

Rotating ground states of trapped Bose atoms with arbitrary two-body interactions

O.K. Vorov¹, M.S. Hussein² and P. Van Isacker¹

¹GANIL, BP 5027, F-14076, Caen, Cedex 5, France

²Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo

Caixa Postal 66318, 05315-970,

São Paulo, SP, Brasil

(12 July 2002)

Abstract

In a k -dimensional system of weakly interacting Bose atoms trapped by a spherically symmetric and harmonic external potential, an exact expression is obtained for the rotating ground states at a fixed angular momentum. The result is valid for arbitrary interactions obeying minimal physical requirements. Depending on the sign of a modified scattering length, it reduces to either a collective rotation or a condensed vortex state, with no alternative. The ground state can undergo a kind of quantum phase transition when the shape of the interaction potential is smoothly varied.

KEYWORDS: Bose-Einstein condensation, atomic traps, cold atoms, arbitrary forces, yrast states

PACS numbers: 03.75.Fi, 32.80.Pj, 67.40.Db, 03.65.Fd

Typeset using REVTeX

Newly developed techniques [1] for manipulating the strength of the effective interaction between trapped atoms [2] open the possibility to experimentally realize a Bose gas with weak interatomic interactions that are either attractive or repulsive. The limit $\hbar\omega_i \rightarrow 0$, where $\hbar\omega_i$ is a typical expectation value of the interaction and $\hbar\omega_i$ is the quantum energy of the confining potential, is now reached experimentally via the Feshbach resonance [1]. Of special interest are the ground states of a rotating system [3–6] at given angular momentum L , the so-called yrast states [5,10].

So far, the problem was usually studied in dimension $k=2$ for the contact interaction $V = a^{sc} \delta(\mathbf{r})$, either attractive ($a^{sc} < 0$) or repulsive ($a^{sc} > 0$) where a^{sc} is the scattering length. The case $a^{sc} < 0$ was solved analytically by Wilkin et al. [5], while the case $a^{sc} > 0$ was studied numerically by Bertsch and Papenbrock [8]. The conjecture for the ground state wave function [8] was confirmed analytically in [9], and generalized to a universality class of predominantly repulsive interactions [9]. A universality class of predominantly attractive interactions has been constructed in [10].

Since experiments are now feasible [1] in the weak-interaction limit close to the region where the scattering length changes sign, it becomes of importance to generalize these results to arbitrary interactions. In this Letter the problem is solved exactly in k dimensions for arbitrary two-body central forces $V(r)$. The final result is the following. In the functional space \mathcal{FV} of all possible interactions $V(r)$, we may restrict our attention to those of physical interest, $\mathcal{FV}_{\text{phys}}$, of which we require that the force $\frac{dV}{dr}$ changes sign only once from repulsive at short to attractive at long distance:

$$\frac{dV}{dr} < 0; \quad r < R; \quad \frac{dV}{dr} > 0; \quad r > R; \quad R < \infty: \quad (1)$$

Since the crossover at R occurs for atomic reasons, we may assume it to be smaller than the trapping size, $R \ll \lambda^{-1/2}$ (or $R < 1$ in units $\lambda = 1$). In addition, we assume that the force does not grow at $r \rightarrow 1$ and the quantum eigenvalue problem is free of divergencies [11]. It will be shown that the entire functional space $\mathcal{FV}_{\text{phys}}$ is divided into two distinct classes $\mathcal{FV}_{\text{phys}}$ and $\mathcal{FV}_{\text{phys}}^+$ of (effectively) attractive or repulsive interactions. (The meaning of

'effective' in this context will be detailed below.) Within each class the energies of the yrast states depend in a simple way on the interaction while their wave functions remain the same. The ground states in the two classes differ qualitatively. When the interaction is changed from effectively attractive to repulsive, the transition between the two classes can be visualized as a quantum phase transition, with the relative interparticle angular momentum as a discrete order parameter. These exact analytical results are exemplified by analysis of Morse potentials with variable scattering length.

