D ilute lim it of a strongly-interacting m odel of spinless ferm ions and hardcore bosons on the square lattice

N.G.Zhang and C.L.Henley

Department of Physics, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853-2501

In our model, spinless ferm ions (or hardcore bosons) on a square lattice hop to nearest neighbor sites, and also experience a hard-core repulsion at the nearest neighbor separation. This is the sim plest model of correlated electrons and is more tractable for exact diagonalization than the H ubbard model. We study system atically the dilute lim it of this model by a combination of analytical and several numerical approaches: the two-particle problem using lattice G reen functions and the t-m atrix, the few ferm ion problem using a modi ed t-m atrix (demonstrating that the interaction energy is well captured by pairwise terms), and for bosons the tting of the energy as a function of density to Schick's analytical result for dilute hard disks. We present the rst system atic study for a strongly-interacting lattice model of the t-m atrix, which appears as the central object in older theories of the existence of a two-dim ensional Ferm i liquid for dilute ferm ions with strong interactions. For our model, we can (Lanczos) diagonalize the 7 7 system at all llings and the 20 20 system with four particles, thus going far beyond previous diagonalization works on the Hubbard model.

PACS numbers: 71.10 Fd, 71.10 Pm, 05.30 Jp, 74.20 M n

I. IN TRODUCTION

Since the discovery of the high-tem perature superconductors in 1986, there has been intense study of a num ber oftwo-dimensionalmodels that are believed to model the electronic properties of the CuO₂ plane of the cuprate superconductors, for exam ple, the H ubbard m odel, the t J model, and the Heisenberg model.^{1,2} Two-dimensional quantum models with short-range kinetic and interaction terms are di cult to study. In one dimension, there are exact solutions using the Bethe ansatz and a host of related analytical techniques,³ and there is a very accurate num erical m ethod, the density-m atrix renorm alization group (DMRG),⁴ that can be applied to large systems relatively easily. In two dimensions, on the other hand, there are few exact solutions (one fam ous nontrivial case is the Hubbard model with one hole in a half-lled background, the Nagaoka state⁵), and current num erical m ethods are not satisfactory (quantum M onte C arlo is plaqued by the negative sign problem¹ at low temperatures and at m any llings of interest and the DMRG in two dimensions⁶ is still in early development stage).

The most reliable method for studying complicated quantum systems is exact diagonalization, which means enum erating all basis states and diagonalizing the resulting H am iltonian matrix. Of course, this method is computationally limited by the growth of the Hilbert space which is in general exponential in the number of particles and the lattice size. The 4 4 Hubbard model with 16 electrons, 8 spin-up and 8 spin-down, after reduction by particle conservation, translation, and the symmetries of the square, has 1,310,242 states in the largest matrix block,⁷ and can be diagonalized using the well-known Lanczos method. The Hubbard model has been diagonalized for the 4 4 lattice (see e.g., Ref. 8), and at low lling (four electrons) for 6 6^9 with extensive employment of symmetries.

A. The spinless ferm ion model

We have asked the question: Is there a model that contains the basic ingredient of short-range hopping and interaction but is simpler, in the exact diagonalization sense, than the Hubbard model? The answer is yes: we can neglect the spin. We obtain the following Ham iltonian for spinless fermions,

$$H = t \begin{cases} X & X \\ c_i^y c_j + c_j^y c_i + V \\ hi; ji & hi; ji \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

where c_i^y and c_i are spinless ferm ion creation and annihilation operators at site i, $\hat{n}_i = c_i^y c_i$ the number operator, t the nearest-neighbor hopping amplitude, and V the nearest-neighbor interaction. Note that with spinless ferm ions, there can be at the most one particle per site; no on-site interaction (as that in the Hubbard model) is possible, and we have included in our Hamiltonian nearest-neighbor repulsion.

The spinless ferm ion model, Eq. (1.1), is a two-state model, and the number of basis states for a N-site system is $2^{\rm N}$, which is a signi cant reduction from the $4^{\rm N}$ of the Hubbard model. We can further reduce the number of basis states by taking the nearest-neighbor interaction V = +1, i.e., in nite repulsion, which excludes nearest neighbors, giving roughly $2^{\rm N=2}$ states.

The spinless ferm ion model with in nite repulsion Eq. (1.1) contains a signi cant reduction of the H ilbert space. A fler using particle conservation and translation symmetry (but not point group symmetry), the largest matrix for the 7 7 system has 1;906;532 states (for 11 particles), and we can therefore compute for all llings the 7 7 system whereas for the H ubbard model 4 4 is basically the limit. This of course means that for certain limits we can also go much further than the H ubbard model, for example, we can handle four particles

on a 20 20 lattice where the number of basis states is 2;472;147. This extended capability with our model has enabled us to obtain a number of results that are di cult to obtain with the Hubbard model.

An added feature of our model is that the basis set for the spinless ferm ion problem is identical to that for the hardcore boson problem, because with hardcore repulsion, there can be at the most one boson at one site also. Therefore, without computational di culty, we can study num erically both the spinless ferm ion and hardcore boson problem.

Spinless ferm ions can also be realized in experiments, for example, the spin polarized ${}^{3}\text{H}$ e due to a strong magnetic eld, or ferro or ferrim agnetic electronic systems where one spin-band is lled. The one-dimensional spinless ferm ion model with nite repulsion is solved exactly using Bethe ansatz.¹⁰ The in nite-dimensional problem is studied in Ref. 11. A very dierent approach using the renormalization group for ferm ions is done in Ref. 12. A M onte C arlo study of the two-dimensional model at half-lling only and low temperatures is in Ref. 13, which, dating back to 1985, is one of the earliest quantum M onte C arlo simulations for ferm ions. (It is no coincidence that they chose the model with the smallest H ilbert space.)

Considering the trem endous e ort that has been devoted to the Hubbard model and the close resemblance of our model, Eq. (1.1), to the Hubbard model, it is surprising that works on this spinless model have been rather sparse, though it has been commented that the spinless model o ers considerable simplications.¹⁴

This paper is one of the two that we are publishing to study system atically the two-dimensional spinless ferm ion and hardcore boson model with in nite nearestneighbor repulsion. The present paper focuses on the dilute lim it, treating the problem of a few particles, and the other paper¹⁵ will focus on the dense lim it, near halflled,¹⁶ where stripes (that are holes lining up across the lattice) are natural objects (see Ref. 17 for a condensed study of stripes in this model). We will use Lanczos exact diagonalization, exploiting the much-reduced Hilbert space of our model, and a number of analytical techniques, for example, in this paper, lattice G reen functions and the t-m atrix. One of the goals of these two papers is to advertise this model of spinless ferm ions to the strongly-correlated electron com m unity, as we believe that it is the simplest model of correlated ferm ions and deserves m ore research e ort and better understanding.

The prior work most comparable to ours may be the studies of four spinless electrons in a 6 6 lattice, with C oulom b repulsion, by P ichard et al_r^{18} their motivation was the W igner crystal melting and the competition of C oulom b interactions with Anderson localization when a disorder potential is turned on.

B. The t-m atrix

At the dilute lim it of ourm odel, the scattering t-m atrix is of fundam ental importance. For two particles, we expect that, at least when the potential V is small, we can write a perturbative equation for energy,

$$E = E(q_1) + E(q_2) + E(q_1;q_2); \quad (1.2)$$

which is to say that the exact interacting energy of two particles is the noninteracting energy $E(q_1) + E(q_2)$, for a pair of momenta q_1 and q_2 , plus a correction term E due to the interaction V. And with more than two particles, at least when the particle density is low, we expect to have

$$E = {X \atop q} E(q) + \frac{1}{2} {X \atop q;q^{0}} E(q;q^{0}): \qquad (1.3)$$

Eq. (1.3) is central in Ferm i liquid theory, where it is justi ed by the so-called \adiabatic continuation" idea, which says that interacting ferm ion states correspond one-to-one to noninteracting ones as we slow ly sw itch on a potential.

In the boson case, because m any bosons can occupy one quantum m echanical state and form a condensate, Eq. (1.3) should be m odi ed, but with only two bosons, we expect Eq. (1.2) should be valid (in that the correction vanishes in the dilute lim it). Eqs. (1.2) and (1.3) are used when we look at a list of noninteracting energies and draw correspondences with the interacting energies, the energy shift being packaged in the term E.

One possible objection to the above formulas (Eqs. (1.2) and (1.3)) is that they appear to be perturbative, yet the interaction potential in our problem is in nitely strong, so the rst-order (rst Born approximation) scattering amplitude, being proportional to the potential, is in nite too. However, this singular potential scattering problem (e.g., hard-sphere interaction in 3D) has been solved (see Ref. 19) by replacing the potential with the so-called scattering length, which is - nite even when the potential is in nite. As we review in Appendix B, a perturbation series (Born series) can be written down (that corresponds to a series of the so-called ladder diagram s) and even though each term is proportional to the potential, the sum of all term s (the t-m atrix, E in Eqs. (1.2) and (1.3)) is nite.

B ecause the t-m atrix captures two-body interaction effects, it is the centerpiece of dilute ferm ion and boson calculations with strong interactions. Field-theoretical calculations in both three and two dimensions are based on the ladder diagram s and the t-m atrix. See Fetter and W alecka²⁰ for the 3D problem, Schick²¹ for the 2D boson problem and B loom ²² the 2D ferm ion problem. For lattice ferm ion problem s, K anam orf²³ derived the t-m atrix for a tight-binding m odel that is essentially a H ubbard m odel (this work is also described in Yosida²⁴). And in R ef. 25, the t-m atrix is worked out explicitly for the H ubbard m odel, and K anam ori's result is obtained. R ef. 25

also evaluated the t-m atrix for the dilute lim it in three dimensions and obtained a functional dependence on particle density.

Rudin and M attis²⁶ used the t-m atrix expression derived in Refs. 23 and 25 and found upper and lower bounds of the ferm ion t-m atrix in two dimensions in terms of particle density. Rudin and M attis's result for the low-density limit of the two-dimensional Hubbard m odel is of the same functional form as B loom's diagram m atical calculation for the two-dimensional ferm ion hard disks.²² Since the discovery of high-tem perature superconductors, B loom's calculation has received a lot of attention because of its relevance to the validity of the Ferm i liquid description of dilute ferm ions in two dimensions. There have been a number of works on the 2D dilute Ferm igas^{27,28,29} and on the dilute limit of 2D H ubbard m odel,³⁰ all using the t-m atrix, but these results have not been checked by numerical calculations.

In fact, we are not aware of a system atic study of the t-m atrix for a lattice m odel. In this paper, we present the rst such study for the two-particle problem in Sec. III (for bosons and ferm ions) and the few -ferm ion problem in Sec. IV. We check the t-m atrix results with exact diagonalization data and show that our t-m atrix on a lattice is the sum of the two-body scattering terms to in nite order.

C. Paper organization

In this paper, we will study system atically the dilute $\lim it of our m odel Eq. (1.1)$, focusing on the problem of a few particles. Our paper is divided into four parts.

In Sec. II, the two-particle (boson and ferm ion) problem is studied. We form ulated the two-particle Schrodinger equation using lattice G reen functions, em – ploy som e of its recursion relations to sim plify the problem, and obtain the two-boson ground state energy in the large-lattice lim it. U sing the two-particle result, we then study the problem of a few particles and obtain an expression for ground state energy on a large lattice.

In Sec. III, the two-particle problem is then cast into a di erent form, emphasizing the scatterings between the two particles. The result is the t-m atrix, that is exact for the two-particle problem and contains all twobody scattering terms. We will study the two-particle t-m atrix in great detail, showing the di erences between the boson and ferm ion cases, and dem onstrating that the rst t-m atrix iteration is offen a good approximation for ferm ion energy. In Appendix B, we show explicitly that the t-m atrix we obtain is the sum total of all two-body scattering term s.

The problem of a few ferm ions is taken up in Sec. IV. First, the ferm ion shell e ect is discussed and dem onstrated from diagonalization, and we show the di erence for bosons and ferm ions. We show the modi cations to the two-ferm ion t-m atrix that enable us to calculate energies for three, four, and ve particles. Using this tm atrix, we can compute the interaction corrections to the noninteracting energy and trace the change in the energy spectrum from the nointeracting one to the interacting one.

Finally, in Sec. V, we discuss the energy per particle curve for dilute bosons and ferm ions. We have studied the two-dimensional results derived by $Schick^{21}$ for bosons and B loom ²² for ferm ions by tting the data from diagonalization for a number of lattices. Schick's result for dilute bosons is checked nicely, and we explain that for spinless ferm ions in our model we will need the p-wave scattering term, which is not included in B loom's calculation.

In Appendix A, we discuss brie y our exact diagonalization computer program, which can handle arbitary periodic boundaries speci ed by two vectors on the square lattice and uses translation symmetry to reduce the matrix size.

II. THE TW O PARTICLE PROBLEM

The two-particle problem has appeared in many different contexts. The most fam iliar one is the hydrogen atom problem in introductory quantum mechanics textbooks. The two-magnon problem is closely related m athem atically to our two-particle problem, and it has been solved in arbitary dimensions for ferrom agnets (see e.g., Ref. 25). Another important two-particle problem is the Cooper problem, with two electrons in the presence of a Fermisea (see e.g., Ref. 31). And motivated by the possibility of Cooper pair form ation in high-tem perature superconductors, there have also been a num ber of studies on bound states on a two-dim ensional lattice.^{32,33,34,35,36,38} The two-electron problem in the plain two-dim ensional repulsive Hubbard model is studied in Ref. 37, and ground state energy in the large-lattice lim it is obtained analytically.

In this section, we present a rather complete calculation for the two-particle problem in our model, treating both bosons and ferm ions. W ith in nite repulsive interaction in our model, we are not interested in nding bound states. W e calculate eigenenergies for all states for a nite-size lattice, and our calculation is more com plicated than the Hubbard model³⁷ case because of nearestneighbor (in place of on-site) interaction. W here the G reen function in the Hubbard case was a scalar object, in our case it is replaced by a 4 4 m atrix, corresponding to the four nearest neighbor sites where the potential acts. This G reen function study of the two-particle problem is closely related to the treatm ent of the two-electron problem in the Hubbard model³⁷ and that in an extended Hubbard model.³⁸ W ewillshow the use of lattice symmetry and recursion relations to simplify the problem with nearest-neighbor interactions.

