G row ing sm ooth interfaces with inhom ogeneous, moving external elds: dynamical transitions, devil's staircases and self-assembled ripples

Abhishek Chaudhuri, P.A. Sreeram and Surajit Sengupta Satyendra Nath Bose National Centre for Basic Sciences, Block-JD, Sector-III, Salt Lake, Calcutta - 700098. (Dated: March 22, 2024)

We study the steady state structure and dynam ics of an interface in a pure Ising system on a square lattice placed in an inhom ogeneous external eld with a prole designed to stabilize a at interface, and translated with velocity v_e . For small v_e , the interface is stuck to the prole, is macroscopically smooth, and is rippled with a periodicity in general incommensurate with the lattice parameter. For arbitrary orientations of the prole, the local slope of the interface locks in to one of in nitely many rational values (devil's staircase) which most closely approximates the prole. These \lock-in structures and ripples dissappear as v_e increases. For still larger v_e the prole detaches from the interface.

PACS num bers: 05.10G g,64.60 H t,68.35 R h

The ability to grow at solid surfaces[] is often of major technological concern, for example, in the fabrication of magnetic materials for recording devices where surface roughness[2] causes a sharp deterioration of magnetic properties. Most growing surfaces or interfaces on the other hand coarsen [3] with a width, , which diverges with system size as L and time as t, where and 0) are the roughness and dynamical exponents respectively. Is it possible to drive such an interface with a pre-determ ined velocity v_f and, at the same time, keep it at (i.e. = = 0)? In this Letter, we explore this possiblity by studying growing interfaces in a nonuniform eld with an appropriately shaped pro le moving without change of shape at an externally controllable velocity ve. We nd that for y less than a lim iting value v_1 , it is possible to produce a macroscopically at, interface growing with average velocity $v_f = v_e$. Microscopically, however, the interface shows an in nity of dynam ical ripple structures sim ilar to self-assem bled, com m ensurate -incom m ensurate (C-I) [4] dom ains produced by atom ic m ism atch [5]. The ripples vanish with increasing ve through a uctuation induced C-I transition.

Real solid-solid interfaces being complex [6], an understanding of the dynamics of such interfaces in a general time -dependent, inhom ogeneous eld may only be achieved by beginning with a relatively simple, but non-trivial, system viz. an interface in the two-dimensional (2-d) Ising model. Our results, therefore, concern mainly this model system, though towards the end we discuss possible modications, if any, for solid interfaces.

The dynam ics of an Ising interface in a (square) lattice driven by uniform external elds is a rather well studied [3] subject. The velocity, v_1 of the interface depends on the applied eld, h and the orientation measured with respect to the underlying lattice. The interface is rough and coarsens with KPZ [3] exponents = 1=2 and = 1=3.


Consider, an interface Y (x;t) between phases with

magnetization, (x;y;t) > 0 and (x;y;t) < 0, in a 2-d square lattice[7] obeying single-spin ip G lauber dynamics [8] in the limit h=J;T=J! 0. Here J is the Ising exchange coupling and T the temperature. An external non-uniform eld with a proleh $(y;t) = h_{ax}f(y;t)$ where $f(y;t) = \tanh((y v_et) =)$ and is the width of the prole (see Fig1(a)) is applied such that $h = h_{max}$ in the > 0 and h_{max} in the < 0 regions separated by a sharp edge. The driving force depends on the relative local position of Y(x;t) and the edge. In the low temperature limit the interface moves solely by random corner ips[] (Fig. 1(b)), the uctuations necessary for nucleating islands of the minority phase in any region being absent. We study the behaviour of v_f and the structure of the interface as a function of v_e and orientation.

Naively, one expects uctuations of the interfacial coordinate Y (x;t) to be completely suppressed in the presence of h (y;t). Indeed, as we show below, a mean eld theory obtains the exact behaviour of $v_{\rm f}$ as a function of $v_{\rm e}$ (Fig. 1 (c)). Using model A dynamics [9] for a coarse-grained H am iltonian (which, for the moment, ignores the lattice) of an Ising system in a external non-uniform eld together with the assumption that the magnetization is uniform everywhere except near the interface, one can derive [11] an equation of motion for the interface.

$$\frac{\partial Y}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 Y}{\partial x^2} \qquad 2 \quad \frac{\partial Y}{\partial x} \quad ^2 f(Y;t) \qquad _3 f(Y;t) + (x;t) \quad (1)$$

where $_1$, $_2$ and $_3$ are parameters and is a Gaussian white noise with zero mean. Note that Eq.(1) lacks Galilean invariance[10]Y 0 ! Y+ x;x 0 ! x $_2$ t;tll t. A mean eld calculation amounts to taking Y Y (t) i.e. neglecting spatial uctuations of the interface and noise. For large times (t ! 1), Y ! v_ft, where v_f is obtained by solving the self-consistency equation; v_f = lim_{t!} $_1$ _ 3 tanhf(v_f v_e)t= g= _ 3 sign(v_f v_e). For small v_e the only solution (Fig.1(c), inset) is v_f = v_e and for v_e > v_1, where v_1 = _ 3 we get v_f = _ 3 = v_1 .






