# A neutron scattering study of two-m agnon states in the quantum m agnet copper nitrate

D.A. Tennant<sup>1;2;3;4</sup>, C. Broholm<sup>5;6</sup>, D.H. Reich<sup>7</sup>, S.E. Nagler<sup>3</sup>, G.E.

Granroth<sup>3</sup>, T.Barnes<sup>7;8</sup>, K.Dam le<sup>9</sup>, G.Xu<sup>5</sup>, Y.Chen<sup>5</sup>, and B.C.Sales<sup>3</sup>.

<sup>1</sup> ISIS Facility, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot OX11 0QX, Oxon., United Kingdom

<sup>2</sup>0 xford Physics, Clarendon Laboratory, Parks Road, O xford O X 1 3PU, United Kingdom

<sup>3</sup>Solid State Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831-6393

<sup>4</sup>Dept. of Physics and Chemistry, Ris National Laboratory, Roskilde, DK-4000, Denmark

<sup>5</sup>D epartm ent of Physics and A stronom y, The Johns Hopkins University, Baltim ore, MD 21218

 $^6$ N IST \_C enter for Neutron Research, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD 20899

Department of Physics and Astronom y, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN 37996-1501 and

<sup>8</sup>Physics Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831-6373

<sup>9</sup>Physics Department, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138

(D ated: A pril 14, 2024)

We report m easurements of the two-magnon states in a dimerized antiferrom agnetic chain material, copper nitrate  $(Cu(NO_3)_2 2:5DO).U$  sing inelastic neutron scattering we have measured the one and two magnon excitation spectra in a large single crystal. The data are in excellent agreement with a perturbative expansion of the alternating H eisenberg H am iltonian from the strongly dimerized limit. The expansion predicts a two-magnon bound state for q (2n + 1) d which is consistent with the neutron scattering data.

PACS num bers: 75.10 Jm, 75.40 Gb, 78.70 N x

INTRODUCTION

The basic physics of the elementary one-m agnon excitations of lower-dimensional quantum antiferrom agnets can now be regarded as well established, both theoretically and experimentally through studies of materials that are reasonably accurate realizations of the spin Hamiltonians. In contrast, higher excitations such as multimagnon continua and bound states have attracted relatively little attention. This topic may prove to be a fascinating area for the application of few – and manybody techniques, and will involve interesting and nontrivial results in band structure, band mixing, bound state form ation, phase transitions through the form ation of condensates of magnetic excitations [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7], and other collective phenomena.

A lthough som e aspects of the physics of low -lying m ultim agnon states can be inferred from m odelH am iltonians using standard theoretical techniques, few experimental studies of these higher excitations have been reported to date. Experimental di culties that have precluded such work include relatively weak couplings of probes to these higher excitations, dom inant contributions from the low est one-m agnon excitations, and resolution requirements in energy and wavenumber that are beyond the capabilities of m ost techniques.

High-resolution inelastic neutron scattering should prove to be an ideal technique for observing some of these higher magnetic excitations. One can control both energy and momentum transfer, so that the existence and spectral weight of higher magnetic excitations can be established and quanti ed. The new generation of highintensity neutron sources combined with high-resolution detectors should allow the observation of details of the multim agnon excitation spectrum such as band boundaries, quantitative determ ination of the dynam ical correlation function S (Q;!) and discontinuities within a band [8], and weakly bound states just below the band edge. The principle limitation in this approach may be the unavoidable S = 1 selection rule of magnetic neutron scattering, so that one can only reach spin S = 1 excitations given an S = 0 ground state and an isotropic spin H am iltonian. O ther techniques such as R am an scattering can be used to study certain of these higher excitations, albeit with strong constraints on the accessible spin and m om entum quantum numbers.

System s that appear especially interesting for studies of higher magnetic excitations at present are quasi-1D spin chains and spin ladders, since many of these have gaps and hence will have separated bands of higher excitations and perhaps bound states of magnons. The alternating Heisenberg antiferrom agnetic chain (AHC) with spin-1/2 is an example of such a system; with any amount of alternation 0 < < 1 (where J2=J1) the AHC has an energy gap in its one-magnon dispersion with a second gap to the multim agnon continuum, and two-m agnon bound states with spin-0 and spin-1 are predicted [9]. The AHC is also attractive because of its relative sim plicity and because of recent extensive theoretical studies of the low -energy excitations in thism odel.