A. Prelim inary

In this two-particle calculation, we will work in momentum space, and we will start with a Ham iltonian more general than Eq. (1.1),³⁸

$$H = T + U;$$
 (2.1)

$$T = \int_{r_1 r_2}^{r_1 r_2} t(r_2 r_1) c_{r_1}^{v} c_{r_2}; \qquad (2.2)$$

$$U = \bigvee_{r_1 r_2}^{A} V (r_2 r_1) c_{r_1}^{y} c_{r_1} c_{r_2}^{y} c_{r_2} : \qquad (2.3)$$

Here we have allowed hopping and interaction between any two lattice sites, but we require that both depend only on the separation between the two vectors and both have inversion symmetry. That is $t(r_1; r_2) = t(r_2 r_1)$, t(r) = t(r), $V(r_1; r_2) = V(r_2 r_1)$, and V(r) = V(r). In momentum space, Eqs. (2.2) and (2.3) become,

$$I = \sum_{p}^{X} E(p) c_{p}^{Y} c_{p}; \qquad (2.4)$$

$$U = \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{p \in {}^{0}k}^{P} V(k) c_{p}^{V} c_{p}^{V} c_{p}^{0} c_{p^{0}+k} c_{p-k}; \qquad (2.5)$$

where

$$E(p) = x t(r)e^{ipr};$$
 (2.6)

$$V(k) = V(r)e^{ikr};$$
 (2.7)

with E(p) = E(p) and V(k) = V(k). Eqs. (2.2) and (2.3) reduce to our nearest-neighbor Ham iltonian Eq. (1.1) if we take,

$$t(r) = \begin{array}{c} t; r = (1;0)(0; 1), \\ 0; \text{ otherwise,} \end{array}$$
(2.8)

$$V(r) = \begin{array}{c} V; r = (1;0)(0; 1), \\ 0; \text{ otherwise,} \end{array}$$
(2.9)

where we have taken the lattice constant to be unity,³⁹ and the nearest-neighbor vectors will be called

$$R_1 = (1;0); R_2 = (1;0); R_3 = (0;1); R_4 = (0; 1):$$
(2.10)

Then we have,

$$E(p) = 2t(cosp_x + cosp_y);$$
 (2.11)

$$V(k) = 2V(\cos k_x + \cos k_y)$$
: (2.12)

Note that the structure of later equations depends sensitively on having four sites in Eq. (2.9) where V (r) \notin Om, but does not depend m uch on the form of Eq. (2.8) and the resulting dispersion Eq. (2.11).

U sing momentum conservation of Eq. (2.1), the twoparticle wave function that we will use is,

$$j i = \begin{cases} X \\ g(q) jq; P \\ q \end{cases} (2.13)$$

where the sum is over the whole B rillouin zone, and the coe cient g (q) satis es,

$$g(P q) = s_{bf}g(q);$$
 (2.14)

where $s_{bf} = 1$ for bosons and 1 for ferm ions.

B. G reen function equations

Applying the more general form of the Hamiltonian operator Eqs (2.4) and (2.5) to the state Eq. (2.13), the Schrödinger equation (E T) j i = U j i becomes

$$(E \quad E (q) \quad E (P \quad q))g(q) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k}^{X} V (q \quad k)g(k): (2.15)$$

Eq. (2.15) is a matrix equation Ag = Eg where $A_{qk} = (E(q) + E(P - q))_{qk} + V(q - k) = N$. If V is not in nity, this N N matrix A can be diagonalized, and E and g(q) are respectively the eigenvalue and eigenvector. To dealw ith V = +1, we need some furthermanipulations.

We consider the case when $E \in E(q) + E(P - q)$, for any q, which is to say, the energy E is not the energy of a noninteracting pair. The (lattice) Fourier transform of the coe cients g(q) is

$$g(r) = \begin{cases} X \\ e^{iq} r g(q); \end{cases}$$
 (2.16)

this is just the real-space wavefunction in terms of the relative coordinate r. De ne the lattice G reen function,

$$G (E;P;r;r^{0}) = \frac{1}{N} \frac{X}{q} \frac{e^{iq (r^{0} r)}}{E E (q) E (P q)}; \quad (2.17)$$

then after dividing the st factor from both sides of our Schrödinger equation, Eq. (2.15), and Fourier transform – ing, we obtain

$$g(\mathbf{r}) = \begin{cases} X \\ G(\mathbf{E};\mathbf{P};\mathbf{r};\mathbf{r}^{0})V(\mathbf{r}^{0})g(\mathbf{r}^{0}): \end{cases} (2.18)$$

In the following we return to the nearest-neighbor potential V (r) in Eq. (2.9). The Green function sum in Eq. (2.18) then has only four term s,

$$g(\mathbf{r}) = \begin{array}{c} X \\ G(\mathbf{E};\mathbf{P};\mathbf{r};\mathbf{R}_{j}) (Vg(\mathbf{R}_{j})); \\ j \end{array}$$
(2.19)

sum m ed over the separations in Eq. (2.10). If we also restrict r to the four nearest-neighbor vectors,⁴⁰ then Eq. (2.19) becomes,

$$g(R_{i}) = G(E; P; R_{i}; R_{j}) (Vg(R_{j})): (220)$$

If we de ne the 4 4 m atrix,

$$G_{ij}(E;P) = G(E;P;R_{i};R_{j});$$
 (2.21)

and a 4 1 vector $j = g(R_j)$, then we obtain a simple matrix equation,

$$(I G (E; P)V) = 0:$$
 (2.22)

W e can also rewrite this equation as an equation for energy using the determ inant,

det (I G (E; P)V) = 0:
$$(2.23)$$

W ith V = +1, we have even simpler equations

$$G(E;P)(V) = 0;$$
 (2.24)

and

detG(E;P) = 0:
$$(2.25)$$

Notice we write V to denote the limit as V ! 1; it would not do to write simply in Eqs. (2.22) and (2.24), since ! 0 as V ! 1 (being the amplitude of the relative wavefunction at the forbidden separations fR $_{i}$ g.).

For the Hubbard model, there is only on-site interaction, so V (r) is nonzero only when r = 0, and the sum in Eq. (2.18) has only one term . Eq. (2.20) is simply a scalar equation, which, after g cancels from both sides of the equation and using Eq. (2.17), gives,

$$1 = \frac{V}{N} \frac{X}{e} \frac{1}{E - E(q) - E(P - q)}; \qquad (2.26)$$

which is exactly the result in Ref. 37.

1. Sim pli cations for rectangular boundaries

W e specialize to the case of totalm om entum P = 0 and rectangular-boundary lattices. W e have from Eqs. (2.17) and (2.21),

$$G_{ij}(E) = \frac{1}{N} \frac{X}{q} \frac{\cos(q_x (R_{jx} R_{ix}))\cos(q_y (R_{jy} R_{iy}))}{E 2E(q)};$$
(2.27)

where the potential is nonzero on the sites fR $_{i}$ g given by Eq. (2.10) and in the last step we have used the sym – metry properties of the dispersion relation E ($q_{x};q_{y}$) = E ($q_{x}; q_{y}$) = E ($q_{x};q_{y}$). Obviously Eq. (2.27) is a function of displacements R $_{j}$ R $_{i}$, which (in view of Eq. (2.10) can be (0,0), (1,1), (2,0), or any vector related by square symmetry. It is convenient for this and later sections to de ne a new notation for the Green function G $_{ij}$, emphasizing its dependence on R $_{j}$ R $_{i} = (m;n)$,

$$(E;m;n) = \frac{1}{N} \frac{X}{e_{q}} \frac{\cos(m q_{x}) \cos(n q_{y})}{E + 4 \cos q_{x} + 4 \cos q_{y}}; \quad (2.28)$$

where the sum is over the N wavevectors $q = (2 l_x = L_x; 2 l_y = L_y)$ with $0 l_x < L_x$ and $0 l_y < L_y$ (for one B rillouin zone), and we have used the expression for E (q) from Eq. (2.11) (and taken t = 1).

This G reen function for rectangular-boundary lattices satis es the following relection properties,

$$(E;m;n) = (E;m;n)$$

= $(E;m;n) = (E;m;n)$: (2.29)

And if we have a square lattice $(L_x = L_y)$ we also have

$$(E;m;n) = (E;n;m);$$
 (2.30)

Eq. (2.27) can be written as,

$$G_{ij}(E) = (E; R_{jx} R_{ix}; R_{jy} R_{iy}):$$
 (2.31)

Using the relection properties of (E;m;n), Eq. (2.29), and the denition Eq. (2.31), our Green function matrix becomes,

$$G_{ij}(E) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & c & b & b^{1} \\ B & c & a & b & b & c \\ b & b & a & d^{A} & ; \\ b & b & d & a \end{pmatrix} (2.32)$$

where a = (E;0;0), b = (E;1;1), c = (E;2;0), and d = (E;0;2). The eigenvalues and eigenvectors of this matrix are,

$$f_{1} = a \quad c; \quad (1; \quad 1;0;0)$$

$$f_{2} = a \quad d; \quad (0;0;1; \quad 1)$$

$$f_{1;b2} = a + \frac{c+d}{2} \qquad \frac{p}{16b^{2} + (c \quad d)^{2}};$$

$$(v_{1;2};v_{1;2};1;1) \qquad (2.33)$$

where v_1 and v_2 are complicated functions of a, b, c, and d.

The exact energy E makes the matrix G_{ij} (E) singular, which means that one of the eigenvalues has to be zero. From Eq. (2.24), the null eigenvector of G is $V = V (g(R_1); g(R_2); g(R_3); g(R_4)), in term s of fR_ig$ as in Eq. (2.10). The relative wavefunction should be odd or even under inversion, depending on statistics, i.e.g(r) = $s_{bf} e^{iP} r_{g}(r)$ which follows immediately from Eqs. (2.16) and (2.14). Inversion, acting on nearestneighbor vectors Eq. (2.10), induces $R_1 \$ R_2 and R_3 \$ R_4 ; thus with P = 0, we should have $V_1 = V_2$ and $V_3 = V_4$ for fermions, and $V_1 = V_2$ and $V_3 = V_4$ for bosons. Inspecting the eigenvectors we obtained in Eq. (2.33), we see that those corresponding to f1:f2 are antisym m etric under inversion { corresponding to a \p-w ave-like" (relative angular m om entum 1) state for ferm ions. Setting $f_1 = 0$, we get a = c, or setting $f_{2} = 0$ a = d, which respectively mean

A speciated with the even eigenvectors are $_{b1;b2}$ which are identied as boson eigenvalues.

2. Sim pli cations for square boundaries

The boson eigenvalues, Eq. (2.33), are rather com plicated for general rectangular-boundary lattices. For a square-boundary lattice, using Eq. (2.30), we get c = din the matrix Eq. (2.32), which makes the ferm ion eigenvalues $f_{1,F2}$ degenerate. The boson eigenvalues in Eq. (2.33) simplify greatly to $b_1 = a + 2b + c$ and $b_2 = a - 2b + c$, which means that the boson energy equations are,

$$(E;0;0) + 2$$
 $(E;1;1) +$ $(E;2;0) = 0;$ (2.36)
 $(E;0;0) - 2$ $(E;1;1) +$ $(E;2;0) = 0;$ (2.37)

The corresponding eigenvectors sim plify too, to (1;1;1;1) and (1;1; 1; 1) respectively, which m ay be described as \s-w ave-like" and \d-w ave-like", i.e. relative angular m om entum 0 and 2.

C. Large-L asym ptotics for two-boson energy

Eqs. (2.34), (2.35), (2.36) and (2.37) are much better starting points for analytical calculations than the original determ inant equation Eq. (2.25). In the center of the problem is the lattice G reen function (E;m;n) de ned in Eq. (2.28). M any of the lattice calculations com e dow n to evaluating these lattice G reen functions.^{33,34,35,36,38,41} In this section, we derive the large-lattice two-boson energy using the recursion and symmetry relations of the G reen function (E;m;n).

The G reen function (E ;m ;n) for generalm and n and n ite lattice are di cult to evaluate. The good thing is that there are a number of recursion relations connecting the G reen functions at di erent m and n.^{42,43} These are trivial to derive after noting that Eq. (2.17) (for P = 0) can be written

$$\mathbb{E} \quad (4 + \frac{2}{r}) \quad (4 + \frac{62}{r}) \mathbb{G} (\mathbb{E}; 0; r; r^{0}) = r_{0} r^{0} = 0 \quad (2.38)$$

where r_{r}^{2} is the discrete Laplacian, $(r_{r}^{2} + 4)f(r)$ $r_{i}f(r + R_{i})$ for any function f(r), where the sum is over neighbor vectors Eq. (2.10). The two recursion relations that we will use are

E (E;0;0) + 4 (E;1;0) + 4 (E;0;1) = 1;(2.39)
(E;0;0) + 2 (E;1;1) + (E;2;0)
$$+\frac{1}{2}$$
E (E;1;0) = 0:(2.40)

U sing Eqs. (2.30), (2.39), and (2.40), the boson equation Eq. (2.36) for square-boundary lattices simplifies to

$$(E;0;0) = \frac{1}{E}; \qquad (2.41)$$

with eigenvector (1;1;1;1).

Next we compute the leading form of (E;0;0) for large L of a square-boundary lattice. The calculation is

close to that in Ref. 37 for the Hubbard m odel. We de ne E = 8 + E. Because the lowest energy of an independent particle is E(0) = 4, E is the energy correction to two independent particle energy at zero m om entum. Then we have, from Eq. (2.28),

$$(E;0;0) = \frac{1}{N} \frac{X}{q} \frac{1}{E + 4\cos q_{x} + 4\cos q_{y}};$$

$$= \frac{1}{4N} \frac{X}{q} \frac{1}{2\cos q_{x}\cos q_{y}} E = 4;$$

$$\frac{1}{L^{2}E} \frac{1}{4} \frac{Z}{2} \frac{dq}{q}$$

$$= \frac{1}{L^{2}E} \frac{\ln L}{4} + \text{const:} (2.42)$$

W e should discuss the number of approximations we have made to extract this leading dependence in L. First except in the q = 0 term we have ignored the E term, assuming it is smallas compared to q^2 (with $q \in 0$). This is justified as we only want the leading term in the large-L limit. Using an integral for a lattice sum is another approximation. We choose the lower limit of integration to be 2 =L corresponding to the rst wavevectors after (0;0) is taken out of the sum. We also used the quadratic approximation for the energy dispersion E (q) appearing in the denominator.

