ to display a broad probability distribution ( 1 d ) very sim ilar for various $m$ aterials and densities. W e have $m$ easured $1 d$ for $m$ any collisions and thus obtained its distribution, displayed in $F$ ig. 8 together $w$ th experim entaldata for steeland glass beads. A rem arkable agreem ent is found. O ur study show s that ( 1 d ) display a ${ }_{1 \mathrm{~d}}^{2}$ tail for $1 \mathrm{~d}>1$, irrespective of ${ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }^{\mathrm{t}}$ and density.
$T$ he im portance of the correlations betw een 1 d and the relative velocity $g=v_{12}=\overline{2 T}$ of the colliding particles has been em phasized in [10] and is revealed by the com putation of ( $1 \mathrm{~d} \dot{\mathrm{~g}}_{\mathrm{x}}$ ), the distribution of 1 d conditioned by a given value of $g_{x}$; although no precise experim ental determ ination of the conditional ( $1 d \dot{g}_{x}$ ) could be achieved in [10], strong evidences for a sharp cut-o / 1=q, at large values of 1 d were provided and the form ( $1 \mathrm{~d} \dot{\mathrm{~g}}_{\mathrm{x}}$ )/ $\exp \left(1 d g_{x}\right)^{2}=R$ at large $1 d$ hasbeen proposed. The conditional ( $1 \mathrm{~d} \dot{g}_{x}$ ) obtained in the presentM D sim ulations con $m$ the above picture; they are displayed in $F$ ig $\beta$ and show an $\exp \quad\left({ }_{1 d} g_{x}\right)^{2}=R$ decrease for the case ofvibrating walls (closer to the experim ental situation), and a broader form exp [ ( $1 \mathrm{~d} g_{x}$ ) $=R^{0}$ ] for them al walls. M oreover, although $(1 d)$ is not sensitive to the various param eters, the cut-o $R$ increases [i.e. leads to broader ( $\left.1 \mathrm{~d} \dot{\mathrm{~g}}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)$ ] if decreases, and if ${ }^{t}$ or 0 increase.
$T$ hese ndings, together $w$ th the evolution of the velocity distributions $P$ ( $G$ ) $w$ ith the param eters, is in com plete agreem ent w th the one-dim ensional e ective RRC model put forward in [1p], for which broader conditional distributions ( $1 d \dot{g}_{x}$ ) are linked to broader $P\left(c_{x}\right)$ (at large $c_{x}$, com pared to the $G$ aussian).
$F$ inally, the energy restitution coe cient

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{\mathrm{v}_{12}^{0}}{\mathrm{v}_{12}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$m$ ay also be viewed as a random variable that can take values larger than unity due to energy transfers between rotationaland translationaldegrees of freedom 8, 10]. Fig. 10 displays the p.d.f. ( ) obtained in the M D sim ulations for various values of ${ }^{t}$, together $w$ th the experim ental data of 8,10$]$ for steelbeads. ( ) becom es $w$ ider as ${ }^{t}$ is increased, but the experim ental distribution is broader, which $m$ ay be traced back to the fact that in the experim ents $m$ entioned above, the beads can rotate in three dim ensions whereas our sim ulations are lim ited to 2D rotations.

## IV. M OLECULAR DYNAM ICS SIM ULATIONS FOR THE BINARYM IXTURE

In this section, we investigate the properties of vibrated binary m ixtures; such system s have recently attracted m uch attention, both on the experim ental $5,12,13]$ and theoretical side $14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,31,32]$. In particular the breakdow $n$ of energy equipartition betw een the tw o constituents of the $m$ ixture has been thoroughly investigated.

Them ain di erence w ith previous studies consists here in the realistic character ofboth M D sim ulations (as opposed to $M$ onte $C$ arlo $m$ ethods) and the energy in jection $m$ echanism at the boundaries; the set-up is the sam e as in the previous section, $w$ ith how ever tw o types of particles, $w$ th $m$ asses $m_{1}, m_{2}$, sizes $1_{1}, 2 . T$ he three norm al restitution coe cients (corresponding to the three possible types of collisions) are $11,12=21,22$. In the context of a forcing $m$ echanism through a random extemal force 24, 33], it has been shown that the in uence of size ratio on the tem perature ratio $m$ easuring the energy non-equipartition was rather weak 16] com pared to that of inelasticity param eters or $m$ ass ratio. W e shall consequently lim it our study to identical sizes $1=2$ in two dim ensions, which corresponds to the experim ental situation we will refer to 6, 8]. For sim plicity, the tangential restitution coe cients
${ }_{i j}^{\mathrm{t}}$ are also taken equal.
As in the $m$ onodisperse case, we $m$ easure density and tem perature pro les, velocity distributions as well as the tem perature ratios $(y)=T_{2}(y)=T_{1}(y), x(y)=T_{2 ; x}(y)=T_{1 ; x}(y), \quad y(y)=T_{2 ; y}(y)=T_{1 ; y}(y)$. Some com parison $w$ ith experim ental data 5 , 8] w ill be proposed whenever possible.

