Correlation functions of the BC Calogero (Sutherland model

Shinsuke M. Nishigaki, Dimitri M. Gangardt, and Alex Kamenev3, z

The BC {type C alogero{Sutherland m odel (C SM) is an integrable extension of the ordinary A { type C SM that possesses a re ection sym m etry point. The BC {C SM is related to the chiral classes of random m atrix ensembles (RMEs) in exactly the same way as the A {C SM is related to the D yson classes. We rst develop the ferm ionic replica {model formalism suitable to treat all chiral RMEs. By exploiting \generalized color{ avor transformation" we then extend the method to nd the exact asymptotics of the BC {C SM density prole. Consistency of our result with the c=1 G aussian conformal eld theory description is veried. The emerging Friedel oscillations structure and sum rules are discussed in details. We also compute the distribution of the particle nearest to the rejection point.

PACS numbers: 71.10 Pm, 05.40.-a, 02.20.Ik, 11.25 H f
K eyw ords: Calogero (Sutherland model, random matrices, replica, Friedel oscillation, Luttinger liquid

To the m em ory of P rof. Sung-K il Y ang

I. INTRODUCTION

It is a well known fact that there is an intimate relation between the one{dimensional quantum problem with the inverse{square interaction potential, i.e. Calogero{Sutherland model (CSM) [1, 2], and Dyson random matrix ensembles (RMEs) [3]. On them ostelementary level the correspondence goes as follows: for the three particular values of the coupling constant (= 1=2;1;2) square of the many{body ground{state wave function of the CSM coincides with the joint probability distribution (JPD) of RMEs with Dyson index = 2. Consequently the know ledge of the RME correlation functions may be immediately translated to the information about the CSM. Historically this correspondence proved to be very fruitful for advancing the understanding of the CSM.

It was later realized that D yson's classi cation of the RM E swas not exhaustive. Studies of the two {sublattice model, mesoscopic transport, and QCD D irac spectra initiated the introduction of their \chiral" counterparts [4, 5, 6, 7, 8]. Subsequently, based on C artan's classi cation of R ism annian sym metric spaces, A ltland and Z imbauer [9, 10, 11] have further added six \superconducting" chiral sym metry classes. These chiral classes are characterized by the special role played by the zero energy. Namely, there is a certain number of eigenvalues that must have zero energy, while all other eigenvalues occur in sym metric pairs (mirror images) around zero. As a result, the mean density of states (DoS) exhibits either a hollow or a bump around the zero energy followed by decaying oscillations at larger distances. Such a structure on the level of the mean DoS does not show up in the Dyson classes and, as we shall explain below, may be called Friedel oscillations.

The question is whether one can indian appropriate generalization of the CSM whose ground (state wave function possess the same rejection symmetry property as the JPD of chiralensembles. The answer is known to be a imputive. Indeed, one can write down an integrable one (dimensional model with inverse (square interaction, rejection symmetry and special single (particle potential centered at zero having the required ground state. It is known as the BC (type CSM in the literature [12]. Due to the presence of the mirror boundary and localized single (particle potential (an impurity) the model lacks translational invariance and the resulting ground (state density is not uniform. In particular the density projectors the Friedeloscillations far enough from the impurity. A coordingly one has a unique example of integrable strongly interacting models that exhibit Friedel phenomena. That gives one a possibility to gain the exact information on the amplitude decay rate, spectral characteristics and phase shifts of the Friedeloscillations in

¹D epartm ent of Physics, University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT 06269, USA
²LKB, Laboratoire de Physique de l'ENS, 24 rue Lhomond, 75005 Paris, France
³D epartm ent of Physics, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA
(Dated: September 4, 2002)

E lectronic address: shinsuke@phys.uconn.edu

^yE lectronic address: gangardt@ lkb.ens.fr

^zE lectronic address: kam enev@ physics.um n.edu

(1)

the interacting system. Despite the proven integrability, explicit form of the correlation functions of the BC {CSM with generic coupling constant were not established, except for some partial results [11, 13, 14, 15].

The purpose of this paper it to lithis gap for rational values of the coupling constant. To this end we employ the recently developed approach based on the replica trick [16, 17, 18]. It was previously tested on the pair correlation function of the ordinary A {CSM [19], where it perfectly agrees with the exact results of Haklane [20] and Ha [21] for any rational coupling constant. The idea is to explore the relation with the RMEs, where the replica trick was found to be accurate in the asymptotic regime. We thus develop is the fermionic replica approach to the chiral symmetry classes of RMEs. Not surprisingly, we are able to reproduce the asymptotic behavior of the known DoS process for chiral RMEs. We then extend the treatment away from the RMEs values of the coupling constant and obtain closed analytic results for any rational two {body coupling constant and any impurity phase shift.

We found that for rational values of = p=q (p;q coprime), the spectrum of the Friedel oscillations contains exactly p harm onics, corresponding to $2k_F$; $4k_F$; $2k_F$; density oscillations. The l{th harm onic (l = 1;:::;p) decays algebraically as 1^2 , where is the distance from the impurity. The amplitudes of the harm onics depend on the number, l, and the coupling constant, , but are not sensitive to the strength and details of the impurity expressed through a phase shift, . Moreover, the harm onics amplitudes are closely related to those of the two{point correlation function of the homogeneous A {CSM. We provide a conformal eld theory account for this fact. The impurity phase shift, , a ects only phases of the harm onics in the asymptotic regime. We explicitly show that the relation between the total charge expelled by the impurity and the asymptotic phase shifts, known as the Friedel sum rule, holds for the interacting system. We also compute the distribution of the locus of the particle nearest to the mirror boundary point for the BC {CSM at generic values of the coupling constants.

The paper is organized as follows: in section Π we brie y introduce chiralensembles, and develop the appropriate ferm ionic replica {models. Section Π is devoted to the introduction and replica treatment of the BC {CSM. In section Π we perform the analytical continuation and the replica Π in it, and extract the density prole. In section Π we compare this result with the elective conformal eld theory description. In section Π is ection Π in a section Π in the calculations are relegated to the two appendices.