U sing the boson energy equation Eq. (2.41) and the large-L lim it of the G reen function Eq. (2.42), we get,

$$\frac{1}{8+E} = \frac{1}{L^2E} = \frac{\ln L}{4} + \text{const.}$$
 (2.43)

In the large-L lim it, E ! 0 (as it is the interaction correction to the noninteracting energy), so we get, to the leading order of L,

$$E = \frac{4}{L^2 \ln L} : \qquad (2.44)$$

Wewillcheck Eq. (2.44) in Sec. IID.

D . Large-L asym ptotics for few -particle energy

The procedure used in Sec. IIC for two bosons can also be applied to problem s with a few particles. For a few particles on a large lattice with short-range (here nearestneighbor) interaction, two-particle interaction is them ain contribution to energy. We write for two particles,

$$E(2;L) = E_0(2;L) + E(L):$$
 (2.45)

Here in this section we use the notation E (M ; L) and $E_0 (M ; L)$ to denote the M -particle exact and noninteracting ground state energies respectively and emphasize the dependence of E on L by using E (L). It is reasonable to expect that the energy for M particles is the noninteracting energy plus interaction corrections from the M (M 1)=2 pairs of particles. We then have,

$$E(M;L) = E_0(M;L) + \frac{M(M-1)}{2} E(L):$$
 (2.46)

For bosons, E_0 (M;L) = 4M, because in the ground state, all bosons occupy the zero-m on entum state. On the other hand, for ferm ions, because of Pauli exclusion, no two ferm ions can occupy the same state, the non-interacting ground state is obtained from lling the M ferm ions from the lowest state (k = 0) up.

Eq. (2.46) implies that plotting 2 (E (M ; L)) = [M (M ; L)] = [M (M 1)] versus L for dierent M should all asymptotically at large L approach E (L). In Fig. 1, we do such plots, for bosons and fermions with M = 2;3;4;5. The fermion results, from p-wave scattering (as our spinless fermion wave function has to be antisym - m etric), are m uch sm aller than the boson results (bold curves) from s-wave scattering.



FIG.1: Boson and ferm ion $2(E \ M; L) = L_0 \ M; L) = (M \ M$ 1)) versus L for M = 2;3;4;5. All curves appear to converge at large L. The ferm ion (p-wave) result is much less than the boson result (s-wave). The M = 4 plot goes to L = 20 and the M = 5 plot to L = 10. The boson M = 5 curve is too high to be included in this plot.

Note that in our calculation for (E;0;0) Eq. (2.42), we have neglected the contribution of E in the denom – inator except for the rst term (q = 0). Now with the leading form of E Eq. (2.44), we can obviously plug E 8 + E into Eq. (2.42) to get the form of the next term,

$$E = \frac{4}{L^2 \ln L} \quad A + \frac{B}{\ln L} + \frac{C}{(\ln L)^2} \quad : \qquad (2.47)$$

Using Eqs. (2.46) and (2.47), we get, for a few bosons

$$(E_0 (M; L) = 4M)$$

$$\frac{(E (M;L) + 4M)L^{2} hL}{2 M (M 1)} = A + B \frac{1}{hL} + C \frac{1}{hL}^{2}:$$
(2.48)

In Fig.2, we plot (E (M ;L) + 4M)L² ln L=(2 M (M 1)) versus $1 = \ln L$ for M = 2;3;4;5, using the boson data in Fig.1. Quadratic polynom ial thing is done for M = 2;3, where we have more data than M = 4;5. The coe - cient A 1 for both ts, in plying, from Eq. (2.47), the leading-order term in E (L) is indeed 4 = (L² ln L). B and C from two ts are also comparable.



FIG.2: Boson (E (M ;L)+ 4M)L² ln L=(2 M (M 1)) versus $1=\ln L$ for M = 2;3;4;5. Quadratic polynom ial tting is done for M = 2 and M = 3. The tted constant coe cients are approximately one, and the other coe cients from M = 2 and M = 3 are comparable.

To sum marize, from Eqs. (2.46) and (2.47) and thing in Fig.2, we not that in our model the energy of a small number M of bosons on a large L L lattice is to the leading order of L,

$$E(M;L) = 4M + \frac{M(M)}{2} \frac{1}{L^2 \ln L}$$
: (2.49)

For two fermions on a large L L lattice, the noninteracting energy { the lead term in Eq. (2.49) { is obviously lower for P = (0;1) than for P = (0;0). We have not worked out the asymptotic behavior for P $\in (0;0)$.

III. THE TW O PARTICLE T-MATRIX

In Sec. IIC and Sec. IID, we studied the ground state energy of a few particles on a large lattice, and we showed that the energy of M particles can be approximated by summing the energy of the M (M 1)=2 pairs. In this section, we reform ulate the equations for two particles and derive a scattering matrix, the t-matrix. The t-matrix gives us equations of the form Eqs. (12) and (1.3) which are more precise statements of the ideas presented in Sec. IIC and Sec. IID. They apply to small lattices and to excited states.

A. Setup and sym metry

To have an equation in the form of Eq. (1.2), we start with any pair of momentum vectors q_1 and q_2 and write noninteracting energy of the pair $E_0 = E(q_1) + E(q_2)$ and totalmomentum $P = q_1 + q_2$. Because our Ham iltonian, Eqs. (2.4) and (2.5), conserves totalmomentum, we can restrict our basis states to j_1 ; P qi. It is tempting to take j_{11} ; P q₁i and j_{22} ; P q₂i as our nonperturbed states, but there can be other two-particle states with the same totalmomentum P and energy E_0 .

In fact, using our energy dispersion function Eq. (2.11), if we write $q_1 = (q_{1x}; q_{1y})$ and $q_2 = (q_{2x}; q_{2y})$, and dene $q_3 = (q_{1x}; q_{2y})$ and $q_4 = (q_{2x}; q_{1y})$ then we have, $q_1 + q_2 = q_3 + q_4$ and $E(q_1) + E(q_2) = E(q_3) + E(q_4)$. We call this fact, that component exchanges in the pair q_1 and q_2 gives a pair q_3 and q_4 that have the same totalm on entum and energy, the pair component exchange sym metry of our energy dispersion function E(q). This sym m etry is is due to the fact that our E(q) is separable into a x part and a y part (i.e., $E(q) = E_x(q_x) + E_y(q_y)$ where $E_x(q) = -2tcosq = E_y(q)$ in our model).⁴⁴

The pair component exchange symmetry says that if $q_{1x} \in q_{2x}$ and $q_{1y} \in q_{2y}$, then the state $\dot{y}_3; q_4 i$, with q_3 and q_4 de ned above using component exchange, has the same total momentum and energy as $\dot{y}_1; q_2 i$. The degenerate perturbation theory requires $\dot{y}_3; q_4 i$ should be included in the set of nonperturbed states with $\dot{y}_1; q_2 i$.

W ith a noninteracting two-particle energy E $_{\rm 0}$ and totalm om entum P , we divide the N $\,$ wavevectors into two disjoint sets,

$$Q_0 = fq jE(q) + E(P q) = E_0g; Q = fq jq \mathcal{B} Q_0g:$$
(3.1)

Note that if $q \ge Q_0$ then P $q \ge Q_0$. Denote N₀ the number of elements in Q_0 and N = N N₀ the number of elements in Q.W ith this separation of q, our eigenstate Eq. (2.13) becomes

where the rst sum contains all states whose noninteracting energy is degenerate. U sing the idea of degenerate perturbation theory, we expect to be able to nd a secular matrix T, N₀ N₀, for the degenerate states in Q₀ only, and T will eventually be our momentum space t-matrix, which we will derive now.

Note that using Eq. (2.14), the number of independent states in the rst sum of Eq. (3.2) is less than N₀. We include both jq; P qi and p q; qi in our calculation because we are considering boson and ferm ion problem s at the same time: the symmetric solution g(q) = g(P - q)is a boson solution and the antisymmetric solution g(q) = g(P - q) is a fermion solution (see Eq. (2.14)).

B. Derivation of the t-m atrix

O ur purpose is to derive a set of closed equations for g(q), the coe cent in our two-particle state Eq. (3.2), with $q \ge Q_0$.

The Schrödinger equation for the two-particle state j i, Eq. (2.15), can now be written as,

$$(E \quad E(q) \quad E(P \quad q))g(q) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{r^0}^{N} e^{iqr^0} V(r^0)g(r^0); (3.3)$$

where $g(\mathbf{r})$ is the Fourier transform of g(q) as de ned in Eq. (2.16).

For $q \ge Q$, if we assume that $E \notin E(q) + E(P = q)$, Eq. (3.3) becomes

$$g^{0}(\mathbf{r}) = g(\mathbf{r})$$

 r^{0}
 $G (E; P; r; r^{0}) V (r^{0}) g(r^{0}); (3.4)$

where we have de ned a G reen function for the set ${\tt Q}$,

G (E; P; r; r⁰) =
$$\frac{1}{N} \frac{X}{e^{2Q}} \frac{e^{iq} (r^{0} r)}{E E (q) E (P q)};$$
 (3.5)

and a Fourier transform with vectors in Q_{0} ,

$$g^{0}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\substack{q \ge Q_{0} \\ q \ge Q_{0}}}^{X} e^{iq \cdot \mathbf{r}} g(q):$$
 (3.6)

By restricting to the nearest-neighbor repulsion potential Eq. (2.9), Eq. (3.4) becomes,

$$g^{0}(\mathbf{r}) = g(\mathbf{r}) \qquad \begin{array}{c} X \\ G (\mathbf{E}; \mathbf{P}; \mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}_{j}) \forall g(\mathbf{R}_{j}) \\ j \end{array}$$
(3.7)

sum m ed over neighbor vector Eq. (2.10). N ow restricting $r = R_i$ in Eq. (3.7), we get a set of four equations,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & X \\ O_{i} = & i & G_{ij} (E; P) (V_{j}); \\ & & j \end{array}$$
(3.8)

where we have written

$$G_{ij} (E; P) = G (E; P; R_{i}; R_{j})$$
 (3.9)

and $_{i} = g(R_{i})$ and $_{0i} = g^{0}(R_{i})$. Eq. (3.8) is a matrix equation,

$$_{0} = (I \quad G(E; P)V);$$
 (3.10)

where G is 4 4, and $_0$ are 4 1, and V is a scalar (strength of potential). And we can invert the matrix to get,

= I G (E; P)
$$V^{1}_{0}$$
: (3.11)

This is a key result, as we have expressed the desired function g, a Fourier transform of g(q) including all q, in term s of g^0 which includes only q 2 Q₀; the information about other q 2 Q was packaged into the G reen function G (E; P).

Now we go back to Eq. (3.3), restrict the summation to R $_{\rm i},$ and substitute in V g_i from Eq. (3.11), and we get,

(E E (q) E (P q))g (q) =
$$\int_{q^{0}2Q_{0}}^{\Lambda} T$$
 (E; P; q; q⁰)g (q⁰):
(3.12)

where in the last step we have used the Fourier transform of g^0 (R₁) Eq. (3.6) and de ned,

T (E; P; q; q⁰) =
$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{ij}^{X} e^{iqR_{i}} e^{iq^{0}R_{j}} V (I G(E)V)^{1}_{ij}$$

(3.13)

If we restrict $q \ge Q_0$ in Eq. (3.12), then we have,

$$(E E_0)g(q) = \begin{array}{c} X \\ T (E;P;q;q^0)g(q^0); \quad (3.14) \\ g^{0}20_0 \end{array}$$

which means,

$$E = E_0 + E igenvalue(T(E)); \qquad (3.15)$$

where we have written

$$T_{q;q^{0}}(E) = T(E;P;q;q^{0})$$
 (3.16)

and left out the dependence on P . $T_{q;q^0}$ is the t-m atrix in momentum space. Both q and q^0 in Eq. (3.14) are in Q₀, which means that if there are N₀ elements in Q₀ then the matrix T (E) is N₀ N₀.

Eq. (3.15) is our desired equation that show s explicitly the interaction correction to the noninteracting energy E_0 . In Appendix B, we show the physical meaning of T (E; P;q;q⁰) in the language of diagram matic perturbation theory, namely it is the sum total of all the terms with repeated scattering of the same two particles. This t-matrix form alism for the two-particle problem is therefore exact, and it is exactly equivalent to the Schrödinger equation and the Green function form alism in Sec. II. The resulting equation is an implicit equation on E, of the form $E = E_0 + E$ (E) of Eq. (1.2), and we will show in a later section that for fermions the approximation $E = E_0 + E$ (E 0) is often very good.

Note also that for our case V = +1, the t-m atrix expression Eq. (3.13) becomes

T (E; P; q; q⁰) =
$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{ij}^{X} e^{iqR_{i}} e^{iq^{0}R_{j}}$$
 G (E) i_{ij} ;
(3.17)

where the potential V cancels out, giving a nite value. This is one of the advantages of the t-m atrix form alism that it can deal with in nite (singular) potential, for which straightforward perturbation theory would diverge. The de nition of T (E;P;q;q⁰) in Eq. (3.13) is a Fourier transform of the real space quantity V (I G (E)V)¹. Here G is 4 4 because we have nearestneighbor interaction. When there is only on-site interaction, as is in the usual Hubbard model case, G (E) = G (E;P;(0;0);(0;0)), Eq. (3.5), is a scalar. Then, we can simply use the scalar quantity V=(I GV), which is the t-matrix that has appeared in K anam ori,²³ M attis,²⁵ Rudin and M attis,²⁶ and Y osida.²⁴ Our expression, Eq. (3.13), is more complicated because we have nearest-neighbor interaction (and thus the relevance of R_j).

C. Sym m etry considerations

In Sec. IIB 1, after deriving the general G reen function equation using G (E), we specialized to rectangularboundary lattices and used lattice re ection symmetries to diagonalize the 4 4 m atrix G (E) and obtained scalar equations. Here our t-m atrix equation Eq. (3.15) requires us to nd the eigenvalues of the t-m atrix T. In this section, we use particle permutation symmetry and pair component exchange symmetry to diagonalize the N₀ N₀ t-m atrix T (E) for a few special cases.

