Bose-Einstein condensation of indirect excitons in coupled quantum wells

G.M.Kavoulakis

M athem atical Physics, Lund Institute of Technology, P.O. Box 118, S-22100 Lund, Sweden

We study the ground-state properties of a quasi-two-dimensional Bose-Einstein condensate of indirect excitons, which are conned in an anisotropic harmonic potential. Incorporating the interactions, we calculate the order parameter variationally. The diculties in the detection of a Bose-Einstein condensate are also discussed, along with possible ways which would overcome them.

I. IN TRODUCTION

Excitons are bound states of electrons and holes which form in excited sem iconductors [1], very much like positronium atoms. Since excitons consist of two ferm ions, at least as long as the mean spacing between them is much larger than their Bohr radius, they are expected to behave as bosons [2]. While the phase transition to a Bose-Einstein condensed phase has been realized experimentally in vapours of alkalim etal atoms [3], the formation of an exciton Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC) has turned out to be more tricky. A lot of experimental e orthas been made on the formation of a BEC of excitons in Cu₂O [4,5], and in quantum wells [6]. Excitons resemble atoms, with one major dierence being their mass, since they are much lighter particles and as a result they exhibit quantum mechanical e ects more easily. In that respect, excitons are more advantageous than atoms for forming a BEC. On the other hand, their basic disadvantage is their nite lifetime due to various decay mechanisms [7,8], which destroy them.

For a gas of bosons of mass m and density n, the phase transition to a Bose-Einstein

Recently in an interesting paper Butov et al. [9] (see also [10]) reported the form ation of a degenerate gas of excitons in a quasi-two-dimensional geometry in coupled quantum wells. More precisely, in the experiment of Ref. [9] indirect excitons formed between two parallel layers, after an electrice led was applied perpendicular to the two planes. Then, spatially resolving the photolum inescence that comes from the layers, isolated bright localized spots were observed. These spots were attributed to the recombining excitons which have concentrated in regions of local minima (lakes) that exist due to irregularities in the heterostructures. The presence of these local minima is in portant, since the excitons concentrate in them. Furthermore, the exciton lifetime is long due to the small overlap between the electron and the hole wavefunctions, and also the cooling due to the scattering of excitons with acoustic phonons is enhanced because of the relaxation of the momentum conservation along the direction of connement. All the above excits favor the formation of a cold and dense gas of excitons, and indeed signs of degenerate behaviour in these lakes were observed.

M otivated by this experiment, we exam ine in the present paper the ground-state properties of a Bose-E instein condensate of excitons in such a system [11]. Our goal is to demonstrate some important physics which, in addition to other things, would allow the detection of a Bose-E instein condensate and not to give a detailed quantitative description. On the other hand, our results should be reliable at least as order-of-m agnitude estimates. In that spirit, and since the real system is quite complicated, we make some simplifying assumptions. For example, we neglect the nite lifetime of excitons, since typically this is larger by one or two orders of magnitude [12] than the thermalization timescale [13]. In addition,

the rate of non-radiative, A uger-like processes is expected to be suppressed because of the spatial separation between the electron and the hole of each individual exciton [14].

In what follows we exam ine in Sec. II the interactions between the excitons and in Sec. III how the statistics due to the Pauli principle between the electrons and/or the holes of di erent excitons a ect them. We then make some estimates in Sec. IV, where we model the in-plane con nement with a harm onic potential. Using a variational ansatz for the order parameter we calculate in Sec. V the energy and the width of the cloud in the case of an asymmetric con ning potential. Finally we discuss in Sec. VI how one could get evidence for the existence of a BEC, while Sec. VII summarizes our results. As shown, the width of the condensate is expected to be of the same order as that of the them all cloud and for nite temperatures, that would obscure the detection of the condensate. In that respect, an important point of our study is that (similarly to the atomic condensates [15]) as long as the trapping potential is anisotropic, the kinetic-energy distribution of an expanding BEC (upon release of the excitons from the trap) is also anisotropic, while the kinetic-energy distribution of a them algas is always isotropic. Therefore, any experiment which is sensitive to the anisotropy of the kinetic-energy distribution of the excitons could be used as a \smoking gun" for the detection of a BEC.

