Therm al conductivity in B - and C - phase of UP t_3

P.Thalm $eier^1$ and K.M aki^{2} ;³

¹M ax-P lanck-Institute for the Chem ical Physics of Solids, Nothnitzer Str.40, 01187 D resden, G erm any

²M ax-P lanck-Institute for the Physics of C om plex System s, N othnitzer Str.38, 01187 D resden, G erm any

³D epartm ent of P hysics and A stronom y, U niversity of Southern C alifornia, Los Angeles,

CA 90089-0484, USA

A lthough the superconductivity in UPt₃ is one of the m ost well studied, there are still lingering questions about the nodal directions in the B and C phase in the presence of a magnetic eld. Limiting ourselves to the low temperature regime (T (0)), we study the magnetotherm al conductivity with in sem iclassical approximation using Volovik's approach. The angular dependence of the magnetotherm al conductivity for an arbitrary eld direction is calculated for both phases. We show that cusps in the polar angle dependence appear in B and C phases which are due to the polar point nodes.

PACS numbers: 7420 Rp, 7425 Fy, 74.70.T x

I. IN TRODUCTION

P enhaps due to the exceptional appearance of two superconducting (sc) transitions with two associated critical

eld curves, UPt is one of the most well studied Heavy Ferm ion superconductors. The history of this subject was described in Ref. The T linear dependence of the low tem perature therm al conductivity both parallel to the caxis and the a axis was in favor of the E_{2u} rather than E_{1q} -type sc order param eter² {⁴ . Further Pt-NMR experiments con med the triplet nature of the superconductivity and the details of the triplet gap function d (k) n A, B, and C-phases were determ ined^{5;6}. This assignment of the spin con guration is consistent with the weak spin-orbit coupling lim it 6 also assumed here. H_{c2} along the caxis requires a strong Pauli limiting e ect. This favors strong spin orbit coupling but then H_{c2} results are inconsistent with the NMR data. We assume that d $(k) = (k)^2$ to be weakly pinned along c. Then the $E_{\,2u}\,$ gap functions of for the low temperature B and C phases of UP t_3 are given by

B:
$$(\#;') = \frac{3P}{2} \cdot \frac{3}{3} \cos \# \sin^2 \# \exp(2i')$$

C: $(\#;') = \frac{3P}{2} \cdot \frac{3}{3} \cos \# \sin^2 \# \cos(2')$ (1)

Here #;' are the polar and azim uthalangles of k. The angular dependence of (#;') is shown in Fig.1. In the B-phase the poles are second order node points and the equator is a node line. In the C-phase two additional vertical nodal lines appear at 45 degrees away from the vertical plane containing H . The therm al conductivity in the vortex phase for eld along the symmetry axis

also decided in favor of the E_{2u} state and against the E_{1g} -state¹⁰, this is reviewed in¹¹. The angular dependent therm al conductivity has been measured in¹² and analyzed in¹³. Unfortunately the experiment was done for T > 0.3 K which is not su ciently low to determ ine node structures. Recently we have been studying the therm al conductivity in nodal superconductors $^{14 \{ 19 \}}$. In particular we shall restrict to the low tem perature lim it ∀eH (0) and the superclean lim it ()[±] T_p_ \mathbf{v} eH, where $\mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{v}_{a}\mathbf{v}_{c})^{\frac{1}{2}}$, is the scattering rate and $v_{a,c}$ are the anisotropic Ferm i velocities. The condition ₹ eH (0) can be satis ed in the B-phase while (0) in the C-phase since the latter appears vēeH 0.6 T and H 1.2 T for eld along a and only for H c respectively. Our results in the C-phase may therefore only have qualitative signi cance. Restriction to the superclean lim it does not in uence the main conclusions on the connection between node topology and magnetotherm al conductivity. We rst exam ine the quasiparticle DOS and the therm odynam ic properties of the B and C phase of UPt₃ in the presence of a magnetic eld with arbitrary orientation at low tem peratures. Then we study the therm al conductivity in the B and C phase in the low temperature regime which provides clear evidence for the nodal positions in (k). In this regime the in uence of the AF order in UPt may be neglected contrary to the A-phase regime.