In this paper we present results from an inelastic neutron scattering study of higher magnetic excitations in copper nitrate ( $Cu(NO_3)_2$  2:50), which was recently con med by neutron scattering [10] to be an accurate realization of a strongly alternating Heisenberg antifer-

rom agnetic chain. This material is especially attractive because the alternation parameter 0.27 is close to the value predicted to maximize the separation of the spin-1 two-magnon bound state from the continuum [11]. In addition it is relatively easy to prepare large single crystals of this material, which compensates for the weak neutron scattering intensity from the higher magnetic excitations.



FIG.1: The S = 1 excitation spectrum of the spin-1/2 alternating H eisenberg chain with m oderately strong dimerization. The parameters used are J = 0.45 meV and = 0.27, (as t to copper nitrate neutron scattering data.) There is an energy gap from the S = 0 ground state to the S = 1 triplet m agnon band. The two-m agnon continuum states are also show n; these have energies and w avevectors given by the sum of two independent one-m agnon excitations. A S = 1 bound state is predicted to lie just below the two-m agnon continuum for sm all w avevectors near k = =d, where the continuum has m inim um width.

The paper is arranged as follows: Section (2) sum marizes in portant results from the theory of the ground and excited states of the alternating H eisenberg chain. Section (3) reviews the magnetic properties of Cu (NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>  $2.5D_2O$ , or CN for short. Section (4) presents the results of our m easurem ents, with the analysis in terms of the model given in section (5). A discussion of the results is given in (6), with conclusions in (7). A dditional theoretical results for two-m agnon excitations in this model are given in the Appendix.

# THEORY

The spin-1/2 alternating H eisenberg chain has received much attention in the theoretical literature. This sim – ple model plays a central role in the study of the spin-Peierls e ect, and is also known to provide an accurate

Label	m	E	Sm	$S_{m}$
Gm	<mark>₽<sup>1</sup>_</mark> fj"#i j#"ig	3J=4	0	0
$1_{m}$	j""i	J=4	1	1
Om	<del>p<sup>1</sup>_</del> fj"#i+ j#"ig	J=4	0	1
$1_{m}$	j##i	J=4	1	1

description of the m agnetic properties of m any real m aterials. The m odel consists of antiferrom agnetically coupled H eisenberg spin pairs,  $\dim ers"$ , which are them selves coupled by weaker antiferrom agnetic H eisenberg interactions in an alternating chain, as shown in Fig2. The H am iltonian for this m odel is given by

$$H = \int_{m=1}^{m} J S_{m}; \quad S_{m}; + S_{m}; + S_{m}; + S_{m}; + 1; ; (1)$$

where N is the number of spins in the chain, J > 0(also called  $J_1$ ) is the intradimer coupling, J (also  $J_2$ ) is the interdimer coupling, and is allowed the range 0 < < 1. The index m labels the dimers, and and + denote left and right spins. The position of each spin is given by  $r_m$ ; = m d =2, where d is the chain repeat vector, and is the intradimer separation.



FIG.2: A lternating chain layout showing dimers coupled together. Each dimer is labeled by an index m, is the separation between dimer spins, and d is the chain repeat vector.

This model has a nontrivial spin-0 ground state, and for all in the allowed range 0 < < 1 has a gap to the rst excitation, which is a band of spin-1 excitations (m agnons). In the \strong-coupling lim it" 1 the ground state approaches a system of uncoupled spin-0 dim ers, and the one-m agnon excitations can be accurately described as a single dim er excited to spin-1 (an \exciton"), delocalized on the chain to give states of definite along-chain wavenum ber k. The energies and som e m atrix elem ents of these states have been evaluated as power series in the intradim er coupling [11, 12].

To interpret experim ental results approxim at analytic form softhe wavefunctions and energies are useful and we include calculations of wavefunctions expanded around the single dimer eigenstates in the Appendix. The onem agnon S = 1 triplet has a gap energy  $= J = J^{-2}$  and dispersion to 0 ( )

$$!_{1m ag}(k) = J \qquad J=2\cos(kd):$$
 (2)

This magnon wavefunction can be visualized as a localized wavepacket of magnetic polarization (excitons) along x;y; or z carrying total spin-1 travelling through a featureless singlet background with the gap energy coming from the e ort expended in breaking a dimer bond.