1. N₀ = 1

There is only one momentum vector in Q_0 . Let us write $Q_0 = fq_1 g$ (this implies that $P = q_1 = q_1$). Then there is only one unperturbed two-particle basis state $jq_1;q_1i$ (see Eq. (3.2)). This must be a boson state, and T (E) is a number. We write the resulting scalar equation as,

$$E = E_0 + T_1 (E)$$
: (3.18)

2.
$$N_0 = 2$$

Here Q₀ = fq₁;q₂g with q₁ + q₂ = P. The basis states are $jq_1;q_2i$ and $jq_2;q_1i$. The sym metric (boson) combination is $(jq_1;q_2i+jq_2;q_1i)=\overline{2}$, and the antisym metric (ferm ion) combination is $(jq_1;q_2i-jq_2;q_1i)=\overline{2}$. These have to be the eigenvectors of T (E). And that is to say that if we de ne

$$S_2 = \frac{1}{\frac{p}{2}} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{-1}$$
; (3.19)

then we have $S_2 = S_2^t, S_2^2 = I$, and

$$S_2 T (E) S_2 = \begin{pmatrix} T_{1,1}(E) & 0 \\ 0 & T_{1,1}(E) \end{pmatrix} : (3.20)$$

Here $T_{1;1}$ (E) and $T_{1;1}$ (E) are scalars that correspond to boson and ferm ion symmetries respectively. And our t-matrix equation Eq. (3.15) is reduced to two scalar equations,

$$E = E_0 + T_{1;1} (E); \quad E = E_0 + T_{1;1} (E); \quad (3.21)$$

for bosons and ferm ions respectively. Our notation for the eigenvalues of T (E) is always to write T with subscripts that are the coe cients (in order) of the N $_0$ two-particle basis vectors.

3.
$$N_0 = 4$$

Here $Q_0 = fq_1;q_2;q_3;q_4g$ with $q_1 + q_2 = q_3 + q_4 = P$. The basis states are $jq_1;q_2i$, $jq_2;q_1i$, $jq_3;q_4i$, and $jq_4;q_3i$. Using particle permutation symmetry, we get two states with even symmetries appropriate for bosons, which generically would be

$$a(\dot{y}_1;q_2i+\dot{y}_2;q_1i)+b(\dot{y}_3;q_4i+\dot{y}_4;q_3i);b(\dot{y}_1;q_2i+\dot{y}_2;q_1i)+a(\dot{y}_3;q_4i+\dot{y}_4;q_3i);22)$$

and two odd (ferm ion-type) states,

a
$$(\dot{y}_1; q_2 i \dot{y}_2; q_1 i) + b(\dot{y}_3; q_4 i \dot{y}_4; q_3 i);$$

b $(\dot{y}_1; q_2 i \dot{y}_2; q_1 i) + a(\dot{y}_3; q_4 i \dot{y}_4; q_3 i) 23)$

where a and b are arbitrary coe cients to be determ ined.

Recall N₀ = 4 m eans the pair $(q_1;q_2)$ has the same totalm on entum and energy as $(q_3;q_4)$, which m ay happen for various reasons. When the reason is the pair component exchange symmetry (of Sec. IIIA), i.e. $q_3 = (q_{1x};q_{2y})$ and $q_4 = (q_{2x};q_{1y})$, then a = b = 1=2, due to a hidden symmetry under the permutation 1 \$ 3;2 \$ 4. The only e ect this permutation has on the momentum transfers $q_i \quad q_j$ is to change the sign of one or both components; but the potential V (r) is is symmetric under rection through either coordinate axis, hence V ($q_i \quad q_j$) is invariant under the permutation. Since the t-m atrix depends only on V ($q_i \quad q_j$), it inherits this symmetry. Next, if we de ne

$$S_{4} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 2 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} (3.24)$$

then we have $S_4 = S_4^t, S_4^2 = I$, and $S_4 T (E) S_4$ becomes diagonal with four eigenvalues of T (E): $T_{1;1;1;1} (E)$, $T_{1;1;1;1} (E), T_{1;1;1;1} (E)$, and $T_{1;1;1;1} (E)$. And our t-m atrix equation Eq. (3.15) is reduced to

$$E = E_0 + T_{1;1;1;1} (E); \quad E = E_0 + T_{1;1;1;1} (E); \quad (3.25)$$

for bosons and

$$E = E_0 + T_{1;1;1;1} (E); \quad E = E_0 + T_{1;1;1;1} (E);$$
(3.26)

for ferm ions.

The three cases $N_0 = 1;2$, and $N_0 = 4$ with pair component exchange sym m etry are three special cases in which we know the eigenvectors of T and can therefore diagonalize T from sym m etry considerations easily.

D i erent or larger values of N₀ are possible when P has a special sym m etry, e.g. when $P_x = P_y$, N₀ = 8 generically since Q₀ includes pairs such as $(q_{1y};q_{1x}); (q_{2y};q_{2x})$. For these general cases, we return to Eq. (3.15) and diagonalize T num erically. For example, on a L L lattice, the pairs (0,1) (0; 1) and (1,0) (1;0) have the same total energy and m om entum, but this is not due to the pair com ponent exchange sym m etry. In this case, we num erically diagonalize the 4 4 m atrix T (E), and we nd that in the ferm ion eigenvectors, Eqs. (3.23) and (3.23), a $\frac{6}{5}$ b.

D. Solving for energy

The example system that we will study here is 10 11 with P = (0;0). The noninteracting and interacting energies of the system are in Table I. It can be seen that all of the energies listed in Table I are of the three cases discussed in Sec. IIIC: N₀ = 1, N₀ = 2, and N₀ = 4 due to pair component exchange symmetry.

TABLE I: The 12 low -lying noninteracting and exact twoparticle energies of the 10 11 lattice with total momentum P = (0;0), q_1 and $q_2 = P$ q_1 are the momentum vectors.

q_1	\mathbf{q}_2	$E(q_1) + E(q_2)$	boson	ferm ion
(0;0)	(0;0)	-8.000000000	-7.9068150537	-7.3117803781
(0;1)	(0; 1)	-7.3650141313	-7.2998922545	-7.1770594424
(1;0)	(1;0)	-7,2360679774	-6.9713379459	-6.4994071102
(1; 1)	(1;1)	-6.6010821088	-6.6010821088	-6.4700873024
(1;1)	(1; 1)	-6.6010821088	-6.0227385416	-5.5449437453
(0;2)	(0; 2)	-5.6616600520	-5.4277094111	-5.1475674826
(2;0)	(2;0)	-5,2360679774	-5.0769765528	-4.8309218202
(1;2)	(1;2)	-4.8977280295	-4.8977280295	-4.7226011845
(1; 2)	(1;2)	-4.8977280295	-4 . 6571944706	-4.3808316899
(2; 1)	(2;1)	-4.6010821088	-4.6010821088	-4.1884725717
(2 ; 1)	(2;1)	-4.6010821088	-3.5439149838	-3.3270813673
(0 ; 3)	(0; 3)	-3.4307406469	-3.1234645374	-2.8242092883

We solve for energy E in the inplicit equation, E = E₀ + T (E), where T (E) represents the eigenvalues of T (E), e.g., $T_{1; 1}$ (E). We plot f (E) = E₀ + T (E) along with a line y = E. Their intersections are the desired energies E.

1. $N_0 = 1$ case

In Fig. 3, we plot f(E) versus E for the 10 11 lattice with P = (0;0) and the noninteracting energy $E_0 = 8.0 = E(0) + E(0)$. Here $Q_0 = f(0;0)g$, and the nonperturbed state is $jq_1 = (0;0)$; P $q_1 = (0;0)iw$ hich can only be a boson state. The energy intersections from Fig. 3 are 7:906, 7:299, 6:971, 6:022, and so on. Looking into Table I, we see that these are all boson energies.



FIG. 3: $f(E) = E_0 + T_1(E)$ versus E for 10 11 lattice with P = (0;0) and $E_0 = 8:0$ (i.e., $E_0 = E(0) + E(0)$.) The intersections with the line y = E are the exact two-particle energies.

In Fig. 3, note also that the energy 6:601, which is an exact eigenenergy from exact diagonalization, does not appear as an intersection in Fig. 3. This is a special energy, being also a noninteracting energy. Earlier, as m entioned at the beginning of Sec. IIIB, we assumed that our $E \in E(q) + E(P-q)$ for any $q \ge Q$, so this energy is excluded from our t-m atrix form ulation. We will address later in Sec. IIID 3 this kind of exact solutions that are also noninteracting energies.

N ote that our equation $E = E_0 + T$ (E) is a reform ulation of the Schrodinger equation with certain symmetry considerations, and it should be satised by all energies E with the same symmetry. Building T (E) from E₀ and P does not automatically give us a unique interacting energy E that corresponds to the noninteracting energy E₀. However, we can see clearly from Fig. 3, if we perturb the exact solutions by a small amount E ! E + , then f (E) changes drastically except for the lowest energy E = 7:906. That is to say that these other energies, for example E = 6:971, are exact solutions of the equation f (E) = E, but they are not stable solutions. From the plot, only E = 7:906 com es close to being stable.

We can be more precise about this notion of stability. If we have an iteration $x_{n+1} = f(x_n)$, and x is a x point (i.e., f(x) = x), then the iteration is linear stable at x if and only if $jf^0(x)j < 1$. In our plots, we have included a line y = E with slope one, which can be used as a stability guide. An intersection (x point) is linearly stable when the function f(E) at the intersection is not as steep as the straight line.

2. $N_0 = 2$ case

In Fig. 4 we plot for $E_0 = 7:365$ and P = (0;0) with $Q_0 = f(0;1)$; (0; 1)g. The boson function $f(E) = E_0 + T_{1;1}(E)$ is the dotted line in the top graph, and the ferm ion function $f(E) = E_0 + T_{1;1}(E)$ is the solid line in the bottom graph.



FIG.4: f(E) versus E for 10 11 lattice with P = (0;0) and E₀ = 7:365 (i.e., E₀ = E(0;1) + E(0; 1).) The top graph (dotted line) is for boson $f(E) = E_0 + T_{1;1}(E)$, and the top graph (solid line) for ferm ion $f(E) = E_0 + T_{1;1}(E)$. The ferm ion curve is essentially at near $E = E_0$.

The intersections closest to $E_0 = 7.365$ are 7.299, the rst excited boson energy (see Table I), and 7.311, the lowest ferm ion energy. Note that the curve on which the ferm ion intersection (7.311) lies is very at. In other words for this ferm ion energy $E_{0} + T(E_{0})$, i.e., the rst iteration using the noninteracting energy gives an energy very close to the exact value. More precisely, we nd with $E_0 = 7.365014$, $f(E_0) = E_0 + T(E_0) =$ 7.310584, which is very close to E = 7.31178. M any t-m atrix calculations, 23,24,25,26 use the rst iteration E

 $E_0 + T (E_0)$ as an approximation to the exact energy, and

we see in this case this approximation is very good. (We will come back to this point later in Sec. IIIE .)

3.
$$N_0 = 4$$
 case



FIG. 5: Boson f (E) versus E for 10 11 lattice with P = (0;0) and E₀ = 6:601. The dotted line is for $T_{1,1,1,1}$ and the horizontal dot-dashed line for $T_{1,1,1,1}$ (which corresponds to a noninteracting state, see text at the end of this section).



FIG. 6: Fermion f(E) versus E for 10 11 lattice with P = (0;0) and E_0 = 6:601. The solid line is for T_1 ; 1;1; 1 and the long-dashed line for T_1 ; 1; 1;1 . Note that closely spaced fermion energy pairs are separated by symmetry.

In Figs. 5 and 6, we plot f(E) for $E_0 = E(1; 1) + E(1;1) = E(1;1) + E(1; 1) = 6:601$ and P = (0;0).

For this N₀ = 4 case we have two boson functions, plotted in Fig. 5, f (E) = E₀ + T_{1;1;1;1} (E) (dotted line) and f (E) = E₀ + T_{1;1;1;1} (E) (dot-dashed line), and we have two ferm ion functions, plotted in Fig. 6, f (E) = E₀ + T_{1;1;1;1} (E) (solid line) and f (E) = E₀ + T_{1;1;1;1} (E) (solid line) and f (E) = E₀ + T_{1;1;1;1} (E) (dashed line). The ferm ion intersections closest to E₀ are 6:499 and 6:470. Here again the two ferm ion curves are very at. The two boson intersections closest to E₀ are 6:022 and 6:601. Note that the latter is also a noninteracting energy, and it is the intersection of the horizontal line E = E₀ with y = E.

One interesting observation of the ferm ion plot in Fig.6 is that pairs of closely spaced energies (for example

7:311 and 7:177) lie on di erent sym m etry curves. W e know that if we have a square lattice (for exam ple 10 10) then the noninteracting ferm ion energies come in pairs. Here, we have chosen a 10 11 lattice that is close to a square but does not have exact degeneracies. We see that the resulting closely spaced pairs are separated by sym m etry considerations.

A nother interesting observation from Fig.5 for bosons is that we have a horizontal line that corresponds to $T_{1;1; 1; 1}$ (E) = 0. For this case the noninteracting energy is an exact energy. That is to say, (1;1; 1; 1) is a null vector of T (E) (see Sec. IIIC 3), or the eigenstate,

$$\dot{\mathbf{y}}_1; \mathbf{q}_2 \mathbf{i} + \dot{\mathbf{y}}_2; \mathbf{q}_1 \mathbf{i} \dot{\mathbf{y}}_3; \mathbf{q}_4 \mathbf{i} \dot{\mathbf{y}}_4; \mathbf{q}_3 \mathbf{i};$$
 (3.27)

with $q_3 = (q_{1x};q_{2y})$ and $q_4 = (q_{2x};q_{1y})$ is an exact eigenstate of the Ham iltonian. This can be shown easily using the Schrodinger equation Eq. (2.15). We have $g(q_1) = g(q_2) = 1$, $g(q_3) = g(q_4) = 1$, and g(q) = 0 for all other q, and we can easily show $V(q q_1) + V(q q_2) \quad V(q q_3) \quad V(q q_4) = 0$ (because V (k) can be separated into a sum of two terms that involve the x and y components separately).

Transforming to the real space, without worrying about norm alization, we can have

$$g(\mathbf{r}) = \begin{cases} X \\ e^{iq \mathbf{r}}g(\mathbf{q}) \\ q \\ e^{iq_{1x}X} e^{iq_{2x}X} e^{iq_{1y}Y} e^{iq_{2y}Y} (328) \end{cases}$$

where we have used the fact mentioned above that g(q) is not zero for only four q's which are related by pair com – ponent exchange symmetry. It is clear from Eq. (3.28) that g(0;y) = 0 = g(x;0), which means that the wave function in relative position space is \d-wave" like, having nodes along x and y axes (thus happens to have nodes at every relative position where the potential would be nonzero).

