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#### Abstract

W e study the dynam ics of a quantum particle coupled to dissipative (ohm ic) environm ents, such as an electron liquid. For certain choioes of couplings, the properties of the particle can be described in term s of an e ective m ass. A particular case is the three dim ensionaldirty electron liquid. In other environm ents, like the one described by the $C$ aldeira-Leggett $m$ odel, the e ective $m$ ass diverges at low tem peratures, and quantum e ects are strongly suppressed. For interactions within this class, arbitrarily weak potentials lead to localized solutions. P articles bound to extemal potentials, or m oving in closed orbits, can show a rst order transition, betw een strongly and weakly localized regim es.


PACS num bers: $03.65 . \mathrm{Y} z, 73.23 \mathrm{R}$ a, 72.15 Rn

## I. INTRODUCTION

The suppression of quantum e ects by dissipative environm ents is a subject of current debate. It is known that in certain cases, like a quantum particle tunneling in a tw o level system [1] [1] or in a periodic potential [12 whose coupling to the environm ent can be described by the $C$ aldeira-Leggett $m$ odel $\left[\frac{1}{1}\right]$, quantum e ects can be alm ost com pletely suppressed at low tem peratures. O n the other hand, perturbative calculations for the dephasing rate of electrons in a dirty Ferm i liquid suggest that
 R ecent experim ents[ $[\underline{6}]$, see also [1] $]$, have increased the interest in this topic, and a variety of theoretical analyses,
 [13, 1

The sim plest situation where the e ect of the dissipation can be studied is that of a single particle interacting w ith an extemal environm ent. A particular case is the C aldeira-Leggett $m$ odel, where a speci c choice of environm ent and coupling is $m$ ade. This $m$ odel has been extensively studied, and it is know $n$ that the di usion of the particle at long tim es cannot be expressed in term $s$ of a nite e ective $m$ ass. In the follow ing, we analyze related $m$ odels $w$ hich describe environm ents such as a dirty electron liquid. The results can be usefulin understanding the quantum properties of extemal particles, such as
 In addition, the $m$ odels studied here provide the sim plest exam ples where the e ects of the environm ent on quantum e ects can be studied in the strong coupling, non perturbative, regim e.

The next section describes the general features of the m odel. Them ethod of calculation is discussed next. Section $\mathbb{I V}$ presents the results for a particle $m$ oving in free space. Section V includes extensions for particles local-
ized around extemal potentials, or m oving in bound orbits. A com parison w th perturbation theory is presented in Section VI. T he m ain conclusions of the present work are discussed in section VI.

```
II. THEMODEL
```

A convenient schem e to treat the problem of a particle interacting $w$ ith an extemal environm ent is to integrate out the environm ent, and to analyze the resulting dynam ics of the particle using the path integral form ulation of quantum $m$ echanics. Thee ect of the environm ent is to induce a retarded interaction am ong di erent positions X ( ) iX ( ${ }^{0}$ ) along a given path. The coupling to a given environm ent is de ned as ohm ic ifthis interaction decays as ( ${ }^{0}$ ) ${ }^{2}$ at long tim es. In this case, the dynam ics of the particle in the classical lim it, $\mathrm{h}^{2}=\mathrm{M}$ ! 0 , where M is the $m$ ass of the particle, can be described in term $s$ of a $m$ acroscopic friction coe cient, , which is nite as the velocity of the particle approaches zero (see below).
$T$ he e ective interaction $m$ ediated by the environm ent can be expressed in tem s of the response function of the environm ent, assum ing that the coupling of the particle to each individual excitation is weak [了了 $]$, or, altematively, that the environm ent is weakly perturbed. In the follow ing, we assum e that the environm ent is an electron liquid, and that the particle is coupled, by a local potential, to the electronic charge uctuations [181]:

$$
H_{\text {int }}=Z^{Z} \quad d^{3} x V \quad x \quad X \quad(x)
$$

where $X$ is the coordinate of the particle, $(x)$ describes the charge uctuations of the electrons, and the $V$ is the coupling potential. The induced retarded putential can be w ritten as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{h} X() X\left({ }^{0}\right)^{i}={ }^{Z} d^{Z} d!e^{i q\left[X() x\left({ }^{0}\right)\right]} e^{i!}\left({ }^{0}\right) V^{2}(q) \operatorname{Im} \quad(q ;!) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where Im（ $q ;!$ ）is the Fourier transform of：

