A simple method to determine parameters of embryos distribution in homogeneous nucleation under dynamic conditions

Victor Kurasov

Victor.Kurasov@pobox.spbu.ru

The result of construction of nucleation kinetics in dynamic conditions [1] can be accumulated in the following: To construct kinetics it is necessary to solve the balance equation

$$\Phi = \zeta + g \quad , \qquad g(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{z} dx (z - x)^{3} f(x) \quad . \tag{1}$$

The value of the ideal supersaturation Φ can be presented in the form

$$\Phi = \Phi_* + \Phi_*(cx + lx^2)/\Gamma \tag{2}$$

with two parameters

$$c = \frac{\Gamma}{\Phi_*} \frac{d\Phi}{dx} \mid_{x=0} , \quad l = \frac{\Gamma}{2\Phi_*} \frac{d^2\Phi}{dx^2} \mid_{x=0} \le 0 .$$
(3)

The approximation

$$f(x) = f_s \exp(\Gamma \frac{\zeta(x) - \Phi_*}{\Phi_*}) \quad , \qquad f_s = f_s(\zeta) \mid_{\zeta = \Phi_*} \tag{4}$$

is valid during nucleation period. Index * corresponds to the maximum of supersaturation.

Analyze the behavior of g(z). Extract three regions. Let $l \sim 0$. Extract the period of the appearing of the main consumers of the vapor during the formation of the main quantity of droplets. The behavior of g in the first approximation can be seen from

$$g(z) \sim \exp(z) \int_0^\infty y^3 \exp(-y) dy \quad . \tag{5}$$

One can see that The subintegral function in the last expression is essential only when

$$2 \sim y_{min} \le y \le y_{max} \sim 4 \quad , \qquad y = c\rho \quad . \tag{6}$$

This period corresponds to the region of the appearing of the main consumers of the vapor in the current moment. When the last equations aren't valid the subintegral function is negligible. The period corresponding to (6) plays the main role in the formation of the spectrum. In nucleation one can extract the initial region according to

$$-5 \le cz \le cz_b \quad . \tag{7}$$

The value of z_b has to be determined from

$$\frac{f(x) - f_1(x)}{f_1(x)} \ll 1 \quad , \tag{8}$$

where f is the distribution of the droplets and f_1 is the imaginary distribution obtained on the base of Φ instead of ζ . The values in this region will be marked by the index "*in*". The period of nucleation is finished when $cz \sim 1$. It starts when $cz \sim -1$. One can define the peak of nucleation according to

$$-c^{-1} < z < c^{-1} \quad . \tag{9}$$

Also one can conclude that

$$-y_{min} \le cz_b$$
, $-y_{min} + 1 \le cz_b$

Approximation of the spectrum

It is necessary to construct some approximation for f(x). Then it is necessary to get $\zeta - \zeta_*$ in the standard approximation

$$f(x) = f_* \exp(\frac{\Gamma(\zeta - \zeta_*)}{\Phi_*}) \exp(\frac{\Gamma(\zeta_* - \Phi_*)}{\Phi_*}) \quad .$$
 (10)

One can decompose $\zeta - \zeta_*$ in the neighborhood of z = 0. The expressions for the derivatives will be the following

$$\frac{d\zeta}{dz} = \frac{d\Phi}{dz} - 3\int_{-\infty}^{z} f(x)(z-x)^2 dx \quad , \tag{11}$$

$$\frac{d^2\zeta}{dz^2} = \frac{d^2\Phi}{dz^2} - 6\int_{-\infty}^z f(x)(z-x)dx \quad , \tag{12}$$

$$\frac{d^{3}\zeta}{dz^{3}} = \frac{d^{3}\Phi}{dz^{3}} - 6\int_{-\infty}^{z} f(x)dx \quad , \tag{13}$$

$$\frac{d^{n}\zeta}{dz^{n}} = \frac{d^{n}\Phi}{dz^{n}} - 6\frac{d^{n-4}f(z)}{dz^{n-4}} \quad , \qquad n \ge 4 \quad .$$
 (14)