II. DENSITY OF STATES AND THERMODYNAM ICS

The quasiparticle DOS in the vortex state of UPt $_3$ is given by

$$g(0) = \text{Re} \quad \frac{C_0}{p} \frac{ix}{(C_0 - ix)^2 + f^2}$$
 (2)

W here the brackets denote both Ferm isurface and vortex lattice average. W ith the form factor $f_B(z) = \frac{3}{2} \frac{3}{2} (1 z^2)z$ and $f_C(z,z') = (2z'^2 - 1)f_B(z) (z = \cos \#, z' = \cos ')$ for B and C - phase respectively the average m ay be computed and one obtains

$$g_{\rm B}(0) = \frac{1}{\frac{p}{3}} \frac{1}{2} \ln x + C_0 \ln \ln \left(\frac{C_0}{x}\right) - 1 i$$

$$g_{\rm C}(0) = \frac{1}{\frac{p}{3}} \frac{1}{2} \ln x \ln \frac{2}{x} + \frac{2}{C_0} \ln^2 \left(\frac{2}{x}\right) i \qquad (3)$$

where $x = \frac{j^{j} q^{j}}{j}$ is the normalized Doppler shift of quasiparticle energies, $C_0 = \lim_{t \to 0} Im(t = t)$ and t = t is the renorm alized quasiparticle energy in the presence of in purity scattering^{14;20}. Furtherm ore v is the quasiparticle velocity and 2q is the pair momentum around the vortex. Following Volovik²¹ we obtain

$$hxi_{B} = \frac{2}{\sqrt[9]{eH}} I_{B} ()$$

$$hx\ln(\frac{2}{x})i_{C} = \frac{2}{\sqrt[9]{eH}} I_{C} ()\ln\frac{p}{\sqrt[9]{eH}}$$

$$I_{B} () = \sin + \frac{2}{-E} (\sin) \qquad (4)$$

$$I_{C} () = I_{B} () + I ()$$

Here E (sin) is the complete elliptic integral of the second kind. Furtherm ore we used the de nition

$$I() = \frac{1}{2} f \frac{f()}{jl} + 2 \sin^{2} j$$

+ $\cos(-) \tan^{1}(-) \sin(-) = \frac{\cos^{1}(-) \sin^{2}(-)}{\cosh^{1}(-) \sin(-)} f \cos^{2} \frac{\sin(-) \sin(-)}{\sin(-)} \sin(-)$ (5)

where $= v_c = v_a$ is the anisotropy of Ferm i velocities and is the polar angle of H with respect to caxis. The averages in Eq.4 are evaluated by replacing the k space integration by a sum m ation overnodal positions and then integrating out the super uid velocity $elt^{3;14}$. We assum e a square vortex lattice for sim plicity, a hexagonal lattice would lead to an additional num erical factor 0.93.

Substituting Eq. 4 into Eq. 3 we obtain

$$g_{\rm B} = \frac{1}{p_{\rm s}^2} \mathbf{v} \frac{P_{\rm eH}}{e_{\rm H}} \mathbf{I}_{\rm B} ()$$

$$g_{\rm C} = \frac{2}{p_{\rm s}^2} \mathbf{v} \frac{P_{\rm eH}}{e_{\rm H}} \mathbf{I}_{\rm C} () \ln \frac{p_{\rm eH}}{v_{\rm eH}}$$
(6)



FIG.1. Sphericalplots of j (#;') jfor B phase (left) and C phase (right). For the C-phase two additional vertical nodal planes appear an angle $\frac{1}{4}$ away from the vertical plane which contains H assumed at $= \frac{1}{4}$.

In addition we have $C_0 = -[g(0)]^1$. The low temperature speci c heat, the spin susceptibility etc. are given bv

$$\frac{C_{s}}{_{N}T} = \frac{_{s}}{_{N}} = 1 \qquad \frac{_{s}(H)}{_{s}(0)} = g(0)$$
(7)

where s is the super uid density. The -dependence of g(0) for B-and C-phases is shown in Figs. 2 and 3 respectively.

III. THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY IN THE VORTEX PHASE

The therm al conductivity tensor in the vortex phase depends on the angles (;) of H due to the angle dependence of the D oppler shift energy x. Here is the azim uthal angle between H and the direction of the heat current j in the ab-plane. Following¹⁹ we obtain for the B-phase

$$\frac{-\frac{zz}{n}}{n} = \frac{2}{3} \frac{v_a v_c}{2} (eH) I_B () F_B^{zz} ()$$

$$\frac{-\frac{xx}{n}}{n} = \frac{1}{3} \frac{v_a^2}{2} (eH) I_B () F_B^{xx} ()$$

$$F_B^{zz} () = \sin$$

$$F_B^{xx} (;) = \frac{2^h}{\sin^2} E (\sin) + \cos(2) \frac{1}{3\sin^2} \frac{1}{1}$$

$$\cos^2 K (\sin) \cos(2) E (\sin)$$
(8)



FIG. 2. Polar eld angle dependence of $I_{\rm s}$ () and I_B () F_B^{ij} (;45) (ij xx, zz, xy) which determ ine the -dependence of D O S g(0), therm alconductivities ($_{xx}$; $_{zz}$)) and therm al H all coe cient (xy) respectively.