II. CHIRAL CIRCULAR ENSEMBLES

A circular RME is de ned as an ensemble of unitary matrices U representing a Riemannian symmetric space D, stochastically distributed according to the Haarm easure dU of D. Expressing a symmetric space as a coset D = G = H with a compact Lie group G and H G, the Cartan mapping G! G = H, G, G U G is as shown in the table below:

class	G	Н	U (g)	
A (CUE)	U (N)	1	g	
AI (COE)	U (N)	O (N)	$g^{T}g$	
AII (CSE)	U (2N)	Sp (2N)	$g^{T}Jg$	
AIII (chCUE)	$U (N + N^{0})$	$U (N) U (N^0)$	Ig ^y Ig	
BDI (chCOE)	SO $(N + N^{0})$	SO (N) SO (N 0)	$\operatorname{Ig}^{\operatorname{T}}\operatorname{Ig}$	
CII (chCSE)	$Sp(2(N + N^{0}))$	$Sp(2N)$ $Sp(2N^0)$	$\operatorname{Ig}^{\mathbb{D}}\operatorname{Ig}$	
D ; B	SO (2N); SO (2N + 1)	1	g	
С	Sp (2N)	1	g	
CI	Sp (4N)	U (2N)	Ig ^y Ig	
D Ш _е ;о	SO (4N); SO (4N + 2)	U (2N);U (2N + 1)	g^{D} g	

Here

(N ! 2N; N 0 ! 2N for C II and N; N 0 ! 2N for C I), and $g^D = Jg^T J^{-1}$ denotes the quaternion dual of the matrix g. Out of these twelve classes, last non{classical nine possess chirality [22], i.e. non{zero eigenphases appear in complex conjugate pairs. The JPD of these non{zero eigenphases

$$U = V \operatorname{diag}(e^{i_1}; \dots; e^{i_N}; e^{i_1}; \dots; e^{i_N}; 1; \dots; 1)V^{y};$$
(3)

for the circular ensemble is given by [23] (0)

$$P(_{1};:::;_{N})d_{1}:::d_{N} = \bigvee_{i=1}^{W} d_{i}\sin^{c_{1}}\frac{i}{2}\cos^{c_{2}}\frac{i}{2} j_{N} (\cos j)$$

$$= \bigvee_{i=1}^{W} dy_{i}y_{i}^{(c_{1}-1)=2} (1 y_{i})^{(c_{2}-1)=2} j_{N} (y)j; y_{i} sin^{2}(_{i}=2);$$

$$(4)$$

where $_{\rm N}$ is the Vanderm onde determ inant of the rank N and the constants c_1 ; c_2 and $_{\rm N}$ for all nine chiralensem bles are given in the table below.

class	C ₁	C ₂			D (circular R M E)	M (F-replicaNL M)	
A III	$2(N^{0} N) +$	1 1	2	N ⁰ N	$U (N + N^{0}) = (U (N)) U (N^{0})$	U (n)	
BDI	N O N	0	1	N^0 N	SO $(N + N^0) = (SO (N) SO (N^0))$	U (2n)=Sp (2n)	
СП	4 (N ⁰ N) +	3 3	4	N^0 N	$Sp(2(N + N^{0})) = (Sp(2N)) Sp(2N^{0}))$	U (2n)=O (2n)	
D	0	0	2	1=2	SO (2N)	SO (2n)=U (n)	(6
В	2	0	2	1=2	SO (2N + 1)	SO (2n)=U (n)	(0
С	2	2	2	1=2	Sp (2N)	Sp(2n)=U(n)	
CI	1	1	1	1	Sp (2N)=U (N)	Sp (2n)	
D IIIe	1	1	4	1=2	SO (4N)=U (2N)	SO (2n)	
D III _o	5	1	4	1=2	SO (4N + 2)=U (2N + 1)	SO (2n)	

The JPD Eq. (5) de ned over y 2 [0;1] m ay be comprehensively called Jacobiensemble. The constant, de ned as

$$=\frac{c_1+1}{c_1+1} \qquad 1; (7)$$

m ay be called \topological charge", when the tangent element of a random matrix U in the rst three cases is interpreted as the QCD Dirac operator in even dimensions [6, 8].

The real characteristic polynomial, or so called the fermionic replicated partition function, for these circular ensembles is dened as

$$Z \qquad \qquad Z$$

$$Z_{n,N} () = dU \det(e^{i\overline{2}} e^{i\overline{2}} U)^n \qquad \text{dg det}(e^{i\overline{2}} e^{i\overline{2}} U(g))^n : \tag{8}$$

A first the color-avor transform ation [22] and the therm odynam is $\lim it where N ! 1; ! 0 with their product (denoted by the same of simplicity) xed nite, it takes the form,$

$$Z_{n}\left(\right) \quad \lim_{N \mid 1} Z_{n;N} \quad \frac{Z}{N} = \int_{M}^{n} du \left(detu\right) e^{i\frac{\pi}{2} tr \left(u + u^{y}\right)}; \qquad (9)$$

where M are the 'dual' symmetric spaces of the ferm ionic nonlinear {models which are listed in the table (6). Hereafter we suppress irrelevant normalization constants, that goes to unity in the replical imit, n! 0. The derivation of Eq. (9) from Eq. (8) for the AIII, BDI, and CII classes is summarized in Appendix A. In order to derive Eq. (9) for symmetry classes whose pertinent color{ avor transformations are not immediately available in the literature, one could as well adopt an alternative method of magnifying the origin of the circular ensembles rst (i.e. employing Gaussian ensembles), performing Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation and taking the thermodynamic limit. For a Gaussian ensemble treatment of the BDI and CII classes, see Ref. [24].

Perform ing the integration over angular degrees of freedom v of u = v diag ($e^{i \cdot a}$) v^y , one obtains

$$Z_n() = \int_0^a Y^n d_a e^{i_a + i_{\cos a}} \int_{a>b}^{y^n} \frac{4}{2}$$
 : (10)

This expression is valid for all nine chiral sym m etry classes. Note that Eq. (10) depends only on — and c_1 but not on c_2 , because we have m agni ed the vicinity of the origin, — 0. A coordingly the class C gives the same Z_n () as B, and the class C I the same as BDI at c_1 = 1, reducing nine sym m etry classes of chiral RMEs to seven universality

classes. The number could be further reduced by introducing three universality classes of Laguerre ensembles, having continuous and discrete = 2;1;4.