## A. Equation of state

We rst test the equation of state (4) in Fig .1. A $s$ in the $m$ onodisperse case, the Enskog correction is clearly relevant, even at low global densities, since the density pro les reach relatively high values for $y^{\prime} \mathrm{L}=2$. It is how ever
su cient to truncate the equation of state at second virialorder, which am ounts to take the low density lim iting value $i_{j}=1$ for the pair correlation functions at contact:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \quad{ }_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{1}+{ }_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{2}+\frac{2}{2\left(\mathrm{~m}_{1}+\mathrm{m}_{2}\right)}(1+\quad 11)_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~m}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{1}+(1+\quad 12){ }_{1}{ }_{2}\left(\mathrm{~m}_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{2}+\mathrm{m}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{1}\right)+\left(1+\quad{ }_{22}\right)_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~m}_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{2}: \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$M$ oreover, the boundary layer in which the anisotropy is strong is still apparent if the globaltem peratures $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ are used, while use of the verticalones $\mathrm{T}_{1 ; y}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{2 ; \mathrm{y}}$, suggested by the anisotropy of tem peratures and pressure as in the $m$ onodisperse case, leads to a uniform yy com ponent of the pressure tensor in the whole system. The fiunctional dependence of pressure upon densities is therefore accurately reproduced by the equation of state 11).

A though we have not extended the hydrodynam ic approach of B rey et al. [1] to binary m ixtures (it would be possible $m$ aking use of the $N$ avier-Stokes like equations derived in 31] w here only the overall tem perature associated w ith both species serves as a hydrodynam ic eld, but w here the transport coe cients explicitly depend on tem perature ratio), we see in Fig. 12 that the tem perature pro les can be tted, at low density, by the form $\square 8$ ). W e em phasize that there is no fundam ental reason for the agreem ent. The quality of the $t$ is $m u c h$ better for the less $m$ assive particles whose density is m ore hom ogeneous across the system (see next subsection). For sim plicity, we have used the short hand notation $i j=0: 7 ; 0: 8 ; 0: 9$ for the situation where $11=0: 7,12=0: 8$ and $22=0: 9$.
B. $N$ on equipartition of translational $k$ inetic energy

The density and tem perature pro les are displayed for various values of the param eters in F igs $\sqrt[1 \beta]{ }$ and 17 . The $m$ ore $m$ assive particles (labeled 1), which display a $m$ ore heterogeneous pro $l e$ and are denser in the $m$ iddle of the cell, have typically larger kinetic energies than the lighter ones: generically $=T_{2}=T_{1}$ is sm aller than 1 , as in hom ogeneous $m$ ixtures 15, 16]. The study of the $y$-dependence of show $s$ that increases from the boundaries to the center of the system, and is constant across a w ide range of $y$ even if $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ vary signi cantly. A s also experim entally show $n$ in $\left.5^{[ }\right]$, is very close to 1 if $m_{1}=m_{2}$, even if the inelasticities of the particles are di erent. It decreases if the $m$ ass ratio increases ( $F$ igs. 13), but displays only a very weak (but strikingly sim ilar to experim ental data) sensitivity on the global density ( $F$ ig. 15) as well as on the relative densities of heavy and light particles; $m$ oreover, $m$ ay increase or decrease as $1 ; 0=2 ; 0$ is increased (see Fig. 16), depending on the relative inelasticities.
 also di erent shapes: $x$ decreases from the walls to the center while and $y$ increase ( F ig. 15). All these results are in very good agreem ent w ith the existing experim ental results for two-dim ensional vibrated m ixtures 回, [8]. We sum $m$ arize in tables and som e of the ects reported here.
$W$ hen rotations are included (and thus ${ }^{t}>1$ ), decreases. M oreover, the ratio of rotationalkinetic energies r can then be $m$ easured. A s show $n$ in tables $\ddagger$ and $\eta_{r} r$ takes values of the sam e order as. . This quantity $m$ ay also be com puted from experim entaldata, although $m$ easures of rotational velocities are a priorim ore di cult than that of translational ones.

