non-Local Quantum Criticality in $Ce(Ru_1 xFe_x)_2Ge_2$ ($x=x_c=0.76$)

W.Montfrooif, M.C.Aronson, B.D.Rainford, J.A.

 $M y dosh^{3}$, A.P. $M u ran i^{4}$, P. $H a e n^{5}$, and T. Fukuhara⁶⁾

- 1) University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA
- ²⁾ University of Southam pton, Southam pton SO 17 1BJ, United Kingdom
 - 3) Leiden University, 2300 RA Leiden, the Netherlands
 - 4) Institute Laue-Langevin, F-38042, Genoble Cedex 9, France
 - 5) CRTBT-Grenoble, BP 166, F-38061, Grenoble, France
- 6) Faculty of Engineering, Toyam a Prefectural University, Toyam a 939-0398, Japan.

A bstract

We present the results of inelastic neutron scattering measurements, performed near the antiferrom agnetic quantum critical point in $Ce(Ru_{0.24}Fe_{0.76})_2Ge_2$. Both local and long range uctuations of the local moments are observed, but due to the Kondo election only the latter are critical. We propose a phenomenological expression which its the energy E, temperature T and wave vector quependences of the generalized susceptibility (q;E;T), describing the non-Fermi liquid E=T scaling found at every wave vector.

PACS numbers: 7127.+a,75.40 Gb and 75.30 Fv

The stability ofm agnetic order am ong m om ents exchange coupled to the conduction electrons in a m etalarises from the dynam ic competition of two forces: the K ondo compensation of the m om ents, and long range interm om ent coupling driven by the R K K Y interaction, both depending on the strength of the exchange interaction J. An extensive body of experimental evidence has been compiled [1, 2] which shows that magnetic order is initially stabilized by increasing J, but that ultimately magnetic order term inates at a zero temperature quantum critical point (QCP) for a critical value J_c , yielding a magnetically enhanced but paramagnetic Ferm i liquid phase for larger values of J.

Two di erent scenarios have been proposed to explain this generic phase diagram . In the rst view [3,4,5], m agnetic order at the QCP is a spin density wave (SDW) instability of the Ferm i surface, as it is preceded by m on ent compensation below a K ondo temperature T_K which is nite at J=J. As for a conventional second order phase transition occurring at nite temperature, only the long wavelength autuations of the order parameter are critical in this scenario, leading to Lorentzian energy and wave vector dependences in the vicinity of the ordering wave vector q [3,4]. In the second view [5,6,7], known as the local moment scenario, both T_K and the magnetic ordering temperature are zero at the QCP. Complete K ondo compensation of moments is thus only possible in the paramagnetic phase for $J>J_C$. Magnetic order, which occurs for $J<J_C$, consequently involves moments which are both long-lived and spatially localized. Here, the competition between K ondo screening and long range order leads to local as well as long wavelength degrees of freedom, and both can be critical near the QCP. The experimental signatures of the local moment scenario are an anomalous energy dependence for all wave vectors [7], as well as the absence of K ondo compensation at any temperature scale when $J=J_C$.

Despite the very different roles form on enticom pensation in these two theoretical scenarios, it is in practice difficult to distinguish them, since experiments are necessarily performed over a range of nite temperatures and on real materials with disorder and compositional inhomogeneities. Neutron scattering experiments have been central to this explication, although to date they have only been carried out on two systems with T=0 phase transitions, $C \in Cu_6 \times Au_x$ ($x=x_c=0.1$) [6] and $U \cap Cu_5 \times P \cap Cu_6 \times Au_x$ ($x=x_c=0.1$) [6] and $u \cap U \cap U \cap U$ in plies the presence of localized magnetic moments, while the modulation of the static susceptibility $u \cap U \cap U$ reveals the presence of residual magnetic interactions among these moments. Different arguments are presented for the two

m aterials which support the view that the local degrees of freedom and not the long range coupling control the critical behavior. D irect comparison of $_{\rm q}$ at dierent T in UCu₅ $_{\rm x}$ Pd $_{\rm x}$ (x=1,1.5) [8] shows that these interactions are almost una ected by lowered temperature, while $_{\rm q}$ measured at the largest q, representing the local susceptibility, diverges as T ! 0. Excitations at every q display anom alous energy dependences as well as E =T scaling.