Consequently we succeeded in expressing the integration over the initial N {variable JPD, Eq. (4), through the n { fold integral, Eq. (10). We shall discuss its evaluation, analytical continuation and the replical im it after we introduce the BC {CSM. This replica treatment was previously performed for the A III class in Ref. [25].

III. BC CALOGERO-SUTHERLAND MODEL

The generalized Calogero-Sutherland Hamiltonian [12] is de ned as

$$H = \frac{X^{N}}{\frac{g^{2}}{2}} + \frac{X}{\sin^{2}(1)} = \frac{g_{j j}}{\sin^{2}(1)} = \frac{1}{\sin^{2}(1)}$$

Here is a root system of a Lie algebra in N (dimensional vector space, is the vector ($_1$;:::; $_N$), and $g_{j\ j}$ is a coupling constant depending only on the root length. Quantum integrability is ensured by these conditions. The ordinary, translationally invariant model corresponds to the A $_N$ $_1$ root system .

The quantum one $\{dim\ ensional\ m\ odel\ of\ N\ interacting\ particles\ on\ a\ sem\ icircle,\ 0\ _i\ ,\ w\ here\ i=1;:::;N\ w\ ith\ the\ H\ am\ iltonian$

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} x^{N} & \frac{\theta^{2}}{2} &$$

corresponds to the BC $_{\rm N}$ root system, hence called the BC $_{\rm CSM}$. As the BC $_{\rm N}$ root system contains roots of length 1; 2;2, there are three independent coupling constants, ; $_1$; $_2$. This family contains CSM s corresponding to B $_{\rm N}$ ($_2$ = 0), C $_{\rm N}$ ($_1$ = $_2$), and D $_{\rm N}$ ($_1$ = $_2$ = 0) root system s as its subfamilies. In addition to the pairwise inverse square interactions, the particles interact with their own mirror in ages (occupying the other semicircle, 2) and with the two single particle in purity potentials placed at = 0 and = . In what follows we shall assume the therm odynam ic limit, N ! 1, and shall focus on the vicinity of the impurity at = 0. The other impurity may be treated in exactly the same manner. The model is known to have the following ground state energy [12]

H
$$_{0} = E_{0} _{0};$$
 $E_{0} = {\overset{{}_{N}^{N}}{{}_{1}}} _{1} (N _{1}) + {\overset{1}{1} + \overset{2}{2}} ^{2};$ (13)

and the ground { state wave function

$$_{0}\left(_{1};:::;_{N}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sin ^{1} \frac{i}{2} \cos ^{2} \frac{i}{2} \qquad _{N}\left(\cos \right) : \tag{14}$$

The absolute square of the ground {state wave function coincides with the JPD of the chiral RMEs (4) [26], through a change of the coupling constants

$$= 2; _{1} = c_{1}=2; _{2} = c_{2}=2:$$
 (15)

Notice, that Eq. (14) is not restricted to the special values of $;c_1;c_2$ listed in the table (6). The energy and wave functions of the excited states were studied in Refs. [27, 28, 29, 30].

The particle density is de ned as,

$$h ()i = p \frac{x^{N}}{y(1 - y)} + p \frac{x^{N}}{y(1 - y)} + (y - y);$$

$$j=1$$
(16)

where y $\sin^2(=2)$. The angular brackets denote ground {state expectation values, or equivalently averaging over the normalized JPD, Eqs. (4) and (5), for the rst and second equalities correspondingly. One may then employ the replicatrick to write

$$\stackrel{X^{N}}{\underset{j=1}{\bigvee}} (y \quad y_{j}) = \lim_{n \mid 0} \frac{1}{n} = m \frac{d}{dy} \stackrel{Y^{N}}{\underset{j=1}{\bigvee}} (y \quad y_{j} \quad i^{n}) :$$
(17)

As a result, one obtains

h ()
$$i = {}^{p} \frac{1}{y(1-y)} \lim_{n \ge 0} \frac{1}{n} = m \frac{d}{dy} Z_{n,N} (y i)$$
 $v = \sin^{2}(z)$
(18)

where the \replicated partition function" is de ned as

$$Z_{n,N}(y) = \begin{cases} Z^{1} y^{N} \\ dy_{i} y_{i}^{1} & ^{1=2} (1 - y_{i})^{2} & ^{1=2} (y - y_{i})^{n} \\ 0 & ^{i=1} \end{cases} (19)$$

Baker and Forrester [31] have noticed the integral equality due to K aneko [32] and Y an [33], which we suggestively call the \generalized color-avortransform ation". With its help one may express the partition function in the following way

$$Z_{n,N}(y) = \begin{bmatrix} Z & Y^{n} \\ dx_{a} & x_{a}^{\frac{1+-2+1}{2}} \end{bmatrix}^{2} (1 - x_{a}) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2+n-1-2}{2} & \frac{x_{a}(1 - yx_{a})}{1 - x_{a}} \end{bmatrix}^{N}$$

$$(20)$$

The integration contour C encircles the cut between $x_a=0$ and $x_a=1$. The general form of the integral identity is given in Appendix B. So far no approximation has been made. Now we pass to the them odynamic limit, N ! 1, and magnify the vicinity of the =0 impurity. To this end we rescale the variable as ! =N and correspondingly y' $^2=(4N^2)$. By redening the integration variables as $x_a=1$ 2iN $^1e^{i}$ and taking the thermodynamic limit, N ! 1, one nds (see Appendix B for details)

$$Z_{n}() \lim_{N \mid 1} Z_{n;N} = \lim_{n \mid -1 + \frac{1}{2} \mid 1} X_{n} = \lim_{n \mid -1 + \frac{1}{2} \mid 1} d_{a} e^{i(-1 + \frac{1}{2} \mid 1)_{a} + i \cos_{a}} \lim_{n \mid a \mid b} H_{1=}$$

$$(21)$$

One may introduce notation

$$= -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \qquad 1; \tag{22}$$

to notice the exact coincidence with the $\{m \text{ odel representation of the chiral RM Es, Eq. (10), provided that the coupling constants are related via Eq. (15). The important dierence is that the BC <math>\{C \text{ SM representation in the form of Eq. (21) is not restricted to the RM Es values = 1=2;1;2 and special values of the topological charge, .$