II. IN TERACTION BETW EEN THE EXCITONS

As mentioned above, the interactions play a very important role in this system. Numerous studies have examined this problem -mostly the elect of the reduced dimensionality and of the band structure of special commaterials. It is not the purpose of the present paper to investigate such questions in detail, but rather to follow a method that captures the essential physics we want to demonstrate.

It is important to remember that the electrons and the holes in this system are spatially separated and as a result the corresponding electron-hole pairs (that form in the limit of dilute densities that we consider) have a dipole moment. As shown in Ref. [16] in such

a system the e ective exciton-exciton interaction is dominated by the one that reduces to the usual dipole-dipole term for large exciton separations and this is the approach that we follow here. Viewed in another way, this approach is phenomenological. While it is certainly reliable for large separations between the excitons, it is less reliable for short distances, where one needs to worry about the exchange terms between the electrons and the holes of dierent excitons. However, the dipole moment of the excitons dominates the interaction and our approach is certainly valid for low exciton densities; a similar approach was followed in Ref. [17]. References [18] have studied a problem close to the present one in the context of atom ic condensates which are subjected to an external electric eld.

More precisely, let us consider the two-exciton problem, i.e., two electrons connection a plane and two holes connection a parallel plane, with the planes being separated by a distance D. As in the experiment of Ref. [9] we assume that D is on the order of the Bohr radius a_B of a single exciton (this is a reasonable thing to do, since if D a_B the electron-hole bound state is essentially a p-like hydrogenic state). Fixing the position of, say, the two electrons at an innite distance, in the lowest state each hole binds with one electron and two excitons form, with the total energy of the system being twice the binding energy of a single exciton. If the two excitons are brought to a nite distance from each other, the interaction between them is given by

$$V (r \quad r) = \frac{e^{2}}{jr} \frac{2}{jr} \frac{1}{jr} \frac{1}{r^{2} + Dj} \frac{1}{jr} \frac{1}{r^{2} Dj};$$
 (1)

where is the dielectric constant, and r f is the vector connecting the electrons or the holes of the two excitons. In our study we assume for simplicity that the exciton dipole moment is parallel to the electric eld (i.e., perpendicular to the x-y plane) and we neglect the uctuations around this orientation. For small distances between the two excitons, jr f j D, Eq. (1) reduces to the usual Coulom interaction between the two electrons and the two holes, V (r f) = $2e^2 = (jr f)$. For large distances between the two excitons, jr f j D, Eq. (1) reduces to the usual dipole-dipole interaction, V (r f) = e^2D^2 (1 $3\cos^2$) = jr f^2 ; where is the angle between D and r f. In our case, since the system is quasi-two-

dim ensional, =2. Therefore V (r) is purely repulsive, which guarantees that there are no instabilities against the form ation of e.g., m olecules.

III.EFFECT OF THE PAULIPRINCIPLE

When the separation between the excitons becomes of order a, one has to worry about the Pauli principle between the electrons and/or the holes. If the spins of either the electrons, or the holes are parallel, the relative wavefunction between the two excitons is highly suppressed for values of \dot{r} \dot{r} is maller than a_B (on the other hand, this exect is absent when both the electrons and the holes have antiparallel spins). As a result, the excitons are not allowed to get to distances shorter than jr ruj a. Therefore, for exciton-exciton separations on the order of a_B , the true m any-body wavefunction behaves in a similar way (since for the low densities we consider, the e ect of other excitons is negligible) [19]; however our mean-eld approach ignores these correlations at such small scales. For this reason, to calculate the interaction energy when the Pauli principle is active, we will use Eq. (1) assum ing that the two excitons cannot get to distances closer than a_B . It is important to point out two things concerning these in plications that result from the Pauli principle: (i) as shown in detail below, the energy of the system is not very sensitive to the choice of the cuto length (which we choose to be a for simplicity), and (ii) the bosonic nature of the electron-hole pairs is not a ected, as long as the mean exciton-exciton spacing is larger than a_B , which is indeed the case in the experiment of Ref. [9].