A di erent depiction of quantum critical behavior is found in $C \in Cu_{5:9}Au_{0:1}$, where the commensurate roles of temperature, energy, and wave vector in tuning the dynamic susceptibility (q;E;T) to criticality are rejected in a generalized Curie-Weiss expression [6], $(q;E;T)^{-1} = q + (iaE + T)$. While the longest lived and longest ranged uctuations occur for q=q, the propagation wave vector of the parent antiferrom agnetic (AF) phase where the Weiss eld q vanishes, anomalous E and E dependences are found at every wave vector, as well as E=T scaling when q=q=0. A dynamical mean—eld model has been developed [7] which argues that the E0 phase transition in E0 calculations on the shortest length scales, providing an operational definition of local criticality.

We present here the results of a neutron scattering study on a new system, $Ce(Ru_{0.24}Fe_{0.76})_2Ge_2$, which has been doped to an AF QCP. Localized moments are also present in this system, although they experience substantial Kondo compensation. Both local and long wave length—uctuations are found at all temperatures, although only the latter are truly critical. A coordingly, we argue that $Ce(Ru_{0.24}Fe_{0.76})_2Ge_2$ is the—rst example of a quantum—critical system—in which the T=0 instability is SDW—like. non-Fermi liquid—(nF1) E=T—scaling is found at all temperatures and wave vectors, but neither the generalized Curie-W—eiss expression [6] nor the Lorentzians of the mean—eld SDW—model[4] describe our data. We propose instead a new phenomenological expression for—(q; E; E) which is only critical on the longest length scales.

The phase diagram obtained from both pressurization [10] and Fe doping studies of $CeRu_2Ge_2$ (Fig. 1a) fulls the basic requirement for the SDW scenario, displaying an AFQCP accompanied by a nite Kondo temperature. $CeRu_2Ge_2$ is a ferromagnet (FM) [11, 12, 13] with a Curie temperature of 8 K. Under pressure, ferromagnetism is supplanted by two AF phases, which in turn vanish at a critical pressure of 67 kbar. Substantial moment compensation is observed at the critical pressure, where T_K is estimated to be 15 K. Further increase of the pressure stabilizes a Fermiliquid phase over an expanding range of

tem peratures. Inelastic neutron scattering experiments cannot be performed at these high pressures, so it is signicant that a similar sequence of phases is observed in the doping series $Ce(Ru_{1 \times}Fe_{x})_{2}Ge_{2}$ (see Fig. 1a) and for $CeRu_{2}(Si_{1 \times}Ge_{x})_{2}$ [10]. The FM phase boundary is only qualitatively reproduced by the resistivity data [12], but the AF phase boundary, taken from our ac and dc susceptibility measurements reproduces the pressure results. The AF QCP occurs for a critical Fe concentration $x_{c}=0.76$ 0.05 [14].

We prepared a 30 g polycrystalline sample of $Ce(Ru_{0.24}Fe_{0.76})_2Ge_2$ for neutron scattering experiments by arc-melting, followed by a two week anneal at $1000\,^{\circ}C$. Microprobe measurements verified that the intended composition varies by only a few percent across a representative piece cut from the neutron scattering sample. Isolated regions of an impurity phase, $CeGe_2$, were also found, occupying no more than 2% of the sample volume. Neutron direction experiments found AF correlations below 5 K, although no bulk phase transition was observed down to 1.7 K [15]. We conclude that our sample is very close to the $x_c=0.76$ QCP.