The $m$ easured values of are of the sam e order as the experim ental data. W e do not how ever try to obtain a precise num erical agreem ent for the follow ing reasons: (i) in the experim ents of 5], the beads can rotate in three dim ensions, whereas the sim ulated spheres rotate in tw o dim ensions only; since ${ }^{t}$ has a stronge ect on , we suspect that this di erence betw een experim ents and sim ulations $m$ ay a ect ; m oreover, the experim ental value of ${ }^{t}$ is not know $n$, and the precise validity of the inelastic hard sphere $m$ odel with a tangential restitution coe cient should be assessed; (ii) di erent energy in jection m echan ism s (therm al vs. vibrating walls, hom ogeneous driving vs. in jection at the boundaries) lead to di erent values of ; even if the energy in jection by vibrating walls is reasonably realistic, such a sensitivity of renders its precise num erical prediction elusive.
$N$ onetheless, the qualitative very good agreem ent, even for subtle e ects (see e.g. Fig. 1\$), betw een num erics and experim ents, and the possibility to change the various param eters in the sim ulations, allow us to $m$ ake som e predictions on the e ect of various param eters: for exam ple, increasing the $m$ ass ratio should yield sm aller values of ( F ig. 1 Z ). M oreover, F ig. 14 m akes it clear that the value of, at given m ass ratio, is sm aller for inelasticities
ij $=0: 9 ; 0: 8 ; 0: 7$ than $w$ ith \reverse" inelasticities $i j=0: 7 ; 0: 8 ; 0: 9$. This e ect was already noted in [1 $\$$ ] and has the follow ing intuitive interpretation: when the $m$ ore $m$ assive particles are $m$ ore inelastic, they loose $m$ ore energy, their tem perature decreases which results in a higher. $W$ e predict therefore that, in the context of the experim ents reported in 5] , a m ixture of steel and alum inum ( steel $0: 9$, al $0: 83, \mathrm{~m}$ steel $3 \mathrm{~m}_{\text {al }}$ ) should yield a sm aller value of than the brass-glass $m$ ixture ( brass $0: 8$, glass $0: 9, \mathrm{~m}_{\text {brass }} \quad 3 \mathrm{~m}$ glass ) for which the m easured is close to $0: 6$ 0:7. The dependence of upon number fraction $x_{i}=i=m$ ay on the other hand be counter-intuitive: at a given $m$ ean density 0 , an increase of the relative fraction $x_{1}$ of heavy particles leads to an increase of when the heavy particles are the $m$ ore elastic (see $F$ ig. 16). This e ect w as also clearly observed for the hom ogeneously heated
$m$ ixture 16]. On the other hand, an increase of $x_{1}$ leads a relatively weak decrease of $w$ hen the heavier particles are the less elastic, whereas the opposite (albeit also quite weak) trend could be observed in 16].


## C. Velocity distributions

As in the $m$ onodisperse case, we have $m$ easured the single-particle velocity distributions, which are anisotropic as expected. The vertical velocity distributions are sim ilar to those shown in Fig . $\mathrm{G}_{\text {, }}$, and the horizontal velocity distributions show strong non $G$ aussian features, as in the $m$ onodisperse case. M oreover, it appears in $F$ ig. 17 that the rescaled velocity distributions $P_{1}\left(c_{x}\right)$ and $P_{2}\left(c_{x}\right)$ are very close (even if not equal, see also 22]) for both types of particles. The di erences betw een $P_{1}\left(C_{x}\right)$ and $P_{2}\left(c_{x}\right)$ increase if the inelasticities or the $m$ ass ratio increase. $P_{i}\left(C_{x}\right)$ depend slightly on the various param eters, in the sam e way as the velocity distributions of the m onodisperse situation; this dependence would probably be very di cult to $m$ easure in an experim ent, which would probably lead to the conclusion that $P_{1}\left(C_{x}\right) \quad P_{2}\left(c_{x}\right)$.

## V. CONCLUSION

In this study, we have considered vibrated granular gases well outside the Boltzm ann lim it of (very) low densities. Them olecular dynam ics sim ulations perform ed are free of the approxim ations underlying the usualkinetic theory or hydrodynam ic approaches. Taking due account of the rst correction to the ideal gas contribution in the equation of state (second virial order), we how ever found a rem arkable constant yy com ponent of the pressure tensor over the whole cell, form onodisperse or bidisperse system $s$, despite the strong density and tem perature heterogeneities due to the realistic energy in jection $m$ echanism .