The Hamiltonian of N spinless bosons of mass m in a k -dimensional symmetric harmonic trap ($k=2,3,\dots$) reads

$$H = \sum_i \frac{p_i^2}{2m} + \frac{m \omega^2}{2} \sum_i r_i^2 + \sum_{i>j} V(r_{ij}) = H_0 + V; \quad (2)$$

where H_0 describes noninteracting particles, r_i (p_i) is the k -dimensional position (momentum) vector of i -th boson, and V is the two-body interaction with $r_{ij} = |r_i - r_j|$. Hereafter, $|j_L\rangle$ denotes the ground state at fixed L and with maximum value of the conserved component, L_{xy} [12]. Other rotationally degenerate wave functions can be obtained from $|j_L\rangle$ by applying the standard angular momentum ladder operators (e.g., $L_- = L_x - iL_y$, in $k=3$). In the limit $\hbar \rightarrow 0$, the determination of $|j_L\rangle$ requires the diagonalization of the interaction within the space

$$S z_1^{l_1} z_2^{l_2} \dots z_N^{l_N} |j_L\rangle; \quad \sum_{i=0}^{l_i} l_i = L; \quad (3)$$

where $z_i = x_i + iy_i$, S is the symmetrization operator, and $|j_L\rangle = e^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_k r_k^2}$, in the convention $\hbar = m = \omega = 1$. The states involving $z_i = x_i - iy_i$ are separated from (3) by an energy $E = \hbar \omega$ and their admixtures can be neglected for $V \gg \hbar \omega$. The dimensionality of the basis (3) grows exponentially with L [7].

Within the subspace (3) the Hamiltonian (2) is

$$H = L + (Nk)/2 + W; \quad (4)$$

where the first (constant) terms come from H_0 and W is the interaction V , projected [9] onto the subspace (3). Using the integral transform

$$w(l) = \sum_{k=0}^{Z-1} V(\frac{p}{2t}) e^{-t \frac{l+k-2}{l+k-2}} dt; \quad (5)$$

we can write W in the form

$$W = S \sum_{i>j}^X w(\hat{l}_{ij}) S; \quad \hat{l}_{ij} = (a_i^+ - a_j^+)(a_i - a_j) = 2 \quad (6)$$

with \hat{l}_{ij} the relative angular momentum between atoms i and j written in terms of the ladder operators $a_i^+ = \frac{z_i}{2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}$ and $a_i = \frac{z_i}{2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}$. The total internal angular momentum $J = \sum_{i>j}^P \hat{l}_{ij}$ is an exactly conserved quantity with eigenvalues $J = \frac{N-1}{2}$, $j = 0, 2, 3, \dots, L$ [9], commuting with the total angular momentum $L = \sum_{i=1}^P a_i^+ a_i$.

We split [9] the projected interaction W into $W = W_0 + W_s$ such that the first term is simple enough to find its lowest eigenvalue E_0 and its associated eigenstate $|j\rangle$,

$$W_0 |j\rangle = E_0 |j\rangle; \quad (7)$$

The state $|j\rangle$ will also be the ground state of the total interaction $W = W_0 + W_s$ if (i) W_s is non-negative definite,

$$W_s = S \sum_{i>j}^X v_s(ij) S \geq 0; \quad (8)$$

and (ii) $|j\rangle$ is annihilated by W_s [9],

$$W_s |j\rangle = 0; \quad (9)$$

In general, the operator W_0 can be written as

$$W_0 = S \sum_{i>j}^X v_0(\hat{l}_{ij}) S; \quad v_0(l) = \sum_{m=0}^X c_m l^m; \quad (10)$$

where c_m are hitherto unknown coefficients that need to be fixed such that conditions (7), (9), and (8) are satisfied. The condition (8) can be simplified in the following way. The operator $v_s(ij)$ can be diagonalized in the unsymmetrized monomial states in Eq.(3) $|j\rangle = |z_1^{l_1} z_2^{l_2} \dots z_N^{l_N}\rangle$ via the substitution $z_i \rightarrow \frac{z_i - z_j}{2}$, $z_j \rightarrow \frac{z_i + z_j}{2}$. Its eigenvalues are $w(l) = v_0(l)$, $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots, L$ [13]. Odd- l eigenvectors are annihilated by S . Therefore, our strategy is to

choose the c_m in such a way that some of the even- l eigenvalues $\epsilon_n = w(2n) - v_0(2n)$ are zero and all others positive. This will guarantee that W_S is a non-negative definite operator [9], even though we cannot evaluate its eigenvalues directly. The candidates for the state $|\psi\rangle$ satisfying (7) and (9) can be sought among linear combinations of states $S_{j_n i}$'s which obey $v_S |j_n i\rangle = 0$.