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\operatorname{Im}\left(x x^{0} ; \quad{ }^{0}\right)=h(x ;) x^{0} ;{ }^{0}\right) i \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For an electron liquid we have：lim！！ $0 \operatorname{Im}(q ;!) / j!j$
and that xes the long tim e behavior of the retarded interaction，eq．（2lil），which decays as（ ${ }^{0}$ ）${ }^{2}$ ．Finally， we can w rite for the ective action of the particle $\left[1 \overline{2}_{1}, \overline{1}, \overline{1}-1\right]$
$\qquad$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.S=d \frac{M}{2} \frac{@ X}{@}{ }^{{ }_{2}}+Z d d^{0} \frac{X() X()^{2}}{j} 0^{0}\right\}^{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $F$ is determ ined by the coupling betw een the particle and the electronic charge uctuations．

The Caldeira－Leggett model can also be written in the form given in eq．（ $\mathbf{I}^{(1)}$ ），where the function $F$ is $F /$
（ ）$X^{0}\left({ }^{0}\right)$ 予，and is the $m$ acroscopic friction $c o-$ e cient．O ther generalizations of the C aldeira－Leggett m odel，used in relation to Coulomb blockade，include
 associated w th higher order tunneling processes．These term stypically involve $m$ any di erent tim es as well．The derivation ofeq．（［4］），using second order perturbation the－ ory，leads to non linear term s which couple the coordi－ nates at two di erent tim es only．

In general，we can write the function $F$ as：

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(u)=f \frac{u}{l^{2}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is a dim ensionless constant and $l$ is a length scale typical of the uctuations in the environm ent． W e assum e，w thout loss of generality，that $f(0)=0$ and $f^{0}(0)=1$ ．At high tem peratures，where $l_{T}=$
 $m$ ined by $\lim _{u!} O F(u) \quad=\mathrm{F}^{0}(0)$ ．In this lim it，eq．$\left.\overline{4^{4}}\right)$ is equivalent to the $C$ aldeira－Leggett $m$ odel $w$ ith a fric－ tion coe cient $==l^{2}$ ．

In the follow ing，we will assume that the response function of the environm ent is that of a dirty（di u－ sive）electron liquid，and the extemal particle couples to the charge uctuations via a screened C oulom．b potential． Then：

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0(\mathcal{G} ;!) \quad \frac{D \dot{\mathcal{M} \mathcal{J}}}{i!+D \dot{\mathcal{M} \mathcal{J}}} \\
& F \text { (q) } \frac{1}{D \text { 稇系 }} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $F(q)$ is the Fourier transform of $F X() \quad X^{0}\left({ }^{0}\right)$ ．In the calculation of $F$ we have included the fiull selfoonsistent screened C oulom b
potential．The expressions in eqs．（ $\overline{(\sigma)})$ determ ine the the function $F$ in eq．（ $4^{4}$ ）for distances greater than the $m$ ean free path，l．At shorter distances，we will choose a regularization consistent $w$ ith the expected asym ptotic regim e．Finally，we w ill com pare the results w ith two other choices of the retarded interaction：i）the one appropiate for the Caldeira－Leggett model ，and ii）a retarded interaction which decays exponentially beyond a certain length，lenzin．The retarded actions to be considered，expressed in term sof the function $f$ given in eq．（5．⿹丁口欠），are：

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{u}) \quad=\mathrm{u} \quad \text { C aldeira Leggett m odel } \\
& f(u) \quad=1 \quad e^{u} \quad \text { short range kemel } \\
& f(u)=2^{P} \overline{1+u} \quad 2 \text { 1D dirty electron gas } \\
& \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{u})=\log (1+u) \quad 2 \mathrm{D} \text { dirty electron gas } \\
& \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{u})=2 \quad \frac{2}{1+\mathrm{u}} \quad 3 \mathrm{D} \text { dirty electron gas } \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining eq．$\left(\overline{T_{1}}\right)$ and eqs．$\left(\bar{T}_{1}\right)$ ，the $m$ acroscopic friction coe cient is，in all cases，$==l^{2}$ ．For the dirty electron gas，$\quad$ 壬 $=(\mathrm{D})$ ．This value can change ifthe particle is coupled to di erent electronic reservoins．For a clean electron gas，can be w rilten in term s of the phaseshifts induced by the presence of the particle on the electrons
 as a variable which can take arbitrary values．

III．THECALCULATION

A．P erturbative expansion．
The action described in eq．［（4）can be solved exactly when the retarded interaction is given by the C aldeira－ Leggett $m$ odel．In this case，the action depends quadrat－ ically on the spatial coordinate，X（ ）．In the follow ing， we will assum e that is the im aginary tim e．

In general，one can w rite the expansion：

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=d \frac{M}{2} \frac{@ X^{!}}{@}+X_{n}^{Z} \quad d d 0 \frac{X()^{0} X\left({ }^{0}\right)^{2 n}}{j}{ }^{0,} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where：