IV. ANALYTIC CONTINUATION AND REPLICA LIM IT

Consider the n { fold integral

$$Z_{n}() = {}^{n} {}^{$$

One may stretch the integration contour from the unit circle in the complex plane of $z_a = e^{i \cdot a}$ into two lines parallel to the imaginary axis with $\langle e z_a = 1$. The original integral, Eq. (23), splits into the sum of n terms with lintegrals having $\langle e z_a = 1$ and remaining n lones $\langle e z_a = 1$; here l = 1; ...; n. The further progress is made possible in the asymptotic limit, 1. In this case the integrals are dominated by the vicinities of the saddle points $z_a = 1$ and thus may be evaluated employing the Selberg integral. This strategy was described in details in Refs. [16, 17, 18, 19]. Proceeding this way, one nds for the replicated partition function

$$Z_{n}() = {}^{n} e^{in} {}^{X^{n}} F_{n}^{1}() e^{i - 1 - 2i1} 2^{\frac{2i^{2}}{n}} (i) {}^{\frac{n-1}{2}(1 + \frac{n-1}{n})} (i) {}^{\frac{1}{2}(1 + \frac{1}{n})};$$
 (24)

where we have om itted a normalization constant that goes to unity in the replical im it, n! 0 and, following Refs. [16, 17, 18, 19], introduced the notation

$$F_n^1()$$
 $\begin{pmatrix} n & Y^1 & (1+a=) \\ 1 & (1+(n-a+1)=) \end{pmatrix}$: (25)

Employing the observation that F_n^1 () 0 for 1> n, one may extend sum mation over 1 in Eq. (24) to in nity and then perform the analytic continuation n ! 0. As a result, one nds via h ()i = $\lim_{n \to 0}$ (n) 1 =m @ Z_n () (cf. Eq. (18)),

h ()
$$i = \frac{1}{2} + 2 + 2 + 2 + \frac{d_1()}{(2)^{1/2}} \cos 21 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$$
; (26)

w here

$$d_{1}() = \frac{(1)^{1}}{2^{1^{2}}} \frac{Y^{1}}{a_{1}} = \frac{(1 + a = 1)}{(1 + a = 1)};$$
(27)

Eq. (26) for the asym ptotic of the ground {state density of the BC {CSM} is the central result of this paper. For the integer values of the coupling constant, , it m ay be extracted from the expressions derived by Baker and Forrester [31], see also Ref. [15].

We remark that each term in Eq. (26) is the one with the lowest power in among all terms carrying the same frequency 21. This corresponds to truncating all contributions from the descendent elds in the conformal description, see the next section. One could compute these secondary terms, subleading in , by performing a perturbative expansion around each saddle point with the help of the loop equations [18].

V. CONFORMAL FIELD THEORY DESCRIPTION

By comparing the one{particle correlation function of the BC $\{CSM (26) \text{ with the equal} \{time two \{particle correlation function of the ordinary A <math>\{CSM [19,21]\}$

h ()
$$(0)i = \frac{1}{(2)^2} = 1 = \frac{1}{2^2} + 2 = \frac{d_1()^2}{2l^2} = \cos(2l)$$
; (28)

one notices that it is expressed through the very same coe cients $d_1()$, Eq. (27). It is thus clear that the harm onics amplitudes, $d_1()$, are properties of the homogenous interacting system and not of the localized impurity. As explained below, this result could be anticipated from the elective conformal eld theory description. The latter is capable of predicting the low energy properties of the system apart from numerical values of the coecients.

Based on the nite{size scaling analysis, Kawakami and Yang [34] identied the low {energy e ective theory of the CSM in the thermodynamic limit to be the c = 1 Gaussian conformal eld theory at radius R = -2, either non{chiral (A {CSM})

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \theta_z \theta_z ; (z;z) = (z) + (z); + 2 R;$$
 (29)

or chiral (BC {CSM}) [14, 26]. Namely, they have found the density operator should have zero winding number. It does not have a denite conformaldimension, and therefore is expanded in terms of primary and secondary operators whose left{ and right{m oving vertex m omenta are equal. Here we shall consider only contributions from the U(1) current and the primary elds (vertex operators with charges allowed by the compactication (29)),

$$(z;z) = {}_{0} b @_{z} (z) + @_{z} (z) + d_{z} d_{1}e^{il(z+z)}e^{il(z+z)}e^{il(z)=R}e^{il(z)=R};$$

$$(30)$$

where the expansion coe cients, b and d₁(= d₁), are not determined from the conformal eld theory. Neither are the oscillation factors $e^{i1(z+z)}$, which are set by hand to describe transport of lpseudo{particles [21] from the left Fermi point ($k_F = 1$) by normalization) to the right Fermi point ($k_F = 1$) [34]. We have factored out $_0$ such that the constant term carries $d_0 = 1$. The propagator and the vertex correlator are given by

h (z)
$$(z^0)i = \frac{1}{4} \log(z - z^0);$$

$$e^{i1 (z)=R} e^{i1^0 (z^0)=R} = \frac{1}{(z - z^0)^{1^2 - (4R^2)}} :$$
 (31)

The coe cient in the second equation is a matter of convention and rejects a particular choice of the ultraviolet regularization. A nother choice of the regularization would change coe cients d_1 , but not the final result. Employing

Eqs. (30), (31), one obtains for the equal(time two{particle correlation function of the A {CSM} (we denote z = + i)

h (+ i0)
$$(^{0} + i0)i = {}^{2}_{0} \frac{b^{2}}{2(0)^{2}} + {}^{X^{1}}_{1=0} d_{1}^{2} \frac{e^{2i1(0)}}{(0)^{1^{2} - (2R^{2})}}$$
: (32)

Comparing this expression with Eq. (28), one nds that the b=1= [21], while $d_1=d_1()$, cf. Eq. (27). Let us consider now the BC (CSM and concentrate on the case without the phase shift for simplicity. The D irichlet boundary condition at $ext{e} = 0$ is translated into the open boundary bosonization rule [35]

$$(z) = (z)$$
 for $= m z < 0$: (33)

As the right mover is identied as (1) times the left mover at the mirror imaged point, there exists a nonzero matrix element between the left and right moving vertex operators:

$$e^{il (z)=R} e^{il^{0} (z^{0})=R} = \frac{1;l^{0}}{(z+z^{0})^{1^{2}=(4R^{2})}} :$$
(34)

As a result, the mean density of the BC {CSM becomes nontrivial,

h
$$(+i0)i = {}_{0} {}_{1=1} {}_{1} {}_{2} {}_{1} {}_{2} {}_{1} {}_{2} {}_{3} {}_{2} {}_{3} {$$

It is in exact agreement with Eq. (26) if one disregards the phase shift. The phase shift may also be included in the conformal description by shifting the identication of the right and left movers, Eq. (33), by a constant factor: (+1=2) 1=(2)).

