## A bsence of Translational Sym m etry B reaking in N onm agnetic Insulator P hase on Two-D im ensional Lattice w ith G eom etrical Frustration

ShinjiW atanabe and M asatoshi Im ada

Institute for Solid State Physics, University of Tokyo, 5-1-5, Kashiwanoha, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8581

(Received March 22, 2024)

The ground-state properties of the two-dim ensional H ubbard m odel with nearest-neighbor and next-nearest-neighbor hoppings at half lling are studied by the path-integral-renorm alizationgroup m ethod. The nonm agnetic-insulator phase sandwiched by the the param agnetic-m etal phase and the antiferrom agnetic-insulator phase shows evidence against translational sym m etry breaking of the dim erized state, plaquette singlet state, staggered ux state, and charge ordered state. These results support that the genuine M ott insulator which cannot be adiabatically continued to the band insulator is realized generically by U m klapp scattering through the e ects of geom etrical fustration and quantum uctuation in the two-dim ensional system .

KEYW ORDS: nonm agnetic insulator, geom etrical frustration, M ott transition, two-dim ensional H ubbard m odel, path integral renorm alization group m ethod (PRG)

The M ott transition between the m etallic and insulating states has been a subject of general interest in condensed matter physics.<sup>1)</sup> Many intensive studies have been done to clarify the nature of the M ott transition by employing analytical and num erical methods.<sup>2)</sup> The present understanding on the M ott transition is that alm ost all the M ott insulators have translational sym m etry breakings such as AF ordering and dimerization in the ground state with only a few exceptions.2) When the translational symmetry is broken, the Brillouin zone is folded so that the even number of electrons is contained in the unit cell.<sup>3)</sup> These cases may be adiabatically continued to the band insulator by the band-gap form ation, and may not belong to the class of the genuine M ott insulator which has the odd number of electrons in the unit cell.

For theoretical models, an exception of the Mott insulator without Brillouin zone folding is found in the ground state of the one-dim ensional Hubbard model at half lling, where neither symmetry breaking nor spin excitation gap exists.<sup>4)</sup> The absence of sym m etry breaking in the ground state in plies that a highly quantum m echanical state is realized. Then, the follow ing question arises: Does the Mott insulator without translational symmetry breaking exist except in one-dimensional system s? This has been a long-standing problem since Anderson's proposal in 70's.<sup>5)</sup> He originally considered a spin-1/2 m odel on the triangular lattice, which m ay realize the genuine M ott insulator by the combined e ects of strong m agnetic frustration and quantum uctuation, although there exists an argum ent for the presence of a m agnetic long-ranged order in this system .6,7) R ecently, the Hubbard model on an isotropic triangular lattice has been studied. It shows the presence of the nonmagneticinsulator (NM I) phase near the boundary of the metalinsulator transition.8)

In this letter, we consider the Hubbard model on square lattice (HMSL) with nearest and next-nearest neighbor hoppings at half lling as a candidate for the genuine Mott insulator. E ects of geometrical frustration (see Fig. 1(a)) and quantum uctuation are expected to induce highly quantum -mechanical e ects in this system. Recent weak-coupling renormalization group study suggests possible mechanism of insulating gap form ation through Um klapp scattering without any translational symmetry breaking.9 Recent pathintegral-renorm alization-group (PIRG) study has clearly shown the presence of an insulating phase without magnetic long-range order in interm ediate to strong-coupling regime.<sup>10)</sup> It is crucially important to fully understand the nature of the nonm agnetic M ott insulator phase and also the metallic phase near the metal-insulator boundary. We study various correlation functions to get insight into this problem . Our results support that the nonmagnetic-insulator phase does not show apparent translational symmetry breaking near the band-widthcontrolM ott transition.




Fig. 1. (a) Lattice structure of the two-dimensional Hubbard modelon square lattice with transferst and  $t^0$ . (b) Ferm isurface fort = 1,  $t^0 = 0.5$  and U = 0.0 at half lling on N = 100 100 lattice ( lled circle) and magnetic Brillouin zone (solid line).