E. Ferm ion: noninteracting to interacting

In this section we use the t-m atrix techniques developed in the preceding sections of this section to study the relationship between the noninteracting energies and

the interacting energies. W e start with the table of energies in Table I for the 10 11 lattice with P = (0; 0). We have asked in the introduction to this section whether we can go from the noninteracting to the interacting energies and now we know that we have an equation $E = E_0 + T(E)$ where T(E) is the symmetry reduced scalar t-m atrix function. From our graphs (Fig. 4 and Fig. 6) we have commented that for ferm ions the curve of T (E) around E_0 is quite at (which is not the case for bosons). And we mentioned that this implies that the approxim ation E $E_0 + T (E_0)$ is close to the exact energy. Now in this section, we study the t-m atrix approach for a speci c system . W e will denote $E_1 = E_0 + T (E_0)$, the rst iteraction result, and $E_{n+1} = E_0 + T (E_n)$, the nth iteration result.

In Table II we show the t-m atrix calculation for the 10 11 lattice. We show for the lowest few states the non-interacting energy E_0 , the rst t-m atrix iteration E_1 , the fth t-m atrix iteration E_5 , and the exact energy $E_{\rm exact}$. In Fig. 7 these energy levels are plotted graphically. From the table, it is clear that the rst t-m atrix iteration result E_1 is quite close to the exact energy, and the fth iteration result E_5 gives a value that is practically indistinguishable from the exact value.



FIG.7: Two-ferm ion energy levels for the 10 11 lattice with P = (0;0). From left to right, the lowest few noninteracting energies E_0 , rst t-m atrix iteration E_1 , flh t-m atrix iteration E_5 , and the exact energy E_{exact} are plotted. Note that the third noninteracting energy from the bottom is doubly degenerate (see Table II).

IV . A FEW FERM IONS: SHELL EFFECT AND T-M ATRIX

In Sec. II, we used lattice G reen function to study the problem of two particles (bosons and ferm ions), and at the end of that section, in Sec. IID, we obtained the ground state energy of a few particles on a large lattice by sum m ing up the energy of each pair of particles. This section contains a m uch m ore detailed study of the few -ferm ion problem : we will consider rst the ferm ion shelle ect and then we will study the interaction correction to energy (ground state and excited states) for a few ferm ions (three, four, and ve) using the t-m atrix.

Our results { summarized in Sec. IV F { con rm that, in the dilute lim it, alm ost all of the interaction correction is accounted for by the two-body term s of the t-m atrix approximation, Eq. (4.1). But (recall Eq. (1.3)) that is a hallm ark of a Ferm i liquid picture; i.e., our num erical results suggest its validity at low densities. This is a nontrivial result, in that rstly, the validity of Ferm i liquid theory in a nite-system context has rarely been considered. Standard t-m atrix theory depends on a Ferm i surface which (at T = 0) is completely sharp in momentum space, and every pair's t-m atrix excludes scattering into the same set of occupied states. In a nite system, however, the allowed q vectors fall on a discrete grid, and since the total number of particles is nite, the tmatrices of di erent pairs see a som ew hat di erent set of excluded states (since they do not exclude them selves, and one particle is a non-negligible fraction of the total).

Secondly, and more essentially, the analytic justi cations of Ferm i liquid theory exist only in the cases of spinfull ferm ions (in a continuum). That case is dom inated by s-wave scattering, so that the t-m atrix approaches a constant in the lim it of sm all momenta (and hence in the dilute lim it). Our spinless case is rather di erent, as will be elaborated in Sec. V, because the t-m atrix is dom inated by the p-wave channel, which vanishes at sm all momenta. Thus the q dependence is crucial in our case, and the num erical agreem ent is less trivial than it would be for s-wave scattering.

In this section, after an exhibition of the shell e ect (Sec. IV A), we present a general recipe for the multiferm ion t-matrix calculation. This is developed by the simplest cases, chosen to clarify when degeneracies do or do not arise.

A. Ferm ion shelle ect

At zero tem perature, the ground state of noninteracting ferm ions is form ed by lling the one-particle states one by one from the lowest to higher energies. For our m odelofspinless ferm ions on a square lattice, we have the two ingredients for the shell e ect: ferm ionic exclusion and degeneracies of one-particle states due to the form of our energy function and lattice sym metry. Shelle ects have been noted previously in interacting models,⁴⁵ our code, permitting non-rectangular boundary conditions, allow s us to see even more cases of them

In Fig. 8 we show the exact and for comparison the noninteracting ground state energies for the 5 8 and 7 7 lattices for up to seven particles. The energy increment curve E(M) = E(M-1) is plotted and show s clearly the

TABLE II: Ferm ion energies for 10 11 lattice with P = (0;0). $E_0 = E(q_1) + E(q_2)$ is the noninteracting energy. $E_n = E_0 + T(E_{n-1})$ where T(E) is the symmetry reduced t-matrix. Here only ferm ion energies (from $T_{1; 1}$ or $T_{1; 1; 1; 1}$ and $T_{1; 1; 1; 1; 1; 1}$) are included.

q_1	q ₂	Εo	E 1	E 5	E exact
(0,1)	(0,-1)	-7.365014	-7.310598893	-7.311780378	-7.311780378
(1,0)	(-1,0)	-7.236067	-7.17521279	-7.17705944	-7.177059442
(1,-1)	(-1,1)	-6.601082	-6.493807907	-6.49940706	-6.49940711
(1,1)	(-1,-1)	-6.601082	-6.460962404	-6.470087137	-6.470087302
(0 , 2)	(0 , –2)	-5.661660	-5.532751985	-5.54494225	-5.544943745
(2,0)	(-2,0)	-5.236067	-5.134290466	-5.147558003	-5.147567483

shelle ect.



FIG. 8: Shell e ect for 5 8 and 7 7 lattices. Exact, interacting groundstate energies are compared with noninteracting energies for up to seven particles. Energy increment E(M) = E(M - 1) is shown.

The led shells for the 5 8 lattice are at M = 3 (with momentum vectors (0;0)(0; 1) occupied) and M = 5 (with (0;0)(0; 1)(1;0) occupied). On the other hand, M = 3 is not a led shellof the 7 7 lattice. For compar-

ison, we show the boson energy plot for the 5 $\,$ 8 lattice in F ig. 9. Because bosons can all be at the zero-m om entum state, where energy is $\,$ 4, the total non interacting energy is $\,$ 4M $\,$ T he exact energy curve shows sm ooth changes when M increases. There is no shell e ect.



FIG.9: Boson noninteracting and exact groundstate energies for the 5 8 lattice with one to seven particles. Because bosons can all be at the zero-momentum state, where the energy is 4, the noninteracting energy is 4M. The exact energy shows smooth changes when M increases. There is no shell e ect.

B. General multi-ferm ion theory

The key notion for generalizing our two-ferm ion approach to M ferm ions is that the set Q_0 now consists of every M -tuple of wavevectors that gives the same total momentum and noninteracting energy. This de nes a reduced H ilbert space, with the corresponding basis states j i. We can construct an approximate, e ective H am iltonian H₀ + H_{tm} acting within Q_0 -space, where H_{tm} is a sum of pairwise t-matrix terms, each of which changes just two ferm ion occupancies:

$$H_{tm} = T$$
(4.1)

The notation P_0 means the sum only includes the pair (;) when j idiers from j iby a change of two fermions.

Thus, each term in Eq. (4.1) is associated with a particular ferm ion pair (qi; qi). Each such pairw ise t-m atrix can be viewed as a sum of all possible repeated scatterings of those two ferm ions through interm ediate states, except that interm ediate states which are already included in Q $_0$ are excluded. (The most important om ission of this approxim ation would be the processes in which three or more ferm ions are permutated before the system returns to the Q_0 Hilbert space.) Each term is a twoferm ion t-matrix calculated according to the recipe of Secs. IIIB and IIID { thus each term has its own twoferm ion wavevector set $Q_0^{i;j}$ and complem entary set $Q_0^{i;j}$, as de ned in Eq. (3.1). The only change in the recipe is to augment the set $Q^{1;j}$ of wavevectors forbidden in the interm ediate scatterings of the two ferm ions, since they cannot scatter into states already occupied by the other ferm ions in states and . (See Eq. (4.2) for an exam ple.)

The t-m atrix treatment is a form of perturbation expansion, for which the small parameter is obviously not V (which is large) but instead $1=L^2$, as is evident from Eq. (2.44). That is, as the lattice size is increased (with a xed set of ferm ions), the approximation captures a larger and larger fraction of the dimense E $_{\rm exact}$ E₀.

C. A three-ferm ion t-m atrix calculation

We rst compute the energy of three fermions (M = 3) for the 8 9 lattice with P = 0. For this example calculation, we have chosen $L_x \notin L_y$ to reduce the number of degeneracies in the noninteracting spectrum. In Fig. 10 we show the lowest venoninteracting levels and the corresponding states in momentum space.

Let us consider the lowest noninteracting state in the 9, P = (0; 0), and M = 3 system, with three momentum vectors: $q_1 = (0;1), q_2 = (0;0), and q_3 = (0; 1)$ (see Fig. 10). And let us rst consider the interaction of the pair q_1 and q_2 . The noninteracting energy of the pair is $E_0^{12} = E(q_1) + E(q_2) = 7$:682507 and the total momentum is $P_{12} = q_1 + q_2 = (0;1)$. As usual, we use E_0^{12} and P_{12} to form the set Q_0^{12} (Eq. (3.1)). Here there are no other degenerate vectors so $Q_0^{12} = f(0;0); (0;1)g$. The three-particle problem is dierent from the twoparticle case in the choice of Q^{12} , the set of m om entum vectors that the two particles can scatter into. Due to the presence of the third particle and Pauliexclusion, the two particles at $q_1 = (0;1)$ and $q_2 = (0;0)$ cannot be scattered into the m om entum vector $q_3 = (0; 1)$, so we must exclude q_3 from Q^{12} . Furtherm ore, even though there is no particle at P_{12} $q_3 = (0;1)$ (0; 1) = (0;2), this m om entum cannot be scattered into, because otherw ise the other particle would be scattered into the occupied q_3 . That is to say, the momentum vectors that can be



FIG.10: Lowest venoninteracting energy levels for the 8 9 lattice with M = 3 ferm ions and totalm om entum P = (0;0). States in mom entum space are drawn.

scattered into are

$$Q^{12} = fq \dot{q} \in q_1; q_2; q_3; P_{12} = q_3 g$$
 (4.2)

This exclusion is shown graphically in Fig. 11.

The t-m atrix form alism can then be applied using Q₀¹² and Q¹² to compute energy correction $T^{12} (E_{12})$ for the interaction of the q₁ and q₂ pair. Here $T^{12} (E)$ here is the \ferm ion" function $T_{1; 1} (E) (Eq. (3.21))$, corresponding to the antisymmetric eigenvector of the t-m atrix T (E); the tilde denotes the modi cation due to exclusion of the set Q¹². When the t-m atrix contributes a small correction, it is accurate to use the bare values, $E_{ij}^{0} = E(q_i) + E(q_j)$, and this approximation was used for all tables and gures in this section.

The total energy within this approximation is a sum of the t-matrix corrections for all possible pairs in the system, which are $(q_2;q_3)$, and $(q_1;q_3)$ in the present case:

$$E_{tm} = E(q_1) + E(q_2) + E(q_3) + T^{12}(E_{12}) + T^{13}(E_{13}) + T^{23}(E_{23}):$$
(4.3)

This is a special case of the elective Hamiltonian Eq. (4.1), which reduces to a 1 1 matrix in the nondegenerate case. (That is, whenever the set Q_0 of multiferm ion occupations has just one member.) The momentum space exclusions due to the presence of other particles are depicted in Fig. 11, and the num erical values of this calculation are given in Table III.

A more accurate approximation is to enforce a selfconsistency,

$$E_{ij} E_{ij}^{0} + T^{ij}(E_{ij})$$
 (4.4)

where as de ned above $E_{ij}^{0} = E(q_i) + E(q_j)$. It should be cautioned that the physical justi cation is in perfect: if we visualize this approximation via a path integral or a Feynman diagram, the self-consistent formula would mean that other pairs may be scattering simultaneously with pair (ij), yet we did not take into account that the other pairs' uctuations would modify the set of sites Q^{ij} accessible to this pair. In any case, analogous to the two-particle t-matrix (Sec. III), we could solve Eq. (4.4) iteratively setting $E_{ij}^{n+1} = E_{ij}^{0} + T^{ij}(E_{ij}^{n})$, until successive iterates agree within a tolerance that we chose to be 10¹⁵, which happened after some tens iterations.



FIG.11: Momentum space exclusions in t-matrix M = 3 calculation for the state (0,0) (0,1) (0,-1). The crosses indicate exclusions when calculating pair energy for (0,0) (0,1) (left g-ure), (0,0) (0,-1) (middle), and (0,1) (0,-1) (right) respectively.

TABLE III: T-m atrix calculation for the 8 9 lattice with M = 3 noninteracting particles $q_1 = (0;0)$, $q_2 = (0;1)$, and $q_3 = (0; 1)$. The total noninteracting energy is $E_0 = E(q_1) + E(q_2) + E(q_3)$ and the total t-m atrix correction is $T = T^{12} + T^{13} + T^{23}$. The energy calculated using the t-m atrix is then $E_{tm} = E_0 + T$ and the exact energy from diagonalization is E_{exact} . $E_0^{ij} = E(q_1) + E(q_j)$, is the noninteracting energy of the (i; j) pair.

Q o ^{ij}	P _{ij}	E ₀ ^{ij}	$\mathtt{T}^{\mathtt{i}\mathtt{j}}$
(0,0) (0,1)	(0,1)	-7.532088886	0.041949215
(0,0)(0,-1)	(0,-1)	-7.532088886	0.041949215
(0,1)(0,-1)	(0,0)	-7.064177772	0.118684581
Colum n sum		Ť	= 0:202583012
Noninteractin	ng total	E ₀ =	11:064177772
T -m atrix tota	al	E _{tm} =	10:861594761
E xact total		$E_{exact} =$	10:871031687

U sing the same procedure, we can also calculate the t-m atrix energies for the nondegenerate excited states of the M = 3 system in Fig. 10: the (1;0)(0;0)(1;0) and (0;2)(0;0)(0; 2) states. The results are shown in Table IV. Fig. 12 shows graphically the noninteracting energy levels, the t-m atrix energies for the three nondegenerate states, and the exact energies from diagonalization, and the arrows link the noninteracting energies E₀ with the t-m atrix results E_{tm} = E₀ + T. The agreem ent between E_{tm} and E_{exact} is good.

