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\begin{aligned}
& \text { W ithin the nonextensive fram ew ork, it is show that zeroth law of therm odynam ics determ ines } \\
& \text { not only the } m \text { apping between Lagrange } m \text { ultipliers and intensive variables, but also the } m \text { apping } \\
& \text { betw een nonextensive and extensive entropy. T he form of constraints decides the form of the exten- } \\
& \text { sive entropy, standard averages lead to B oltzm ann-Shannon-G ibbs entropy while norm alised biased } \\
& \text { averages lead to Renyientropy. Them apping betw een Lagrange } m \text { ultipliers and intensive variables } \\
& \text { is also discussed in the } m \text { ore general context of com posable entropy. } \\
& \text { PA C S N um ber (s) : } 05.20 .-\mathrm{y}, 05.70 .-\mathrm{a}, 05.90 .+\mathrm{m} \\
& \text { In recent years, there has been a great deal of interest } \\
& \text { in the generalized entropy satisfying the follow ing non- } \\
& p_{i} \quad \begin{array}{llll}
2 \\
4 & \left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & q
\end{array}\right) \\
X^{n}=1
\end{array}{ }_{k}^{(T)}\left(E_{k ; i} \quad E_{k}^{(q)}\right)={ }_{j}^{X} p_{j}^{q 5} \quad ;
\end{aligned}
$$ additive property

$$
S_{q}(A+B)=S_{q}(A)+S_{q}(B)+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & q)  \tag{1}\\
S_{q} & (A) S_{q}(B) ;
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $A$ and $B$ represent tw $o$ independent subsystem $s$ com posing a larger system ( $A+B$ ) and $q$ is a realparam eter. This form of non-additivity is the sim plest exam ple $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[1]}\end{array}\right]$ for a com posable entropy consistent $w$ ith them odynam ic equilibrium. Let $p_{i}$ be the probability distribution set characterising the discrete $m$ icrostates $i=1$;::; $W$ of the system. The generalized entropy satis es the condition that for $q!1, S_{q}$ goes $\underset{P}{\text { to }}$ Boltzm ann-G ibbs-
 recovers the usual additivity of entropy from (II). An explicit realisation of such an entropic form is popularly known as $T$ sallis entropy [筫]

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{q}^{(T)}=\frac{1_{\substack{\mathrm{W} \\ \mathrm{i}=1}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{q}}}{q 1}: \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is concave for allpositive values of $q$ and has been proposed as a basis to generalize the standard Boltzm ann$G$ ibbs ( $B G$ ) them ostatistics. The $m$ otivation for this proposal is to be able to treat the nonextensivity inherent in $m$ any physical system $s$ and also to dealw th those anom alous system swhere the BG form alism fails to give
 portant role in system s w th long range interactions such
 tem swith non-separable or overlapping parts such as in quantum entanglem ent $[\underline{[6}]$, and broadly speaking in $m$ any nonequilibrium phenom ena.

In the $T$ sallis form alism, a $m$ axim isation problem for $S_{q}^{(T)}$ is form ulated, im posing as constraints the generalized $m$ ean values of the form $\bar{\eta}_{\underline{1}}$ ]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{P_{i=1}^{w} p_{i}^{q} E_{k ; i}}{\underset{j=1}{W} p_{j}^{q}}=E_{k}^{(q)} ; \quad(k=1 ;::: ; n) ; \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

alongw th the norm alisation of probability, $P_{i=1}^{W} p_{i}=$ 1. Thus it $m$ ay be taken as a generalization of Jaynes' approach to statistical $m$ echanics. The resulting noncanonicaldistributions are found to be pow er-law type,
where ${ }_{k}^{(T)}$ are the $n$ num ber of Lagrange $m$ ultipliers associated w ith the constraints ( $\underline{1}_{1}$ ). T he T sallis statistical weight reduces to the usual exponential Boltzm ann factor, for q ! 1. Such generalised distributions have been found to $t$ the experim entaldata very well in $m$ any different situations; som $e$ of the $m$ ore recent applications
 anom alous di usion in Hydra cellular aggregates [ill ${ }_{1}^{\prime}$ ], CMR m anganites [1] ] and hadronic jets [12] , to name a few [13 $\left.{ }^{1}\right]$. Realising the apparent success of $T$ sallistype distributions in realistic situations, there has been a grow ing interest to justify or clarify the prem ises of therm odynam ic form alism based on the m odi ed postulates. In this context, som e of the im portant issues are: uniqueness of the generalised entropy [14] physical interpretation of the nonextensivity index $q[15]$ and possibility of xing its appropriate value from the theory [1] [1], and statistical $m$ echanical foundations for nonexponential distributions $\left[\overline{[ } \overline{7}_{1}\right]$.