The HM SL we consider is

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} X & X \\ t_{ij} & c_i^y & c_j + c_j^y & c_i + U \\ n_{i''} & n_{i'''} & n_{i'''} & i \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $c_i \ (c_i^y)$  is the annihilation (creation) operator on the i-th site with spin  $\$ and  $n_i = c_i^y \ c_i$  in the N-site system . The transfer integral is taken as  $t_{ij}$  = t for the nearest-neighbor sites and  $t_{ij}$  =  $t^0$  for the next-nearest-neighbor sites. Throughout this letter, we take t as energy unit and consider the half-lled case,  $\prod_i^N hn_i \ i=N=1.$ 

We have applied the PIRG method<sup>11,12)</sup> to eq. (1). This algorithm starts from and improves the mean-eld Hartree-Fock solution by increasing the dimension of truncated Hilbert space in non-orthogonal basis optimized by the path-integral operation. A variance extrapolation of improved wave function has been taken to reach the true ground state of nite systems in a controlled way. This method does not have di culties as in previous methods such as the negative sign problem in the quantum M onte C arb calculations. The PIRG calculations have been done in the system s up to N = 12 12 lattices under the periodic boundary condition.

First we discuss the change of the Ferm i surface (FS). The FS can be de ned by the q points where the m om en- $\lim_{i \neq j} hc_i^y c_j i e^{iq (R_i R_j)}$ tum distribution n (q) =  $\frac{1}{2N}$ exhibits a sharp discontinuity. W e show the contourplots of  $j_{r_q} n(q) j_{in} F_{iq} 2(a)$  to estimate the FS for t = 1 and  $t^0 = 0.5$  on the N = 12 12 lattice. The large values of  $r_{\alpha}$  n (q) is specify the possible location of the FS in the m om entum space. W e see that  $jr_q n(q) j$  has larger values around q = (=2; =2) than those around q = (0; )and (;0). This indicates that renorm alization of electrons occurs around both ends of the arc of the FS m ore prom inently rather than around the center of the arc. A though it is di cult to dem on strate the validity of the Luttinger's theorem within the present analysis due to the nite-size e ects, we note that there exists the following tendency concerning the shape of the FS:AsU increases, the FS around q = (=2; =2) tends to expand to the q = (;) point and the FS around the ends of the arc tends to shrink to the q = (0; ) and (; 0) points. This can be also seen from the contour plots of n (q) in Fig. 2 (b) U = 3.5, (c) U = 4.0 and (d) U = 7.5. The large values of jr g n (q) jroughly correspond to the yellow area of the contour plot of n (q) as seen from Fig. 2 (a) and Fig. 2 (c). The m etal-insulator transition is estimated at  $U_{c1} = 4.75$  0.25 by the calculations of charge gap and double occupancy, and the antiferrom agnetic transition speci ed by vector Q = (;) in spin correlation function, S (q) =  $\frac{1}{3N} \prod_{i=1}^{P} \sum_{j=1}^{N} hS_i$  Sie<sup>iq (R<sub>i</sub> R<sub>j</sub>)</sup>; is estimated at  $U_{c2} = 7.25$  0.25.<sup>10)</sup> A lthough the FS does not exist in the insulator phase, we also show here the contour plot of n (q) in the antiferrom agnetic-insulator (AFI) phase in Fig. 2(d) to see the tendency of the deform ation of the contour line of the Ferm i level. From Figs. 2 (b)-(d), we see that the FS deforms from the U = 0 FS toward the magnetic Brillouin zone speci ed by the vector Q, which are represented as the lled circles and the solid line, respectively in Fig.1(b). Namely, the FS deform s

toward the perfect nesting as U increases. This tendency has been predicted by the renorm alization-group study on the HM SL in the weak-coupling regim  $e^{.13)}$ 




Fig. 2. Contour plots of  $j_{r,q}n(q)j$  for (a)U = 4:0 and n(q) for (b)U = 3:5, (c)4.0, and (d)7.5 for t = 1, t<sup>0</sup> = 0:5 on the N = 12 12 lattice at half lling. PM and AFI represent param agnetic m etal and antiferrom agnetic insulator, respectively.