IV.ESTIM ATES

Before we calculate the order param eter of the condensate, it is instructive to make some estimates. Let us assume that the excitons are conned on the x-y plane within a lake of radius d. For low enough densities the dipole expansion can be used and in this case the energy per particle of a BEC of excitons is (apart from numerical factors of order unity),

E (d)
$$\frac{h^2}{2m d^2} + \frac{1}{2}m !^2 d^2 + \frac{N e^2 D^2}{d^2 D};$$
 (2)

where N is the number of excitons. In the above equation we have assumed that the excitons are trapped in a harmonic potential of frequency! (corresponding to the connement in the lake). Equation (2) can also be written as

E (d)
$$\frac{h^2}{2m d^2} 1 + \frac{ND}{a_B} + \frac{1}{2}m!^2d^2;$$
 (3)

where $a_B = \hat{h} = {}_x e^2$ is the exciton Bohr radius, with ${}_x = m_e m_h = m_e$

E (d)
$$\frac{\text{l}^2}{2\text{m d}^2} \frac{\text{N D}}{\text{a}_{\text{B}}} + \frac{1}{2}\text{m !}^2\text{d}^2;$$
 (4)

and thus the radius of the condensate is

$$d_0 = a_{osc} = c_1 (N D = a_B)^{1=4};$$
 (5)

with $a_{\rm osc}=(h=m!)^{l=2}$ being the oscillator length and c_l being a constant of order unity. A lso,

$$E(d_0)=h! = Q(ND=a_B)^{1=2};$$
 (6)

where c_2 is a constant of order unity. A useful formula is the one that compares the radius of the condensate d_0 with the radius of the thermal cloud R. Since m! 2 R 2 kg T, and assuming that k_B T_C h! N^{1-2} [3],

$$\frac{d_0}{R} = \frac{h!}{k_B T} = \frac{N D}{a_B} = \frac{T_C}{T} = \frac{D}{a_B} = \frac{1=4}{T}$$
(7)

Remarkably, the ratio d_0 =R does not depend on the number of excitons N. Turning to the speed of sound c, m c^2 hV i, where hV i N $\stackrel{?}{=}$ D = c_0^2 is the interaction energy per particle, and thus c $(h=m gl) (N D = a_B)^{1-2}$. A lso, the coherence or healing length , satisfies the equation $h^2 = 2m$ hV i, and thus = gl $(a_B = N D)^{1-2}$. Finally the critical frequency c_0 for creating a vortex state is $(h=m gl) \ln (d_0 = 1)$.

Let us make some numerical estimates now. Since the radius R of a thermal cloud of 10 m for T 1 K [9], the formula m ${}^{2}R^{2}$ k, T implies that excitons in the lakes is 10° Hz form being on the order of 0:1m₀. G iven this value for!, the oscillator length 1 m, and h! 5 10° m eV. Therefore, since D a_{B} , then ND = a_{B} 10, and the size of the condensate d_0 is 30 m, the surface density n_{2D} is 3 16^{0} cm 2 and the m ean exciton-exciton spacing ~ d=N $^{1=2}$ is ~ 300A .The fact that $n_{\text{2D}}~$ $a_{\text{B}}^2~$ is i.e., much less than unity, where n_{2D} is the surface density, justi es the treatment of the excitons as bosons and the use of Eq. (1) as the appropriate expression for the interaction between the excitons. Turning to the energy per particle of the condensate, this is The transition temperature T_c is $5 \, \text{K}$, while for $T = T_c$, Eq. (7) in plies that $d_0 = R$. Also the speed of sound c is 10° cm/s, and the coherence length is 100A, which gives the typical size of vortices [10]. Since $=d_0$ 10 3 , the super uid properties of this system should resemble those of helium (and not those of nuclei). Finally the critical frequency for creating a vortex state is 10 Hz.