A nother crucial issue w thin the $T$ sallis form alism, has
 entering the $m$ axim um entropy problem. It has been found that these param eters are not intensive in nature, unlike their counterparts appearing in the standard Boltzm ann-G ibbs (BG) form alism and so are not the ones which controlm utualequilibrium betw een independent subsystem $s$ (such as com $m$ on tem perature, pressure, etc.). Speaking in the context of canonical ensem ble, the appropriate physical tem perature has to be dened from the generalized zeroth law oftherm odynam ics. Som ew hat unexpectedly, the form s of them odynam ic relations are the sam e as in the extensive fram ew ork, when the calculations are done using the intensive variables [1901, ${ }^{-1}$ ping from the nonextensive $T$ sallis form alism to an extensive them odynam ic form alism can be de ned [ $\left.{ }_{2} \overline{1}_{1}^{1}\right]$, w hich basically involves the follow ing tw o transform ations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{(e)}=\frac{\ln \left[1+(1 \quad \mathrm{q}) \mathrm{S}^{(\mathrm{n})}\right]}{(1 \mathrm{q})} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for a given $m$ axim um (denoted by ) nonextensive entropy $S{ }^{(n)}$, there exists an extensive entropy $S{ }^{(e)}$ $w$ th the sam e concavity as $S^{(n)}$, provided $q<1$. A lso, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=\frac{\mathrm{k}^{2}}{\left[1+(1 \mathrm{q}) \mathrm{S}^{(\mathrm{n})}\right]}: \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere $~_{k}$ is the Lagrange $m$ ultiplier connected $w$ ith the $m$ axim isation of $S{ }^{(n)}$ and $k$ is the corresponding intensive variable satisfying $k(A)=k(B)$ for two sys tem $s A$ and $B$ in $m$ utual therm odynam ic equiliorium. The transform ations $(\underset{\sim}{5})$ and $(\overline{6})$ were obtained in $\left[\overline{2} \overline{1} \overline{1}_{1}\right]$ by using scaling property of the nonextensive entropy [11) for equilibrium states and requiring that the standard form of the $G$ ibbs $\leftrightarrows$ D uhem equation is preserved. N ow since this treatm ent assum es a priori, the explicit form of nonextensive entropy to be Tsallis type (2,i), the extensive entropy obtained from (S) is xed to be Renyi entropy of order q [2] $2^{\prime}$ ]

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{q}^{(R)}=\frac{\ln ^{P}{ }_{i} p_{i}^{q}}{1}{ }_{q}^{q}: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ow ever, as the standard extensive therm odynam ic form alism is based on the BGS entropy, it is also desirable to clarify how the the form alism based on nonextensive entropy $m$ ay be $m$ apped to the one based on BGS entropy. It appears that the choige of $T$ sallis entropy is not appropriate to $m$ eet this requirem ent.

In this paper, we show that the proposed $m$ apping from nonextensive to extensive fram ew ork (both transform ations (5) and (G)) can be derived by applying the generalized zeroth law of them odynam ics for the nonextensive entropy and the assum ption of a Legendre $T$ ransform structure for extensive entropy. N ote that the intensive character of the variables de ned by (G) was pointed out in [19 [1] by applying the generalized zeroth law of therm odynam ics to the T sallis entropy at equilibrium. T he essentialpart in the present approach is that the explicit form of nonextensive entropy is not assum ed a priori, but is derived from the condition of equilibrium. In this sense, the zeroth law of them odynam ics xes the general form of the nonextensive entropy as a speci c function of an extensive entropy. M oreover, it will be seen that the form ofextensive constraints (w hether usualaverages or nom alised biased averages) decides that the m apped onto extensive entropy is BGS or R enyientropy.