The following solution for the c_m satisfies (7), (8), (9):

$$c_0 = w(0); \quad c_1 = \frac{1}{2} (\frac{1}{2}) = 2; \quad c_{m-2} = 0; \quad (11)$$

where $\theta(x) = f(0(x=0); 1(x>0))$ is the step function and $\epsilon_{2n} = w(0) - w(2n)$. The eigenvalues ϵ_n (for $\frac{1}{2} < 0$) of the corresponding operator v_S (ij) in (8) read

$$\epsilon_n = \epsilon_n \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) - \epsilon_{2n} = 0; \quad (12)$$

As will be shown below, the inequalities are satisfied for all interactions V_{phys} defined in (1).

The ground state and its energy as a function of L ($L \rightarrow \infty$) are

$$|\psi_L\rangle = e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^L S_i^2} \prod_{j=1}^N (z_i - z_j \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)); \quad (13)$$

$$E_0(L) = -L + \frac{Nk}{2} + \frac{N(N-1)w_0}{2} - \frac{LNL - \frac{1}{2} (\frac{1}{2})}{4};$$

Here $L = (L-1)$. The ground state depends on the interaction $V(r)$ only via the sign of the control parameter

$$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{2^{1-k-2}}{(k=2)} \int_0^{\infty} V(r) r^{k-1} e^{-\frac{r^2}{2}} \left(1 - \frac{r^4}{k^2 + 2k} \right) dr; \quad (14)$$

Among the potentials $fV_{phys}g$, the equation

$$\frac{1}{2} = 0 \quad (15)$$

selects the submanifold fV_{phys}^0g which we call separatrix. The separatrix divides the interactions $V_{phys}(r)$ into the two classes $fV_{phys}g$ and fV_{phys}^+g , differing by the form of the ground state: If $\frac{1}{2} < 0$, the ground state (13) becomes

$$|\psi_L\rangle = e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^L S_i^2}; \quad \hat{L}_{ij} |\psi_L\rangle = 0; \quad (16)$$

where $Z = \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{z_i}{N}$ [14] while for $\mu_2 = 0$ and $L > 1$ (13) gives

$$|\mathcal{D}_L^+\rangle = e^{-\frac{\mu_2}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{S}_i^z} |z_1 z_2 \dots z_L\rangle; \quad J|\mathcal{D}_L^+\rangle = \frac{N-L}{2} |\mathcal{D}_L^+\rangle; \quad (17)$$

with $z_j = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{i,j}$. The internal angular momentum J can be viewed as a "discrete order parameter", zero in the domain of potentials $V(r)$ with $\mu_2 = 0$ and positive in the domain $\mu_2 > 0$. For $\mu_2 \neq 0$, (16) and (17) are non-degenerate ground states; within the separatrix, they are degenerate [15].

For $k=2$, we have $\mu_1^2 = \mu_2^2$ in Eqs.(16,17) and the $|\mathcal{D}_L\rangle$ accord with the results obtained for the attractive [5] and repulsive [8], [9] contact interactions, respectively. We arrive at an interesting conclusion: exact solution for the problem (2) for arbitrary interaction is given by simple generalization of the results for the universality classes of predominantly attractive [10] and repulsive [9] interactions.

By n_i we denote the normalized occupancies $\sum_{i=1}^N n_i = 1$ of the single-particle oscillator states z^i in the ground states $|\mathcal{D}_L\rangle$. The two behave qualitatively differently. For $L=0$ we have $n_0^+ = n_0 = 1$, that is the trivial (noninteracting) condensate. For $1 < L < N$ we have

$$n_i^+ = \frac{L! [q(1-i) {}_2F_0(-; -; s_1) + t {}_2F_0(-i+1; -; s_1)]}{s_1^3 N^{2n+4} n! (N-L)! {}_2F_0(-L; N-L+1; s)};$$