The study of the velocity distributions along the horizontal direction (perpendicular to the energy in jection) has revealed non-G aussian features sim ilar to experim ents, which depend weakly on the various param eters involved in the $m$ odel.

The pro jection of the dynam ics onto the horizontal direction has allowed us to gain insight into the correlations between the e ective restitution coe cient $1 d$ and the relative velocities $g_{x}$ of colliding particles. T he $m$ easured conditional probability distributions ( $1 \mathrm{~d} \dot{g}_{x}$ ) are in agreem ent w ith the form sproposed in [10], based upon partial experim ental data. T he link between ( $1 \mathrm{~d} \dot{\rho}_{x}$ ) and the velocity probability distribution functions 10] has been con m ed.

In the case of binary $m$ ixtures we have analyzed the ratio of granular tem peratures as a function of the various param eters, and found a very good qualitative agreem ent $w$ ith experim ents. The velocity distributions of the two com ponents have $m$ oreover been show $n$ to be very sim ilar.
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```
APPENDIX A:INCLUSION OFA TANGENTIALRESTITUTION COEFFICIENT
```

In this appendix we give the collision rules when a tangential restitution coe cient is introduced (see also 11]). $T$ he tw o colliding particles, labeled (1) and (2), have $m$ asses $m i$, diam eters $i, m$ om ent of inertia $I_{i}=m i q i=4$ with $q=1=2$ for disks and $2=5$ for spheres. The precolliding velocities are $v_{i} ;!{ }_{i}$, and postcolliding velocities are denoted w ith prim es.
$T$ he norm al unit vector is de ned as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{b}=\frac{r_{1} \quad r_{2}}{\dot{j}_{1} \quad r_{2} j}: \tag{A1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The relative velocity of the contact point

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{g}=\mathrm{v}_{1} \quad \mathrm{v}_{2} \quad \frac{1}{2}!_{1}+\frac{2}{2}!_{2} \quad \mathrm{~b} \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

has norm al com ponent $g_{n}=(g \quad b) b$ and tangential com ponent $g_{t}=g \quad g_{n}$ (this de nes the tangential unit vector $\mathrm{e}=\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{t}}=\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{j}$.

The postcollisional velocities can be expressed sim ply in term s of the precollisional velocities through the introduction of the linear $m$ om entum change of particle (1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=m_{1}\left(v_{1}^{0} \quad v_{1}\right)=m_{2}\left(v_{2}^{0} \quad v_{2}\right): \tag{A3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed the change of angular $m$ om entum is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 I_{i}}{i}\left(!_{i}^{0} \quad!_{i}\right)=b \quad P \tag{A4}
\end{equation*}
$$

O ne obtains:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{v}_{1}^{0}=\mathrm{v}_{1}+\frac{\mathrm{P}}{\mathrm{~m}_{1}}  \tag{A5}\\
& \mathrm{v}_{2}^{0}=\mathrm{v}_{2} \frac{\mathrm{P}}{\mathrm{~m}_{2}}  \tag{A6}\\
& !_{i}^{0}=!_{i} \frac{i}{2 I_{i}} \mathrm{~b} \tag{A7}
\end{align*}
$$

The norm al and tangential com ponents of $P$ are then com puted using the de nition of the norm al and tangential coe cients of restitution:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
g_{n}^{0}= & g_{n} \\
g_{t}^{0}= & { }^{t} g_{t}: \tag{A9}
\end{array}
$$

Since $\left.g_{n}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}\left(v_{1}\right. & v_{2}\end{array}\right) \quad b\right] b$, the rst relation leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P} \quad \mathrm{~b}=\frac{\mathrm{m}_{1} \mathrm{~m}_{2}}{\mathrm{~m}_{1}+\mathrm{m}_{2}}(1+\quad)\left(\mathrm{v}_{1} \quad \mathrm{v}_{2}\right) \quad \mathrm{b}: \tag{A10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the de nition of $q$, and with $I_{i}=m_{i} q_{i}=4$, one obtains also

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{t}^{0}=g_{t}+P_{t} \frac{1}{m_{1}}+\frac{1}{m_{2}} \quad 1+\frac{1}{q} \tag{A11}