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}!1^{2 n}} \frac{@^{n} f}{@ u^{n}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The case where only the $n=1$ term in the sum in eq．$(\bar{q}, \overline{1})$ is non zero corresponds to the C aldeira－Leggett model． O ne can write a perturbative expansion for the correc－ tions due to the $n>1$ term s ．Typicaldiagram s are given
 arithm ìc corrections．In $R$ enorm alization $G$ roup term $s$ ， they are all m arginal．In this respect，the m odel dif－ fers from standard $m$ odels in statisticalm echanics，where usually only quartic term s need to be considered．If we only consider the quartic term， 2 ，the logarithm ic di－ vergence of the perturbative series lead to the scaling


$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{@ 1}{@ 1}=\frac{22_{2}}{1} \\
& \frac{@{ }_{2}}{@ 1}=\frac{4 \frac{2}{2}}{1} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

where $l=\log (0=), 0$ is the initialhigh energy（short tim e）cuto needed to regularize eq．（ $\overline{4}_{1}^{1}$ ），and is the ef－ fective cuto ．A scaling approach follow ing eq．（10 $\mathbf{1}_{1}^{1}$ ）is， how ever，im practical，because one should consider an in－ nite set ofcoupled equations，including all the couplings．

> B. Large N approxim ation.

T he non linear term $s$ in the action in eq．（低）are greatly sim pli ed when the num ber of com ponents $N$ of the vec－ tor $X$ is large．In order to have a consistent theory，we $m$ ust rescale the argum ent $u$ ofthe function $f(u)$ in eq．（［⿹丁口） so that $f(u)=N f(u=N)$ ，where $u$ is proportional to抆（ ）$X^{0}\left({ }^{0}\right)$ f．In term $s$ of the diagram $m$ atic expan－ sion sketched in F ig．［［ill $]$ ，we need only to consider closed loop diagram S ，like those in F ig．［12］．These diagram s can be sum $m$ ed using standard large－N techniques in statis－


$$
\begin{align*}
() & =-f^{0}[G()] \\
G(!) & =\frac{1^{2}}{\frac{M 1^{2}!^{2}}{2}+(!)} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

where：


F IG ．1：Som e sim ple diagram s which renom alize the values of 1 and 2 in eq．（ $\left(\frac{1}{1}\right)$ ．The propagators are proportional to $1^{1}$ ．Indiges denote com ponents of the vector $X$ ．


FIG．2：Sketch of the selfconsistent solution of closed loop diagram swhich leads to eqs．（111）．
and，using eq．$\left.\overline{1}_{1}^{1} \bar{I}_{1}^{1}\right)$ ，we can w rite：

$$
\begin{equation*}
G()=\AA G \quad ; \frac{h^{2}}{2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{l}^{2}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

T w o exactly solvable cases are：

$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{array}{llll}
G(1) / & \bar{M} & & \text { free particle of } m \text { ass } M \\
\underline{I} \log & \text { C aldeira } & \text { Leggett } m \text { odel }
\end{array}  \tag{14}\\
& \text { C. V ariational ansatz. }
\end{align*}
$$

The large－N expansion described in the previous sub－ section can be altematively form ulated as a variational approxim ation to the action in eq．（4＇）．．W e use the ansatz：

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{0}=Z^{Z} \frac{M}{2} \frac{@ X^{!_{2}}}{@}+d d^{0} X() X\left({ }^{0}\right)^{2}\left({ }^{0}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$ associated to $S_{0}$.

and:
where ( ) is a function to be determ ined from the $m$ inim ization of hS $\quad S_{0} i_{0}+F_{0}$. The subscript 0 m eans averaging $w$ th respect to $S_{0}$, and $F_{0}$ is the free energy

The function ( ) satis es the equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
()=\frac{1}{2} \frac{@}{@ G_{0}()} F \quad X() \quad X(0)^{2} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{0}()=X() X(0)^{2} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

0

$$
\begin{equation*}
F \quad X() X(0)^{2} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where: tively the solutions.
${ }_{0}=C_{N}$

F IG . 3: Sim plest diagram which gives a vertex correction to the variational schem e discussed in the text.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.C_{N}=p-p \overline{G_{0}( } \quad{ }^{0}\right) \\
& N=1  \tag{19}\\
& \left.\left.C_{N}=P-G_{\left[G_{0}( \right.} \quad{ }^{0}\right)\right]^{3=2} \\
& N=3
\end{align*}
$$

(19)
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D. V ertex corrections.
For the physically relevant class of $\mathrm{N}=2$; 3 , the
above approxim ations neglect vertex corrections, like
those show in F ig. $[$ [3] $]$. In the next section, we will an-
alyze them, and argue that they do not change qualite-
tively the solutions.