Next we discuss the nature of the NM I phase. In the NM I phase for U  $_{\rm c1}$  < U < U  $_{\rm c2}$  , S (q) has broad peaks at incom m ensurate positions shifted from q = (; ) toward q = (0; ) and (; 0) directions, in contrast to the AFI phase for U > U  $_{\rm c2}$  with the commensurate peak at  $q = Q \cdot I^{(10)}$  A remaining question to be clari ed is whether som e other translational sym m etry is broken, or not in the NM I phase. To exam ine the nature of the NM I phase let us consider the U=t ! 1 lim it of the phase diagram . In the strong-correlation lim it, the HM SL becomes the  $J_1 - J_2$  Heisenberg model in the leading order:  $H = J_1$  hi;  $J = J_2$  fi;  $J = J_2$  fi;  $J = J_1$ hi and fg represent the nearest-neighbor sites and nextnearest-neighbor sites, respectively. Here,  $J_1 = 4t^2 = U$ and  $J_2 = 4t^{02} = U$  are both antiferrom agnetic interactions so that m agnetic frustration arises. For  $J_2=J_1 < 0.4$  the Neelorder with peak structure in S (q) at q = (;) was proposed while for  $J_2=J_1 > 0$ :6 the collinear order with q = (0; ) or (;0) peak in S (q) was proposed. However, as for the interm ediate region of  $0:4 \leq J_2=J_1 \leq 0:6$ , no de nite conclusion has been drawn on the nature of the ground state: The possibility of the colum nar-dim erized state<sup>14,15)</sup> (see Fig. 3(a)), the plaquette singlet state<sup>16)</sup> (see Fig. 3(b)) and the resonating-valence-bond<sup>17)</sup> state was discussed. The AFI and the NM I phases found in the HM SL can be connected to the Neel-ordered and the NM phases of the  $J_1$ - $J_2$  H eisenberg m odel, respectively, in the lim it U = t ! 1. Therefore, the NM I phase in the phase diagram of the HM SL could have som e connection to the region  $0:4 < J_2=J_1 < 0:6$ .

We rst note that it is in principle hard to prove the existence of the genuine M ott insulator because it is di -




Fig. 3. Schem atic pictures of (a) the colum nar-dimerized state and (b) the plaquette-singlet state.

cult to prove the abænce of all the possible translational sym m etry breakings. To get insight into this problem, we exam ine every sym m etry breaking ever proposed, which is likely to occur in the NM I phase.

To examine the possibility of the dimerized state and the plaquette state, we have calculated the dim erelation function D for ; P = x; y de ned by = h0 O i; where O =  $\frac{1}{N} P_{i=1}^{N} (1)^{i}S_{i} S_{i}$ , correlation function D D and  $\uparrow$  represents the unit vector in the (= x or y) direction. Figure 4 shows the system -size dependence of  $D_{xx}$  for  $t^0 = 0.5$ , and U = 0.0, 3.5, 4.5, 5.0, 5.7 and  $8:0 \text{ on the } N = 4 \quad 4, 6 \quad 6, 8 \quad 8, 10 \quad 10 \text{ and } 12 \quad 12$ lattices. W e note that the dim er correlation is enhanced in comparison with U = 0 results and it indicates that short-ranged correlation develops. The extrapolated values of D<sub>xx</sub> to the therm odynam ic lim it, how ever, seem to vanish for all interaction strength calculated here. These results indicate that the colum nar-dim erized state and the plaquette-singlet state (see Fig. 3) proposed as a candidate for the NM I phase with broken translational symmetry are not realized in the present system . The D<sub>xy</sub> correlations have about 2-or 3-orders of m agnitude sm aller values than the D  $_{\rm XX}$  correlations for each system size and the extrapolation to the therm odynam ic lim it also shows no realization of the D  $_{\rm xy}$  -type ordering.