\end{equation*}
$$

[W here $P_{t}=\left(P\right.$ e) $e^{\prime}$. Finally,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\frac{m_{1} m_{2}}{m_{1}+m_{2}}(1+) g_{n}+\frac{1+t}{1+1=q} g_{t} \tag{A12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this appendix, we adopt a kinetic de nition of the total pressure and com pute this quantity for an arbitrary hom ogeneous $m$ ixture of ${ }^{\text {species }} i, w$ th num ber fraction $x_{i}=i=$. Invoking the virial theorem, the excess pressure $P^{\text {ex }}=P \quad P^{\text {ideal }}=P \quad{ }_{i} T_{i}$ is related to the collisionaltransfer of linearm om entum : the partial excess pressure of species i reads (see e.g. 34])

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{i}^{\text {ex }}=\lim _{t!1} \frac{1}{d V} \frac{1}{t} \underset{j ; \text { coll. partner of } i}{X} r_{i j} \quad p \tag{B1}
\end{align*}
$$

In these equations, it is understood that the sum $m$ ation runs over all the collision events involving a particle of type i and an arbitrary partner $j$, in a large volum e ofm easure $V$. The collisionaltransfer appearing in Eq. B2) is readily com puted w ithin Enskog-Boltzm ann kinetic theory, where the velocity distribution functions ${ }_{i}(\mathrm{v})$ obey the set of non-linear equations
where denotes the $H$ eavyside distribution and $\left(v_{1} ; v_{2}\right)$ are the pre-collisional velocities converted into ( $\mathrm{v}_{1} ; \mathrm{v}_{2}$ ) by the collision rule (1)-(2). Equation B2) $m$ ay be rew riltten

Sum $m$ ing the contributions of all species, the total excess pressure follow s:

$$
\begin{align*}
& P^{e x}=\frac{1}{2 d}{ }_{i ; j}^{X} \quad{ }_{i j}{ }_{i j}^{d} n_{i} n_{j} \frac{m_{i} m_{j}}{m_{i}+m_{j}}\left(1+i_{i j}\right)^{Z} \quad d v_{1} d v_{2} \quad d b \quad\left(b \quad V_{2}\right)\left(b \quad V_{2}\right)^{2} \prime_{i}\left(v_{1}\right)^{\prime}{ }_{j}\left(v_{2}\right)  \tag{B5}\\
& \left.=\frac{1}{2 d}{ }_{i ; j}^{X}{ }_{i j}{ }_{i j}^{d} n_{i} n_{j} \frac{m_{i} m_{j}}{m_{i}+m_{j}}(1+\quad i j) \quad \text { db (b } \quad b_{12}\right)\left(b \quad b_{12}\right)^{2} \quad Z \quad d v_{1} d v_{2}\left(v_{1}^{2}+v_{2}^{2}\right)^{\prime}{ }_{i}\left(v_{1}\right)^{\prime}{ }_{j}\left(v_{2}\right)(B 6) \tag{B6}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here $b_{12}$ is the unit vector along $v_{12}$, and where the contribution from the dot product $v_{1} \quad \mathrm{z}$ vanishes by sym $m$ etry in the last integral. The integral over the solid angle $b$ is related to the volum $e V_{d}$ of a sphere $w$ ith diam eter 1 :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{db}} \quad\left(\mathrm{~b} \quad \mathrm{~b}_{12}\right)\left(\mathrm{b} \quad \mathrm{~b}_{12}\right)^{2}=\frac{\mathrm{d}=2}{\mathrm{~d} \quad(\mathrm{~d}=2)}=2^{\mathrm{d}}{ }^{1} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{d}} \text {; } \tag{B7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is the Euler function and it is understood that $x_{12}$ denotes an arbitrary unit vector in B7). The volum e K d is itself related to the packing fraction through $=V_{a}{ }^{d}{ }^{d}$. From the de nition of kinetic tem peratures $v^{2}{ }_{i}(v) d v=d T_{i}=m_{i}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{e x}=2^{2} X_{i ; j}^{X} i^{i j} x_{i} x_{j} \frac{m_{i} m_{j}}{m_{i}+m_{j}}(1+i j) \frac{T_{i}}{m_{i}}+\frac{T_{j}}{m_{j}} \frac{d}{h^{d} d_{i}} \tag{B8}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which we deduce the equation of state (4). In this last step, no approxim ation (e.g. G aussian etc) is $m$ ade conceming the ' ${ }_{i}$. On the other hand, the com putation of any other $m$ om ent $\left(b \quad V_{2}\right)^{p}$ than $p=2$ requires the detailed know ledge of the velocity distributions 24]. It is also notew orthy that the decoupling of velocities $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ in B6) is a speci c property of the $m$ om entum transfer, which signi cantly sim pli es the calculation.