D. A ve-ferm ion t-m atrix calculation

We now consider brie y a M = 5 calculation, again for the 8 9 lattice. The noninteracting ground state is 16

Ε ₀	E _{exact}	E _{tm}
-11.064178	-10.871031687	-10.861594761
-10.828427	-10.608797838	-10.595561613
-9.892605	-9 . 672121352	
-9.892605	-9.519017636	
-9.892605	-9.497189108	
-9.892605	-9.462304364	
-9.892605	-9.398345108	
-9.892605	-9.345976806	
-8.694593	-8,252919763	-8,210179503
-8,239901	-8.015024904	
-8.239901	-7.946278078	
-8.239901	-7.809576487	
-8.239901	-7.800570818	
-8.239901	-7.690625772	
-8,239901	-7.615399722	

energies for 8 9 lattice with M = 3 and P = (0;0).



FIG. 12: Noninteracting, t-m atrix, and exact energies of the three-particle ferm ion system on the 8 9 lattice with P = (0;0). The bracketed numbers refer to the degeneracies of the level (see Fig. 10). The arrows associate the noninteracting states with the t-m atrix results. We have worked on nondegenerate noninteracting states so far.

unique, with m om entum vectors $q_1 = (0;0)$, $q_2 = (0;1)$, $q_3 = (0; 1)$, $q_4 = (1;0)$, and $q_5 = (1;0)$. In Fig.13 we show the excluded set $Q^{2;4}$ of the t-m atrix com putation for the pair $(q_2;q_4)$. The m om entum vectors $(q_1;q_3;q_5)$ led with other ferm ions are excluded, of course; three m ore wavevectors are excluded since the other m ember of the pair would have to occupy one of $q_1, q_3, or q_5$, due to conservation of the totalm om entum P = (1;1). The t-m atrix results for all 10 pairs are presented in Table V.

O ne m ight think that the pair, $q_2 = (0;1)$ and $q_4 = (1;0)$, exhibits pair-exchange symmetry with (0,0)(1,1), so that N₀ = 4 as in Sec. IIIC 3 and Sec. IIID 3. How - ever, since (0,0) is occupied, the (0,1)(1,0) pair cannot scatter into the (0,0)(1,1) pair: hence (0,1)(1,0) is a generic pair with N₀^{2/4} = 2. In general, if a pair is ever free to scatter into a degenerate pair state with a di erent occupation, that m ust be part of a m any-particle state degenerate with the original one. Thus, the com plicated t-m atrix pairs with N₀^{1j} > 2 can arise in a m any-ferm ion calculation only when the noninteracting m any-ferm ion states are them selves degenerate.



FIG.13: Momentum space exclusions in t-matrix M = 5 calculation for momentum vectors $q_2 = (0;1)$ and $q_4 = (1;0)$ (dots without crosses). These two fermions are excluded from scattering into momenta from the set Q^{2;4} (marked by crosses). The ground state is shown, with occupied momenta (0,0), (0,1), (0; 1), (1,0), and (1;0) (solid dots).

TABLE V: T-matrix calculation for the 8 9 lattice with ve particles (0,0), (0,1), (0; 1), (1,0), and (1;0). The exclusions in Q for the pair (0,1) (1,0) are depicted in Fig.13.

Q ₀ ¹		E ₀		T ^{1]}
(0;0) (0;1)		-7.532088886		0.045184994
(0;0) (0; 1)		-7.532088886		0.045184994
(0;0) (1;0)		-7.414213562		0.056898969
(0;0) (1;0)		-7.414213562		0.056898969
(0;1) (0; 1)		-7.064177772		0.118684581
(0;1) (1;0)		-6.946302449		0.081095408
(0;1) (1;0)		-6.946302449		0.081095408
(0; 1) (1;0)		-6.946302449		0.081095408
(0; 1) (1;0)		-6.946302449		0.081095408
(1;0) (1;0)		-6.828427125		0.131405343
	E ₀ =	17 : 892604897	T~ =	0 : 778639481
E	exact =	17 : 145715214	E tm = 1	7:113965417

E. Degenerate states

In the ground state examples considered up to now (Secs. IV C and IV D), the noninteracting states were all nondegenerate. Let us now study a degenerate state in the third lowest level (six-fold degenerate) of M = 3

ferm ions on the 8 9 lattice : $q_2 = (0;1)$, $q_3 = (1;0)$, $q_4 = (1; 1)$. (See Fig. 10, row 3.) In this state, the pair $[q_2 = (0;1);q_3 = (1;0)]$ has the same total energy and m om entum as the pair $[q_1 = (0;0);q_5 = (1;1)]$, on account of the pair component exchange symmetry (see Sec. IIIA); consequently $[q_2;q_3]$ can be scattered into $[q_1;q_5]$ contrary to the previous example in Sec. IV D. Indeed, each of the six basis states in row 3 of Fig. 10 is connected to the next one by a two-body component exchange symmetry.

Following the two-fermion calculation with $N_0 = 4$ pairs (see Sec. IIIC 3), the degenerate pairs q_2q_3 and $[q_1;q_5]$ must be handled in the same set Q_0^{23} . The results Eqs. (3.23), (3.23), and (3.26) in ply

$$T^{2;3} (E_{23}) \dot{g}_{2} q_{3} \dot{i} = \frac{1}{2} (T_{1; 1;1; 1} + T_{1; 1; 1; 1}) \dot{g}_{2} q_{3} \dot{i} + \frac{1}{2} (T_{1; 1;1; 1} - T_{1; 1; 1; 1}) \dot{g}_{1} q_{5} \dot{i} (4.5)$$

Here $T_{1; 1;1; 1}$ and $T_{1;1;1; 1}$ depend in plicitly on P = (0;0), on them omenta, and on the energy E_{2;3}, as wellas on Q²³ which depends on the occupation (q₄) of the third ferm ion. In this notation, each T^{i;j} acting on any state produces two terms as in Fq. (4.5). The total t-m atrix correction H am iltonian is $_{ij} T^{i;j}$, sum med over all 18 possible pairs appearing in the degenerate non interacting states. When we apply this to each state in the third row of Fig. 10, we nally obtain a 6 6 m atrix m ixing these states. D iagonalization of this m atrix would give the correct t-m atrix corrections (and eigenstates) for this \multiplet" of six states. W e have not carried out such a calculation.

It is am using to brie y consider the states in row 5 of F ig. 10, a di erent sixfold degenerate set. Unlike the row 3 case, these states separate into two subsets of three states, of which one subset has $fq_y g = 2i+1i+1$ and the other subset has the opposite q_y components. Scatterings cannot m ix these subsets, so the 6 6 m atrix breaks up into two identical 3 3 blocks. Hence the t-m atrix energies from row 5 consist of three two fold degenerate levels. By comparison, the exact interacting energies derived from these noninteracting states com e in three nearly degenerate pairs, such that the intra-pair splitting is m uch smaller than the (already small) splitting due to the t-m atrix.

F. Errors of the t-m atrix

How good are the t-m atrix results? From our example calculations on the 8 $\,$ 9 lattice, in Tables III, IV, and V, we see that E $_{\rm tm}$ and E $_{\rm exact}$ are close.

In Fig.14 we plot the noninteracting, t-m atrix, and exact energies for M = 3, P = (0;0) ground state on a series of near square lattices L (L + 1). The noninteracting ground state m om entum vectors are (0;0) (0;1) (0; 1) for this series of lattices. We do not plot for L > 12, because, as can be seen in the bottom graph, the t-m atrix

energy E_{tm} approaches the exact energy E_{exact} rapidly. To see m ore clearly the error of the t-m atrix result, we plot also E_{tm} E_{exact}, which decays very fast as the size of the lattice increases { very roughly as the L⁶ power. Even at L = 6, i.e. at a density n 0.05, the t-m atrix approximation captures 95% of the interaction energy E_{exact} E₀. These gures are based on using the bare energies in T^{ij} (E_{ij}) in Eq. (4.3). If we carried out the self-consistent calculation described in Sec. IV C), the error E_{tm} E_{exact} would be sm aller by a factor of roughly 2.5.



FIG.14: Noninteracting, t-m atrix, and exact energies for M = 3, P = (0;0) ground state (0,0) (0,1) (0; 1) on a series of L (L+1) lattices as a function of L (top graph). E_{tm} E_{exact} versus L (bottom graph).

V. THE DILUTE LIM IT: ENERGY CURVES

In this section, we are interested in the functional form of the energy as a function of particle density for both bosons and ferm ions in the dilute lim it. In the threedimensional case, the problem of dilute quantum gases with strong, repulsive, short-range interactions was rst addressed in the language of diagram matic eld theory by G alitskii⁴⁶ for ferm ions and B eliaev⁴⁷ for bosons. At that time, the ground state energy as an expansion in the particle density was also obtained for hard-sphere ferm ion and boson gases by Yang and collaborators⁴⁸ using a pseudopotential method. The eld theoretical methods were later adapted to two dimensions in particular by Schick²¹ for hard-disk bosons and by B loom ²² for hard-disk ferm ions. Som e other relevant analytic papers using a t-m atrix approach for the Hubbard m odel were discussed in Sec.IB: K anam orf^{23} and M attis²⁵ in d = 3 and R udin and M attis²⁶ for d = 2.

For both hard-disk ferm ions and bosons in two dim ensions, the leading-order correction to the noninteracting energy is found to be in the form of $n=\ln n$, where n is particle density. Expansions with second-order coefcients di erent from the results of Schick and B loom were found in Refs. 49 and 50 for the boson case and in Refs. 54 and 28 for the ferm ion case. There is no consensus at this tim e on the correct second-order coe cient for both the boson and ferm ion problem s.

Recently, Ref. 51 has proved rigorously the leadingorder expansion of the two-dimensional dilute boson gas found by Schick.²¹ Numerically, the dilute boson problem on a two-dimensional lattice has been studied using quantum M onte Carlo in Refs. 52 and 53, and they obtain good t with Schick's result. As we mentioned in Sec. IB, more recently, because of a question regarding the validity of the Ferm i liquid theory in two dimensions B bom's calculation²² has received renewed attention,^{28,30} but this result has not been checked by numerical studies.

A. Dilute bosons

For two-dimensional hard disk bosons, the energy per particle E = M at the low-density limit from diagram – matic calculations is obtained (in the spirit of Ref. 47) by Schick²¹

$$\frac{E}{M} = \frac{2 h^2}{m} \frac{n}{j \ln (na^2)j} + 0 \frac{1}{\ln (na^2)} ; \quad (5.1)$$

where n = M = N is particle density, m the mass of the boson, and a the two-dimensional scattering length. As mentioned above, the coe cient of the second-order term, has not been settled.

This hard-disk calculation was carried out using the kinetic energy $h^2k^2=2m$. In our lattice model, our hopping energy dispersion is (Eq. (2.11))

$$E(k) = 2t(\cos(k_x) + \cos(k_y))$$
 4t+ tk²; (5.2)

where we have Taylor-expanded the dispersion function near k = 0 because in the dilute limit, at the ground state, the particles occupy momentum vectors close to zero. Therefore if we use t = h = 1 and the e ective mass m such that we have the form $h^2k^2=2m$, then m = 1=2 for our system. So for our model, Schick's expansion Eq. (5.1) should become,

$$\frac{E}{M} = 4 + \frac{4 n}{j \ln (na^2) j} 1 + 0 \frac{1}{\ln (na^2)} ; (5.3)$$

where we have used a to denote the scattering length in our lattice system. There is no straightforward correspondence between Schick's scattering length a in the continuum and our a on the lattice. W ith in nite nearest-neighbor repulsion, the closest distance that our particles can come to is 2. We expect roughly 1 < a < 2, and will determ ine a more precise value from curve

tting.

In Fig. 15 we show the boson energy per particle (E =M) versus particle per site (M =N) curve for ten lattices, ranging from 25 sites to 42 sites, with three orm ore particles (M 3). The data from all these lattices collapse onto one curve, especially in the low-density lim it.



FIG. 15: Boson energy per particle E = M versus particle density M = N data for ten lattices and M 3. Data from di erent lattices collapse onto one curve. The solid line corresponds to the thing function 4+4 n (A + B = jln (na²)) with a = 1:36, A = 0:016, and B = 0:959, which is Eq. (5.4) with parameters from Table VI.

Eq. (5.3), Schick's result applied to ourm odel, suggests the following leading order thing form for E = M versus n at the low-density limit,

$$\frac{E = M + 4}{4 n} = A + \frac{B}{j\ln(na^2)j};$$
 (5.4)

That is to say, if we plot (E = M + 4) = (4 n) versus $1 = j \ln (na^2) j$ then, if Schick is correct, we should get a straight line, with intercept A = 0 and slope B = 1, with one adjustable parameter a .

In Fig. 16, we plot (E = M + 4) = (4 n) versus $1 = j\ln (na^2) j$ for the low density limit (n 0.15) for three choices of a = 1.0; 1.36; 2. The data points appear to lie on straight lines. For a = 1.36 the tted intercept is A = 0.016 and the slope B = 0.959. In Table VI we show the tted slope and intercept for a number of a choices. The slope is zero close to a = 1.34 and the intercept is zero close to a = 1.36 our data thus suggest a = 1.36 = 0.033.

In Fig. 15, the solid line is the function 4 + 4 n (A + B = jln (na²)) using a = 1:36, A = 0:016, and B = 0:959, and we obtain a good t up to n = 0:15.



FIG. 16: (E =M + 4)=(4 n) versus 1=jln(na²)j plot to check Schick's formula for two-dimensional dilute bosons (Eq. (5.3)). The data points are for M 3 and n 0:15 from those in Fig. 15, for lattices from 5 5 to 6 7. For the three a values, the a = 1:36 choice gives A = 0:016 = 0 and B = 0:959 = 1.