We found that the know ledge of the asym ptotic behavior of the correlator of the hom ogenous A {CSM, Eq. (28), supplemented by the conformal eld theory description is, in principle, su cient to predict the BC {CSM correlation function, Eq. (26). This agreement indicates the fact that the ultraviolet property of the eld that is responsible for the normalization of vertex operators are not a ected by the presence or absence of the boundary. Well-anticipated as it is, we nevertheless consider this fact to be worth verifying, as done in this paper. This fact can be put on a further test by computing the asymptotics of e.g. two {particle correlation function for the BC {CSM, though it is technically more challenging. We could as well reverse the logic and conjecture the asymptotically expanded form of any p-point correlation function of density operators for the A {CSM (p 3) or for the BC {CSM (p 2), by using Eqs. (30), (31), and (27).

VI. NEAREST PARTICLE DISTRIBUTION

$$E_{N} (s) = const: (dy_{i} y_{i}^{n}) \qquad _{N} (y)^{2} \qquad :$$

$$Y \stackrel{i=1}{\longrightarrow} (36)$$

The constant should be chosen to ensure E_N (0) = 1. By shifting and rescaling the integration variable as y! (1 Y)y+Y, one obtains

$$E_{N} (s) = const: (1 Y)^{(1+n)N+N(N-1)} dy_{i} y_{i} + \frac{Y}{1 Y}^{n} N(Y)^{2} : (37)$$

Now we apply the generalized color avortransformation (20) to reexpress E_N (s) as an n (fold integral,

$$E_{N} (s) = \text{const:} (1 \quad Y)^{(1+n)N+N} (N \quad 1) \qquad 4 dx_{a} x_{a}^{\frac{2}{a}} (1 \quad x_{a}) = \frac{x_{a} (1 + \frac{Y}{1 \cdot Y} x_{a})}{1 \quad x_{a}} = \frac{x_{a} (1 + \frac{Y}{1 \cdot$$

We nally rescales! s=N; Y! $s^2=(4N^2)$ and take the therm odynam ic lim it. Following the same procedure that lead from Eq. (20) to Eq. (21), one nds

E(s)
$$\lim_{N ! \ 1} E_N \frac{s}{N}$$

$$= \text{const:} e^{\frac{-1}{4}s^2} s^{n \ (1 - \frac{1}{2})} \int_{0}^{\mathbb{Z}^2} Y^n d^n e^{i(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2})} e^{-i(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2})} e^{-i(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2})} e^{-i(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}$$

This exact result has previously been derived from Laguerre (chiral Gaussian) ensembles at arbitrary and at $_1 = n + 1 = 2$ [13].

To compute the asymptotics of E (s) for s 1, one can relax the restriction on $_1$, by rst evaluating the n {fold integral (39) by the saddle point method and then performing the analytical continuation n! $_1$ 1=2. In the large{s limit we pick only the contribution of the replica-symmetric saddle point $_a$ = 0, since the contribution of all other saddle points is exponentially smaller (the dierence with the previous computation is that senters Eq. (39) without imaginary unit). This way Forrester [13] has derived the asymptotic (s 1) result

E (s) =
$$const$$
: $s^{\frac{n}{2}} = \frac{n \cdot (n+1)}{2} e^{-\frac{1}{4}s^2} e^{n \cdot s}$
= $const$: $s^{\frac{n}{2}} = \frac{n \cdot (n+1)}{2} e^{-\frac{1}{4}s^2} e^{n \cdot s}$; (40)

where is the topological charge de ned by Eq. (22). The Gaussian factor $\exp(\hat{s}=4)$ could be anticipated from the mean { eld treatment of the classical logarithmic gas [36]. The other factors in the asymptotic expression Eq. (40) could not be found in any simpler way, to the best of our knowledge.

The distribution p(s) of the locus s of the particle nearest to the re-ection point is given by p(s) = QE(s). In the other limiting case, s = 1, p(s) is determined by the interaction of the particle closest to the re-ection point to its own mirror image. Inspecting Eq. (5) one immediately nds $p(s) / s^2$.

VII. DISCUSSIONS

Let us now take a closer look at our main result, Eq. (26). The constant term on its rh.s., $_0$ = 1= , represents the uniform density of particles (N particles within [0;]) far away from the impurity. It may be traced back to the replica symmetric contribution (all n integrals are taken at z_a = 1 saddle point) to the partition function. The decaying (as ! 1) oscillatory terms on the rh.s. of Eq. (26) are the Friedel oscillations of the particle density induced by the mirror boundary and the impurity potential. These terms may be identified as the replical symmetry broken contributions to the partition functions (lintegrals are taken at the \w rong" saddle point, z_a = 1). In general, there is an in nite number of harmonics (unlike a single $\2k_F$ " harmonic in the non{interacting system!) in the oscillation spectrum. Since d_1 / exp(1 1 ln 1) for 1 1, the sum over harmonics on the rh.s. of Eq. (26) is, in general, not convergent. It is not clear to us at the moment, whether there is a consistent resum mation scheme. There is, however, an important class of the parameters, where Eq. (26) is mathematically rigorous.

For any rational coupling constant = p=q the coe cient $d_1(p=q) = 0$ for 1>p and therefore the sum term inates after exactly p oscillatory components. One nds that, in addition to the usual $2k_F$ Friedel oscillation, the system possess $4k_F$;:::; $2pk_F$ oscillatory components of the density (in the unit $k_F = 1$ accepted here). This fact might be expected from the form of the density (density response function of the homogenous A {CSM [20, 21]. However, the algebraic decay rate of the harmonics could not be determined employing linear response of the A {CSM . Indeed, the latter predicts that the l{th harmonic decays as $2^{1^2=+1}$, while the correct decay rate is $1^2=$. Notice, that for non{interacting particles, = 1 and thus 1=1, both ways give the correct one{dimensional decay of the $2k_F$ Friedel oscillations: 1=1. For any interacting system, 1=10, the linear response is bound to fail in the asymptotic regime. These observations was already made in the Tomonaga (Luttinger liquid literature [40, 41]. Now we can consider that having the exactly solvable model system.