 vantage of the variational form ulation is that it can be extended to nite values of N. The expectation values to be calculated are of the type:
$Z_{1}$
${ }^{1} d r r^{N} \quad{ }^{1} F\left(r^{2}\right) e^{r^{2}=\left[2 G_{0}()\right]}$
IV. RESU LTS.fREE PARTICLE

$$
\text { A. P aram eters of the } m \text { odel. }
$$

Eqs. $\left.{ }^{1} 1 \overline{1}_{1}^{1}\right)$ can be solved selfconsistently. The m odel has two dim ensionless param eters, the value of , dened in eqs. ( $\left.\hat{S}_{1}^{\prime} \overline{1} \bar{T}_{1}\right)$, and the ratio $h^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}M & l^{2} & 0\end{array}\right)$, where 0 is the cuto in the spectrum of the environm ent, which we w ill assum e to be nite. N ote, how ever, that, for $m$ ost physicalquantities, the lim it $h^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}\left(M l^{2}\right. & 0\end{array}\right)!0$ is welldened. The scaling given in eq. (13 and $l=1$.

> B. A sym ptotic analy sis.

W e rst analyze the selfenergy correction due to the environm ent, (!) in eq.(ī1). At very short tim es,
$00^{1}$, the environm ent cannot in uence the particle, and ( ) = 0. For times 0 such that G() is sm all, $X() X\left({ }^{0}\right)^{2} \quad l^{2}$.Then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F \quad X^{2} \quad \frac{X^{2}}{l^{2}} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this regim e, the behavior of the system is indistinguishable from that of an e ective Caldeira-Leggett
m odel，and：

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { (! ) } & \overline{\mathrm{l}^{2}} j!j \\
G(~) & \frac{\mathrm{l}^{2}}{} \log \frac{}{\mathrm{M} \mathrm{l}^{2}} \tag{21}
\end{array}
$$

This approxim ation is valid provided that $G() \quad$ ． $T$ his contraint sets a $m$ axim um time，$\quad(M$ Ze $)=$ ， beyond which the di usion of the particle cannot be de－ scribed by eqs．（21） 1 I）For 1 ，（！）acquires a contri－ bution：

$$
\begin{equation*}
(!)=\int_{0}^{Z} \frac{1}{l^{2}} \frac{e^{j!}}{2}+\quad(!) \quad \overline{l^{2}}!^{2}{ }_{1}+ \tag{!}
\end{equation*}
$$

where a a term arising from times longer than 1 ， ${ }_{1}$（！），has to be added．
（！）is determ ined by $\lim _{u!1} f(u)$ in eqs．（ $\left.\bar{T}, \mathbf{i}\right)$ ．If ${ }_{1}$（！）goes to zero faster than ！${ }^{2}$ as！！0，then G（ ）／．Hence，we can check if the asum ption that G（ ）／leads to a self consistent solution．We in－ sert this ansatz into the expression of $\quad$（ ）．At long tim es，we have：

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{!}() /-2 \lim _{!} f^{0}[G()] \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ is a constant．If $f^{0}[G()]$ decays faster than $G()^{1}$ ，then $\lim !!0 \quad(!)$ goes to zero faster than $!^{2}$ ．

Thus，if $\lim _{u!} f^{0}(u)$ decays faster than $u{ }^{1}$ ，we can w rite：

$$
\begin{equation*}
G()_{0}^{Z} \int_{1}^{1} d!\frac{1}{M!^{2}+!^{2}{ }_{1}=1^{2}} \quad \text { £- } \quad M e \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation describes the propagation of a quantum particle $w$ th $e$ ective $m$ ass $M$ eff $M e$ ．

In term $s$ of the action in eq．$(\underline{4})$ ），the previous analysis allow s us to describe the dynam ics of the quantum par－
ticle in term $s$ of a renorm alized e ective $m$ ass when the function which de nes the coupling to the environm ent is such that：
$\qquad$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x(1)} F \quad X\left({ }^{0}\right)^{2}!1 \quad X\left({ }^{0}\right)^{2} \quad O X() X\left({ }^{0}\right)^{2} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his is the case when the environm ent is a three dim en－ sionaldirty electron liquid，or for a short range kemel，see eqs．（ $\overline{7}_{1}$ ）．It is interesting to note that，for nite dim ensions $N$ ，eq．（1－18）im plies that $F \quad X() X()^{2}$ never decays faster than $X() X\left({ }^{0}\right){ }^{N}$ ．