A pproxim ate wavefunctions for the two-m agnon states are given in the Appendix. At large separations the m agnons do not overlap and they behave as free particles, however when close they interfere and scatter o each other. In a one dimensional geometry such scattering conserves particle number, energy, and momentum up to a lattice wavevector, and the scattering introduces a momentum dependent phase shift in the scattered wavefunction. The energy of these states (to order O (1=N)) is given by  $\frac{1}{k_1;k_2}(k) = \frac{1}{1 \text{ mag}}(k_1) + \frac{1}{1 \text{ mag}}(k_2)$ , where  $k = k_1 + k_2$  is the total wavevector. The resulting continuum using equation (2) is illustrated in Fig. 1 [8].

As well as elastic scattering of magnons, bound states also form. To appreciate their physical origin consider two dimers, both in excited states, coupled by a single interdimer coupling J. In the absence of coupling all the double excited states S = 0;1; and 2 have the sam e energy 2J. How ever, the interdim er coupling splits these states. There is an S = 2 quintuplet of energy 2J + J=4 (this energy is higher because the interdim er coupling favours antiferrom agnetism whereas the S = 2states have all spins along the same direction - ferromagnetic). There is also an S = 1 triplet of energy J=4, this lowering of energy is purely quantum 2.T mechanical and comes from resonance between the two excited dimers. Finally there is an S = 0 singlet of even low er energy, 2J J=2, which gains resonance and also antiferrom agnetic energy due to the spins in neighboring dim ers pointing in opposite directions.

As the S = 0 and 1 states with excited dimers neighboring each other have lower energy than two well separated excited dimers (by J=4 and J=2 respectively) there is a short range attractive potential. M agnons can be con ned within the potential well (bound states) as long as the relative kinetic energy between the m agnons is sm aller than the interaction energy.

This situation applies to the bound states in the AHC, the S = 0 m ode at all wavevectors and the S = 1 m ode over limited wavevectors around the node points. These are positions where the kinetic energy is small compared to the binding potential. The S = 1 bound state is visible to neutron scattering and has a dispersion [9][13]

$$!_{BS} = 2J - \frac{J}{4} 4\cos^2(kd=2) + 1$$
:

The bound state is characterized by a probability am plitude for the separation between the two m agnons that

TABLE II:C rystallographic data for  $Cu(NO_3)_2$  2.5HO from reference [15]. The room temperature lattice parameters are a = 16:453, b = 4:936, c = 15:963 A and = 93:765 The Cu ions are at the 8f positions at (x,y,z) where x=0.12613, y=0.01352, z=0.11376. The equivalent positions in the unit cell are:

Atom	x-pos	y-pos	z-pos
1	Х	У	Z
2	1 x	1 y	1 z
3	1 x	У	$\frac{1}{2}$ Z
4	х	1 y	$\frac{1}{2} + z$
5	$\frac{1}{2} + x$	$\frac{1}{2}$ Y	Z
6	$\frac{1}{2}$ X	$\frac{1}{2}$ + y	1 z
7	$\frac{1}{2}$ X	$\frac{1}{2}$ Y	$\frac{1}{2}$ Z
8	$\frac{1}{2}$ + x	$\frac{1}{2} + y$	$\frac{1}{2}$ + z

drops exponentially with distance (see appendix form ore details). These S = 1 bound states exist only over the range jn kdj 6 =3, where n is an odd integer, see Fig. 1.

# M AGNETIC PROPERTIES OF CU (NO $_3$ ) $_2$ 2:5D $_2$ O

The structural and m agnetic properties of CN have been thoroughly investigated and shown to be near ideal. The structure of Cu(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> 2.5HO was investigated by G araj [14] and M orosin [15], and was shown to have a monoclinic crystal structure with space group I12=c1 [16] and the lattice parameters and crystallographic copper positions given in Table II. The deuterated form Cu(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> 2:5DO we studied has low temperature (T = 3 K) lattice parameters a= 16:1, b= 4:9, c= 15:8 A and = 92:9 [10].

Them agnetism of CN arises from the Cu<sup>2+</sup> ions. Crystal electric elds from the oxygen ligands surrounding the Cu<sup>2+</sup> ions quench their orbital moments, leaving a near-isotropic spin-1/2 moment with g-values that show a sm all easy-axis anisotropy along the crystallographic b-direction; the values are  $g_{kb} = 2.33$  and  $g_{2b} = 2.09$  [17]. M agnetic superexchange in this material is mediated by long double Cu-O-O-Cu exchange paths, which accounts for the rather weak exchange interaction observed in CN.