## APPENDIXC:HYDRODYNAMICS

In this appendix, we recall the hydrodynam ical approach considered by B rey et al. [7] and adapt it to the case of energy in jection at both boundaries $y=0$ and $y=L$. The situation investigated in [ill is that of a vibrating wall
at $y=0$, and a re ecting $w a l l$ at $y=L$ so that the temperature and density gradients vanish at $y=L$. In our no- ow con guration $w$ ith two vibrating walls, the gradients vanish by sym $m$ etry in the $m$ iddle of the cell $(y=L=2)$, so that restricting to y $2[0 ; L=2]$ allow $s$ to use directly the expressions derived in 国] (which am ounts to the form al identi cation $y!2 y$ and $N!N=2$. For com pleteness and clarity, we w ill how ever adapt the argum ent to our geom etry.

In the case of a stationary system, w ithout macroscopic velocity ow, the hydrodynam ic equations reduce to

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
r \quad P & =0 \\
\frac{2}{d} r & q+T \tag{C2}
\end{array}
$$

$H$ ere $P$ is the pressure tensor, $q$ is the heat $u x$, and the cooling rate due to the collisional energy dissipation. In the $N$ avier-Stokes approxim ation for a low density gas described by the Boltzm ann equation $m$ odi ed to account for the inelastic nature of collisions 35],

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{P} & =\mathrm{PI}  \tag{C3}\\
\mathrm{q} & =\mathrm{rT} \quad \mathrm{r} \tag{C4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $P$ is the ideal gas pressure: $P=T$. The explicit expressions of the heat conductivity, the transport coe pient and cooling rate $m$ ay be found in [f]. The important ingredient is that is proportionalto $T^{3=2}=$ and to $\bar{T}$, while $/ \mathrm{p}=\overline{\mathrm{T}}$, with coe cients depending on the inelasticity.
$T$ he system is considered hom ogeneous in the x direction, so that only gradients along the $y$ direction are taken into account. We em phasize that the ideal gas equation of state $P=T$ is assum ed, and this sim pli cation is an im portant ingredient in the follow ing derivation. T he previous equations then reduce to:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{@ P}{@ y}=0  \tag{C5}\\
& \frac{2 A()}{d} \frac{@}{@ y} \quad \frac{p}{T} \frac{@ T}{@ y} \quad p^{p} \bar{T}=0: \tag{C6}
\end{align*}
$$

In order to sim plify the equation on the tem perature, it is convenient to introduce a new variable de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d=p \overline{a()} \frac{d y}{(y)}=c \quad d 1 p \overline{a()}(y) d y \tag{C7}
\end{equation*}
$$

 Equation C 6 ) now reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@^{2}}{@^{2}} \bar{T}=\frac{P}{T}: \tag{C8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The variable takes values between 0 and $m$, with $m / N . T$ hen $\bar{T}=A \exp (\quad)+B \exp ()$ where $A$ and $B$ depend on the boundary conditions. In the case of two vibrating $w a l l s$, the solution is sym $m$ etric $w$ ith respect to $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{L}=2$ (or $=\mathrm{m}=2$ ). W ith $\mathrm{T}(0)=\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{m})=\mathrm{T}_{0}$ one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
T()=\frac{T_{0}}{\sinh ^{2} m}(\sinh (m \quad)+\sinh \}: \tag{C9}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\begin{align*}
& P=\frac{T_{0}}{2 C d L^{1} \frac{a()}{a \cosh ^{2} \frac{m}{2}}(m+\sinh m)}  \tag{C10}\\
& \frac{Y}{L}=\frac{+\sinh \cosh (m}{m+\sinh m}: \tag{C11}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ hose equations are the sam $e$ as for the case of one vibrating wall [1], but $w$ ith $m!2 m$ and $L$ ! 2L, as expected on the basis on the sym $m$ etry argum ent proposed above. It is possible to invert $T()$ and therefore to obtain the
pro les $y(T)$ (tw o sym m etric branches):

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{m}{2} \cosh ^{1} \frac{r}{\frac{T}{T_{0}}} \cosh \frac{m}{2}  \tag{C12}\\