TABLE VI: InterceptA and slopeB in linear tting (E = M + 4)=(4 n) versus 1=jln (na) j for bosons, using Eq. (5.4). The slope is one close to a = 1:33 and the intercept is zero close to a = 1:39. So we get a = 1:36 0:03. The tting for three choices of a is plotted in Fig. 16.

а	В	A	a	В	A	a	В	A
1.00	1.855	-0,251	1.32	1.033	-0.039	1.37	0.941	-0.0099
1.10	1.547	-0.178	1.33	1.014	-0.033	1.38	0.923	-0.0043
1.20	1,289	-0.112	1.34	0.995	-0.027	1.39	0.906	0.0013
1.30	1.072	-0.050	1.35	0.977	-0.021	1.40	0.889	0.0069
1.31	1.053	-0.044	1.36	0.959	-0.016	1.414	0.865	0.015

For bosons, quantum M onte C arb can be used to obtain zero temperature energies for reasonably large systems. For a dilute boson gas on a square lattice with on-site hardcore but not nearest-neighbor interaction, Ref. 52 has tted the rst term of Schick's form ula Eq. (5.1), and Ref. 53 has used higher-order terms and included the tting of the chemical potential also. The agreem ent is good in both studies.

B. Dilute ferm ions

For ferm ions, it custom ary to write the energy perparticle expansion in terms of the Ferm iwavevector $k_{\rm F}$. For two-dimensional dilute hard disk ferm ions with a general spin s, the energy perparticle from diagrammatic calcu-

lations, is obtained by B loom 22

$$\frac{E}{M} = \frac{h^2 k_F^2}{4m} + 2s \frac{1}{j \ln (k_F a) j} + 0 + \frac{1}{\ln (k_F a)}^{2!};$$
(5.5)

(see Ref. 22 for the spin-1/2 calculation and Ref. 54 for generals).

Eq. (5.5) m eans that for our spinless ferm ions (s = 0), the leading order correction to the noninteracting energy in Eq. (5.5) is zero, which is due to the fact that Eq. (5.5) is derived for s-wave scattering. In our model, without spin, only antisymm etric spatial wavefunctions are allowed for ferm ions, and therefore the leading-order correction to the noninteracting energy should be from p-wave scattering. Ref. 20 contains a form ula for p-wave scattering in three dimensions where the leading-order correction to E E_0 is proportional to $(k_F a)^3$ while the s-wave correction is proportional to $k_F a$. We are not aware of a two-dimensional p-wave calculation in the literature, 55 and we have not worked out this p-wave problem in two dimensions. We expect that the p-wave contribution to energy should be considerably smaller than that from the s-wave term . In Sec. IID , we have considered the case of a few ferm ions on a large L Τ. lattice, and in Fig. 1 we have studied the interaction correction to the noninteracting energy E. It was shown there that E for our spinless ferm ions is much smaller than that for bosons.

Using $k_F^2 = 4$ n=(2s + 1), we can rewrite Eq. (5.5) as

$$\frac{E}{M} = \frac{h^2 n}{(2s+1)m} \quad 1 + 4s \frac{1}{j \ln (na^2)j} + 0 \quad \frac{1}{\ln (na^2)} \quad 2^{\frac{1}{2}};$$
(5.6)

In this form, it is revealed that the second term of Eq. (5.6) is identical to the rst term of the boson expression Eq. (5.1), apart from the replacement n ! 2sn=(2s + 1). In other words, the dominant interaction term for spinfull fermions is identical to the ferm for bosons, provided we replace n by the density of all spin species but one, i.e. of the spin species which can s-wave scatter o a given test particle.

VI. CONCLUSION

W e have studied a two-dimensionalmodel of stronglyinteracting fermions and bosons. This model is the sim plest model of correlated electrons. It is very dicult to study two-dimensional quantum models with short-range kinetic and potential term s and strong interaction. There are very few reliable analytical methods, and many numericalmethods are not satisfactory. With our simplied model of spinless fermions and in nite nearest-neighbor repulsion, we can use exact diagonalization to study systems much larger (in lattice size) than that can be done with the Hubbard model. One of our goals is to publicize thism odel in the strongly-correlated electron community. In this paper, we made a system atic study of the dilute lim it of our model, using a number of analytical techniques that so far have been scattered in the literature. We studied the two-particle problem using lattice G reen functions, and we demonstrated the use the lattice sym metry and G reen function recursion relations to sim plify the complications brought by nearest-neighbor interactions. We derived in detail the two-particle t-matrix for both bosons and fermions, and we showed the difference between the boson and ferm ion cases and that for fermions the rst t-matrix iteration is offen a good approximation. We applied the two-ferm ion t-matrix to the problem of a few fermions, with modi cations due to Pauli exclusion, and showed that the t-matrix approximation is good for even small lattices.

It is som ew hat puzzling that with the essential role the t-m atrix plays in alm ost every calculation in the dilute lim it with strong interactions, no system atic study of the t-m atrix for a lattice m odel has been m ade, as far as we know. We believe that our work on the two-particle tm atrix and the few-ferm ion t-m atrix is rst such study. Som e approxim ations that are routinely m ade in t-m atrix calculations are graphically presented, especially the use of rst t-m atrix iteration in calculating ferm ion energy. And we dem onstrate the qualitative di erence between the boson and ferm ion t-m atrices. We believe that this study is a solid step in understanding dilute ferm ions in two dim ensions, and is of close relevance to the 2D Ferm i liquid question.

The dilute boson and ferm ion energy per particle curves were studied in Sec. V. The boson curve was tted nicely with a previous diagram matic calculation, and our work on dilute bosons complements quantum M onte C arlo results.⁵³ For the ferm ion problem in our m odel, the leading order contribution to energy is from p-wave scattering; therefore, the series of results based on s-wave calculations by B loom,²² B ruch,⁵⁴ and Engelbrecht, et al.²⁸ are not directly available. H opefully, the work in progress on p-wave scattering will be completed, and our diagonalization data can shed light to the interesting problem of two dimensional dilute ferm ions.

Our model of spinless ferm ions and hardcore bosons with in nite nearest-neighbor repulsion involves a significant reduction of the size of the Hilbert space as com pared to the Hubbard model. This enables us to obtain exact diagonalization results form uch larger lattices than that can be done with the Hubbard model, and this also enables us to check the various analytical results (G reen function, t-m atrix, diagram m atics) in the dilute lim it with diagonalization form uch larger system s than that has been done in previous works. This paper and a companion paper¹⁵ on the dense lim it are the rst. system atic study of the spinless ferm ion model in two dim ensions. We hope that the com prehensiveness of this paper can not only draw more attention to this so far basically overlooked model but also serve as a quide for diagonalization and analytical studies in the dilute lim it.

A cknow ledgm ents

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation under grant DMR-9981744. We thank G.S.Atwalfor helpful discussions.

APPENDIX A:EXACT DIAGONALIZATION PROGRAM

This section describes brie y our exact diagonalization program. It is indebted to Refs. 56 and 7, which are guides for coding exact diagonalization in one dim ension. Here we only describe the necessary considerations in m ore then one dim ension, and focuses on the use translation symmetry to reduce the problem.

O urunderlying lattice is the square lattice, and we take the lattice constant to be unity. The periodic boundary conditions are specified by two lattice vectors R₁ and R₂, such that for any lattice vector r we have r+ n₁R₁+ n₂R₂ r, where n₁ and n₂ are two integers. In Fig. 17, we show two systems. The rst one has R₁ = (4;0) and R₂ = (0;5) so the number of lattice sites is N = 20. The second one has R₁ = (4;1) and R₂ = (1;5) so N = \Re_1 R₂ j = 19. From this example we see immediately the advantage of having skewed boundary conditions: we can have reasonably shaped system s with number of sites (here 19) not possible for an usual rectangular system.



FIG. 17: Square lattices with periodic boundary conditions: (4;0) (0;5) on the left and (4;1) (1;5) on the right. Site num bers are shown, following the num bering convention, upward and rightward.

A site order is needed to keep track of the order of the ferm ion sign. The convention that we use is starting the zeroth site from the low er left corner and move progressive upward and rightward following the square lattice structure until we encounter boundaries of the lattice dened by the periodic boundary condition vectors R₁ and R₂ (see Fig. 17). A basis state with M particles is then represented by an array of the M occupied site num bers, with nearest neighbors excluded (because V = +1 in our H am iltonian Eq. (1.1)). Denote such a basis state jni and we have

$$H jni = t S_m jn i;$$
(A1)

where M denotes the set of states created by hopping one particle in jni to an allowed nearest-neighbor site and for bosons $s_m = 1$ always and for fermions $s_m = 1.5^7$ And the matrix element is lm JH ji = $s_m t$ if m 2 M and 0, otherwise.

In order to calculate for large system s, it is necessary to use symmetry to block diagonalize the Hamiltonian matrix. In our code, we use lattice translation symmetry because it works for arbitrary periodic boundaries. The eigenstate that we use is the Bloch state⁵⁶

$$\dot{p}ki = \frac{1}{N_{nk}} \int_{k=0}^{k} e^{ik R_{1}} T_{1}\dot{p}i: \qquad (A2)$$

In this expression k is a wavevector (one of N, where N is the num ber of sites), R₁ is a lattice vector T₁ is a short hand notation for translation by R₁, and N_{nk} is a normalization factor. The original basis states are divided by translation into classes and any two states in the same class give the same B both state with an overall phase factor. W hat we need to do is to choose a representative from each class, and use this state consistently to build B both states. For a state jniwe denote its representative jni.

To compute the Hamiltonian matrix elements using the Bloch states Eq. (A2), let us start from a representative state jni. We have, as in Eq. (A1), H $\dot{p}ni = t_{m2M} s_m jn i$, then H $jT_1ni = T_1H jni =$

 $_{m}~s_{m}~T_{1}$ jn i, where we have used the fact that T_{1} com – mutes with the H am iltonian. We have,

$$H jnki = \frac{1}{N_{nk}} \bigwedge_{l=0}^{N_{x} 1} e^{jk R_{l}} H T_{l} jni$$

$$= \frac{1}{N_{nk}} \bigwedge_{m 2M}^{X} s_{m} \bigwedge_{l=0}^{N_{x} 1} e^{jk R_{l}} T_{l} jn i$$

$$= \frac{1}{N_{nk}} \bigwedge_{m 2M}^{X} N_{m k} s_{m} jn ki:$$
(A3)

Next because we are interested in matrix elements between representative states, we want to connect jn ki in the preceding equation to jn ki. If $T_{j(m)}$ jn i= $_{j(m)}$ jn i, then jn ki= $_{i(m)}e^{ik R_{j(m)}}$ jn ki. So we have

H jnki =
$$\frac{1}{N_{nk}} X_{m k} S_{m j(m)} e^{ik R_{j(m)}} jn ki$$
: (A4)

We should note that for all m 2 M there can be more than one element having the same representative jn i. That is to say in the sum in Eq. (A 4), there can be more than one term with jn ki. We write a new set M $^{0} =$ fm jn 2 M and m has rep mg. Then we can write our m atrix element equation as follows,

$$lm k H jki = \frac{N_{mk}}{N_{nk}} \sum_{j(m)} e^{ik R_{j(m)}} s_{m} :$$
 (A5)

Eq. (A 5) is the centerpiece of the B loch state calculation. It includes many of the complications that com e with

the B loch basis set. (See R ef. 56 for the corresponding equation in one dimension.)

Let us use N to denote the number of Bloch basis states for one k. N is the dimensionality of the matrix that we need to diagonalize. For N in the order of thousands, full diagonalization (with storage of the matrix) is done using LAPACK,⁵⁸ and a 3156 3156 matrix (7 7 with M = 18) takes about 27 m inutes.⁵⁹ For larger N, the Lanczosm ethod is in plemented following the instructions in Ref. 56, We have two options. First, we store information about the matrix (i.e., for each column, a set of (p, j(m), _{j(m)}) described above that contains information about the nonzero entries of the H am iltonian matrix in this column). The M = 9 case on 7 7 lattice with N = 1;120;744 and tolerance 10¹⁵ takes about 45 m inutes (32 Lanczos iterations) and uses about 1.5 GB of memory. This basically reaches our memory limit.

On the other hand, we can also do Lanczos without storing matrix information. The same M = 9 case on 7 7 uses only 200 M B of memory but takes more than four hours (263 minutes), for a larger tolerance 10⁷ (therefore fewer Lanczos iterations, 14). Without storing matrix information, we can calculate for larger matrices: the M = 11 case on 7 7, with N = 1;906;532 (the largest for the 7 7 system) and tolerance 10⁷, is done in 10 hours, using less than 400 M B of memory. The largest matrix we computed for this work is N = 2;472;147, i.e., about 2.5 million B loch states, for M = 4 on 20 20. This takes 10 hours and uses about 550 M B of memory, for a tolerance of 10⁷.

In addition, we have also installed ARPACK⁶⁰ that uses the closely related so-called A moldimethods and can obtain excited state eigenvalues and eigenvectors as well. If we only need information about the ground state, our Lanczos program is considerably faster than ARPACK.

The 7 7 lattice, with maximum N around 2 million, is basically the largest lattice for which we can calculate eigenenergies at all llings. The exponential growth is very rapid after this. The 8 8 lattice with 8 particles has 9;151;226 B loch states, and with one more particle, M = 9, there are 30;658;325, i.e., more than 30 million states.

APPENDIX B: PHYSICAL MEANING OF T (E)

In this section we give yet another derivation of the tm atrix which m akes m ore explicit the physical meaning of T (E;P;q;q⁰) Eq. (3.13).

Before we get into a lot of algebra, let us describe the physical idea. In scattering theory we know that the Bom series is a perturbation series of the scattering am – plitude in terms of the potential. In Fig. 18 we show the rst three terms graphically, where the rst term, the rst Bom approximation, is particularly simple(it is the Fourier transform of the potential. We also know that when the potential is weak the rst few terms are an good approximation to the scattering amplitude, but when the potential is strong, we need all terms. In this section, we will show that our t-matrix T (E; P;q;q⁰) is the sum of all such two-body scattering terms.



FIG.18: The three gures represent perturbative terms involving V (q q⁰), T₂ (E; P; q; q⁰) and T₃ (E; P; q; q⁰). The t-matrix, T (E; P; q; q⁰), is the sum of all these terms, i.e., it is the sum of the ladder diagrams to in nite order.