The exchange couplings of CN were studied by Eckert et al. in [18] and are illustrated in Fig. 3. The dom inant magnetic exchange integral J is between pairs of spins (copperpositions 7 & 8, and equivalent pairs, c.f. Fig. 3) forming dimers with a separation of 5.3 A. These dimers separated by crystal vector  $\mathbf{u} = [u_1; u_2; u_3]$   $u_1 a + u_2 b + u_3 c$  are coupled together by exchanges  $J_u^0$ ; the only exchange paths of appreciable strength are  $J_{[\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}]}^0$ , connected via bonds between 1 & 7 and equivalent (bond length 6.2 A). This results in two sets of S = 1=2 altemating H eisenberg chains running in the [1;1;1] and [1;1;1] directions of the crystal with repetition every d = [1;1;1]=2 and  $d^0 = [1;\overline{1};1]=2$  respectively (repeat distance d = 11:3 A); the corresponding intradim er vectors are = [0:252; 0:027;0:228] (where the x;y;z positions from Table II have been used). Inelastic neutron scattering m easurem ents [10] have recently further con-

m ed the model alternating H eisenberg chain properties of CN and have shown that the dom inant collective excitations are indeed the gapped triplet of m agnons expected for the AHC.



FIG.3: Positions of copper ions projected onto the ac plane for  $C u (NO_3)_2$  2.5 DO. The atom positions are those detailed in Table II. Two sets of identical chains run in the [1;1;1] and 1;1;1 directions respectively.

# Approxim ate ground state energy

Bulk magnetic measurements give information on the gap, exchange, and ground state energies of the spin chains in copper nitrate. M easurem ents of the e ect of applied magnetic eld show that spin op (SF) ordering is induced in CN above a critical eld B<sub>c1</sub> 2:7 T, with a transition to full alignment at  $B_{c2}$ 4:3 T and there are no dem agnetization e ects in the zero tem perature lim it [19]. A sthe orbitalm on ent on the  $Cu^{2+}$  ions is quenched by the crystal electric eld and dem agnetization e ects are negligible, the eld B<sub>c1</sub> can be used to directly give the excitation gap energy to the one-m agnon states, and D iederix et al. report a value of = 0.378:007 m eV [19].

The gap can be inferred from the transition eld because the magnons carry spin quantum numbers  $S^{z} =$ 1;0; 1 and are split into three dispersive modes shifted by a Zeem an energy with respect to each other. The ground state by virtue of its spin-0 quantum number is una ected by the eld and the transition occurs when the Zeem an energy of the lowest mode closes the gap and m agnons condense into the ground state. The long range order itself is due to weak couplings between the chains.

The high eld transition, B  $_{c2}$ , yields further important inform ation. It is where all the low -lying m agnons are completely condensed into the ground state and the spins are fully aligned along the eld. The fully aligned state is an exact eigenstate, and for an unfrustrated quantum m agnet the transition eld gives the sum of exchange couplings g  $_{\rm B}$  B  $_{c2}$  = J +  $_{\rm u}$  J $_{\rm u}^0$  = 0.580 ±007 m eV in the system [7]. An estimate of the ground state energy of CN can be m ade using these num bers.

U sing the low temperature isotherm alm agnetization M (B) = g  $_{\rm B}$  hS  $^{\rm z}i_{\rm B}$  as a measure of the work required to saturate the spin chains from the zero-eld quantum ground state, an energy-per-spin can be inferred. The zero-eld ground state energy-per-spin  $e_0$  can be estimated via the form ula  $e_0$   $q_{\rm F}$  g  $_{\rm B}$  SB  $_{\rm c2}$  +  $^{\rm R_{B_{\rm c2}}}_{\rm R_{\rm B}}$  M (B)dB where the fully-aligned energy-per-spin is  $e_{\rm f}$  = S<sup>2</sup>=2 (J+ $^{\rm u}$ J\_{\rm u}^{0}) = g  $_{\rm B}$  B  $_{\rm c2}$ =8 = 0.0725  $\,$  001 m eV for a S = 1=2 unfrustrated system . Utilizing the 270 m K data of D iederix et al. in Fig. 3 of [19] (measured using proton resonance) to determ ine the integral over m agnetization gives an experimental ground state energy-perspin  $e_0$  = 0.174  $\,$  004 m eV. This is essentially the T = 0 result, as the gap activation energy corresponds to 4.4 K .