FIG. 1: (a) An Ising interface Y (x;t) (bold curved line) between regions of positive (marked +) and negative (marked) magnetization in an external, inhomogeneous eld with a prole which is as shown (dashed line). The positions of the edge of the eld prole and that of the interface are labelled $S_{\rm e}$ and $S_{\rm f}$ respectively. (b) A portion of the interface in a square lattice showing a corner. (c) The interface velocity $v_{\rm f}$ as a function of the velocity of the dragging edge $v_{\rm e}$ for N $_{\rm S}=100$ (2);1000 (3);10000 (+) and =0.5. All the data (2;3;+) collapse on the mean eld solution (dashed line). Inset shows the graphical solution (circled) of the self-consistency equation for $v_{\rm f}$; dashed line represents $v_{\rm f}=v_{\rm f}$.

We thus have a sharp transition (Fig. 1 (c)) from a region where the interface is stuck to the edge to one where it moves with a constant velocity. How is this result altered by including spatial uctuations of Y? This question is best answered by mapping the interface problem to a 1-d cellular autom aton [3, 12].

The interface coordinate Y (x;t) in a square lattice is represented [12, 15] by the set of integers fy_ig ; 1 < i < N $_{p}$ denoting positions of N $_{p}$ hard-core (y_{i+1} $y_i + 1$ particles in a 1-d lattice of N s sites. The particle density = N_p=N_s determ ines the mean slope of the interface $tan_{f} = 1 = and the motion of the interface by corner$ ips corresponds to the hopping of particles with right and left jump probabilities p and q (p + q = 1). Trial m oves are attempted sequentially on randomly chosen particles[12] and N $_{\rm p}\,$ attempted hops constitute a single tim e step. In our case, p and q are position dependent such that, $i(v_e t) = p q = sign(y_i i=$ vzt) w 並h = 1. The bias i (ve t) is appropriate for a step function (= 0) pro le with the slope of the pro le edge equal to the average slope of the interface. We track the instantaneous particle velocity v_f (t) de ned as the number of particles moving right per unit time, the average position < y(t) >= N $_p$ 1 $_{i=1,N_p}$ yi(t), the width 2 (t) = N $_p$ 1 $_{i=1,N_p}$ < (yi(t) < yi(t) >) 2 > and the local slope of the interface given by the local density of particles. Angular brackets denotes an average over the realizations of the random noise. The usual particle hole symmetry for an exclusion process[3, 12, 15] is violated since exchanging particles and holes alters the relative position of the interface compared to the edge.

We perform numerical simulations[12] of the above model for N $_{\rm S}$ upto 10^4 to obtain $v_{\rm f}$ for the steady state interface as a function of $v_{\rm e}$ as shown in Fig.1(c). A sharp dynamical transition from an initially stuck interface with $v_{\rm f}=v_{\rm e}$ to a free, detached interface with $v_{\rm f}=v_{\rm l}=(1)$ is clearly evident as predicted by mean eld theory. The detached interface coarsens with KPZ exponents[11]. Note that, even though the mean eld solution for ψ ($v_{\rm e}$) neglects the uctuations present in our simulation, it is exact. The detailed nature of the stuck phase ($v_{\rm f}=v_{\rm e}$ and bounded) is, on the other hand, considerably more complicated than the mean eld assumption Y (x;t) = Y (t).

The ground state of the interface in the presence of a stationary ($v_e = 0$) eld pro le is obtained by m inimizing $E = 1 = N_p$ $(y_i i = c)^2$ with respect to the set fyig and the constant, c, subject to the constraint that yi are integers. The form of E leads to an additional non-local, repulsive, interaction between particles. The minimized energy may be calculated exactly, E (= m = n) = $\frac{1}{6} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{m} \right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{m} \right) + \frac{1}{4m} - \frac{1}{4m^2}$ for m even and $\frac{1}{12}(1 - \frac{1}{m^2})$ for modd, where the density = m = n, is a rational fraction. The energy satis es the bounds $E(1=n) = 0 < E(m=n) < \lim_{m \in A} E(m=n) = 1=12$ where the upper bound is for an irrational density. For an < 1 the system (fyig) prefers to distort, arbitrary 0 < conforming within local regions, to the nearest low-lying rational slope 1=~ interspersed with an ordered array of \discom m ensurations" of density d = j~jwhich appear as long wavelength ripples (see Fig. 3 in set (c)). The ~ as a function of shows a \devil's staircase" structure (com plete for v_e ! 0) with a multifractal [13] m easure. We observe this in our simulation by analyzing the instantaneous distribution of the local density of particles to obtain weights for various simple rational fractions upto generation g = 9 in the Farey tree of rationals [14]. A time average of the density corresponding to the fraction with largest weight at any t, then give us the most probable density ~ | distinct from the average which is constrained to be the inverse slope of the pro le. For small ve the interface is more or less locked in to a single ~, shown as white regions in the phase diagram (Fig. 2) in the ve plane.