C．Vertex corrections．

The schem e used here neglects vertex corrections．Di－ agram s such as the one shown in Fig． $\bar{\beta}_{1}$ I give a contri－ bution，vertex（ ）to（ ），and can change the above results if they decay at long tim es m ore slow ly than ${ }^{3}$ ． The diagram in Fig．［⿶凵⿱一𧰨刂1］goes as：

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { vertex ( ) } \quad f^{f^{0}}[G()] g^{2} G^{3}() \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $G() \quad$ and $f$ is such that eq．（ 35 ）is satis ed，then vertex（ ）decays faster than ${ }^{3}$ at $\bar{l}$ Iong tim es，and the correction from the diagram in F ig．［1］］does not change the results described above．It is easy to show that the sam e is also valid form ore com plicated vertex diagram $s$ ． This analysis leads us to con jecture that，when the dy－ nam ics of the particle can be described in term $s$ of an e ective $m$ ass，vertex corrections are irrelevant．
D. Simple actions.

The previous analysis allows us to study the sim ple case where $F(X)=n X^{n}$ ．The case $n=1$ corre－ sponds to the Caldeira－Leggett model．For n＞1，we can $m$ ake the ansatz $\lim !1 G() / a_{1} \log { }^{n}()$ ．Then，
from eqs. (1] 1

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ( ) / } \frac{n}{2}\left[a_{n} \log ^{n}()\right]^{1} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(!) / \frac{1}{n j!j\left[a_{n} \log ^{n}(!)\right]^{n}} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{n a_{n}^{n}} & =a_{n} \\
1+(1 \quad n)_{n} & =n \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

and, nally:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{!1} G() / \frac{1}{n} \log ()^{1=n} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his correlation fiunction im plies that the particle is never localized, although it can di usem ore slow ly than for the $C$ aldeira-Leggett $m$ odel. The ective $m$ ass diverges at low tem peratures. The case $\mathrm{n}=1=2$ corresponds to the one dim ensional dirty electron gas, described in eq. $\overline{(T}_{1}$ ) .

> E. N um erical resu lts.

W e have solved iteratively eqs. (1-1 $\left.\overline{1}^{\prime}\right)$ for di erent choices of the environm ent. The resulting correlation function $G()$ is show $n$ in $F$ igure $[4]$ for the cases:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})= & \frac{1}{1+x} & \text { (3D dirty electron gas) } \\
\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})=\log (1+\mathrm{x}) & \text { (2D dirty electron gas) } \\
\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})= & \mathrm{x} & \text { (C aldeira } \quad \text { Leggett } \mathrm{m} \text { odel) } \tag{31}
\end{array}
$$

$T$ he dim ensionless param eters in the three cases are
$=2$ and $h^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{M}^{2} & 0\end{array}\right)=0: 05$. The units are such that $\mathrm{M}=1$ and $\mathrm{l}=1$. $\quad$ The m acroscopic friction coe cient, $==\underline{T}$ is the sam $e$ in the three cases. It is clear that the spatial dependence of the retarded interaction induces signi cant di erences in the long tim e dynam ics of the particle, although look the sam e at su ciently high tem peratures, where the $m$ ass, and the $m$ acroscopic friction coe cient are the only relevant param eters.

For the C aldeira-Leggett $m$ odel, $G(1)$ increases logarithm ically at long tim es. The e ects of the three di$m$ ensionaldirty electron gas can be described in term s of an e ective $m$ ass, which, for the param eters used here, is about tw o orders ofm agnitude larger than the barem ass. $T$ he tw o dim ensionaldirty electron gas is a $m$ arginalcase, and the num ericalresults, like those show $n$ in $F$ ig. $[\underline{[l G}]$, suggest that G ( ) / , with $0 \ll 1$, and / $1^{-1}$, w here g is the conductance.

Thee ective $m$ ass, $w$ hen the environm ent is described by the dirty three dim ensional liquid, as function of the strength coupling, is show $n$ in $F$ ig. [F] 1 . . T he num erical results support the exponential dependence on discussed in the preceding subsection.


FIG. 4: Time dependence of the propagator $G()$, for $h^{2}=\left(M, 1^{2}\right)=1, l=1$ and $=2$ for the three cases described in eq.(312).


F IG . 5: D ots: E ective $m$ ass of a particle interacting $w$ ith a dirty three dim ensional electron liquid, as function of the strength of the interaction, . T he fullline is a to a function of the type $M=M_{\text {eff }}=\left(c_{1}+c_{2}\right) e^{c_{3}}$.
V. RESULTS.LOCALIZED SOLUTIONS

> A. Local attractive potential.