C onsider the them odynam ic equilibrium betw een tw o system SA and B, characterised by a state ofm axim um nonextensive entropy $S_{q} w$ th $x e d$ values of the extensive quantities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{~A}+\mathrm{B})=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{~A})+\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{~B}) ; \quad \mathrm{k}=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{n}: \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in standard therm odynam ics, we de ne the therm odynam ic equilibrium entropy for the nonextensive case to be $k_{B} S_{q}\left(E_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}} ;::: ; \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$, where $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}}$ is the Boltzm ann's constant. A pparently, $S_{q}$ is the explicit entropy function
in term softhe given extensive constraints and is obtained from the equilibrium distribution $\mathrm{fp}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{g}$ follow ing from the $m$ axim isation of $S_{q}\left(p_{i}\right)$.

Now assum ing that the joint entropy $S_{q}(A+B)$ satis es $\left(\overline{I_{1}}\right)$, we can $m$ ake the variations $S_{q}(A+B)$ and $E_{k}(A+B)$ vanish for the equilibrium state, to obtain
$\left[1+\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & q) S_{q}(B)\end{array}\right] \frac{@ S_{q}(A)}{@ E_{k}(A)}=\left[1+\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & q\end{array}\right) S_{q}(A)\right] \frac{@ S_{q}(B)}{@ E_{k}(B)} ;\right.$
where $S_{q}(A)$ im plies $S_{q}\left(E_{1}(A) ;:: ; \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{A}) ;::: ; \mathrm{E}_{\underline{n}}(\mathrm{~A})\right)$ and sim ilarly for the system B. On rearranging ( $(\underset{1}{9})$, we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.\left.\frac{1}{[1+(1)} \mathbf{q}\right) S_{q}(A)\right] & @ S_{q}(A) \\
@ E_{k}(A) & =\frac{1}{\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1+(1 & \left.q) S_{q}(B)\right]
\end{array} \frac{@ S_{q}(B)}{@ E_{k}(B)}\right.}  \tag{10}\\
& ={ }_{k}:
\end{align*}
$$

A s each side of the above equation pertains to independent system s A and B, we equate it to a constant $k$. $D$ ropping the index $A$ or $B$, we have the di erentialequation for nonextensive entropy of each subsystem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\left[1+(1 \quad q) S_{q}\right]} \frac{@}{@ E_{k}} S_{q}\left(E_{1} ;::: ; E_{k} ;::: ; E_{n}\right)=k ; \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be integrated to give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\ln \left[1+(1 \quad q) S_{q}\right]}{(1 \quad q)}={ }_{k} E_{k}+ \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, the constant of integration is by de nition, independent of $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}}$ and in general,
$\left(\mathrm{E}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k} 1} ; \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}+1} ;::: ; \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}} ; \mathrm{k}\right)$. Choosing to be a them odynam ic potentialequivalent to a free energy w ith the property $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}}=\frac{@}{@_{k}}$, the rhs of (12) de nes the Legendre transform $S_{-}\left(\mathrm{E}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}} ;::: ; \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ of w th the property $k=\frac{@ S}{@ E_{k}}$ [23 ${ }^{3}$ ]. In other words, the quantity on rhs of (12) is taken to be an entropy function. It can be easily veri ed that $\mathbb{I}$ s of ( $12{ }_{2}^{\prime}$ ) de nes an extensive quantity (S ). Thuswe obtain the transform ation (క్) . C onversely, the explicit form of the $m$ axim um nonextensive entropy is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{q}=\frac{e^{(1 \mathrm{q}) \mathrm{s}}}{(1 \mathrm{q})}: \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$U$ sing the concavity property of $S_{q}\left(f E_{k} g\right)$, we infer that $S\left(f E_{k} g\right)$ is concave, provided q 1 .

W e consider the tw o types of averaging schem es:
(i) usual averages, implying xed values of ${ }_{i} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{i}}$ given as constraints. Then the entropy $S$ can be taken as the standard B G S entropy.
(ii) norm alised biased averages, as in T sallis' statistical $m$ echanics. Then the extensive entropy function $m$ ay be
chosen to be Renyientropy, which satis es the Legendre transform structure w th this type of averaging schem e. Here we are free to choose Renyi entropy of order $q^{0}$. $T$ hen the entropy obtained from (13) is a two param eter ( $q ; q^{0}$ ) dependent nonextensive entropy, which for $q=q^{0}$, reduces to $T$ sallis entropy. For concavity, we also require $q^{0} 1$.