For low velocities and density where correlation e ects




FIG. 2: The dynam icalphase diagram in v_e and plane. The numbers on the axis mark the fractions \sim , which determines the orientation of the lock—in phase. The three regions white, black and grey correspond to the rippled, the disordered and the detached phases respectively.

due to the hard core constraint are negligible, the dynam ics of the interface may be obtained exactly [11]. Under these circum stances the N p particle probability distribution for the y_1 's, P $(y_1; y_2;$ N; Y factorizes into single particle term s P (yi). K now ing the time development of P (yi) and the ground state structure the motion of the interface at subsequent times may be trivially computed as a sum of single particle motions. A single particle (with say index i) moves with the bias i (vet) which, in general, may change sign at $y < i = + v_e t < y + 1$. Solving the appropriate set of master equations[11] we obtain, for $v_e << 1$ the rather obvious steady state solution P $(y_i) = 1=2 (y_i, y_i + y_i, y_i)$ and the particle oscillates between y and y+1. Subsequently, when $i = + v_e t + y + 1$, the particle jum ps to the next position and P (yi) relaxes exponentially with a time constant = 1 to it's new value with y ! y + 1. In general, for rational = m = n, the motion of the interface is composed of the independent motions of mparticles each separated by a time lag of $I_{\rm L} = 1 = m \, v_{\rm e}$. The result of the analytic calculation for small ve and has been compared to those from simulations in Fig. 3 for = 1=5 and 2=5. For a general irrational < 1=2, m ! 1 consequently, $_{\rm L}$! 0. The yi's are distributed uniformly around the mean implying 2 = 1=3 independent of system size and time. For $> 1=2 \text{ the w idth}^{2} = (1)^{2}$)=3 since the number of m obile particles decreases by a factor of (1

The forward motion of an irrational interface is accompanied by the motion of discommensurations along the interface with velocity $v_{\rm e}$ which constitutes a kinematic wave [3, 15] parallel to the interface. As the velocity $v_{\rm e}$ is increased the system and it increasingly discult to maintain its ground state structure and for $_{\rm L}$ the instantaneous value of \sim begins to make excursions to other




FIG. 3: Variation of < y(t) > with t for $v_e=0.025$ and p=1.0. Lines denote analytic results while points denote M onte Carlo data for = 1=5 (upperm ost curve), 2=5 and an incommensurate near 1=3. Inset (a)-(c) shows the corresponding ground state interfaces (y_i i=). The arrows in (c) mark the positions of two discommensurations.

nearby low-lying fractions and eventually becomes free. Steps corresponding to $\sim = m = n$ dissappear (i.e. $\sim !$) sequentially in order of decreasing m and the interface loses the ripples. The interface is disordered though and continue to be zero (black region in Fig. 2). The locus of the discontinuities (within an accuracy of $1=N_p$) in the \sim () curve for various velocities v_e gives the lim it of stability of the lock-in rippled phases.

W hile the stability of m ism atch dom ains [5] is decided, mainly, by competition between mechanical, long-ranged (elastic) and short-ranged (atom istic) interactions[4], dynamical ripples vanish with increasing ve through increased uctuations. We argue that it is su cient to project the entire con guration space y of the stuck interface onto the single variable . In Fig. 4 (inset) we have plotted the energy E () for the ground-state conguration with density . As is obvious from the gure, E () has a structure similar to the free energy surface of a 1-d \trap" model[16] often used to describe glassy dynamics. The distinction, of course, is the fact that the energies of the traps in this case are highly correlated. We may then describe the dynamics of the stuck interface as the Langevin dynamics [9] of a single particle with coordinate ⁰ di using on a energy surface given by, (0 $F(^{0}) = E(^{0}) +$)²; kicked by a Gaussian white noise of strength T. The second term, containing the m odulus , ensures that 0 ! fort! 1 . At interm ediate times, however, the system may get trapped indefin itely in some nearby low-lying minimum with $^{0} = ~$ if T $(/ v_a^2)$ by sym m etry) is not large enough. As T increases, the time spent in jumping between minimamay exceed the residence time in the minimum resulting in a




FIG. 4: A surface plot of ~ vs $\,$ and p $\overline{T}\,$ showing steps for the fractions 1=4;2=7 and 1=3. Note that the step corresponding to 2=7 vanishes at p $\overline{T}\,$ > 0.5. Inset (top) shows a plot of E () for a N $_{\rm S}\,=\,420$ site system .

noise induced C-I transition (Fig. 4) from ~.