Neutron scattering experiments were carried out at the IN 6 time-of- ight spectrometer at the Institute Laue-Langevin, using an incident neutron wavelength of 5.12~A. The data were corrected for self-attenuation and neutron absorption, and the magnetic components were separated from the non-magnetic components (Bragg peaks, phonon and multiphonon contributions, and nuclear incoherent scattering) using a non-magnetic reference sample, LaRu₂Ge₂. Fig. 1b shows the absolutely normalized dynamic structure factor S (q;E) obtained from this process for T = 7.5~K.

The strong scattering found at all q in F ig. 1b attests to the intrinsically localized character of the uctuating m oments in $Ce(Ru_{0.24}Fe_{0.76})_2Ge_2$. A quantitative measure of this localized m oment is obtained by direct integration of S(q;E;T) over the energies accessed in this experiment, yielding a uctuating m oment of 0.8_B at 20~K. Since this is a substantial fraction of the 1.74_B expected for the crystal eld split groundstate of the Ce^{3+} ions[13], we conclude that these m oments are long lived on the time scales of our experiment, with a substantial degree of spatial localization at all T.

The scattering is strongly enhanced at the smallest q, revealing the presence of long-ranged interactions among these moments at low temperature. In order to analyze these interac-

tions, we extract $\ _{\text{q}}$ using the K ram ers-K ronig relation:

$$q = \frac{Z_{1}}{E} = \frac{(q; E) dE}{E} = \frac{Z_{1}}{E} = \frac{S(q; E) (1 - e^{E = k_B T}) dE}{E};$$
 (1)

where k_B is Boltzmann's constant. We can expand the range of energies available from the neutron scattering experiment, and improve the accuracy of the Kramers-Kronig transformation by rst tting the measured $^{\circ}$ (q;E) to a modiled Lorentzian line shape, described below. The static susceptibility $_q$ deduced from this analysis is plotted at several temperatures in Fig. 1c, revealing two striking features, also evident in the corrected S (q;E) data shown in Fig. 1b. First, for all T and q > 1A 1 , $_q$ is virtually independent of q. As we will show below, the line shape as a function of E is independent of q in this q-range. Both observations indicate that this q-independent susceptibility describes the local response of spatially localized magnetic moments to uctuating magnetic elds. $_q$ also shows a pronounced enhancement at small q, which increases with decreasing T. We attribute this to the growth of critical correlations associated with incipient AF-order, at the small and incommensurate propagation vector $0.2 0.1 A^{-1}$ in plied by the onset of AF-order at satellite positions (q= 1.56, 1.74 A $^{-1}$) around the (101) Braggine ection (q= 1.64A $^{-1}$), found in our neutron diagraph and in action experiments [15].

q shows clear signs of m one entroom pensation by the K ondo elect at the lowest T. The temperature dependences of $_{\rm q}$ (T) for representative q-values are plotted in Fig. 2a, including the uniform (q= 0) susceptibility $_{\rm o}$ (T), obtained from a dc susceptibility measurement[16] on a 35 mg piece taken from the neutron scattering sample. We not that $_{\rm o}$ (T) increases with decreasing temperature, displaying the hallmark power law behavior for T < 20 K found in many nFl systems: $_{\rm o}$ (T) = C $_{\rm o}$ =(T + $_{\rm W}$) . Here, the W eiss-temperature $_{\rm W}$ = 0.9 0.2 K, C $_{\rm o}$ =0.87 0.03 $_{\rm B}^2$ /m eV $_{\rm o}$ and = 0.51 0.01. Since $_{\rm W}$ is non zero, we see that $_{\rm o}$ (T) does not truly diverge as T! 0. The absence of divergence is even more evident in the local susceptibility which is found by integrating $_{\rm q}$ over the experimental q-range, $_{\rm loc}$ (T) = $_{\rm o}^{\rm q_{max}}$ $_{\rm q}$ (T)dq=(4=3 $_{\rm d_{max}}^2$). $_{\rm loc}$, which is indistinguishable from $_{\rm q}$ for q > 1A $_{\rm o}$, saturates for T < 5 K (see Fig 2a). Further evidence[17] for partial K ondo compensation comes from the 25% reduction in scattered intensity as the temperature is lowered from 20 K to 1.8 K (see Fig. 2a), despite a simultaneous narrowing of the energy line width. The dynam ic response in Ce(Ru_{0.24}Fe_{0.76})₂Ge $_{\rm q}$ is dram atically different from those found in uctuating moment systems far from quantum critical points. Like previous neutron scat-