To estimate them odynamic properties we approximate the sum of the interdimer exchanges by the single coupling  $J = \int_{u} J_{u}^{0}$  of equation (1). Using the O (<sup>9</sup>) expansions [11] for () and e<sub>0</sub> gives J = 0.455 :002 meV and = 0.277 :006; in agreement with the results of [19] and [20], J = 0.45 meV and = 0.27. O ur calculated values of the therm odynamic parameters  $J + \int_{u} J_{u}^{0} = 0.581$  meV, = 0.379 meV and e<sub>0</sub> = 0.172 meV agree within error with the experimental values.

# EXPERIM ENTAL M ETHOD

#### N eutron scattering

The inelastic neutron-scattering cross-section [21]

$$\frac{d^2}{d d!} / N_{mag} \frac{k_f}{k_i} \neq (Q)_J^2$$

$$(QQ)S (Q;!);$$

is proportional to the dynamical response S (Q;!), where Q is the wavevector transfer, F (Q) is the magnetic form factor, N is the number of scattering centers, the constant  $_{m ag} = 0.2896$  b,  $k_i$  and  $k_f$  are the momenta of initial and nal neutron states respectively, g is the Lande g-factor, and = x;y;z are C artesian coordinates. The dynamical response is the space and time Fourier transform of the spin-spin correlation function

S 
$$(Q;!) = \frac{1}{2 N} X^{2} \exp(i(!t+Q) (r r_{j}))$$
  
hS<sub>i</sub> (0)S<sub>j</sub> (t)idt;

where i and j labels sites of the system. For the AHC, equation (1), spin conservation and isotropy in spin space ensure that S (Q;!) = 0 for  $\epsilon$ , and all diagonal spin components are equivalent  $S^{xx}(Q;!) = S^{yy}(Q;!) = S^{zz}(Q;!)$ : At T = 0 this is given by

$$S^{XX}(Q;!) = \frac{1}{2}S^{+}(Q;!) = \frac{1}{2}X^{+}(k) \mathcal{B}_{Q}^{+} \mathcal{J}_{G} \mathcal{I}_{G}^{+} (!)$$

where label the eigenstates of H and

$$S_{Q}^{+} = \frac{1}{2N_{d}} \sum_{m=1}^{M_{d}} \exp(iQ - mr_{p})S_{m,p}^{+}$$

is the Fourier transform ed spin creation operator.

The action of the neutron is to ip a spin and so create a localized spin-1 polarization in the chain, and the strength of scattering to particular states is determ ined by their overlap with this spin ip state. This means that the multiparticle states will be sampled with particles created close together. This is where interactions of the particle wavefunctions are most im portant, making neutrons a sensitive technique for looking at overlap effects. An interesting consequence of this is that the short range interactions between particles can have a large inuence on m easured correlation functions with little effect on the therm odynam ics of the spin chain, an e ect noted for spinons in uniform chains [22]. Sim ilarly, the therm odynam ic in uence of bound states at low tem peratures vanishes as 1=N yet they have a nite scattering cross section as discussed below .

#### N eutron scattering m easurem ents

W e made our measurements of the inelastic scattering cross-section of CN using the SPINS cold neutron triple-axis spectrom eter at the NIST C enter for N eutron R essarch. The same high quality sample of copper nitrate used by X u et al. [10] was utilized. This 14.1g sam – ple consists of four coaligned single crystals of CN, with deuterium substituting for 92% of hydrogen. The substitution of D for H was made as it reduces signi cantly the background from incoherent scattering of neutrons but does not change the magnetic properties of the material. The sample was mounted with (h;0;1) as the scattering plane in a pumped <sup>3</sup>H e cryostat at a base temperature of 300 m K. This temperature is an order of magnitude smaller than the gap energy ( 4:4 K) and the collective quantum ground state is alm ost entirely free of therm ally produced m agnons, with a population of these num bering less than one per two m illion dim er sites.

The two-m agnon scattering is expected to be weak as the neutron matrix element to it is of O ( $^2$ ) from the ground state so the spectrom eter was set up in an open con guration to gain maximum scattered signal, and the only collimator included in the setup was of 80° between monochromator and sample. A vertically focused pyrolytic graphite PG (002) array monochromated the incident neutrons (energy E<sub>i</sub>, wavevector k<sub>i</sub>) and a horizontally focused array composed of eleven independently rotatable PG (002) bladeswas employed to analyze the scattered neutrons (E<sub>f</sub>, k<sub>f</sub>). A cooled Be literwas placed in the incident beam before the sample to remove higher-order contamination from the beam. The actual neutron energy transfer to the sample being  $\hbar! = E_i \quad E_f$  and the wavevector transfer is Q =  $k_i \quad k_f$ .