Next we focus on the equilibrium probability distribution obtained from the $m$ axim isation of entropy form (13). . Below we discuss for the case ofm ore general ( $q ; q^{0}$ )entropy. $F$ irst note that $m$ axim ising $S_{\mathrm{q}^{0}}^{(R)}$, the R enyientropy of order $q^{0}$, under the norm alised biased schem e $w$ th xed values of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{P}{{ }_{P} p_{i}^{q^{0}} E_{k ; i}} \frac{e_{j}^{q^{0}}}{q_{j}^{\left(q^{0}\right)}} ; \quad(k=1 ;::: ; n) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

gives the follow ing equilibrium distribution [2] [1]

Now if we maxim ise the nonextensive entropy

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{q}=\frac{e^{(1 q) S_{q^{0}}^{(R)}} 1}{(1 \quad q)}: \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

under the constraints (14i), we get a sim ilar distribution as (12. $)$ w ith the sam e exponent $1=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & q^{0}\end{array}\right)$, but w ith Lagrange m ultiplier $\varkappa_{k}$ related as $\varkappa_{k}={ }_{k}^{(\mathbb{R})}\left[\begin{array}{ll}\left.1+\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & q\end{array}\right) S_{q}\right] \text {, }, \text {, }\end{array}\right.$ where $S_{q}$ is the maxim um value of (13). This is evident, as the nonextensive entropy (16) is a continuous, $m$ onotonic increasing function of the extensive entropy $S_{\mathrm{q}^{0}}^{(\mathrm{R})}$ and so will give the sam e equilibrium distribution as the latter under identical constraints. H ow ever, an im portant point to note here is that the exponent of the pow er-law type equilibrium distribution is not necessarily related to the degree of nonextensivity of the entropy [25], equivalent to ( $1 \quad \mathrm{q}$ ) in the present case. Finally, for $q^{0}=1$, we get case (i) above, the constraints reducing to the standard $m$ ean values and extensive entropy is the BGS. In this case, the $m$ axim isation of $S_{q}$ gives exponentialdistributions.
$N$ ext, we discuss the $m$ apping betw een Lagrange $m$ ultipliers of the entropy $m$ axim isation problem $s$ and the intensive variables, in a generalcontext. $W$ e assum $e$ that for a generalentropic function $S$, the relation $\frac{@ S}{@ E_{k}}=k$ de nes the Lagrange param eter associated w ith the constraint quantity $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}}$, occuring in the m axim um entropy problem. If the entropy is extensive (additive), then from zeroth law of them odynam ics, we derive that the Lagrange multiplier $k$ is also the intensive variable conjugate to $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}}$. H ow ever, this equivalence breaks dow n if the entropy becom es non-extensive. Thus consider a m ore general entropy written as S F (S), a nonlinear, continuous, $m$ onotonic increasing function of $S$. Then due
to the fact that $@ S=@ E_{k}=(d S=d S)\left(@ S=@ E_{k}\right)$, we can write as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{\mathrm{k}}=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{} \mathrm{dS}_{\mathrm{k}} ; \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. the Lagrange $m$ ultipliers of the $t w o m$ axim isation problem s can be related this way. $N$ ow if $S$ is an extensive entropy, then (]$\left.\left._{1}^{1}\right]_{1}\right)$ also de nes the transform ation betw een the Lagrange $m$ ultiplier of a nonextensive entropy and the corresponding intensive variable, equivalent to (G).

Further insight into this relationship can be gained by using the notion of com posability of entropy [26]. An arbitrary entropic form $S$ is de ned to be com posable, if the totalS $(A ; B)$ for the com posite system can be written as $S(A ; B)=f[S(A) ; S(B)]$, where $f[]$ is a certain bivariate function of the $C^{2}$ class and sym $m$ etric in its argum ents, $f[S(A) ; S(B)]=f[S(B) ; S(A)]$. Suppose this generalentropy determ ines the equilibrium betw een subsystem s A and B under the given extensive constraints (3i). By equating the variations of the total entropy and total value of the constraint quantity to zero, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ f[S(A) ; S(B)]}{@ S(A)} \frac{@ S(A)}{@ E_{k}(A)}=\frac{@ £[S(A) ; S(B)]}{@ S(B)} \frac{@ S(B)}{@ E_{k}(B)}: \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