tering studies on K ondo lattice systems [13, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22], the dynam ic response in $Ce(Ru_{0.24}Fe_{0.76})_2Ge_2$ is broad and quasi-elastic. However, Fig. 2b shows that the Lorentzian line shape [13, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22] common to K ondo lattices agrees very poorly with our measured (q;E), both for a small wave number, $0.35A^{-1}$ where the moments are interacting, and at a large wave number $1.15A^{-1}$ where the response is purely local. We not instead that at all E, for all T from 1.9K-200K, and for the complete q-range probed in our experiment that our data can be satisfactorily described by a simple phenomenological expression: $(q;E) = \frac{1}{q}(T) = 1$ if $E = \frac{1}{q}(T)$.

The observed line shape (q,E) is controlled by an energy scale $_{q}(T)$, and by a dynam ical exponent = 0.15 0.05. The temperature dependence of $_{q}(T)$ which we extract from these its is plotted for two values of q in Fig. 2c. For $q=1.15\,\mathrm{A}^{-1}$, (q) has the familiar T-dependence of a K ondo in purity system, initially decreasing with T before saturating and increasing weakly below T_{K} , which we identify as $5\,\mathrm{K}$. Dynam ics on this local length scale are consequently not critical. In contrast, q determined for $q=0.35\,\mathrm{A}^{-1}$ approaches zero with decreasing T, as expected for critical slowing-down associated with the T=0 phase transition. With the exception of the lowest T at the largest q, q is approximately linear in T, $q=q+a_qT$. The q-dependence of q is shown in Fig. 2d, demonstrating that dynamic criticality, i.e. q! 0, can only be achieved if q! 0. For $Ce(Ru_{0.24}Fe_{0.76})_2Ge_2$ this occurs as q approaches the propagation wave vector of incipient AF order, 0.2 0.1 A^{-1} . We conclude that at all temperatures (q;E;T) is dominated at short length scales by the excitations of individual K ondo m oments, while the long-wave length uctuations become increasingly long-lived and ultimately critical as T! 0.

A random phase approximation (RPA) analysis of $_{\rm q}(T)$ shows that the singular behavior found near the QCP in Ce(Ru_{0.24}Fe_{0.76})₂Ge₂ requires the collaboration of both local and long-range dynamical correlations. The long-range correlations are graphically demonstrated in Fig. 3a, where we have plotted $_{\rm loc}(T)/_{\rm q}(T)$ at several temperatures. An increasing suppression of $_{\rm loc}/_{\rm q}$ is observed at small q as the temperature is lowered, signalling the growth of long range AF coupling. An estimate of this coupling, U_q(T) can be obtained in the RPA approximation by noting that $_{\rm loc}(T)=_{\rm q}(T)=1$ U_q(T) $_{\rm loc}(T)$. U_q deduced from this analysis at 4.4 K is plotted in the inset of Fig. 3a, demonstrating that the interactions are long ranged, rapidly vanishing for wave vectors larger than 0.6 A 1 . As shown in Fig. 3b, the temperature dependences of U_q are very different for large and

smallq. U (q= 0.55A 1) is almost temperature independent, while U (q= 0.275A 1) increases almost a factor of four between 20 K and 1.5 K. In contrast, $_{loc}$ (T) initially increases with decreasing temperature, but ultimately saturates below 5 K. This RPA analysis reveals that local uctuations initially provide a bias towards criticality in $Ce(Ru_{0.24}Fe_{0.76})_2Ge_2$, but ultimately it is the intermoment coupling $U_q(T)$ which actually drives criticality. A similar conclusion was reached for U_2Zn_{17} [21], a heavy fermion antiferromagnet with a Neel temperature $T_N = 9.7$ K. However, neither a departure from Lorentzian line shape, nor any other nF1e ects were observed in this system, which is not at a QCP.