Here ${}_2F_0$ is the hypergeometric sum [16], $q = 1 + N^{-2} s_1$ and $t = s_1(2+1)^{-1}$ with $s_i = (i+N)/N^2$, $i = N +$, $i = n - L$, and $i = N - L - Nn$. As L grows, the second excited z^2 and the first excited z^1 states become populated (see Fig.1.). For $L \ll N$, the n_0^+ , n_1^+ and n_2^+ remain macroscopic $O(1)$ in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$. For $L \sim N$ the bosons condense in the state z^1 , forming a vortex. We call $|\mathcal{D}_L^+\rangle$ condensed vortex state [9]. In contrast, the state $|\mathcal{D}_L\rangle$ gives a binomial distribution $n_i = \frac{L! (N-1)^{L-n} N^n}{(L-n)! n! (2N-1)^L}$ peaked at $n = \frac{L}{2}$ [5]. We call $|\mathcal{D}_L\rangle$ a collective rotation state: its angular momentum comes from the collective factor Z^L . An interesting way to view such states as rotated noninteracting condensates was presented in [17].

The control parameter μ_2 measures the balance between repulsion and attraction in $V(r)$. For zero-range interactions, $\frac{(k=2)}{2^{1-k=2}} \mu_2$ coincides with the scattering length in Born

approximation, $a_k^{sc} = \int_0^{R_1} V(r) r^{k-1} dr$. For finite-range interactions it differs from a_k^{sc} ; and it can be considered as a modified scattering length which separates the two universality classes of effectively attractive and effectively repulsive interactions.

For $\beta_2 < 0$, the interaction contribution to the ground states energy (13) is negative and L -independent. It grows with β_2 . At the critical point $\beta_2 = 0$, the derivative $\partial E_0(L) = \partial \beta_2$ has a jump $-\frac{N L}{4}$ and $E_0(L)$ becomes L -dependent for $\beta_2 > 0$. In Fig 2, we show the results for the Morse potential $V_M(r) = e^{\frac{2(R-r)}{a}} - 2e^{\frac{R-r}{a}}$. We display V_M for two sets of parameters a and R , and the resulting L -even part, w_e , of the transform (5)

$$w(l) = \left(1 + \frac{k+1}{2}\right) \frac{2^{1+\frac{k}{2}}}{1=2} e^{\frac{2R}{a}} d_{21-k}^{(2=a)} - 2e^{\frac{R}{a}} d_{21-k}^{(1=a)}; \quad (18)$$

where $d_1^{(s)} = e^{s^2/4} D_1(s)$ with $D_1(s)$ the parabolic cylinder function [16]. It is seen that $w(2n) \neq 0$ in both cases. When attraction prevails, $\beta_2 < 0$, w_e has a minimum at $l=0$ and the system resides in its lowest-energy state $|j_L\rangle$ for a minimum possible value of $J = \sum_{i,j} l_{ij} (=0)$. When repulsion starts to prevail, the quantity β_2 becomes positive, the minimum of w_e is shifted to $l > 0$, and the system finds a lower energy for a non-zero value of J in the ground state $|j_L^+\rangle$. The behavior of $w_e(l)$ at the critical point $\beta_2 = 0$ resembles that of a thermodynamic potential in a second-order phase transition [18]. In contrast to thermodynamical systems, this "phase transition" is insensitive to k and it happens for finite N . Panel c) shows the bifurcation point in $E_0(L)$.

Fig 2 d) shows the phase diagram for the Morse potentials in the parametric space (a, R) . The separatrix (15) between fV^-g and fV^+g reduces to a curve defined by the following relation between a and R

$$R(a) = a \ln \left(\frac{(1=a)}{k-1} = \frac{(2=a)}{k-1} \right); \quad \frac{(s)}{k} q_k^{(s)} = k q_{k-2}^{(s)}; \quad (19)$$

Using Eqs.(18,19), w_s can be shown to be non-negative definite (12) throughout: For values of $R < R(a)$, we obtain $\beta_2 < 0$ and $\beta_n < 0$; for $R > R(a)$, we have $\beta_2 > 0$ and $\beta_n > 0$. Thus all Morse potentials, whatever their values of a and R are covered by (13) and fall into two distinct classes depending on whether β_2 is positive or negative. Note that for $R > 1$, the

V_M lies outside the class (1)! We obtained similar results for other two-parametric potential families.