The value d^3g/dz^3 is proportional to the total number of the droplets. According to the iteration procedure one can note that At the first step of the iterations [2] the relative error goes to ∞ . On the second step the characteristics are determined with a high accuracy. So, the account of the last two

expression doesn't lead to any essential influence. Then one can restrict by the two first terms

$$f(x) = f_* \exp\left(\Gamma \frac{d^2 \zeta}{2dz^2} \Big|_{z=0} \frac{x^2}{\Phi_*}\right) \exp\left(\frac{\Gamma(\zeta_* - \Phi_*)}{\Phi_*}\right) \quad . \tag{15}$$

This approximation is valid only near the peak of nucleation. The standard method of the steepens descent spreads this approximation on the whole period of nucleation (including the initial region). This leads to the essential relative error. At the same time any approximation at the initial region isn't necessary because the write approximation of the spectrum here is already established

$$f(x) = f_* \exp(\Gamma \frac{\Phi(x) - \Phi_*}{\Phi_*})$$
 (16)

The equations on the parameters of the spectrum

To get the equation on the parameters of the spectrum one can differentiate the balance equations at z = 0 and get

$$\Phi(0) = g_{in}(0) + g_{ex}(0) + \zeta(0) \quad , \tag{17}$$

$$\frac{d\Phi}{dz}\mid_{z=0} = \left\{\frac{dg_{in}}{dz} + \frac{dg_{ex}}{dz} + \frac{d\zeta}{dz}\right\}\mid_{z=0} , \qquad (18)$$

$$\frac{d^2\Phi}{dz^2}|_{z=0} = \left\{ \frac{d^2g_{in}}{dz^2} + \frac{d^2g_{ex}}{dz^2} + \frac{d^2\zeta}{dz^2} \right\}|_{z=0} \quad , \tag{19}$$

The indexes "*in*" and "ex" marks the parts of g corresponding to the droplets formed in the "initial" period and outside it. The relations (16) and (2) lead to

$$g_{in} = \sum_{i=0}^{3} z^{3-i} \alpha_i \quad , \quad \frac{dg_{in}}{dz} = \sum_{i=0}^{2} z^{2-i} (3-i) \alpha_i \quad , \tag{20}$$

$$\frac{d^2 g_{in}}{dz^2} = \sum_{i=0}^{1} z^{1-i} (3-i)(2-i)\alpha_i \quad .$$
(21)

In these equations α_i are defined according to

$$\alpha_i = (-1)^i \frac{3!}{i!(3-i)!} f_* \int_{-\infty}^{z_b} x^i \exp(cx + lx^2) dx \quad .$$
 (22)

To obtain the algebraic system one can substitute the integral term in the last expression by one of the Boyd estimates

$$\frac{\pi/2}{\sqrt{z^2 + \pi} + (\pi - 1)z} \le \exp(z^2) \int_z^\infty \exp(-t^2) dt \le \frac{\pi/2}{\sqrt{(\pi - 2)z^2 + \pi} + 2z} \quad (23)$$
$$z > 0$$

Analogous procedure can be applied for the values g_{ex} , $\frac{dg_{ex}}{dz}$, $\frac{d^2g_{ex}}{dz^2}$. According to (15) the expressions for

$$g_{ex}(0) \quad dg_{ex}/dz \mid_{z=0} \quad d^2 g_{ex}/dz^2 \mid_{z=0}$$

have the following form

$$g_{ex}(0) = f_m \int_{z_b}^0 \exp(-(\frac{x}{x_p})^2) x^3 dx = f_m x_p^4 \lambda_3 \quad , \tag{24}$$

$$\frac{dg_{ex}}{dz}|_{z=0} = 3f_m \int_{z_b}^0 \exp(-(\frac{x}{x_p})^2) x^2 dx = 3f_m x_p^3 \lambda_2 \quad , \tag{25}$$