The therm al Hall coe cient in the B-phase is obtained as

$$\frac{xy}{n}() = \frac{v_a^2 (eH)}{3^2} I_B() F_B^{xy}(;)$$

$$F_B^{xy}(;) = \frac{2 \sin(2)}{3 \sin^2}$$
(9)
(2 sir^2) E(sin) 2 cors K(sin)

The - and - angle dependences of $_{ij}$ (ij=xx,zz,xy) in the B-phase are shown in Fig. 2 and Fig. 4. For heat current along c ($_{zz}$) no - dependence appears.

In the lim it $= \frac{1}{2}$, I_B $(\frac{1}{2}) = + \frac{2}{2}$ and then

$$xx = \frac{1}{3} = 1 = \frac{1}{3} \cos(2)$$
; $xy = \frac{2}{3} \sin(2)$ (10)

The maximum in $_{xx}$ (90;) at = 90 occurs for heat current? H when the D oppler shift gives rise to the largest quasiparticle D O S parallel to the heat current and we have $_{xx}$ (= 90)= $_{xx}$ (= 0)= 2.



Now we consider the C-phase. Again $_{zz}$ does not exhibit a -dependence. The C-phase according to Eq.1 has two additional perpendicular node lines. Rotating the eld at a given around c (i.e. changing) will lead to a co-rotation of these node lines such that the vertical plane containing H always stays at half angle between the two perpendicular planes of the node lines parallel to c^9 (see inset of Fig. 5). Consequently $_{zz}$ () will again be independent of while $_{xx}$ (;) depends on both eld angles. We nd for heat current along c:

$$\frac{zz}{n} = \frac{1}{6} \frac{v_a^2}{2} (eH) I_c () F_c^{zz} () h^2 \frac{p}{v eH}$$

$$F_c^{zz} () = \sin + \frac{2}{1} \frac{z}{dz jz j} \frac{1}{2} (1 + \cos^2) (1 - \frac{2}{2}) + \frac{1}{1} (1 + \cos^2) \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} (1 + \cos^2) \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} (1 + \cos^2) \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} (1 + \cos^2) \frac{1}{2} \frac{$$

On the other hand we obtain for heat current along a:

$$\frac{xx}{n} = \frac{1}{3} \frac{v_{a}^{2}}{2} (\text{eH}) I_{C} () F_{C}^{xx} (;) \ln^{2} \frac{p}{\sqrt{eH}}$$
(12)
$$x (;) = F_{B}^{xx} (;) + \frac{p}{2} (1 + \cos^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

As is readily seen $_{zz}$ depends only on , while $_{xx}$ depends both on and . Both angular dependences are shown in Fig. 3 and Fig. 5 respectively.

F_C^x



FIG.4. A zimuthal eld angle dependence of \underline{I} ($)F_B^{xx}$ (;) for various . For ! 0 the dependence is suppressed com – pletely. The zz-component is always – independent.

In both B and C phase i_1 (i = xx,zz) and also the speci c heat which is determined by $\mathbf{I}_{,C}$ exhibit clear cusps at the poles = 0 (and =) caused by the contributions from the respective second order node points which are present in both B and C phase. This is very sim ilar to what has been recently observed and analyzed in YN $i_2B_2C^{22}$. There the second order node points lie along the equator and hence the cusps appear as function of . The most signi cant di erence in the B-and C-phase results can be seen in the behaviour of zz for smallpolarangle . While in the B-phase it approaches zero it remains nite in the C-phase. Furtherm ore the zz anisotropy for $= \frac{1}{2}$ is considerably smaller хx in the C-phase as compared to the B-phase. In both Band C-phase the xx-component exhibts nonmonotonic behaviour as function of .

The therm al H all coe cient in the C - phase reads

$$\frac{xy}{n}$$
 () = $\frac{v_a^2}{3^2}$ (eH) I_c () F_c^{xy} (;) $\ln^2 \frac{p}{v \text{ eH}}$ (13)

where $F_{C}^{xy}(;) = F_{B}^{xy}(;)$ holds because the contributions from perpendicular node lines with H lying at halfangle in between canceland so as in the B-phase one is left with polar and equatorial contributions to the thermal H all constant. For num erical calculations we used the anisotropy ratio $= \frac{v_{c}}{v_{a}} = 1.643$. It can be directly obtained from experimental anisotropies of thermal and electrical conductivities $\frac{1}{a} = \frac{c}{a} = 2.7$ which are equal to $\frac{2}{a}$



FIG.5. A zimuthal eld angle dependence of \sharp () F_c^{xx} (;) for various . The zz- component is again - independent. The inset shows the eld and node geometry in the C-phase. The node lines along c are lying in the planes perpendicular to ab (dashed lines) which are mutually orthogonal. The eld H lies at halfangle ($\frac{1}{4}$) in between form ing an angle with the heat current j_b along the a-axis. This geometry is preserved for any because the nodal planes co-rotate with the eld.