Fig. 4. The system -size dependence of the dimer-correlation functions for t = 1:0,  $t^0$  = 0:5 and U = 0:0 (open circle), 3.5 ( lled square), 4.5 (open square), 5.0 ( lled triangle), 5.7 (open diam ond) and 8.5 (open triangle) at half lling on N = 4 4;6 6;8 8;10 10 and 12 12 lattices.

Next, we exam ine symmetry breaking of various density waves proposed in the literature.<sup>18)</sup> We calculate the current-correlation function de ned by

$$C (q) = J (q)J^{\gamma}(q);$$

where J (q) =  $\frac{1}{N} \frac{P}{k_{1}} c_{k_{1}}^{y} c_{k+q_{1}}^{y} f(k)$ , with  $f_{1}(k) = \cos(k_{x}) + \cos(k_{y})$ ,  $f_{2}(k) = \cos(k_{x}) \cos(k_{y})$ ,  $f_{3}(k) = 2\cos(k_{x})\cos(k_{y})$ , and  $f_{4}(k) = 2\sin(k_{x})\sin(k_{y})$ .

Among nite q, we have con med that the peak structure in C (q) for = 1;2;3 and 4 appears at q = (;) = Q for  $t^0 = 0.5$ , and U = 0.0, 3.5, 4.5, and 5:7. Here  $C_2$  (Q) corresponds to the so-called staggeredux state<sup>8</sup> (see inset of Fig. 5(b)). Figure 5 shows the system -size dependence of f (Q) j for  $t^0 = 0.5$ , and U = 0.0, 3.5, 4.5 and 5.7 on the N = 4 4, 6 6, 8 8, 10 10 and 12 12 lattices. If the long-ranged order of these types occurs, 🏌 (Q) jshould have a nite value in the therm odynam ic lim it. A lthough jC (Q) j for = 1 and 2 are enhanced in comparison with U = 0 results, all current correlations calculated here seem to vanish for N! 1 and the correlations remain short ranged. These results suggest that the symmetry breaking specied by the order parameter, J(q) for = 1; ::; 4 does not occur in the NM I phase.

We have also calculated the charge-correlation function de ned by

$$N(q) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i;j}^{N} (m_i n_j i \quad m_i i m_j i) e^{iq (R_i R_j)};$$

with  $n_i = \begin{bmatrix} c_i^y & c_i \end{bmatrix}$ . We show N (q) in Fig.6 for (a)U = 0.0, (b)4.5, (c)5.0, and (d)5.7 for  $t^0 = \\ 0.5$  on the N = 12 12 lattice. No conspicuous peak structure develops even in the NM Iphase such as U = 5.0 and 5.7. Figure 7 shows the system -size dependence of N (Q)=N, for  $t^0 =$ 

0.5, and U = 0.0, 3.5, 4.5, 5.0 and 5.7 on the N = 4 4, 6 6, 8 8, 10 10 and 12 12 lattices. The extrapolation of maximum values of N (q) to N ! 1 goes to zero for all interaction strength calculated here. The absence of prom inent peak structure in N (q) in various system sizes excludes the possibility of incom mensurate charge orderings. Thus, we conclude that the charge order does not occur in the NM I phase.

In sum mary, we have studied the ground-state properties of the Hubbard model on the square lattice with nearest-neighbor and next-nearest-neighbor hoppings at half lling by using the PIRG method. We show that the renom alization of electrons occurs in the metallic phase drastically around q = (0; ) and (; 0) rather than around q = (=2; =2). There exists a tendency that the Ferm i surface deform s tow ard the magnetic B rilbuin zone as U increases, namely, toward the perfect nesting. In the nonm agnetic-insulator phase sandwiched by the param agnetic-m etal and the antiferrom agneticinsulatorphases, the calculations of dim er-, current-, and charge-correlation functions show no evidence of sym metry breakings such as the colum nar-dimerized state, plaquette-singlet state, staggered- ux state (d-densitywave state), s-density-wave state, and charge-ordered