A n extemalpotential, $V$, acting on the particle can be described, using the path integral form ulation, by adding


FIG. 6: Log-log plots of G ( ) vs. for an action which describes a two dim ensional dirty electron liquid, eq. (7ㅜT). From top to bottom : $=1 ; 2 ; 4 ; 6$.
a term to the action in eq. (ī) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\text {pot }}={ }^{Z} \mathrm{dVR} \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{i}} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his term leads to new nonlineare ects. W e can extend the large-N or variational schem e described earlier by using the approxim ate action:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\text {var }}=\mathrm{Z}_{2} \mathrm{X}()^{2} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is a variational param eter. This ansatz, in the absence of dissipation, is equivalent to the use of a variational set of gaussian wavefiunctions in order to probe the existence of bound states of the potential $V(X)$. It is well known that this $m$ ethod can be used to study the existence of localized solutions for arbitrarily sm all potentials in one dim ension, while a threshold is required for the existence of a bound state in three dim ensions.
We now analyze the full action, given by eqs. ( $\left.\overline{4}{ }^{4}\right)$ and (32) using the variational ansatz given by eqs. (15) and (33). W e will focus on the possible existence of localized solutions, characterized by a nite value of, in the $\lim$ it of a very weak potential $V(\mathbb{X})$. Then, $l^{2} \quad 0 ; h^{2}=\left(M l^{2}\right)$, and the Fourier transform of $G()$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(!)=\frac{l^{2}}{\frac{M l^{2}!^{2}}{2}+=0(!)+l^{2}} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=0(!)$ is the self energy calculated when $=0$. W e now w rite the extemalpotentialas $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{X})=\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{u})$,
where $u=7$ f. The self consistency equation for

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{@}{@ G_{1}} V\left(G_{1}\right){ }_{\text {var }} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

The expectation value in the rhs. of this equation is to be calculated using the variational action, eqs. (15) and (33). This calculation is sim ilar to that perform ed in eq. (1d). W e are interested in the $\lim$ it when $G_{1} \quad I^{2}$. $T$ hen, for localized potentials:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@}{@ G_{1}} V\left(G_{1}\right) \operatorname{var} \frac{1}{\mathrm{G}_{1}^{\mathrm{N}=2+1}} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the Caldeira-Leggett model, $G_{1}$ /
${ }^{1} \log \left[{ }^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}M & )\end{array}\right] . \quad C\right.$ ombining eqs. $(3 \overline{5})$ and $\quad(36)$, we nd that there is a localized solution w th a for any attractive potential $V(\mathbb{X})$. The corresponding value of is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{h}^{\mathrm{N}=2+1^{Z}} \mathrm{~d}^{\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{X} V(\mathbb{X}) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

The value $==$ can be intenpreted as a gap in the spectrum of the excitations of the particle.

If the dynam ics of the particleq can be described in term $s$ of an e ective $m$ ass, $G_{1} / h^{2}=\left(M_{\text {eff }}\right)$. Then,


$$
/ \quad N=4+1=2^{Z} \quad d^{N} X V(X)
$$

This equation has solutions for arbitrarily weak potentials only if $\mathrm{N}=1$, that is, if the particle m oves in one dim ension, in agreem ent $w$ ith standard quantum $m e-$ chanics.
B. C losed orbits.

W e can use the previous $m$ ethod to analyze the motion of the particle when it m oves around closed circular loops. W hen the loop is threaded by a m agnetic ux, this geom etry can be used to analyze quantum interference e ects $\left[1 \underline{1}_{1}^{\prime}, 2_{2}^{2}-1\right]$. T he tra jectory of the particle can be described in term s of an angle, and ix ( ) $j=R$, where $R$ is the radius of the onbit. The action in eq. (亩) describes a one dim ensional non linear sigm a model with long range interactions. The case when only quadratic tem s are kept in the expansion in eq. (\%), tensively discussed in the literature $\left[2 \mathcal{T}_{1}, 28_{1} 1\right.$. T he large $N$ extension of this m odel can be analyzed using standard techniques [2d, i3d]. The constraint can be inconporated by m eans of a Lagrange multiplier, ( ), whose uctuations can be neglected in the large $N \mathrm{lim}$ it. $T$ his term in the action is given by eq. (33í), and we can apply a sim ilar schem e to that discussed in the previous subsection. T he value of $R^{2}$ gives the new energy scale which describes the dynam ics of the particle around a closed loop. A lternatively, $R^{2}$ can be interpreted as an inverse correlation


F IG.7: First order transition obtained for $\quad 0=\left(h^{2}=M \quad I^{2}\right)=1$. The_param eters are $M=1$ and $l=1$, and $f(u)=e^{u}$ in eq. $\left({ }_{5}^{\prime}\right.$ ) $)$ Full curve: $=6$. D otted curve, $=8$. B roken curve: = 10 .


