

About the Almeida-Thouless transition line in the Sherrington-Kirkpatrick mean field spin glass model

Fabio Lucio Toninelli*

*Scuola Normale Superiore, Piazza dei Cavalieri 7, 56126 Pisa, Italy
and Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Pisa*

March 22, 2022

In this short note, we consider the Sherrington-Kirkpatrick mean field spin glass model [1, 2] and we prove that, in the thermodynamic limit $N \rightarrow \infty$, the quenched free energy per site is strictly greater than the corresponding replica symmetric approximation [1], for values of temperature and magnetic field below the Almeida-Thouless line [3]. This is a simple consequence of rigorous bounds, discovered by F. Guerra [4], which relate the true quenched free energy to the Parisi solution with replica symmetry breaking [5].

Consider the system at temperature β^{-1} and magnetic field h , and recall that the Almeida-Thouless critical line is defined by the condition

$$\beta^2 \int d\mu(z) \frac{1}{\cosh^4(z\beta\sqrt{\bar{q}} + \beta h)} = 1, \quad (1)$$

where $d\mu(z)$ is a unit centered Gaussian measure and the Sherrington-Kirkpatrick order parameter $\bar{q}(\beta, h)$ is the unique [6] solution of

$$\bar{q} = \int d\mu(z) \tanh^2(z\beta\sqrt{\bar{q}} + \beta h). \quad (2)$$

The Parisi solution [5] is defined as

$$\bar{\alpha}_P(\beta, h) = \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \bar{\alpha}(\beta, h; x), \quad (3)$$

where \mathcal{X} is the space of functional order parameters, i.e., of non decreasing functions

$$x : q \in [0, 1] \rightarrow x(q) \in [0, 1],$$

* e-mail: f.toninelli@sns.it

and $\bar{\alpha}(\beta, h; x)$ is defined as

$$\bar{\alpha}(\beta, h; x) = \ln 2 + f(0, h; x, \beta) - \frac{\beta^2}{2} \int_0^1 q x(q) dq. \quad (4)$$

$f(q, y; x, \beta)$ is the solution of the antiparabolic equation

$$\partial_q f(q, y; x, \beta) + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_y^2 f(q, y; x, \beta) + x(q)(\partial_y f(q, y; x, \beta))^2) = 0 \quad (5)$$

with final condition

$$f(1, y; x, \beta) = \ln \cosh(\beta y). \quad (6)$$

The equation for f can be easily solved if $x(q)$ is piecewise constant. For instance, if one takes

$$\begin{cases} x(q) = 0 & q \in [0, \bar{q}] \\ x(q) = 1 & q \in (\bar{q}, 1], \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

one finds that $\bar{\alpha}(\beta, h, x)$ is the so called replica symmetric solution

$$\bar{\alpha}(\beta, h) = \ln 2 + \frac{\beta^2}{4}(1 - \bar{q})^2 + \int d\mu(z) \ln \cosh(z\beta\sqrt{\bar{q}} + \beta h). \quad (8)$$

One expects the quenched free energy per site $F_N(\beta, h)$ to be related to the Parisi solution by

$$-\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \beta F_N(\beta, h) = \bar{\alpha}_P(\beta, h), \quad (9)$$

where N is the size of the system. While the rigorous proof of this equality has not yet been fully achieved, one can prove [4] that

$$-\beta F_N(\beta, h) \leq \bar{\alpha}_P(\beta, h), \quad (10)$$

for any value of N, β, h .

In the following, we employ the result (10) to prove that the thermodynamic limit of the quenched free energy is strictly greater than its replica symmetric approximation, below the Almeida-Thouless line:

$$-\beta F(\beta, h) \equiv -\beta \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} F_N(\beta, h) < \bar{\alpha}(\beta, h), \quad (11)$$

for

$$\beta^2 \int d\mu(z) \frac{1}{\cosh^4(z\beta\sqrt{\bar{q}} + \beta h)} > 1. \quad (12)$$

(The limit in (11) exists, thanks to [7]). To this purpose, one simply needs to show that, if (12) holds, there exists a functional order parameter \tilde{x} such that $\bar{\alpha}(\beta, h; \tilde{x}) < \bar{\alpha}(\beta, h)$.