V.VARIATIONAL CALCULATION OF THE ORDER PARAMETER

Let us now get more precise. If (r) is the order parameter of a BEC of excitons, as long as the estimates made earlier are accurate, it is enough to consider only the relevant terms in the Hamiltonian,

$$V_t(r) + V(r r^0)j(r^0)^2d^2r^0 (r) = (r);$$
 (8)

where $V_t(r)$ is the trapping potential and is the chemical potential. We will now use the variational approach to calculate the order parameter and the energy. For simplicity we

restrict ourselves to two dim ensions [21], i.e., on the x-y plane and assume that the trapping potential has the form

$$V_t(r) = \frac{1}{2}m!^2(x^2 + {}^2y^2);$$
 (9)

with $! = !_x$, and $= !_y = !_x$, and also

(r) =
$$\frac{N^{1=2}}{1=2} (a_x a_y)^{1=2} \exp(-x^2 = 2a_x^2);$$
 (10)

where a_x and a_y are variational param eters. Then, the energy per particle is,

$$E = \frac{1}{N} \int_{0}^{Z} V_{t}(r) j(r) j^{2} d^{2}r + \frac{1}{2} V(r) j(r) j^{2} j(r) j^{2} d^{2}r d^{2}r^{0} :$$
 (11)

Starting with the expectation value of V_t , h $j_t j = m ! ^2 (a_x^2 + ^2 a_y^2) = 4$. Turning to the interaction energy

$$hV i = \frac{e^2}{2N} z^{-1} (r) z^{-1} (r) z^{-1} \frac{2}{r} \frac{1}{r} z^{-1} \frac{1}{r} z^{-1} \frac{1}{r} z^{-1} \frac{1}{r} z^{-1} z^{-$$

where, as we argued earlier, jr $^{\Omega}$ j $^{\Omega}$ g. To evaluate the above integral, we use the relative coordinates $r_{12} = r$ $^{\Omega}$, and $R_{12} = (r + r^{0})=2$. Equation (12) then involves an integration over R_{12} and r_{12} ,

$$hV i = \frac{N e^{2}}{2 (a_{x}a_{y})^{2}} e^{2R_{12}^{2}f()} d^{2}R_{12} \frac{Z}{r_{12}} \frac{1}{r_{12}} e^{1} \frac{1}{r_{12}} e^{1} \frac{r_{12}}{r_{12}^{2} + D^{2}} A e^{r_{12}^{2}f(^{0})=2} d^{2}r_{12};$$
 (13)

where $f() = (\cos = a_x)^2 + (\sin = a_y)^2$. Since $D = a_x$; a_y , we take the exponential in the integral over r_{12} to be equal to unity, and thus

Combining the equations above, the energy per particle is

$$E = \frac{1}{4} \text{m} !^{2} (a_{x}^{2} + {}^{2}a_{y}^{2}) + \frac{2N e^{2} (\overline{a_{B}^{2} + D^{2}} - a_{B})^{2}}{(a_{x}a_{y})^{2}} e^{2R_{12}^{2}f()} d^{2}R_{12}$$
(15)

expressed in terms of the two variational parameters a_x ; a_y , and . For = 1, then a_x = a_y = d, f = 1= d^2 , the integral in Eq.(15) is equal to d^2 =2, and Eq.(15) gets the simple form

$$E = \frac{1}{2}m!^2d^2 + \frac{Ne^2(\frac{q}{a_B^2 + D^2} - \frac{q}{a_B})}{d^2};$$
 (16)

As Eq.(16) implies, the energy of the system scales as $(a_B^2 + D^2)$ a_B^2 , which shows that indeed E is not very sensitive to the cuto length for a_B D. Furthermore, if the cuto length in Eq.(14) is set equal to zero,

$$E = \frac{1}{2}m!^2d^2 + \frac{Ne^2D}{d^2};$$
 (17)

in agreem ent with the estimates of Eq.(4). Equation (17) implies that c_1 in Eq.(5) is equal to $(2m = x)^{1=4}$, and c_2 in Eq.(6) is equal to $(2m = x)^{1=2}$, where $m = m_e + m_h$.