Is this situation generic? The full set of physical interactions (1) forms a dense functional manifold. In general, it cannot be described by a finite number of parameters. Let \mathcal{FV} be the complete manifold of all potentials [not necessarily the physical potentials (1)] as indicated by the big disc in Fig.3. Within this extended manifold, we can still define the subclasses that have $\alpha_n = 0$ and $\alpha_n^+ = 0$ with the ground states (16) and (17), respectively. Their boundaries $\alpha_n = 0$ and $\alpha_n^+ = 0$ can in general be distinct, leaving room marked by $\omega^0 \omega^0$ when the ground state is not (13). We will show that there is no such gap for all the physical interactions (1), confirming that the result (13) covers the whole universality class $\mathcal{FV}_{\text{phys}}$ and that the separatrix $\alpha_2 = 0$ (15) divides the interactions $\mathcal{FV}_{\text{phys}}$ (small disc in Fig.3.) into the two classes, with the ground states (16) and (17), respectively, with no other alternative. The proof requires to show that for any potential in $\mathcal{FV}_{\text{phys}}$ $\alpha_n = 0$ for $\alpha_2 = 0$ and $\alpha_n^+ = 0$ for $\alpha_2 < 0$, Eq.(12).

The quantity $\alpha_{2n} = \int_0^{R_1} \alpha_{2n} F dt$ is the work done by the modified force $F = \alpha_{2n} \frac{dV}{dt} \left(\frac{P}{2t} \right)$ to separate a pair of particles. Here $\alpha_{2n} = \sum_{m=1}^P \alpha_{2n} \frac{e^{-t} t^{k=2+m-1}}{(k=2+m)}$ is positive definite. By (1) the work is the sum of the positive and negative areas

$$\alpha_{2n} = \int_0^Z h_{n-2} F dt + \int_{Z_1}^Z h_{n-2} F dt; \quad \frac{R^2}{2}; \quad (20)$$

where $h_n = \frac{-2n}{2}$ are positive-valued functions. If $\alpha_2 < 0$, the second (negative) term prevails for $n=1$. It will then prevail for any $n > 1$, because the functions $h_{n>1}$ increase monotonically. That is, if $\alpha_2 < 0$, then all $\alpha_{2n} < 0$ and $\alpha_n = 0$. If $\alpha_2 = 0$, the first (positive) term in (20) prevails for $n=1$. We introduce the monotonically decreasing functions $\tilde{h}_n = h_n$. They are positive for $t < t_n$ and negative for $t > t_n$ with all $t_n > 1$. By (1) we have $\alpha_n < t_n$ and we write

$$\alpha_n^+ = \int_0^Z \tilde{h}_{n-2} F dt + \int_{t_n}^Z \tilde{h}_{n-2} F dt + \int_{t_n}^{Z_1} \tilde{h}_{n-2} F dt;$$

The second term is the only negative contribution. The corresponding area is however smaller than that of the first term, because \tilde{h}_n decreases monotonically and $\alpha_n < t_n$. Thus

$\epsilon_n^+ = 0$ for $\epsilon_2 = 0$. To sum up, the inequalities (12) hold throughout, and the solution (13) covers the universality class $fV_{\text{phys}}g$.

By similar arguments, one can append the class $fV_{\text{phys}}(r)g$ (1) by potentials with constant sign of F and $\frac{d^2V}{dt^2}(\frac{p}{2t})$, like $(x), \frac{1}{r}, \log(r), e^{-r/a}, \frac{e^{-r/a}}{r}, e^{-r^2/a^2}$ etc.

In summary, we have solved the problem of rotating ground states of weakly interacting trapped Bose atoms. The resulting phase diagram gives a complete classification of these ground states. The conclusion is that the ground state is either a collective rotation or a condensed vortex state; in both cases analytical expressions are known for the ground-state wave function and its energy and these are valid whatever the form of interatomic interaction, as long as it has reasonable properties. These results can be of interest in view of recent experiments with trapped atoms and can be applied to other systems.

The work was supported by CEA (France) and FAPESP (Brazil).