For instance, we choose

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{x}(q) = 0 & q \in [0, \bar{q}] \\ \tilde{x}(q) = m & q \in (\bar{q}, q] \\ \tilde{x}(q) = 1 & q \in (q, 1], \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

where $0 \leq m \leq 1$ and $\bar{q} \leq q \leq 1$ and we denote with $\bar{\alpha}(\beta, h; m, q)$ the corresponding Parisi function $\bar{\alpha}(\beta, h; \tilde{x})$.

Of course, since $\bar{\alpha}(\beta, h; 1, q) = \bar{\alpha}(\beta, h)$, it is sufficient to prove that

$$\partial_m \bar{\alpha}(\beta, h; m, q)|_{m=1} > 0,$$

for some q . First of all, $\bar{\alpha}(\beta, h; m, q)$ is easily found to be

$$\bar{\alpha}(\beta, h; m, q) = \ln 2 + \frac{\beta^2}{2}(1 - q) - \frac{\beta^2}{4}(1 - q^2 + m(q^2 - \bar{q}^2)) + \quad (14)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{m} \int d\mu(z') \ln \int d\mu(z) \cosh^m(\beta h + \beta z \sqrt{q - \bar{q}} + \beta z' \sqrt{\bar{q}}). \quad (15)$$

Next, we compute the derivative with respect to m , keeping q fixed, and we find

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_m \bar{\alpha}(\beta, h; m, q)|_{m=1} &\equiv K(\beta, h; q) \equiv \\ &\equiv -\frac{\beta^2}{4}(q^2 - \bar{q}^2) - \int d\mu(z') \ln \int d\mu(z) \cosh(\beta h + \beta z \sqrt{q - \bar{q}} + \beta z' \sqrt{\bar{q}}) \\ &+ \int d\mu(z') \frac{\int d\mu(z) \cosh(\beta h + \beta z \sqrt{q - \bar{q}} + \beta z' \sqrt{\bar{q}}) \ln \cosh(\beta h + \beta z \sqrt{q - \bar{q}} + \beta z' \sqrt{\bar{q}})}{\int d\mu(z) \cosh(\beta h + \beta z \sqrt{q - \bar{q}} + \beta z' \sqrt{\bar{q}})}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

It is clear that, for $q \downarrow \bar{q}$, the integration over z disappears, and

$$K(\beta, h; \bar{q}) = 0.$$

Therefore, in order to check the sign of $K(\beta, h; \bar{q})$, we have to expand around $q = \bar{q}$. By performing the first two derivatives with respect to q , one finds

$$\partial_q K(\beta, h; q)|_{q=\bar{q}} = 0$$

and

$$\partial_q^2 K(\beta, h; q)|_{q=\bar{q}} = -\frac{\beta^2}{2} \left(1 - \beta^2 \int d\mu(z) \frac{1}{\cosh^4(z\beta\sqrt{\bar{q}} + \beta h)} \right).$$

This computation requires a simple integration by parts on a Gaussian variable. It is clear that, when condition (12) holds, $\partial_q^2 K(\beta, h; q)|_{q=\bar{q}} > 0$, so that

$$\partial_m \bar{\alpha}(\beta, h; m, q)|_{m=1} > 0,$$

at least for q small.

This, together with Guerra's bound (10), completes the proof of the result (11), i.e., of the instability of the replica symmetric solution.

Acknowledgments

The author is grateful to Francesco Guerra for many useful conversations.

This work was supported in part by MIUR (Italian Minister of Instruction, University and Research), and by INFN (Italian National Institute for Nuclear Physics).

References

- [1] D. Sherrington, S. Kirkpatrick, *Solvable model of a spin-glass*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **35**, 1792 (1975)
- [2] S. Kirkpatrick, D. Sherrington, *Infinite-ranged models of spin-glasses*, Phys. Rev. B **17**, 4384 (1978).
- [3] J.R.L. de Almeida, D.J. Thouless, *Stability of the Sherrington-Kirkpatrick solution of a spin glass model*, J. Phys. A **11** 983 (1978).
- [4] F. Guerra, *Broken Replica Symmetry Bounds in the Mean Field Spin Glass Model*, to appear.
- [5] M. Mézard, G. Parisi and M. A. Virasoro, *Spin glass theory and beyond*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1987.
- [6] F. Guerra, *Sum rules for the free energy in the mean field spin glass model*, Fields Institute Communications **30**, 161 (2001).
- [7] F. Guerra and F. L. Toninelli, *The Thermodynamic Limit in Mean Field Spin Glass Models*, Commun. Math. Phys., to appear.