Returning to the more general problem of 6 1, we have minimized the energy of Eq.(15), setting for simplicity the cuto length equal to zero. Measuring a_x and a_y in the second term of this expression in units of a_{osc} , the energy scale $2N e^2D = a_{osc}^2$ can be written as h! $(2N D = a_B) (m = x)$. We choose $(2N D = a_B) (m = x)$ to be equal to 0.5 10^6 . We also consider the value = 0.8, which corresponds to a trapping potential with equipotential lines which are elongated along the y axis, with a ratio of the oscillator lengths $a_{osc,pc} = a_{osc,pc}$ equal to $a_{osc,pc} = a_{osc,pc} = a_{osc,pc}$ equal to $a_{osc,pc} = a_{osc,pc} = a$

VI.DISCUSSION OF THE RESULTS AND EXPERIMENTAL RELEVANCE

In the last part of this study we exam ine how the above results can be used in practice.

Getting indisputable evidence and clear signatures for the existence of a BEC of excitons is

not at all a trivial problem [23], since even for small but nite temperatures the condensate co-exists with the thermal cloud. More precisely, as Eq. (7) in plies, the width of the condensate is, even for $T = T_c$, comparable or even larger than that of the thermal component, and this ratio gets even larger as the temperature decreases. This is opposite to the atom ic condensates, where typically the thermal cloud is much broader than the condensate. This fact would certainly obscure the detection of a BEC of excitons in the present system.

In the alkalim etal atoms, in the rst experiment where the formation of a BEC was reported [15], the atoms were connect in a disk-shaped trap. Following their release from the trap, for very low { essentially zero { temperatures, the kinetic-energy distribution of the atoms was observed to be anisotropic, while for higher temperatures (but still lower than T_c) a more broad isotropic background was also observed, corresponding to the thermal component. Above T_c , only the isotropic component remained. Therefore, the anisotropic expansion of the cloud is a unique characteristic of a BEC, and does not show up in a thermal cloud.

Turning to the present problem, ideally one could think about releasing somehow the excitons from the lake and watching them as they expand. A ctually, such a possibility has already been studied theoretically [24]. In such an experiment, any sign of anisotropic expansion of the cloud on the x-y plane below sometem perature (the transition temperature T_c) would provide strong evidence in favour of the presence of a BEC of excitons. On the other hand, from the estimates made earlier, one can see that the typical velocity of expansion is expected to be $(E=m)^{1-2}=10^6$ cm/s. Since excitons with velocities up to 1:4 10^6 are radiatively active [25], the expanding excitons may or may not be radiatively active. Additionally, since the velocity of expansion is close to the sound velocity of the material ($3:7=10^6$ cm/s), the interaction with phonons will slow them down. A part from these possible diculties, more generally one should remember that any experiment that is sensitive to the directionality of the kinetic-energy distribution of the excitons could be used to provide evidence for the form ation of a BEC.

VII.SUM M ARY

To sum marize, in this study we examined the ground-state properties of a quasitwo-dimensional Bose-Einstein condensate of indirect excitons, which are conned in an anisotropic harmonic potential. Incorporating the interactions we made estimates for the size of the cloud, the energy per particle, the density, the speed of sound, the coherence length, and the critical frequency for creating a vortex state. We also calculated the order parameter variationally within the meanel eld approximation and we examined the elect of the Pauli principle. Concerning the detection of a BEC, since the size of the condensate is expected to be of the same order as that of the thermal cloud, we examined the possibility of releasing the excitons from an anisotropic trap, which would result in an anisotropic kinetic energy distribution and it would provide evidence for the presence of a BEC. An interesting result is that the interacting gas exhibits this anisotropy in a more pronounced way as compared to an ideal gas.

VIII.ACKNOW LEDGMENTS

The author is grateful to G.Baym, A.D. Jackson, I.E. Perakis, and S.M. Reimann for useful discussions. This work was supported by the Swedish Research Council (VR), and by the Swedish Foundation for Strategic Research (SSF).