Fig. 5. The system size dependence of current correlations jC (Q) j at Q = (;) with (a)  $f_1 = \cos(k_x) + \cos(k_y)$  (b)  $f_2 = \cos(k_x) \cos(k_y)$ , (c)  $f_3 = 2\cos(k_x)\cos(k_y)$ , and (d)  $f_4 = 2\sin(k_x)\sin(k_y)$  for t = 1:0,  $t^0 = 0:5$  and U = 0:0 (open circle), 3:5 (open triangle), 4:5 ( lled square) and 5:7 (open diam ond) at half lling on N = 4 4, 6 6, 8 8, 10 10, and 12 12 lattices. Inset of (b) illustrates schem atic picture of the staggered-ux state.

state. A lthough a possibility of some other symmetry breakings cannot be excluded, our results support that the M ott insulator without translational symmetry breaking is realized in the nonmagnetic-insulator phase, where the translational symmetry breakings undergo quantum melting. This im plies that the genuine M ott insulator which can not be adiabatically continued to the band insulator is realized by Um klapp scattering via the elects of geometrical frustration and quantum

uctuation near the m etal-insulator phase boundary.

W e thank S.Sachdev for discussions. The work is supported by the Research for the Future' program from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science under grant number JSPS-RFTF97P01103. A part of our computation has been done at the supercomputer center in the Institute for Solid State Physics, University of Tokyo.

 N.F.M ott and R.Peierls: Proc. Phys. Soc. London. A 49 (1937) 72.




Fig. 6. The charge correlation functions for t = 1.0,  $t^0 = 0.5$ , and (a)U = 0.0, (b)4.5, (c)5.0 and (d)5.7 at half lling on the N = 12 12 lattice.




Fig. 7. The system -size dependence of the peak value of charge correlation functions for t = 1:0,  $t^0 = 0:5$  and U = 0:0 (open circle), 3.5 (open square), 4.0 (open triangle), 4.5 ( lled diamond), 5.0 (open diamond) and 5.7 ( lled circle) at half lling on N = 4 4;6 6;8 8;10 10 and 12 12 lattices.

- For review, see M. Im ada, A. Fujim ori and Y. Tokura: Rev. M od. Phys. 70 (1998) 1039.
- 3) J.C.Slater: Phys.Rev.82 (1951) 538.
- 4) E.H.Lieb and F.Y.Wu: Phys.Rev.Lett.20 (1968) 1445.
- 5) P.Fazekas and P.W .Anderson: Philos.M ag. 30 (1974) 423.
- 6) B.Bemu, et al.: Phys.Rev.B 50 (1994) 10048.
- 7) L.Capriotti, A.E.Trum per and S.Sorella: Phys.Rev.Lett. 82 (1999) 3899.
- H. Morita, S. W atanabe and M. Im ada: preprint(condmat/0203020).
- 9) N. Furukawa and T. M. Rice: Phys. Rev. Lett. 81 (1998) 3195; C. Honerkam p, et al. Phys. Rev. B 63 (2001) 35109.
- 10) T.Kashim a and M.Im ada: J.Phys.Soc.Jpn.70 (2001) 3052.
- 11) M .Im ada and T .K ashim a: J.Phys.Soc.Jpn.69 (2000) 2723.
- 12) T.Kashim a and M.Im ada: J.Phys.Soc.Jpn.70 (2001) 2287.
- 13) T.Ogawa, et al.: Physica B 312-313 (2002) 525.
- 14) N.Read and S.Sachdev: Phys.Rev.Lett.66 (1991) 1773.

- 15) O.P.Sushkov, J.O itm aa and Z.W eihong: Phys.Rev.B 63 (2001) 104420.
- 16) M .E.Zhitom insky and K.Ueda: Phys.Rev.B 54 (1996) 9007.
- 17) L.Capriotti, et al.: Phys.Rev.Lett.27 (2001) 97201.
- 18) I.A eck and J.B.M arston: Phys.Rev.B 37 (1988) 3774.