In the BC {CSM one nds not only the decay law, but also the relative amplitudes of the harmonics: coe cients $d_1()$, Eq. (27). Notice that these amplitudes are determined by the interaction strength, , only and are independent

on the impurity strength, $_1$. This is due to the fact that the mirror boundary condition induces oscillations of the maximal possible amplitude. The additional single {particle potential centered at = 0 and characterized by $_1$ changes the phase of the oscillations only. The entire information about the impurity strength, $_1$, is incorporated in the parameter, Eq. (22). In the asymptotic regime the latter a ects the phase of the Friedel oscillations only and therefore may be associated with the impurity phase shift. (Unlike the leading order, the amplitudes of sub{leading perturbative corrections in negative powers of do depend on the phase shift, .)

To verify Eqs. (26), (27) one m ay compare them with the available exact DoS of the chiral RMEs (see Ref. [42] and references therein). Employing Hankel's asymptotic expansion of the Bessel function, one may check that these asymptotic perfectly agree with Eqs. (26), (27). One may also notice that for D, B, and C symmetry classes we have obtained the exact rather than the asymptotic results. This coincidence is due to the Duisterm aat {Heckman localization theorem [43, 44]. We see that having unitary symmetry class, = 2, is not su cient to satisfy the Duisterm aat {Heckman theorem. One should also have the special value of the topological charge, = 1=2, to secure cancellation of all higher {order perturbative corrections [25].

One m ay de ne the total charge attracted (expelled) by the impurity to (from) the region near = 0 as:

$$\mathbb{Z}^{1}$$
 Q d (() $_{0}$); (41)

where $_0 = 1$ is the uniform asymptotic density. For the chiral RMEs, where the exact expressions including small region are available, the result is (cf. Eq. (26)):

$$Q = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{2} : \tag{42}$$

Notice, that the attracted charge depends both on the impurity amplitude, $_1$ and the interaction strength, , refecting the fact that the impurity is screened due to the interactions. The pure mirror boundary, without the single {particle potential attracts quarter of a particle irrespective to the interaction strength. Equation (42) is a manifestation of the famous Friedelsum rule: the total expelled charge is equal to the impurity phase shift (divided by 2); the latter also determines the phase of the density oscillations far from the impurity. We conjecture, in accordance with the earlier works [45], that Eq. (42) is valid for any values of and $_1$.

A cknow ledgm ents

We are grateful to the hospitality of Department of Physics, Technion (Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa, Israel, where our collaborated work has been initiated. We also acknowledge A.Abanov, A.Altland, G.Dunne, A.Garcia-Garcia, P.Forrester, J.Verbaarschot, and M.Zimbauer for valuable discussions and correspondences. This work was supported in part (SMN) by the DOE grant no.DE-FR02-92ER40716, and (AK) by the BSF grant no.9800338. Le LKB est UMR 8552 du CNRS, de LENS et de Universite P.et M.Curie.

APPENDIX A: {MODEL DERIVATION VIA COLOR {FLAVOR TRANSFORM ATION

We rst consider the simplest case A III with = 0. The Grassmannian U (2N) = (U (N) U (N)) is a complex K ahler manifold, and its unitary matrix representative U in Table (1) is conveniently parameterized by the complex stereographic coordinate Z_{ij} , i;j=1;:::;N, as

$$U = Ig^{y}Ig = I I^{-1}; = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & Z \\ Z^{y} & 1 \end{bmatrix}; Z 2 C^{N}, I = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}; \qquad (A1)$$

The Kahler potential k (\mathbb{Z} ; \mathbb{Z}) = tr log (1 + \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{Z}) leads to the Haar m easure

$$dU = \frac{Q_{i;j=1}^{N} d^{2}Z_{ij}}{\det(1 + ZZ^{y})^{2N}} :$$
 (A2)

The replicated partition function then reads

$$Z_{n,N}$$
 () =
$$dU \det(e^{i\overline{z}} e^{i\overline{z}} U)^{n}$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{Z^{C^{N}N}} \\ C^{N}N}} \frac{Q^{2}Z}{\det(1 + ZZ^{y})^{2N}} \det e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}} e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}} I I^{n}$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{C^{N}N} \\ C^{N}N}} \frac{Q^{2}Z}{\det(1 + ZZ^{y})^{2N+n}} \det e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}} e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}} I I^{n} :$$
(A3)

We introduce a set of (N n) {component independent G rassmannian numbers $_{i}^{a}$; $_{i$

$$Z_{n,jN} () = d d d d d = \sum_{C^{N}} \frac{Q}{\det(1 + Z Z^{y})^{2N+n}} \exp [] e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}} e^{i\frac{\pi}{2} y} : (A4)$$

Now we employ Zimbauer's color{ avortransformation [22]