The modi ed Lorentzian introduced above and the observed T-linearity of $_{\rm q}$ (T) implies that our data should also display nF1E=(T+ $_{\rm q}$) scaling. To con muthis, we have plotted $^{\rm op}$ (q;E)(T+ $_{\rm w}$) $^{0.51}$ for q= 0.35, 0.55, 1.35 and 1.75A $^{\rm 1}$ as functions of E/ $k_{\rm B}$ (T+ $_{\rm q}$) in Fig. 4. An excellent collapse of the data taken at dierent temperatures is observed at each q, spanning three orders of magnitude in the scaling variable E/ $k_{\rm B}$ (T+ $_{\rm q}$). The exponent 0.51 is taken from the temperature dependence of $_{\rm 0}$ (T), as was also found in the locally critical system s UCu₄Pd[8, 9] and CeCu_{5:9}Au_{0:1}[6]. Unlike those system s, we note in Ce(Ru_{0.24}Fe_{0:76})₂Ge₂ that the dynamical scaling function itself requires a second exponent = 0.15.

Our neutron scattering measurements have established that there are localmoments present in $Ce(Ru_{0.24}Fe_{0.76})_2Ge_2$ which experience increasing AF coupling as T:0.A lithough uctuations of these moments are observed on every length scale, q only diverges at the residual ordering wave vector of the nearby AF phase. Correspondingly, we observe a substantial K ondo suppression of the local uctuations below 20 K.T he dominance of the long wave length correlations at the lowest temperatures, and the nite K ondo temperature at the QCP together imply that the T=0 antiferrom agnetic transition in $Ce(Ru_{0.24}Fe_{0.76})_2Ge_2$ is a collective instability of the strongly interacting quasiparticles, and is not locally critical. The mean eld view of such a phase transition requires a single diverging length scale with an accompanying diverging time scale, leading to Lorentzian lineshapes for in energy and wave vector. We not instead that the susceptibility (q;E;T) is well described by a modied Lorentzian expression, encompassing the E=T scaling which we observe at every wave vector.

We acknowledge stimulating discussions with P.Coleman, A.J.Millis, and Q.M.Si. MCA thanks T.Gortenmulder and R.Hendrikx for invaluable technical assistance, and acknowledges the hospitality of the MSM group at Leiden during the early stages of this project. We thank I.P. Swainson for carrying out the neutron di raction measurements. Work at the University of Michigan was supported by NSF-DMR-997300.

- [1] G.R. Stewart, Rev. of Mod. Physics 73, 797 (2001).
- [2] S. Sachdev, Quantum Phase Transitions (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1999).
- [3] JA.Hertz, Phys. Rev. B 14, 1165 (1976).
- [4] A J.M illis, Phys. Rev. B 48, 7183 (1993); private communication.
- [5] P.Coleman, Physica B 259-261, 353 (1999).
- [6] A. Schroder et al., Nature 407, 351 (2000).
- [7] Q im iao Siet al., Nature 413, 804 (2001).
- [8] M.C. Aronson et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 725 (1995).
- [9] M.C. Aronson et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 197205 (2001).
- [10] S. Sullow et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 2963 (1999).
- [11] H. Rietschel et al., J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 76-77, 105 (1988); A. Boehm et al., J. Magn.
 Magn. Mater. 76-77, 150 (1988)
- [12] M B. Fontes et al., Phys. Rev. B 53, 11678 (1996).
- [13] B.D.Rainford and S.J.Dakin, Phil.Mag. B 65, 1357 (1992).
- [14] This value is below the estimate of Fontes et al.[12], however, their results are not inconsistent with $x_c = 0.76$.
- [15] W .M ontfrooijet al., unpublished.
- [16] o in $Ce(Ru_{0.24}Fe_{0.76})_2Ge_2$ has substantial anisotropy, re-ecting preferred orientation and/or Ising-like behavior. However, we only use the T-dependence of o in our analysis, not its absolute value.
- [17] N.E.Bickers, D.L.Fox and J.W. Wilkins, Phys. Rev. B 36, 2036 (1987).
- [18] M. Loewenhaupt et al., Journal de Physique 40, C4-142 (1979).
- [19] G. Aeppli, E. Bucher and G. Shirane, Phys. Rev. B 32, 7579 (1985).
- [20] A J. Goldman et al., Phys. Rev. B 33, 1627 (1986).
- [21] C.Broholm et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 58, 917 (1987).