IV.CONCLUDING REMARKS

We have found that in UPt3 at low temperatures (ie. T ▼ eH) and in the superclean lim it (()<u>2</u> v eH), the therm al conductivity exhibits clear angular dependence which will help to identify the nodal direc-(k) and to verify the predictions of the com tions in monly discussed E_{2u} model for the gap function in B and C phases. M ost signi cantly we predict that i) cusps appear in the therm al conductivity and speci c heat for = 0 (and =) due to the polar point nodes of UPt in both B-and C-phase. ii) in the C-phase for heat current along the nodal direction $(= \frac{1}{4})$ a nite z_z $(; \frac{1}{4})$ occurs even for ! 0 which is caused by the contribution from the additional perpendicular node regions. For the B-phase this contribution vanishes. iii) the xx ΖZ anistropy for H in the ab-plane ($= \frac{1}{2}$) is considerably larger in the B-phase as compared to C-phase. This is again caused by the perpendicular node lines which contribute and enhance xx only for the C-phase.

We hope that these di erent features in the eld-angle dependent therm al conductivity tensor above and below the critical eld of the B-C transition will resolve a part of the remaining controversy surrounding (k) in UPt₃. We recall that therm al conductivity experiments have been very useful to identify (k) in unconventional superconductors. For example Izawa et al have succeeded to identify (k) in $Sr_2RuO_4^{23}$, $CeCoIn_5^{24}$, organic salts²⁵ and m ore recently YN $i_2B_2C^{22}$. Indeed the therm al conductivity appears to provide a unique window to access

the nodal structure in unconventional superconductors.

Acknow ledgem ent

W e would like to thank K oichi Izawa and Yuji M atsuda for useful discussions.

- ¹ R.Joynt and L.Taillefer, Rev.M od.Phys.74,235 (2002)
- ² B.Lussier, B.Ellm an and L.Taillefer, Phys.Rev.B 53, 5145 (1996)
- ³ M.R.Norm an and P.J.H irschfeld, Phys.Rev.B 53, 5706 (1996)
- ⁴ M.J.Graf, S.-K.Yip and JA.Sauls, J.Low Temp.Phys. 102, 367 (1996) and 106, 727 (E) (1996)
- ⁵ H. Tou, Y. Kitaoka, K. A sayam a, N. Kimura, Y. O nuki, E. Yam am oto and K. M aezawa, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 1374 (1996)
- ⁶ H. Tou, Y. Kitaoka, K. Ishida, K. A sayama, N. Kimura, Y. O nuki, and E. Yam am oto, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 3129 (1998)
- ⁷ Y.K itaoka, H.Tou, K. Ishida, N, K im ura, Y.O nuki, E. Yam am oto, Y.Haga and K.M aezawa, Physica B 281& 282, 878 (2000)
- ⁸ K .M achida, T .N ishira and T .O hm iJ.Phys.Soc.Jpn.10, 3361 (1999)
- ⁹ G.Yang and K.MakiEurophys.Lett. 48, 208 (1999)
- ¹⁰ M. Houssa and M. Ausbos, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 2879 (1997)
- ¹¹ J.P.Brison et al, Physica B 281-282, 872 (2000)
- ¹² H. Suderow, H. Aubin, K. Behnia and A. Huxley, Phys. Lett. A 234, 64 (1997)
- ¹³ K.M akiet alPhysica C 341-348, 1647 (2000)
- ¹⁴ H.W on and K.M aki, cond-m at/004105
- ¹⁵ T.Dahm, H.W on and K.Maki, cond-m at/006301
- ¹⁶ H.W on and K.M aki, Appl. Current Phys. 1, 291 (2001)
- ¹⁷ H.W on and K.M aki, Europhys. Lett. 52, 427 (2000) and 54, 248 (2001)
- ¹⁸ P. Thalm eier and K. Maki, Europhys. Lett. 58, 119 (2002)
- ¹⁹ K. Maki, P. Thalmeier and H. W on Phys. Rev. B 65, R140502 (2002)
- ²⁰ G.Yang and K.MakiEur.Phys.J.B 21, 61 (2001)
- ²¹ G.E.Volovik, JETP Lett. 58, 469 (1993)
- ²² K. Izawa, K. Kamata, Y. Nakajima, Y. Matsuda, T. Watanabe, M. Nohara, H. Takagi, P. Thalmeier and K. Maki, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 137006 (2002)
- ²³ K. Izawa, H. Takahashi, H. Yam aguchi, Yuji Matsuda, M. Suzuki, T. Sasaki, T. Fukase, Y. Yoshia, R. Settai and Y. O nuki, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86 2653 (2001)
- ²⁴ K. Izawa, H. Yam aguchi, Yuji M atsuda, H. Shishido, R. Settai, and Y. O nuki, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87 57002 (2001)
- ²⁵ K.Izawa et al Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 27002 (2002)