\frac{Y}{L} & =\frac{+\sinh \cosh (m}{m+\sinh m}: \tag{C13}
\end{align*}
$$
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F IG . 1: D ensity pro les for tw o norm al inelasticities and two densities. In all cases, the num ber of particles is N $=500$. T he sym bols correspond to the sm allest density (the m ean packing fraction, averaged over the whole system is $0=0: 015$ ) and the lines are for a higher density $(0=0: 04)$. The ratio $(y)=0$ is also the ratio $(y)=0$ of local density norm alized by the $m$ ean one.


FIG.2: Density pro les $(y)=0$ (upward curves) and tem perature pro les (dow nward curves) for a given norm al restitution coe cient $=0: 9$, and di erent tangentialrestitutions ( $N=500$ particles, $m$ ean packing fraction $0=0: 015$ ). Thetem perature is the total one (including horizontal and vertical degrees of freedom ) ; it is expressed in arbitrary units but all curves correspond to the sam e velocity of the vibrating piston. From top to bottom for the tem perature $T$ ( $y$ ) and from bottom to top for the density, the curves correspond respectively to ${ }^{t}=1,{ }^{t}=0: 8,{ }^{t}=0: 5$ and ${ }^{t}=0: 2$.


F IG . 3: Tem perature pro le for $=0: 9$ and $0=4 \%$. The horizontal $T_{x}$, vertical $T_{y}$ and total tem perature $T=\left(T_{x}+T_{y}\right)=2$ are show $n$. Inset: an isotropy factor $A=\left(T_{y} \quad T_{x}\right)=(2 T)$ as a function of height.


F IG . 4: P ressure given by the equation of state $\|_{)}$. (a) The sym bols correspond to $P=(y) T(y)[1+(1+\quad) \quad(y)$ (y)] (see text), where $T$ is the total tem perature. The lines im mediately below a given set of sym bols show the ideal gas contribution (y)T (y) only. For the three situations investigated, the $m$ ean density is the sam e ( $0=0: 04$ ).
(b) Sam e gure $w$ ith the vertical tem perature $T_{y}$ instead of $T$ inserted in the equation of state, $y$ ielding therefore the yy com ponent of the pressure tensor.


FIG.5: F its of the tem perature pro lesm easured in M D w ith the analyticalexpression 88. The ts are shown with continuous curves while the symbols stand for the M D m easures. For clarity the ts are restricted to heights L=2 y $0: 8 \mathrm{~L}$.


F IG . 6: Probability distribution function (p.d.f.) of the vertical velocity com ponent $C_{y}=v_{y}=\frac{p}{T_{y}}$, for di erent heights. By de nition, $h_{y}^{2} i=1$ whatever the altitude $y$. Here, $0=0: 04, N=500,=0: 9$ and ${ }^{t}=0$.


F IG . 7: (a): Probability distribution function of the rescaled horizontal velocity com ponent $c_{x}=v_{x}=\frac{p}{T_{x}}$, on a linear-log plot. H ere $0=0: 015, \mathrm{~N}=500,=0: 9$ (pluses) and $0: 8$ (stars), and ${ }^{\mathrm{t}}=0$. The solid line is the G aussian with unit variance, the circles correspond to experim ental data 目, 迫] for steel beads.
(b) : P robability distribution function of the angular velocities for the sam e param eters.


FIG.8: Probability distribution function ofe ective one-dim ensional restitution coe cients 1 d . TheM D results are com pared to the experim entalm easures of Feitosa and $M$ enon 8] on steel and glass sam ples (forwhich the nom inal restitution coe cient $m$ ay be considered close to $0: 9$ ).


FIG.9: (a): C onditionalp.d.f. of $1 d$ for a given value $g_{x}$ of order unity. $N$ ote the di erent shapes for therm al and vibrating walls.
(b) : Sam e, as a function of $\left(1 \mathrm{~d} g_{\mathrm{x}}\right)^{2}$ (and $g_{x}=0: 2 ; 0: 5 ; 1: ; 1: 5 ; 2: ; 3: 4: ; 5:$ ) forvibrated walls with $=0: 9$, $\quad=0$ and $0=0: 015$.


F IG. 10: P robability distribution function of energy restitution coe cients. Various tangential restitution coe cients $t$ are considered for $=0: 9$ and $0=1: 5 \%$. The circles represent the experim ental data for steel grains 8]