[22] U. Walter, M. Loewenhaupt, E. Holland-Moritz and W. Schlabitz, Phys. Rev. B 36, 1981 (1987).

FIG. 1: (a): The magnetic phase diagram for CeRu₂Ge₂ as functions of pressure [10] (dashed lines) and Fe doping (: FM phase boundary [12], ?: AF phase boundary, present work). Filled circles represent the pressure dependent K ondo temperature, which is nite at the QCP [10]. The solid lines are guides to the eye. The electrical resistivity is quadratic in temperature [10] in the shaded part of the phase diagram (FL). (b): The fully corrected S (q;E) for Ce(Ru_{0.24}Fe₀:76)₂Ge₂ as a function of neutron momentum transfer ~q and energy transfer E, for T = 7.5 K. (c): The static susceptibility $_{\rm q}$ for T = 2.9 K (), 7.5 K (?) and 15.2 K (4). Note the incipient AF order around the (101) Bragg peak ($_{\rm q}$ =1.64 A $_{\rm m}$) at 2.9 K.

FIG. 3: (a): $_{loc}(T)/_{q}(T)$ as a function of q for T=1.9~K (), 4.4~K (4), 7.5~K (), and 15.2~K (?). A sexplained in the text, this quantity is directly related to the interaction U_{q} , which is plotted in the inset for T=4.4~K. (b): While the temperature divergence of $_{loc}$ (?) is cut obelow 5~K by the K ondo elect, U (q=0.275A 1) () increases monotonically to the lowest T. For q=0.55A 1 (), U_{q} is T-independent.

FIG. 4: Scaling of the dynam ic response for various q-values. The neutron scattering data "(q;E) have been multiplied by (T + $_{\rm W}$) (= 0.51, see text), and displayed versus the reduced variable E = (T + $_{\rm q}$), with $_{\rm q}$ as in Fig. 2d. Note that the various q-values are o set by half a decade along the vertical axis. The temperatures range from 1.9 K (darkest symbols) to 200 K (lightest symbols), and there is substantial overlap in E = (T + $_{\rm q}$) among the 11 temperatures displayed in this gure. Every scaling curve represents about 3000 independent data points.

FIG. 2: (a): $_{\rm q}$ (T) for q= 0.35A 1 (), for q= 0.45A 1 (4) and integrated over all q, $_{\rm loc}$ (T) (?). The solid line is the q = 0, dc susceptibility $_{0}$. Also shown (, in $_{\rm B}^{2}$) is the average of S $_{\rm q}$ (T) over $1 < {\rm q} < 1.8$ A 1 , demonstrating the onset of K ondo-screening at T $_{\rm B}$ 0 K. (b): "(q;E)=E for q= 0.35 A 1 () and q= 1.15A 1 () at T = 4.4 K. The data at q= 1.15A 1 have been divided by 2 for the sake of plotting clarity. The solid lines are the best to the modi ed Lorentzian line shape described in the text, with = 0.15. The dashed curve is the best t Lorentzian line shape (= 1). (c): The energy linew idth $_{\rm q}$ of "(q;E)=E for q= 0.35 A 1 () and q= 1.15A 1 (). (d): q-dependence of the residual linew idth $_{\rm q}$, explained in the text. Also shown is $_{\rm W}$ at q= 0.