F IG . 8: Sketch of the transition discussed in the text.
tim e["익. This approach describes w ell the phase transition of the model when the retarded interaction decays


The dynam ics of the particle, using the fill action eq. ( $\overline{\mathrm{G}}$ ), is determ ined by $\mathrm{G}_{1}$, for $\mathrm{R} \quad$ l. The value of is given by the solution of:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}_{1} \quad \mathrm{R}^{2} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the Caldeira-Leggett m odel, this equation leads to
/ e $\left(R^{2}\right)=h$, as $R=1!1$, in agreem ent w ith pre-
 ticle can be described in term $s$ of an e ective $m$ ass, $R^{2} \ldots h^{2}=\left(M_{\text {eff }} R^{2}\right)$, also in agreem ent $w$ ith earlier work ["]

> C. First order phase transition.

The results discussed in the previous subsections are valid if the high energy cuto of the bath, 0 , is much larger than the energy scale $h^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}\left(l^{2}\right)\end{array}\right)$ W hen these scales becom e com parable, we nd a rst order phase transition, as function of or $R$ between two regim es:
i) The particle $m$ oves aw ay from the region $j<1$ at tim es shorter than $0^{1}$, and, for longer tim es, it di uses like a free particle.
ii) $T$ he particle is trapped inside the region $j<l$ for all tim es.

This transition is analogous to that described regently in the two dim ensional non linear sigm a m odelipzer [34.1. The transition takes place betw een two disordered phases, and it does not violate the $M$ em in $-W$ agner theorem, which can also be form ulated for the $m$ odel studied here. The phase diagram is sketched in F ig. [g] $]$. There is a line of rst order transitions, which ends at a critical point. The discontinuity at the phase transition increases as the $m$ inim in the function $F(\mathbb{X})$ becom es deeper and $m$ ore localized around $X=0$.

The existence of a critical point, show $n$ in $F$ ig. $\left[\frac{10}{1}\right]$, im plies the existence of physicalquantities w th anom_alous decay in the tim e dom ain. Follow ing the results in [3] 3 in, we con jecture that the energy-energy correlations will show power law correlations in tim e[35ㄴㄱㄴ].

> VI. COMPARISON W ITH PERTURBATION THEORY

It is instructive to com pare the results presented in the previous sections with a perturbative calculation, which is the schem e most widely used when studying dephasing $\left[\begin{array}{ll}4 \\ \hline\end{array}\right.$ $T$ he unperturbed states are plane waves, characterized by a mom entum $\tilde{k}$, and an energy $\underset{\kappa}{ }=\tilde{\kappa} \tilde{j}=\mathrm{M}$. The inverse lifetim e of this state, when the coupling to the environm ent is described by the action in eq. ( $\overline{4} 1)$, is:

$$
{ }_{\mathbb{k}}(T)==^{Z} d!\quad \operatorname{dqF}(q) j!j^{h} 1+e^{!=T}
$$

h i where $F(q)$ is the Fouriertransform off $X() X\left({ }^{0}\right)$
 the em ission and absorption of quanta by the environ$m$ ent.

For the case of a dirty electron gas studied earlier, $F$ (q) $\quad 1=\left(\right.$ qu $\left.^{\jmath} D\right)$, where is the density of states, and $D$ is the di usion coe cient [ 26$]$. The integral in eq. (4d) is convergent, except in one dim ension. W e can de ne selfoon sistently a dephasing lifetim e contributions from low energy transitions are suppressed. Then, when $\approx \quad T$, we nd:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{T!}(T) \quad \frac{h}{M \operatorname{DP}} / T^{D=2} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l_{T}=\overline{h^{2}=(\mathbb{M})}$. There are logarithm ic corrections for $D=1$, which arise from the divergence in the integral in eq. (4d).

W e can also calculate the real part of the self energy, which, at zero tem perature, is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} \widetilde{k} ;!={ }^{Z} d!^{Z} d q \frac{F(q) j!{ }^{0} j}{!!^{0}+{ }_{k}^{k}+q} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Q uantities associated to the derivatives of the real part of the selfenergy, like the e ective $m$ ass renom alization and the quasiparticle residue:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{M}{M^{2}}=r_{2}^{2} \operatorname{Re} \quad \widetilde{K} ;!\quad!={ }_{k} \quad 3 \quad 1 \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