In this Letter, we have studied the static and dynam ic properties of an Ising interface in 2-d subject to a nonuniform, time-dependent external magnetic eld. The system has a rich dynamical phase diagram with innitely many steady states. The nature of these steady states and their detailed dynamics depend on the orientation of the interface and the velocity of the external eld pro le. How are our results expected to change for real driven solid interfaces? Firstly, real eld proles would have a nite width > 0. However, as long as is comparable to atomic dimensions we nd no appreciable change in the results. Secondly, elastic interaction between \particles" or steps in the interface [6] m ay sm oothen the devil's staircase though we expect that for typical solids this will be minimal. Thirdly, the structure of the underlying lattice may in uence the stability of particular orientations. Finally, exciting new physics may come into play as new modes e.g. point and line defects[17], as well as phonon degrees of freedom (leading to acoustic em m issions[6]) are accessed as ve increases. A cknow ledgem ent: The authors thank M . Barm a, J. K. Bhattacharya, J. Krug, S. N. Majum dar, A. Mookerree and M. Rao for useful discussions; A. C. thanks C.S.I.R., Govt. of India for a fellow ship.

[1] R.Kemet.al., Current Topics in Materials Science, Vol. 3.E.Kaldia, Eds. (North-Holland, 1997); D.Kandeland

- E.Kaxiras, cond-mat/9901177.
- [2] D. Zhao et. al., Phys. Rev. B 62, 11316, (2000).
- [3] M K ardar, G Parisi, and Y C Zhang PhysRev Lett. 56 889 (1986); A L Barabasi and H E Stanley Fractal Concepts in Crystal Growth (Cambridge University Press, 1995); M . Barma, in Non Linear Phenomenona in Materials Science III, G . A nanthakrishna et. al., Eds. (Trans Tech Publications Ltd. Switzerland, 1995), Solid Sate Phenomena, Vol. 42-43, 1995 (pp 19-26); see also S. N. Majum dar and P. L. Krapivsky, Phys. Rev. E 63, 45101(R), (2001) for an example of a at interface in economics.
- [4] Y J. Frenkel and T. Kontorowa, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 8, 1340 (1938); S. Aubry, Physica D 7, 240 (1983); P. Bak, Rep. Prog. Phys. 45, 587 (1981); W. Selke, in Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena, Vol. 15, Eds. C. Domb and J. L. Lebowitz (Academic Press, New York, 1993); Weiren Chou and R. B. Griths, Phys. Rev. B, 34, 6219 (1986).
- [5] P. Bak et. al., Phys. Rev. B , 19, 1610 (1979); D. V. K lyachko et. al., Phys. Rev. B 60, 9026, (1999); R. Plass et. al., Nature (London) 412,875 (2001); E. D. Tober et. al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 1897 (1998).
- [6] A.P.Sutton and R.W.Ballu , Interfaces in crystalline materials (Oxford Science Pub., Oxford, 1995)
- [7] represents any scalar order parameter with h(y;t) a eld conjugate to , eg. number density and chemical potential for a growing gas -solid surface.
- [8] A L C Ferreira, S K M endiratta and E S Lage JPhys A M ath . G en . 22 L431 (1989).
- [9] P. M. Chaikin and T. C. Lubensky Principles of condensed matter physics, (Cambridge University Press, 1995).
- [10] Note that f (Y;t) in Eq. (1) generates a space and time dependent, (annealed) random, Galilean boost. Random Galilean transformations often lead to multifractal steady states; see for eg.U. Frish, Turbulence (Cambridge University Press, 1995)
- [11] A. Chaudhuri and S. Sengupta, arX iv: cond-m at/0207150 to appear in Physica A.
- [12] T M Ligget Interacting Particle Systems (New York: Springer) (1985); N Rajewsky et. al. arXiv: cond-m at/9710316v2 (1997).
- [13] Pinned charge density waves described by similar autom ata show mode-locking and multifractality, see eg.S. N.Coppersmith, Phys.Rev.A 36, 311 (1987); 38, 375 (1988).
- [14] I. N iven and H. S. Zuckerm an, An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers (W iley, New York, 1960)
- [15] S.N. Majum dar and M. Barm a Phys. Rev. B 44 5306 (1991); S.N. Majum dar and M. Barm a Physica 177A 366 (1991).
- [16] S.A lexander et. al., Rev. M od. Phys. 53, 175 (1981).
- [17] M .Rao and S.Sengupta Phys.Rev.Lett.78 2168 (1997); M .Rao and S.Sengupta, Curr.Sc.77 382 (1999).