$$\frac{Z}{\det(1 + ZZ^{y})^{2N + n}} \exp \left(\frac{a}{i} Z_{ij} \right) = \frac{Z}{i} \det \left(\frac{1 + ZZ^{y}}{2} \right)^{2N + n} \exp \left(\frac{a}{i} Z_{ij} \right) = \frac{Z}{i} \det \left(\frac{1 + ZZ^{y}}{2} \right)^{2N + n} \exp \left(\frac{a}{i} Z_{ij} \right) = \frac{Z}{i} \det \left(\frac{1 + ZZ^{y}}{2} \right)^{2N + n} \exp \left(\frac{a}{i} Z_{ij} \right) = \frac{Z}{i} \det \left(\frac{1 + ZZ^{y}}{2} \right)^{2N + n} \exp \left(\frac{a}{i} Z_{ij} \right) = \frac{Z}{i} \det \left(\frac{1 + ZZ^{y}}{2} \right)^{2N + n} \exp \left(\frac{a}{i} Z_{ij} \right) = \frac{Z}{i} \det \left(\frac{1 + ZZ^{y}}{2} \right)^{2N + n} \exp \left(\frac{a}{i} Z_{ij} \right) = \frac{Z}{i} \det \left(\frac{1 + ZZ^{y}}{2} \right)^{2N + n} \exp \left(\frac{a}{i} Z_{ij} \right) = \frac{Z}{i} \det \left(\frac{1 + ZZ^{y}}{2} \right)^{2N + n} \exp \left(\frac{a}{i} Z_{ij} \right) = \frac{Z}{i} \det \left(\frac{1 + ZZ^{y}}{2} \right)^{2N + n} \exp \left(\frac{a}{i} Z_{ij} \right) = \frac{Z}{i} \det \left(\frac{1 + ZZ^{y}}{2} \right)^{2N + n} \exp \left(\frac{a}{i} Z_{ij} \right) = \frac{Z}{i} \det \left(\frac{1 + ZZ^{y}}{2} \right)^{2N + n} \exp \left(\frac{a}{i} Z_{ij} \right) = \frac{Z}{i} \det \left(\frac{1 + ZZ^{y}}{2} \right)^{2N + n} \exp \left(\frac{a}{i} Z_{ij} \right) = \frac{Z}{i} \det \left(\frac{1 + ZZ^{y}}{2} \right)^{2N + n} \exp \left(\frac{a}{i} Z_{ij} \right) = \frac{Z}{i} \det \left(\frac{1 + ZZ^{y}}{2} \right)^{2N + n} \exp \left(\frac{a}{i} Z_{ij} \right) = \frac{Z}{i} \det \left(\frac{1 + ZZ^{y}}{2} \right)^{2N + n} \exp \left(\frac{a}{i} Z_{ij} \right) = \frac{Z}{i} \det \left(\frac{1 + ZZ^{y}}{2} \right)^{2N + n} \exp \left(\frac{a}{i} Z_{ij} \right) = \frac{Z}{i} \det \left(\frac{1 + ZZ^{y}}{2} \right)^{2N + n} \exp \left(\frac{a}{i} Z_{ij} \right) = \frac{Z}{i} \det \left(\frac{1 + ZZ^{y}}{2} \right)^{2N + n} \exp \left(\frac{a}{i} Z_{ij} \right) = \frac{Z}{i} \det \left(\frac{1 + ZZ^{y}}{2} \right) = \frac{Z}{i} \det \left($$

to obtain

$$Z_{n,N}() = d d d d d d exp (e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}} e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}})(+) + (e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}} + e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}})(u + u^{y})$$

$$= du \det cos + i sin \frac{u + u^{y}}{2}$$
(A 6)

W e stress that no approximation has been made in the above procedure.

In the therm odynam ic \lim it, N ! 1 and ! 0, one has \cos ' 1 and \sin ' . As a result, the determ in ant in Eq. (A 6) m ay be exponentiated:

$$Z_{n}()$$
 $\lim_{N \ ! \ 1} Z_{n,N} \frac{Z}{N} = \sup_{U(n)} du e^{i\frac{1}{2} tr (u + u^{y})}$: (A7)

One can repeat the above procedure for the BDI and CII classes. The parametrization (A1) of the real and quaternionic G rassmannian manifolds, SO (2N) = (SO (N) SO (N)) and Sp (4N) = (Sp (2N) Sp (2N)), involves N N real and quaternion { real matrices Z, instead of complex. The color{ avortransformation trades the integrations over these bolored' variables Z with the ones over 'avored' variables u that are antisymmetric and symmetric unitary matrices, respectively (straightforward as they are, such types of color{ avortransformation have yet to be exhibited explicitly in the literature, to the best of our knowledge). A coordingly, the integration domain of the transformed partition function (A6) becomes antisymmetric unitary matrices (U(2n)=Sp(2n)) and symmetric unitary matrices (U(2n)=O(2n)), with the rest being unaltered. Inclusion of non-zero is straightforward by considering a rectangular Z. It merely shifts the the power of the determinant in the measure (A2) by , and modies Eq. (A7) into Eq. (9) in the thermodynamic limit.

For the B {D and C or D III and C I classes, one utilizes the color{ avor transform ation between the orthogonal or sym plectic group and the associated dual sym m etric space param etrized by antisym m etric or sym m etric com plex m atrices, respectively [22, 46, 47].

APPENDIX B:GENERALIZED COLOR (FLAVOR TRANSFORM ATION

K aneko [32] (see also Yan [33]) has derived the following remarkable integral identity:

$$Z_{n;N}(t) = \frac{1}{S_{N}(_{1} + n;_{2};_{})} \int_{0}^{Z^{1}} dy_{i} y_{i}^{1} (1 - y_{i})^{2} (y_{i} - t)^{n} N (y)^{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{S_{n}(V_{1};V_{2};1=)} \int_{C}^{Z} dx_{a} x_{a}^{V_{1}} (1 - x_{a})^{V_{2}} (1 - tx_{a})^{N} N (x)^{2} Y_{i}^{1} (x_{a})^{N}$$
(B1)

where the constants are related as

$$V_1 = \frac{1 + 2 + 2}{2 + 1} + N$$
 2; $V_2 = \frac{2 + n}{2 + 1}$ N: (B2)

The normalization constant S_k (a;b;c) is given by the Selberg integral

$$S_{k}(a;b;c) = \sum_{j=0}^{kY} \frac{(a+1+cj)(b+1+cj)(1+c(j+1))}{(a+b+2+c(k+j-1))(1+c)} :$$
 (B3)

The integration contour C encircles the cut between $x_a = 0$ and $x_a = 1$. In our case (cf. Eq. (19))

$$v_{1;2} = v_{1;2} = \frac{1}{2}$$
; $v_{1} = \frac{v_{1} + v_{2} + 1}{v_{2} + v_{3}} + v_{4} = \frac{v_{2} + v_{3} + v_{4}}{v_{4} + v_{5}} = \frac{v_{1} + v_{2} + v_{3}}{v_{4} + v_{5}} = \frac{v_{1} + v_{2} + v_{3}}{v_$

We call the identity (B1) ferm ionic replica \generalized color{ avor transform ation". Indeed, for the RMEs values of the parameters (=1=2;1;2 and special values of $_{1;2}$) Eq. (B1) essentially coincides with the ferm ionic replica version of Z imbauer's color{ avor transform ation [10, 22], after proper parametrization of the symmetric space elements and integration out of the irrelevant angles. The questions whether there is a geometrical \dual pair" interpretation of Eq. (B1) for arbitrary parameters, and whether there is a supersymmetric (say for rational) or bosonic replica analogue, are currently open.