are divergent as $\widetilde{K}!\quad 0$, for $D=1,2$.
Eq. $4\left(\overline{1}_{1}^{1}\right)$ suggests that quantum e ects are still im portant at zero tem perature. This interpretation, how ever, is not consistent w ith the non perturbative results for $D=1 ; 2$ (see subesections IVD and IVE). In both cases, we nd that $G()$ increases $m$ ore slow ly than , so that the energy scale for the A haronov-B ohm oscillations in a closed orbit acquire an anom alous $R$ dependence (see IVB). We can infer an e ective \dephasing tim e", from the scale at which G() reaches its asymptotic unconventional behavior. In both cases, this scale is $/\left(h^{2}=M l^{2}\right)^{1}$, where $l$ is the $m$ ean free path in the environm ent. T he unperturbed case is recovered when 1! 1 .
$T$ he C aldeira-Leggett model leads to $F(q) \quad{ }^{\infty}(q)$, and, for ${ }_{K} \quad T, \lim _{T}!\int_{K}(T) \quad \frac{h}{M}$. This result is consistent $w$ ith the non perturbative, analysis of the $m$ odel, which suggests that quantum e ects are strongly suppressed, even at zero tem perature.

## VII. C O N C LU SIO N S

W e have analyzed the low energy properties of a quantum particle interacting w ith dissipative environm ents, characterized by an ohm ic response. B y $m$ eans of arge$N$, or variational approxim ation, and num erical calculations, we have estim ated time correlations which characterize the dynam ics of the particle. Our method allows us to treat both the weak coupling lim it, and the C aldeira-Leggett m odel, where the coupling to the environm ent strongly suppresses the quantum properties of the particle. T he retarded interactions induced by the cohpling to the environm ent are described by a function, F ( ) X ( ${ }^{0}$ ) ${ }^{3}$, which depends on the type of environm ent, eq. ([4]). For a particle propagating freely, we characterize the dynam ics of the particle by ${\underset{1}{2}}^{\mathrm{h}}$ the correlation function $G\left({ }^{0}\right)=X() X\left({ }^{0}\right)^{1_{2}}$.

W e nd that ohm ic environm ents can be divided into tw o broad classes (even if they all give rise to the sam e $m$ acroscopic dissipative equations ofm otion):
i) If $\lim _{u!~} \mathrm{~F}^{0}(\mathrm{u})$ decays faster than $u{ }^{1}$, the behavior of the particle can be described in term $s$ of an e ective $m$ ass, $M_{\text {eff }}$. At long times, G ( ) / M estim ated the renom alization of the bare $m$ ass, which depends exponentially on the coupling. Environm ents w ith these features are the clean and dirty three dim ensional electron liquids, and the short range kemel where $F(u) / 1 \quad e^{u=1}$.
ii) If $\lim _{u!~} F^{0}(u)$ decays $m$ ore slow ly that $u{ }^{1}$, the e ectivem ass of the particle becom es in nite at zero tem perature. At long tim es, G ( ) / log ( ). This is the behavior of the C aldeira-Leggett $m$ odel $(=1)$, and the one dim ensional dirty electron gas ( $=1=2$ ).
$T$ he two dim ensional dirty electron gas is an interm ediate case. O ur results are consistent $w$ th a pow er law dependenœ, $G() / \quad$, with $0 \ll 1$, and $/ 1 \mathrm{~g}^{1}$, where $g$ is the conductance.

W e have also considered the properties of a particle in an extemal potential, or $m$ oving around a closed ring. W e nd di erent qualitative behavior corresponding to the sam e tw o cases discused above:
i) If $\lim u$ ! $1 F^{0}(u)$ decays faster than $u^{1}$, a localized potential $m$ ust exceed a threshold strength before localized solutions are possible. T he energy scale which characterize the quantum properties of the particle is $h^{2}=\left(M_{\text {eff }} R^{2}\right)$, for $R \quad l$, where $l$ is a length scale which describes the range of the uctuations in the environ$m$ ent. A model characterized by a function $F$ which uctuates between zero and a nite value as u ! 1 can be included in this class [281].
ii) If $\lim _{u!~} F^{0}(u)$ decaysm ore slow ly that $u{ }^{1}$, a $10-$ calized solution exists for arbitrarily weak con ning potentials. The characteristic energy scale which de nes the quantum properties around a ring decays, for $R \quad l$, ase $c(R=1)^{2}$, where $c$ and are constants.

In som e cases, there is a rst order phase transition,
as function of the strength of the potential, or the size of the orbit, between a weakly and a strongly localized solution.

Sum $m$ arizing, we nd that di erent ohm ic environ$m$ ents can in uence the quantum properties of an extemal particle in di erent ways: couplings w th long range spatialinteractions, such as in the $C$ aldeira-Leggett model, strongly suppress quantum e ects, while the effects of less singular couplings can be qualitatively understood w ithin perturbation theory.
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