M easurements of scattering cross-section were made by xing the nalenergy at E  $_{\rm f}$  = 2.5 meV (k $_{\rm f}$  = 1:10 A  $^1$ ) and scanning incident energy E  $_{\rm i}$  at various xed wavenumber transfers along the chain, k = Q  $\frac{1}{2}$  (i.e. the component of the scattered wavevector along the important chain direction). A lthough there are actually two types of chain in CN (with repeats b = [1;1;1]=2 and b<sup>0</sup> = [1;1;1]=2) this is not important in our case - we study the (h;0;1) scattering plane where the chains give identical contributions (see Fig. 3).

With an open scattering con guration instrumental resolution is an important consideration. The spectrom eter resolution represents the spread in coordinate space (Q;!) sampled by the instrument at each measured point. The energy resolution of the spectrom eter is of Gaussian pro le with a full-width-half-maximum (FW HM) at  $E_f = 2.5 \text{ meV}$  and  $\hbar! = 0.8 \text{ meV}$  of 0:10 m eV. The Q resolution is dom inated by the wide angular acceptance (14) of the analyzer on the scattered side - it is highly elongated along a direction within the scattering plane that is perpendicular to the scattered wavevector - and approximating the measured angular dependence by a Gaussian pro le gives a FW HM of  $02 A^{1}$ . This resolution width is very considerable, however by using calculated scan trajectories that maintain the nal wavevector  $k_f$  along the crystallographic (1;0;1) direction, so as to integrate over the nondispersive directions between chains, good wavenum ber resolution in k along the important quantum spin chain directions (estimated at of order 0:02 A<sup>1</sup>) is maintained.

## RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

Fig. 4 shows some of the results of scans in energy performed on CN: Panel (a) shows a scan at the antiferrom agnetic zone-center, k = 2 =d, taken at T = 300 m K. This is the wavenum ber along the chain where



FIG.4: (a) Low temperature scattering at k = 2 =d. The dashed line is a tted background and the solid line is a t to the scattering described in the text. (b) Twom agnon scattering with background subtracted o . The solid line is a t (see text). The solid bar indicates the instrum ental resolution. (c) Two-m agnon scattering for k = 3 =d with nonm agnetic background subtracted o .

the magnon energy is a minimum, c.f. Fig. 1. Strong elastic scattering from incoherent nuclear processes is clearly seen as well as a peak at 0.4 meV as expected for the one magnon mode [10], close to the dimer excitation energy J = 0.45 meV. A second much weaker peak appears at roughly double the dimer energy at about 0.9 meV, which is where two-magnon scattering is expected. On heating up the sample both the 0.4 meV and 0.9 meV peaks disappear identifying these as being magnetic in origin.

H eating up the sam ple also identi es the non-m agnetic background contribution (dashed line in the gure) which consists of the incoherent nuclear peak, m odelled by a G aussian centered at zero-energy, and a broad contribution from therm al di use scattering from the analyzer which is well-characterized by a power-tim es-Lorentzian (broad, quasielastic) component decaying from zeroenergy. As the background is large compared to the two m agnon signal it was studied in depth at di erent tem peratures and wavevectors.

Panels (b) and (c) of Fig. 4 show this peak with the modelled nonmagnetic background subtracted at k = 2 =d and k = 3 =d, respectively. This feature is considerably weaker than the one-magnon scattering. The FW HM of the peak narrows from 0.18(1) m eV at 2 =d, panel (b), to 0.11(1) m eV at the zone-boundary (3 =d), panel (c); behavior indeed consistent with two-magnon scattering.

Fig. 1 shows the energy corresponding to a weighted average of scattering as a thick grey line. It is notable that at k = 3 =d to a good approximation the neutrons couple only to the bound mode, so that nearly all the scattering weight is in it, not the continuum. The calculated neutron scattering intensity from the bound state is 2% of the one-magnon intensity which agrees with the data in Fig. 4.