- [1] D.L.D extler and R.S.K nox, Excitons (John W iley and Sons, New York, 1965).
- [2] L.V.Keldysh and A.N.Kozlov, Zh.Eksp.Teor.Fiz.54, 978 (1968) [Sov.Phys.JETP 27, 521 (1968)].
- [3] C.J. Pethick and H. Smith, Bose-Einstein Condensation in Dilute Gases (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 2001).

- [4] D.W. Snoke, J.P.W olfe, and A.M ysyrowicz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 59, 827 (1987); D.W. Snoke, J.P.W olfe, and A.M ysyrowicz, Phys. Rev. B 41, 11171 (1990); J.L. Lin and J.P.W olfe, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 1222 (1993).
- [5] E. Fortin, S. Fafard, and A. Mysyrowicz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 3951 (1993).
- [6] L.V.Butov, A. Zrenner, G. Abstreiter, G. Bohm, and G. Weimann, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73, 304 (1994); J.C. Kim and J.P. Wolfe, Phys. Rev. B 57, 9861 (1998); L.V. Butov and A. I. Filin, Phys. Rev. B 58, 1980 (1998).
- [7] K.E.O'Hara, L.O Suilleabhain, and J.P.W olfe, Phys. Rev. B 60, 10565 (1999); K.E. O'Hara, J.R.Gullingsrud, and J.P.W olfe, Phys. Rev. B 60, 10872 (1999).
- [8] G.M. Kavoulakis and A. Mysyrowicz, Phys. Rev. B 61, 16619 (2000).
- [9] L. V. Butov, C. W. Lai, A. L. Ivanov, A. C. Gossard, and D. S. Chem la, Nature 417, 47 (2002); I.E. Perakis, Nature 417, 33 (2002).
- [10] L.V. Butov, A.C. Gossard, and D.S. Chem la, Nature 418, 751 (2002); D. Snoke, S.Denev, Y. Liu, L.P fei er, and K.W est, Nature 418, 754 (2002).
- [11] S.De Palo, F.Rapisarda, and Gaetano Senatore, Phys.Rev.Lett.88, 206401 (2002).
- [12] L.V. Butov, A. Zrenner, G. Abstreiter, G. Bohm, and G. Weim ann Phys. Rev. Lett. 73, 304 (1994).
- [13] A.L. Ivanov, P.B. Littlewood, and H. Haug, Phys. Rev. B 59, 5032 (1999).
- [14] G.M. Kavoulakis and Gordon Baym, Phys. Rev. B 54, 16625 (1996).
- [15] M.H.Anderson, J.R.Ensher, M.R.M atthews, C.E.W iem an, E.A.Comell, Science 269, 198 (1995).
- [16] Kun Yang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 056802 (2001).
- [17] Dm itrii M . K ach intsev and Sergio E . U lloa, Phys. Rev. B 50, 8715 (1994).

- [18] M. Marinescu and L. You, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 4596 (1998); S. Yiand L. You, Phys. Rev. A 61, R041604 (2000); K. Goral, K. Rzazewski, and T. Pfau, Phys. Rev. A 61, R051601 (2000); S. Yiand L. You, Phys. Rev. A 63, 053607 (2001).
- [19] C.J. Pethick and H.T.C. Stoof, Phys. Rev. A 64, 013618 (2001).
- [20] Gordon Baym and C.J.Pethick, Phys.Rev.Lett. 76, 6 (1996).
- [21] In our study we do not worry about the complications of the dimensionality on the phase transition to a Bose-Einstein condensed state. This problem has been studied extensively, see, the classic work of J.M. Kosterlitz and D.J. Thouless, J. Phys. C 6, 1181 (1973), and, e.g., Ref. [22].
- [22] D.S.Petrov, M. Holzmann, and G.V. Shlyapnikov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 2551 (2000).
- [23] K. Johnsen and G.M. Kavoulakis, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 858 (2001).
- [24] A. Getter and I.E. Perakis, Phys. Rev. B 60, 16027 (1999).
- [25] J. Feldmann, G. Peter, E.O. Gobel, P.Dawson, K.Moore, C. Foxon, and R.J. Elliott, Phys. Rev. Lett. 59, 2337 (1987).