To establish an intensive variable com $m$ on to the two subsystem $s$ in equilibrium, it is essential to have the follow ing factorization [1]

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{@ f[S(A) ; S(B)]}{@ S(A)}=\mathrm{k}[S(A) ; S(B)] g[S(A)] h[S(B)] ;  \tag{19}\\
& \frac{@ f[S(A) ; S(B)]}{@ S(B)}=k[S(A) ; S(B)] h[S(A)] g[S(B)] ; \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{g}[\mathrm{]}$ and h [ ] are som e functions, in particular h [ ] w ill be required to be a di erentiable one. The function k [ ] is not in the factorised form. Then it can be show $n$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{g[S(A)]} \frac{d h[S(A)]}{d S(A)}=\frac{1}{g[S(B)]} \frac{d h[S(B)]}{d S(B)}=!; \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ! is a constant. M oreover, $k[S(A) ; S(B)]=$ $G(f[S(A) ; S(B)])$, where $G()$ is an arbitrary function. N ow the identi cation of an intensive variable is possible only if it is independent of the function $k$ [ ]. Therefore,


$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{!} \frac{1}{h[S(A)]} \frac{d h[S(A)]}{d S(A)} \frac{@ S(A)}{@ E_{k}(A)} & =\frac{1}{!} \frac{1}{h[S(B)]} \frac{d h[S(B)]}{d S(B)} \frac{@ S(B)}{@ E_{k}(B)} \\
& =k \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

where $k$ is the intensive variable conjugate to the constraint $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}}$. T he constant! has been kept as in principle,
it can vanish. U sing the de nition of Lagrange multiplier of $S$, we obtain for each subsystem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{!} \frac{1}{\mathrm{~h}[S]} \frac{\mathrm{dh}[S]}{\mathrm{dS}} \sim_{k}={ }_{k}: \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his is the $m$ ost general relation betw een the Lagrange multiplier of a com posable entropy and the corresponding intensive variable as derived from the zeroth law of them odynam ics.
$T$ he function $h$ can be xed further if we assum $e$ that the general com posable entropy $S$ is som em onotonic increasing function of an extensive entropy $S$. In other words, assum ing that (17) holds and using it in (23), we obtain after integration

$$
\begin{equation*}
h[S]=\exp (!S+c): \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that $h$ com es out as an explicit function of the extensive entropy S. Lastly, we rem ark for the special case ofk [ ] asconstant function equal to unity. U singi' (2 11 ) in (19) or $(2 \underline{d})$ and solving for the com posability function, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f[S(A) ; S(B)]=\frac{1}{!} h[S(A)] h[S(B)]+c^{0}: \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choosing the constants of integration $c=0$ and $c^{0}=$
$1=$ ! in the above, givesback the solution (13 $\mathbf{1 3}^{\prime \prime}$ ) fornonextensive entropy w ith ! = (1 q) .

C oncluding, it has been observed recently in literature that them odynam ic structure based on the nonextensive $T$ sallis entropy can be $m$ apped to the one based on extensive R enyi entropy. In the present paper, we have studied this $m$ apping by establishing the zeroth law of them odynam ics, which is show $n$ to determ ine not only the transform ation betw een Lagrange $m$ ultipliers and intensive variables, but also betw een nonextensive and extensive entropy. The said $m$ apping can be $m$ ade to the BGS or Renyi entropy based extensive fram ew ork, depending on the form of extensive constraints. W e also rem arked that the exponent in T sallis-type power-law distributions, is not necessarily related to the nonextensivity ofentropy. R ather nonextensivity ofentropy seem s only to m ake the Lagrange m ultipliers entering the max im um entropy problem as non-intensive. W e have discussed the relation between Lagrange $m$ ultiplier and intensive variables for the general case of com posable entropy and determ ined the form of function $h$ when the com posable entropy is a $m$ onotonic increasing function of an extensive entropy. T he present analysis treats nonextensivity of entropy keeping the extemal constraints as extensive. A m ore generalstudy can be $m$ ade by $m$ aking the constraints also as nonextensive. T he obtained relations betw een for exam ple, the Lagrange $m$ ultipliers and intensive variables will also be then generalised.
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