In the large {N $\lim_{a=1}^{n} S(x_a;t)$ }, where

$$S(x;t) = log(1 tx) logx + log(1 x):$$
 (B5)

We then look for the stationary points of the \action S(x) given by solutions of x = 0. A simple algebra gives for the stationary points

$$x = 1 \quad i \quad \frac{1}{t}$$
 (B 6)

We then magnify the vicinity of t=0 by introducing as $t=\sin^2(=(2N))'^2=(2N)^2$ and changing the integration variable x_a to a as

$$x_a = 1$$
 i $\frac{1}{t} e^{i_a}$: (B7)

The two saddle points (B6) are at $_a$ = 0; . Taking the lim it N ! 1, one obtains for the action N S (x_a ;t) ! i \cos_a . A straightforward algebra yields

$$_{n}(x)^{2} \stackrel{1=}{=} ! \qquad \frac{_{n(n-1)}}{_{a=1}} Y^{n} \underbrace{_{i}^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}_{a} \stackrel{x}{\sin}^{2} \underbrace{_{a=b}}_{2} \qquad \vdots$$
(B8)

The closed contour C in the x_a {plane can be taken to be a circle, so that a = 2 [0;2]. As a result, one obtains Eq. (21) of the main text.

- [1] F. Calogero, J. M ath. Phys. 10, 2191 and 2197 (1969).
- [2] B. Sutherland, J. M ath. Phys. 12, 246 and 251 (1971).
- [3] M. L. Mehta, Random Matrices, 2nd ed., Academic Press (San Diego, 1991).
- [4] R.Gade, Nucl. Phys. B 398, 499 (1993).
- [5] K. Slevin and T. Nagao, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 635 (1993).
- [6] E.V. Shuryak and J.J.M. Verbaarschot, Nucl. Phys. A 560, 306 (1993).
- [7] P.J. Forrester, Nucl. Phys. B 402, 709 (1993).
- [8] J. Verbaarschot, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 2531 (1994).
- [9] A.Altland and M.R. Zimbauer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 3420 (1996).
- [10] M R. Zimbauer, J. Math. Phys. 37, 4986 (1996).
- [11] A.A Land and M. R. Zimbauer, Phys. Rev. B 55, 1142 (1997).
- [12] M A.O Ishanetsky and A.M. Perelom ov, Phys. Rep. 94, 313 (1983).
- [13] P.J. Forrester, J.M ath. Phys. 35, 2539 (1994).
- [14] T . Yam am oto, N . K awakam i, and S . K . Yang, J . K or . Phys. Soc. 29, S143 (1996).
- [15] H. Frahm and S.I.M atveenko, Eur. Phys. J. B 5, 671 (1998).
- [16] A.Kamenev and M.Mezard, J.Phys. A 32, 4373 (1999); Phys.Rev. B 60, 3944 (1999).

- [17] I.V. Yurkevich and I.V. Lemer, Phys. Rev. B 60, 3955 (1999).
- [18] S.M. Nishigaki and A.Kamenev, J.Phys. A 35, 4571 (2002).
- [19] D M .G angardt and A .K am enev, Nucl. Phys. B 610, 578 (2001).
- [20] F.D.M. Haldane, in the proceeding of the International Colloquium in Modern Field Theory, Tata Institute (Bombay, 1994).
- [21] Z N C. Ha, Phys.Rev.Lett.73,1574 (1994); Quantum Many-Body Systems in One Dimension, World Scientic (Singapore, 1996).
- [22] M R. Zimbauer, J. Phys. A 29, 7113 (1996).
- [23] P.J. Forrester, on-line note: http://www.ms.unimelb.edu.au/~matpjf/b2.ps, sect. 2.8.
- [24] M A. Halasz and JJM. Verbaarschot, Phys. Rev. D 52, 2563 (1995).
- [25] D. Dalmazi and J.J.M. Verbaarschot, Nucl. Phys. B 592, 419 (2001).
- [26] T. Yam am oto, N. Kawakami, and S.-K. Yang, J. Phys. A 29, 317 (1996);
- [27] T. Yam am oto, J. Phys. Soc. Japan 63, 1223 (1994).
- [28] D. Bernard, V. Pasquier, and D. Serban, Europhys. Lett. 30, 301 (1995).
- [29] D. Serban, J. Phys. A 30, 4215 (1997).
- [30] M. Kojim a and N. Ohta, Nucl. Phys. B 473, 455 (1996).
- [31] T. H. Baker and P. J. Forrester, Comm. Math. Phys. 188, 175 (1997).
- [32] J.K aneko, SIAM J.M ath. Anal. 24, 1086 (1993),
- [33] Z.Yan, Canad.J.M ath.44, 1317 (1992).
- [34] N.Kawakamiand S.K.Yang, Phys.Rev.Lett.67, 2493 (1991).
- [35] See e.g., A.G ogolin, A.A.N ersesyan, and A.M. Tsvelik, Bosonization and Strongly Cornelated Systems, Cambridge Univ. Press (Cambridge, 1998), sect. 27.III.1.
- [36] A G . Abanov and V E . K orepin, cond-m at/0206353.
- [37] C.A. Tracy and H. W. idom, Comm. Math. Phys. 163, 33 (1994); ibid. 177, 727 (1996).
- [38] P.J. Forrester and T.D. Hughes, J.M. ath. Phys. 35, 6736 (1994).
- [39] P.H. Dam gaard and S.M. Nishigaki, Phys. Rev. D 63, 045012 (2001).
- [40] C L.K ane and M P A.Fisher, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 1220 (1992).
- [41] R. Egger and H. Grabert, Phys. Rev. Lett 75, 3505 (1995).
- [42] D A . Ivanov, cond-m at/0103137.
- [43] J.J.Duisterm aat and G.Heckman, Invent.Math. 69, 259 (1982).
- [44] M. R. Zimbauer, cond-m at/9903338.
- [45] J.S. Langer and V. Ambegaokar, Phys. Rev. 121, 1090 (1961).
- [46] M R. Zimbauer, chao-dyn/9810016.
- [47] T.Nagao and S.M.Nishigaki, Phys.Rev.D 64,014507 (2001).