FIG.11: (a): The sym bols show the pressure calculated from the com plete equation of state for a binary m ixture (11) including Enskog correction, while the lines im m ediately below display the idealgas contribution $\quad 1(y) T_{1}(y)+\quad 2(y) T_{2}(y)$ to the pressure. $T$ he three sets of curves correspond to: upper set $0=0: 015, \quad 11=0: 9,12=0: 8, \quad 22=0: 7, \mathrm{~m}_{1}=5 \mathrm{~m}_{2}$; m iddle set $0=0: 04$, $11=0: 9,12=0: 8,22=0: 7, \mathrm{~m}_{1}=3 \mathrm{~m}_{2}$; lower set $0=0: 04, \quad 11=0: 7,12=0: 8, \quad 22=0: 9, \mathrm{~m}_{1}=3 \mathrm{~m}_{2}$.
(b) : sam e curves, where the tem peratures are the vertical ones $T_{i ; y}$ instead of the total $T_{i}=\left(T_{i ; x}+T_{i ; y}\right)=2$, yielding therefore the yy com ponent of the pressure tensor.


FIG. 12: Tem perature pro les for an equim olar granular m ixture, driven by vibrating walls. The symbols show the M D $m$ easures, and the lines are ts to the analytical expression derived for the single com ponent case. In all cases, the particle 1 (the heaviest) has $m$ ass $m_{1}=3 \mathrm{~m}_{2}$; its tem perature $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ corresponds to the two low er sets.


FIG.13: (a): Verticalpro les for a binary m ixture with m $m_{1}=3 m_{2}, 0=0: 015$, and equalm ean densities $1 ; 0=2 ; 0$ (excitation by vibrating walls). From bottom to top: tem perature pro les of both species, density pro les $2\left(\begin{array}{l}\mathrm{y}\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 & 0\end{array}\right)$ and $1\left(\begin{array}{l}\mathrm{y}\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 & 0\end{array}\right)$. Since $1=2$, the packing fraction $i$ is proportional to the local density $i$ of species i. The upper dashed curve show $s$ the tem perature ratio $=T_{2}=T_{1}$ as a function of height, and the circles show the sam equantity for a non equim olar $m$ ixture $w$ here 1;0 $=8$ 2;0.
(b) : Sam e w ith a higher $m$ ass ratio $m_{1}=5 \mathrm{~m}_{2}$.


FIG.14: D ensity pro les and tem perature ratio pro les (binary m ixture, vibrating walls). The lines correspond to ij $=$ $0: 7 ; 0: 8 ; 0: 9$ whereas the sym bols are associated w ith \reverse" inelasticities $i j=0: 9 ; 0: 8 ; 0: 7$. The other param eters are ${ }^{\mathrm{t}}=0$, $\mathrm{m}_{1}=3 \mathrm{~m}_{2}, 1 ; 0=2 ; 0, \quad 0=21 ; 0=0: 015$. The upper atter curves (dashed line and stars) show the tem perature ratio. A s in Fig. 13, the density of heavy particles 1 (thick continuous curve and circles) is $m$ ore peaked and denser in the $m$ iddle of the cell than that of light grains (thin continuous curve and squares).


FIG. 15: E ect of density on the tem perature ratio for $m_{1}=3 m_{2}, i j=0: 9 ; 0: 8 ; 0: 7$ (vibrating walls). G raph (a) show $s$ the total ratio $T_{2}=T_{1}$ and graph (b) show $s$ the ratio of horizontal tem peratures $T_{2 ; x}=T_{1 ; x}$. In both cases, the corresponding experim ental $m$ easures are show $n$ in the insets for a steel glass $m$ ixture (at di erent densities, but $w$ ith a density ratio of 2 , close to that of the M D sim ulations $0: 04=0: 015$ ' $2: 6$ ). The purpose is to show that the changes induced by density in M D are qualitatively the sam e as in the experim ents.


F IG. 16: In uence of num ber fraction on the tem perature ratio $\mathrm{T}_{2}=\mathrm{T}_{1}$. The total num ber of particles is $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}_{1}+\mathrm{N}_{2}=500$ (vibrating walls). Given that $1=2, N_{1}=N_{2}=8$ corresponds to $1 ; 0=82 ; 0$.


F IG .17: Probability distribution functions of the rescaled horizontal velocity com ponents $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{P} \overline{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{x}}}$, for an equim olar m ixture. Squares are for $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ (heavy grains) and circles for $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ (light grains). Here $0=0: 015, \mathrm{~N}=500, \mathrm{ij}=0: 9 ; 0: 8 ; 0: 7$, $\mathrm{m}_{1}=3 \mathrm{~m}_{2}$ and ${ }^{\mathrm{t}}=0$. T he solid line is the G aussian w th variance 1 .
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