W e start with Eq. (2.15) which we copy here for convenience,

(E E (q) E (P q))g(q) =
$$\frac{1}{N} \frac{X}{q^0} V$$
 (q q⁰)g(q⁰): (B1)

For $q \ge Q_0$ we break up the sum over q^0 into two terms and get,

$$(E \quad E_{0})g(q) = \frac{1}{N} \frac{X}{q^{0}2Q_{0}} V (q \quad q^{0})g(q^{0}) + \frac{1}{N} \frac{X}{q^{0}2Q} V (q \quad q^{0})g(q^{0}): \quad (B2)$$

For q 2 Q we can rewrite Eq. (B1) to get,

$$g(q^{0}) = \frac{1}{N} \frac{X}{q^{0}} \frac{V(q^{0} - q^{0})}{E - E(q^{0}) - E(P - q^{0})} g(q^{0}): \quad (B3)$$

Plug Eq. (B3) into the second sum in Eq. (B2) and rearrange terms, we get,

$$(E \quad E_{0})g(q) = \frac{1}{N} \frac{X}{q^{0}2Q_{0}} V(q \quad q^{0})g(q^{0})$$

$$+ \frac{1}{N} \frac{X}{q^{\infty}} T_{2}(E; P; q; q^{0})g(q^{0}); (B4)$$

where we have de ned,

$$T_{2} (E; P; q; q^{(0)}) = \frac{1}{N} \frac{X}{q^{02}Q} \frac{V(q q^{0})V(q^{0} q^{(0)})}{E E(q^{0}) E(P q^{0})} (B5)$$

Now break the sum over q^{00} in Eq. (B4) into two parts, and we get

$$(E = E_{0})g(q) = \frac{1}{N} \frac{X}{q^{0}2Q_{0}} V(q = q^{0})g(q^{0}) + \frac{1}{N} \frac{X}{q^{0}2Q_{0}} T_{2}(E;P;q;q^{0})g(q^{0}) + \frac{1}{N} \frac{X}{q^{0}2Q_{0}} T_{2}(E;P;q;q^{0})g(q^{0}): (B6)$$

Plug Eq. (B3) into the last term of Eq. (B6) and we get

$$(E = E_{0})g(q) = \frac{1}{N} \frac{X}{q^{0}2Q_{0}} V(q = q^{0})g(q^{0}) + \frac{1}{N} \frac{X}{q^{0}2Q_{0}} T_{2}(E;P;q;q^{0})g(q^{0})$$

+ $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{q^0}^{X} T_3 (E; P; q; q^0) g(q^0);$ (B7)

where we have de ned

$$\Gamma_{3} (E; P; q; q^{0}) = \frac{1}{N^{2}} \frac{X}{q^{0}; q^{00} 2Q} \frac{V(q q^{0})V(q^{0} q^{00})V(q^{0} q^{0})V(q^{0} q^{0})}{(E E(q^{0}) E(P q^{0}))(E E(q^{0}) E(P q^{0}))}$$
(B8)

Continue this process, we obtain

$$(E \quad E_{0})g(q) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{q^{0}2Q_{0}}^{X} (V (q \quad q^{0}) + T_{2} (E ; P ; q; q^{0}) + T_{3} (E ; P ; q; q^{0}) + :::)g(q^{0}):(B 9)$$

W hat we have done here is the traditional perturbation theory using iteration. Eq. (B 9) is the Born series for scattering amplitude. The 1st term V (q q⁰), the Fourier transform of the potential V (r), is the 1st Born approximation. The content of higher order term sT_2, T_3 , ... can be obtained from their de nition. Eq. (B 5) says that T_2 involves two scatterings under V, and Eq. (B 8) says that T_3 involves three scatterings. Thus the Born series Eq. (B 9) can be graphically depicted at the ladders in Fig. 18,⁶¹ and it involves multiple scatterings to all orders. Note that each term in the Born series is innite for in nite potential V. Next we will show that sum ming all the terms in the series gives the t-m atrix and the potential V cancels out, giving a nite value.

It is easy to check that

$$T_{2} (E; P; q; q^{0}) = V^{2} e^{iq R_{i}} e^{iq^{0} R_{j}} G_{ij} (E; P); (B10)$$

where $G_{ij} \times P$) is our good old G reen function Eq. (3.5),

$$T_{3} (E; P; q; q^{0}) = V^{3} e^{iq R_{i}} e^{iq^{0} R_{j}} (G (E; P))^{2}_{ij};$$
ij
(B11)

and

$$T_{n} (E; P; q; q^{0}) = V^{n} \sum_{ij}^{X} e^{iq R_{i}} e^{iq^{0} R_{j}} (G(E; P))_{ij}^{n^{1}} :$$
(B12)

Now plug these results into Eq. (B9), we get

$$(E \quad E_{0})g(q) = \frac{X}{q^{0}2Q_{0}} 4\frac{1}{N} \frac{X}{ij} e^{iq R_{i}} e^{iq^{0} R_{j}} V \quad ij + VG_{ij} + V^{2}(G_{ij})^{2} + ... 5:$$
(B13)

Now we come to a form alstep,

~

$$V I + VG + V^{2}(G)^{2} + ... = V(I VG(E))^{1};$$
 (B14)

and the interesting result is that the in nite potential V cancels out, giving a nite value ~ G (E) 1 .

If we can do this formal sum, then we get from Eq (B13),

(E
$$E_0$$
)g(q) = $\begin{bmatrix} X \\ T (E;P;q;q^0)g(q^0); \\ q^{0}2Q_0 \end{bmatrix}$

which is exactly our momentum space T-matrix equation Eq. (3.12) and T (E; P;q;q⁰) is exactly our t-matrix Eq. (3.13).

¹ E.D agotto, Rev.M od.Phys. 66, 763 (1994).

^{*} Present address: Dept. of Physics, George W ashington University, W ashington, DC 20052.

- ² E.Manousakis, Rev.Mod.Phys. 63, 1 (1991).
- ³ E.H.Lieb and D.C.M attis, M athem atical Physics in O ne D im ension (A cadem ic, N ew York, 1966).
- ⁴ S.R.W hite, Phys.Rev.Lett. 69, 2863 (1992); S.R.W hite and D.J.Scalapino, Phys.Rev.Lett. 80, 1272 (1998).
- ⁵ Y.Nagaoka, Phys. Rev. 147, 392 (1966).
- ⁶ R.J.Bursill, Phys.Rev.B 60, 1643 (1999); C.J.Bolech, S. S. Kancharla, and G. Kotliar, preprint (condmat/0206166).
- $^7\,$ H .Q .Lin and J.E .G ubernatis, Com p.P hys.7,400 (1993).
- ⁸ G. Fano, F. Ortolani, and A. Parola, Phys. Rev. B 46, 1048 (1992).
- ⁹ H.Bruus and J.-C.Angles d'Auriac, Phys. Rev. B 55, 9142 (1997).
- ¹⁰ C.N.Yang and C.P.Yang, Phys. Rev. 150, 321 and 327 (1966).
- ¹¹ G.S.Uhrig and R.V laming, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 271 (1993), Physica B 194-196, 451 (1994), Physica B 206-207, 694 (1995), Ann.Physik 4 778 (1995).
- ¹² R.ShankarRev.M od.Phys.66,129 (1994).
- ¹³ J.E.Gubernatis, D.J.Scalapino, R.L.Sugar, and W.D. Toussaint, Phys. Rev. B 32, 103 (1985).
- ¹⁴ D.Vollhardt, in Proceedings of the Enrico Ferm i School, Course CXXI, edited by Broglia and Schrie er (North-Holland, Am sterdam, 1994).
- ¹⁵ N. G. Zhang and C. L. Henley, unpublished (condmat/0206421).
- ¹⁶ N ote that with spinless ferm ions and hardcore bosons and in nite nearest-neighbor repulsion, the lling (particle per lattice site) in our model goes from 0 to 1/2 only.
- ¹⁷ C.L.Henley and N.G.Zhang, Phys. Rev. B 63, 233107 (2001).
- ¹⁸ J.-L. Pichard, G. Benenti, G. K atom eris, F. Selva, and X. W aintal, to appear in Exotic States in Quantum Nanostructures (K luwer, D ordrecht), ed. S. Sarkar (condmat/0107380). One could speculate that, if their model included our in nitely strong nearest-neighbor repulsion, the H ilbert space (identical to ours) would be substantially reduced, without very much error in the energies.
- ¹⁹ L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, Statistical Physics Vol. I (Addison, Reading, 1969) p 234.
- ²⁰ A.L.Fetter and J.D.W alecka, Quantum Theory of Many-Particle Systems (M cG raw, New York, 1971).
- ²¹ M.Schick, Phys. Rev. A 3, 1067 (1971).
- ²² P.B.bom, Phys.Rev.B 12, 125 (1975).
- ²³ J.K anam ori, Prog. Theor. Phys. 30, 275 (1963).
- ²⁴ K.Yosida, Theory of Magnetism (Springer, Berlin, 1998) p.191.
- ²⁵ D.C.M attis, The Theory of Magnetism Vol.I (Springer, Berlin, 1981) p. 252.
- ²⁶ S.Rudin and D.C.M attis, Phys.Lett.110A, 273 (1985).
- ²⁷ M.Randeria, J.-M. Duan, and L.-Y. Shieh, Phys. Rev. B 41, 327 (1990).
- ²⁸ J.R.Engelbrecht, M.Randeria, and L.Zhang, Phys.Rev. B 45, 10135 (1992).
- ²⁹ J. R. Engelbrecht and M. Randeria, Phys. Rev. B 45, 12419 (1992).
- ³⁰ H.Fukuyam a, O.Narikiyo and Y.Hasegawa, J.Phys.Soc. Jpn. 60, 372 (1991); 60, 2013 (1991).
- ³¹ G.Baym, Lectures on Quantum Mechanics, Chapter 8, CooperPairs (Benjamin-Cummings, Reading, 1981).
- ³² S.-J. Dong and C. N. Yang, Rev. M ath. Phys. 1, 139 (1989).
- ³³ F.M arsiglio and J.E.H irsch, Physica C 171, 554 (1990).

- ³⁴ H.Q.Lin, Phys. Rev. B 44, 4674 (1991).
- ³⁵ A.G. Petukhov, J.G alan, and J.A. Verges, Phys. Rev. B 46, 6212 (1992).
- ³⁶ S.Basu, R.J.Gooding, and P.W. Leung, Phys. Rev. B 63, 100506 (2001).
- ³⁷ M. Fabrizio, A. Parola, and E. Tosatti, Phys. Rev. B 44, 1033 (1991).
- ³⁸ A.S.Blaer, H.C.Ren, and O.Tchemyshyov, Phys.Rev. B 55, 6035 (1997).
- ³⁹ W e are slightly abusing the notation V.V (r) is the potential function for all space.V is the value of the potential at four points (V = V (r = (1;0)) for example). And V (k) the Fourier transform of V (r). W e will dimentiate these meanings by including explicitly the argument, r or k.W ithout argument, we then mean V = V (r = (1;0)).
- ⁴⁰ The following derivation is indebted to R ef. 38 which solved a similar problem .
- ⁴¹ Incidentally the interesting problem of computing the resistance between two points on a lattice resistor network turns out to be an application of the lattice G reen functions. See J. C serti, Am. J. Phys. 68, 896 (2000).
- ⁴² S. Katsura and S. Inawashiro, J. M ath. Phys. 12, 1622 (1971).
- ⁴³ T.Morita, J.M ath. Phys. 12, 1744 (1971).
- 44 N ote that, anisotropic hopping dispersion E(q) = $2t_x \cos q_x$ $2t_y \cos q_y$, where $E_x(q) = 2t_x \cos q$ and $E_y(q) = 2t_y \cos q$, also has this pair component exchange symmetry.
- ⁴⁵ N. Funukawa and M. Im ada, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 61, 3331 (1992); M. Guerrero, G. Ortiz, and J.E. Gubernatis, Phys. Rev. B 62, 600-614 (2000).
- ⁴⁶ V.Galitskii, Sov. Phys. JETP 7, 104 (1958).
- ⁴⁷ S.Beliaev, Sov. Phys. JETP 7, 289 (1958).
- ⁴⁸ K. Huang and C. N. Yang, Phys. Rev. 105, 767 (1957); K. Huang, C. N. Yang, and J. M. Luttinger, Phys. Rev. 105, 776 (1957); T. D. Lee and C. N. Yang, Phys. Rev. 105, 1119 (1957); T. D. Lee, K. Huang, and C. N. Yang, Phys. Rev. 106, 1135 (1957).
- ⁴⁹ L.W. Bruch, Physica 93A, 95 (1978).
- ⁵⁰ D.F.H ines, N.E.Frankel, and D.J.M itchell, Phys.Lett. 68A, 12 (1978).
- ⁵¹ E.H.Lieb and J.Yngvason, J.Stat. Phys. 103, 509 (2001).
- ⁵² F. Becca, L. Capriotti, S. Sorella, and A. Parola, Phys. Rev. B 62, 15277 (2000).
- ⁵³ G.Batrouni, (private communication); K.Bernardet, G. G.Batrouni, J.-L.M eunier, G.Schmid, M.Troyer, and A. Domeich, Phys. Rev. B 65, 104519 (2002).
- ⁵⁴ L.W. Bruch, Physica 94A, 586 (1978). G S. Atwal (personalcommunication) has also derived Eq. (5.5) for general s.
- ⁵⁵ R ef. 54 discusses spin degeneracy, but does not contain a form ula for p-wave term s.
- ⁵⁶ P.W. Leung and P.E.Oppenheim er, Com p.Phys.6, 603 (1993).
- $^{57}~s_m$ is in fact a function of m and n, but in our discussion in this paper, we always consider a xed column n, so we om itted the n dependence in the notation s_m .
- ⁵⁸ E. Anderson et al., LAPACK User's Guide, Third Edition (Siam, Philadelphia, 1999). Both the software package and the user guide are available at http://www.netlib.org/lapack.
- ⁵⁹ The computer running times in this paper are obtained using an IntelPentium III 700 processor.
- ⁶⁰ R. B. Lehoucq et al., ARPACK User's Guide,

(Siam, Philadelphia, 1998). Both the software package and the user guide are available at http://www.caam.rice.edu/software/ARPACK.

⁶¹ B.R.Holstein, Topics in Advanced Quantum Mechanics (Addision, Redwood, 1992) p.74.