$$\frac{d^2 g_{ex}}{dz^2} |_{z=0} = 6f_m \int_{z_b}^0 \exp(-(\frac{x}{x_p})^2) x dx = 6f_m x_p^2 \lambda_1 \quad , \tag{26}$$

where

$$\lambda_i = \int_{\xi_0}^0 \xi^i \exp(-\xi^2) d\xi \quad , \quad \xi_0 = \frac{z_b}{x_p}$$
(27)

and x_p is some parameter like the characteristic half-width.

As the result one can see the system of the three algebraic equations which can be solved by the ordinary numerical methods.

Linear source

In the case l = 0 the final expressions become more simple. For z_b one can take here the value $-x_p$. Then taking into account $\Gamma \gg 1$, one can obtain

$$\alpha_i = f_* \frac{3!(-1)^i}{i!(3-i)!} c^{-i-1} \sum_{j=0}^i \frac{i!}{(i-j)!} (-1)^j (-cx_p)^{i-j} \exp(-cx_p) \quad .$$
(28)

This induces the following expressions

$$g_{in}(0) = \alpha_3 = -f_* c^{-4} \sum_{j=0}^3 \frac{3!}{(3-j)!} (-1)^j (-cx_p)^{3-j} \exp(-cx_p) \quad , \tag{29}$$

$$\frac{dg_{in}}{dz}|_{z=0} = \alpha_2 = f_* 3c^{-3} \sum_{j=0}^2 (-1)^j \frac{2!}{(2-i)!} (-cx_p)^{2-j} \exp(-cx_p) \quad , \qquad (30)$$

$$\frac{d^2 g_{in}}{dz^2} |_{z=0} = 2\alpha_1 = -f_* 6c^{-2} \sum_{j=0}^1 (-1)^j (-cx_p)^{1-j} \exp(-cx_p) \quad . \tag{31}$$

The values of λ_i become the universal constants

$$\lambda_i = \int_{-1}^0 \exp(-x^2) x^i dx \quad . \tag{32}$$

Introduction of the connection between $\frac{d^2 \zeta}{dz^2}$ and x_p

$$\left|\frac{d^{2}\zeta}{dz^{2}}\right| = \frac{2\zeta_{*}}{\Gamma x_{p}^{2}} \approx \frac{2\Phi_{*}}{\Gamma x_{p}^{2}}$$
(33)

leads to the transformation from (28) - (31) to the following form

$$\Phi_* = \zeta_* - f_* c^{-4} \sum_{j=0}^3 \frac{3!}{(3-j)!} (-1)^j (-cx_p)^{3-j} \exp(-cx_p) + f_m x_p^4 \lambda_3 \quad , \qquad (34)$$

$$\frac{\Phi_*c}{\Gamma} = f_*c^{-3}3\sum_{j=0}^2 \frac{2!}{(2-j)!}(-1)^j(-cx_p)^{2-j}\exp(-cx_p) + 3f_m x_p^3\lambda_2 \quad , \qquad (35)$$

$$0 = -f_* c^{-2} 6 \sum_{j=0}^{1} (-1)^j (-cx_p)^{1-j} \exp(-cx_p) + 6f_m x_p^2 \lambda_1 - \frac{2\Phi_*}{\Gamma x_p^2} \quad .$$
(36)

Then

$$f_* = \frac{\Phi_* c}{\Gamma} [c^{-3} 3 \sum_{j=0}^2 \frac{2!}{(2-j)!} (-1)^j (-cx_p)^{2-j} \exp(-cx_p) + 3\Psi x_p^3 \lambda_2]^{-1} \quad , \quad (37)$$

where

$$\Psi = \frac{f_m}{f_*}$$

and (36) transforms to

$$-\frac{\Phi_*c\{c^{-2}6\sum_{j=0}^1(-1)^j(-cx_p)^{1-j}\exp(-cx_p)+6x_p^2\lambda_1\Psi\}}{\Gamma\{c^{-3}3\sum_{j=0}^2\frac{2!}{(2-j)!}(-1)^j(-cx_p)^{2-j}\exp(-cx_p)+3\Psi x_p^3\lambda_2\}}=\frac{2\Phi_*}{\Gamma x_p^2} \quad .$$
(38)