REFERENCES

- [1] J.L. Roberts et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 4211 (2001).
- [2] M.H. Anderson et al, Science 269, 198 (1997).
- [3] K.W. Madison et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 4443 (2001).
- [4] D.A. Butts and D.S. Rokhsar, Nature 397, 327 (1999).
- [5] N.K. Wilkin, J.M. Gunn, and R.A. Smith, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 2265 (1998).
- [6] F. Dalfovo et al, Rev. Mod. Phys. 71, 463 (1999).
- [7] B. Mottelson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 2695 (1999).
- [8] G.F. Bertsch and T. Papenbrock, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 5412 (1999).
- [9] M.S. Hussein and O.K. Vorov, Phys. Rev. A 65, 035603 (2002); Physica B 312, 550 (2002); Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 298, 248 (2002).
- [10] M.S. Hussein and O.K. Vorov, Phys. Rev. A 65, 053608 (2002).
- [11] In practice, this implies that $V(r)$ does not grow as (or faster than) r^{-k} at $r \rightarrow 0$.
- [12] That is, $L_{xy} \mathcal{J}_L = L \mathcal{J}_L$ and $L^2 \mathcal{J}_L = L(L+k-2) \mathcal{J}_L$ with $L^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - r \frac{\partial}{\partial r})^2$ where $k = 1, 2, \dots$ denote the spatial components.
- [13] One can notice similarity between $w(2n)$ and the pseudopotentials of F.D.M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. 51, 605 (1983).
- [14] The limitation $L \rightarrow \infty$ does not apply in the $\alpha_2 < 0$ case.
- [15] For $\alpha_2 = 0$, all the generalized yrast states $Z \left(\frac{i}{\alpha_2} \mathcal{J}_L^+ \right)$ [9] have the same energy as well.
- [16] I.S. Gradshteyn and I.M. Ryzhik, Table of Integrals, (Academic Press, New York, 1965).
- [17] C.J. Pethick and L.P. Pitaevskii, Phys. Rev. A 62, 033609 (2000).
- [18] L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, Statistical Physics, (Pergamon Press, London, 1980).

Figure Captions

FIG .1. Left: Asymptotic values of ϵ_n^+ as functions of $L=N$ for $n=0;1;2$ at $N=1$. Right: ϵ_n as functions of n for $L=12$ (dash) and for $L=24$ (solid) for $\epsilon_2 > 0$ and $\epsilon_2 < 0$ ($N=30$).

FIG .2. Morse potential $V_M(r)$ (a) and $w_e(l)$ from (18) (b) for the two sets of parameters: \1" ($a=0.8, R=1.0, \epsilon_2 > 0$) and \2" ($a=1.15, R=1.55, \epsilon_2 > 0$), $k=3$. Symbols connected by solid lines show w_e . The v_0 (10,11) are shown by dashed lines. (c) The interaction contribution to the ground state energy (17), as function of R (for $a=1.3, k=3$ and $N=4$). (d) Phase diagram for family of the Morse potentials on the $a-R$ plane. The separatrices (19) for $k=3$ (solid) and $k=2$ (dash).

FIG .3. Schematic phase diagram in functional space $fV(r)g$. Within $fV_{phys}g$, the boundaries ϵ_2^+ and ϵ_2^- merge with the separatrix $\epsilon_2=0$ (15).

FIGURES




FIG. 1. FIG. 1. Left: Asymptotic values of ν_n^+ as functions of L/N for $n=0,1,2$ at $N \gg 1$. Right: ν_n as functions of n for $L=12$ (dash) and for $L=24$ (solid) for $\Delta_2 > 0$ and $\Delta_2 < 0$ ($N=30$).




FIG. 2. Morse potential $V_M(r)$ (a) and $w_e(l)$ from (18) (b) for the two sets of parameters: “1” ($a=0.8, R=1.0, \Delta_2 < 0$) and “2” ($a=1.15, R=1.55, \Delta_2 > 0$), $k=3$. Symbols connected by solid lines show w_e . The $v_0(10,11)$ are shown by dashed lines. (c) The interaction contribution to the ground state energy (17), as function of R (for $a=1/3$, $k=3$ and $N=4$). (d) Phase diagram for family of the Morse potentials on the $a-R$ -plane. The separatrices (19) for $k=3$ (solid) and $k=2$ (dash).

FIGURES




FIG. 3. Schematic *phase diagram* in functional space $\{V(r)\}$. Within $\{V_{phys}\}$, the boundaries Λ^- and Λ^+ merge with the *separatrix* $\Delta_2=0$ (15).