The system (34)-(36) allows some modifications which are available for the nonlinear case also. Note that the subintegral function f(x)x in the expression for d^2g/dz^2 is essential only in the finite region of nucleation. The approximation (15) also leads to the same conclusion. Then the approximation (15) can be formally spread to the whole nucleation period. Then the equation (36) leads to the following expression for x_p

$$x_p = \left(\frac{2\Phi_*}{3\Gamma f_m}\right)^{1/4} \ . \tag{39}$$

In the nonlinear case the equation (36) has the following form

$$\frac{d^2\Phi}{dz^2} = 3x_p^2 f_m - 2\frac{\Phi_*}{\Gamma x_p^2} \quad . \tag{40}$$

This bisquare equation gives the x_p dependence on f_m . Then the second equation of the system (34) - (36) becomes the closed equation and can be solved by iterations. These iterations can take into account the sharp dependence of $\exp(-F_c)$ on the supersaturation. The zero approximation can be chosen as $\Gamma = 1, \Phi_* = 1$. Then the second approximation gives a rather precise result for all parameters of the spectrum. Certainly, the amplitude f_m must be obtained

by the next (hidden) iteration instead of the explicit expression in the classical theory of nucleation.

The system of condensation equations can be even more simplified if one notices that the approximation (16) can be spread over the whole nucleation in the first and in the second equations of the system. Finally, the system of condensation equations has the following form

$$\frac{d\Phi}{dz}|_{z=0} = 3f_* \int_{-\infty}^0 x^2 \exp(cx + lx^2) dx \quad , \tag{41}$$

$$\frac{d^2\Phi}{dz^2} = 6\hat{\lambda}_1 x_p^2 f_m - 2\frac{\Phi_*}{\Gamma x_p^2} \quad , \qquad \hat{\lambda}_1 = \int_{-\infty}^0 y \exp(-y^2) dy = 0.5 \quad . \tag{42}$$

One must take into account the difference between f_m and f_* , which is given by the first equation of the system where

$$\frac{f_*}{f_m} = \exp(-\frac{\Gamma d\Phi}{3\Phi_* dz} \mid_{z=0} \int_{-\infty}^0 x^3 \exp(cx + lx^2) dx / \int_{-\infty}^0 x^2 \exp(cx + lx^2) dx) \quad .$$
(43)

It is essential that the equations (41) and (42) are the separate ones. The equation (41) can be solved by iterations. The total number of the droplets can be obtained as

$$N = f_m \sqrt{\pi} x_p \quad . \tag{44}$$

One can prove that the relative error of the last expression δ increases when l decreases in the absolute value

$$\frac{d \mid \delta \mid}{dl} > 0 \quad . \tag{45}$$

In the linear case

$$g(0) = \frac{\Phi_*}{\Gamma}$$
, $x_p = c^{-1} (4 \exp(1))^{1/4}$, (46)

$$N = \frac{\Phi_* c^3 (4 \exp(1))^{1/4} \sqrt{\pi}}{6\Gamma \exp(1)} \quad . \tag{47}$$

Then N is 1.19 times greater than the result of the iteration procedure at the second step and 1.03 times greater than the result at the third step. It can be regarded as the practically precise result. All the estimates obtained for l = 0 remain valid in the non linear case.

References

- [1] Kurasov V.B. Deponed in VINITI 5147-B from 1.06.1989, 50 p.
- [2] Kurasov V.B., Phys. Rev. E., vol. 49 p.3948 (1994)