The one-and two-magnon scattering at 300 mK was scanned from k = -d to 5 = d in steps of = 4d. The background subtracted data are plotted in the upper panel of Fig. 5. The lower panel shows the calculated m agnetic scattering based on the 1D perturbation theory of the Appendix with the estim ated parameters J = 0.45and = 0.27. The calculation includes the correct dim er structure factor e ects and uses the true scan trajectories in conjunction with the dimer coordinates given in Table II. Corrections for the  $Cu^{2+}$  m agnetic form factor [23] have also been m ade. Instrum ental line broadening has been included as well by convolving the theoretical calculations with the estim ated instrum ental resolution and sample mosaic spread. The calculation is directly com parable with the data in the upper panel of Fig. 3, and at a qualitative level there is good agreement with experiment.

Next we consider the measured one- and two-magnon scattering in more detail and relate this to the physical picture presented by perturbation theory. A quantitative comparison between theory and data is shown in Fig. 6. The measured positions of one- and two-magnon peaks are plotted in the left panel. Energies, widths and intensities for each peak were extracted by least-squares tting of G aussians. In fact the wavevector and energy resolution was not su ciently good in this experiment to distinguish details of line shape and the energies, widths and intensities represent the meaningful content of the measured data. We examine the one-magnon scattering rst.

# 0 ne-m agnon scattering

D ispersion: The measured dispersion of the onem agnon states is shown in the left hand panel of Fig. 6. Considerable dispersion of the one-m agnon modes around the dimer energy (0.45 meV) is evident as expected. A lthough the one m agnon dispersion has been calculated to high order O (<sup>5</sup>) [11] previously, the sm all value of in CN m eans that the one-m agnon dispersion should be well approximated by the O () result, equation (2). In fact the dispersions in CN m easured by Xu et al. [10] and Stone et al. [24] show that it is well described by

$$! (Q) = J = \frac{1}{2} X J_u \cos(Q = u)$$
 (3)

with J = 0.442 (2) m eV the dimer coupling,  $J_{[11]=2} = 0.106$  (2) m eV the along chain coupling, plus additional



FIG. 5: (Color) Upper panel shows a color lled contour plot of the measured data with nonm agnetic background subtracted. Intensity is on a linear scale indicated by color, going from dark red (minimum) to light yellow (maximum). The two-magnon scattering has been enhanced by a factor of 100 to make it visible on the same scale. Lower panel shows the calculated scattering using perturbation theory with corrections for instrum ental resolution, multiple scattering and magnetic form factor.

weak interdimer couplings  $J^0_{[L=2;0;0]} = 0.012$  (2) meV and  $J^0_{[0;0;1=2]} = 0.018$  (2) meV. The alternation ratio that X u et al. consider  $= J_{[L11]=2}=J = 0.240$  (5) is smaller than that found from the magnetization data discussed above which is presumably due to the neglect of interchain coupling e ects in the analysis of the latter.

The solid line through the one m agnon dispersion in Fig. 6 is that calculated using the results of [10] and it gives a reasonable account of the data. The sm all discrepancies from the peak-centers m easured here are attributable due to the e ects of the instrum ental resolution which averages over a large sw athe of the interchain dispersion m odulation.

Intensity: The lower right-hand panel of Fig. 6 shows the one-magnon intensities extracted from t-ting. The neutron scattering matrix element  $S^+$  (Q)

 $h_{Q}$  (k)  $\beta_{Q}^{+}$  j<sub>G</sub> if for excitation of the one-m agnon states in the AHC to O (<sup>3</sup>) is [11]

1

$$S_{1m ag}^{+}(Q) = (1 \cos(Q))$$

$$\frac{5}{16} \frac{2}{32} \frac{3}{32} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{8} \frac{2}{5192} \frac{5}{192} \cos(kd) + \frac{3}{16} \frac{2}{7} + \frac{7}{48} \cos(2kd) + \frac{5}{64} \cos(3kd)$$
(4)

The leading order scattering process is from the bare dimer component of the ground state, and the =2 $\cos(kd)$  component in the one m agnon structure factor arises from an O () two-dimer excitation in the ground state. The dynamical structure factor then rejects both the composition of the ground and excited states leading to a complex wavevector dependence.

An interesting aspect of the one-magnon intensity  $\mathrm{S}^{\,+}_{\mathrm{lm\,ag}}$  (Q ) noted in [11] is that the spin structure factor com es in only as a (1 cos(Q)))) m odulation. So where = 2 n (n integer) the magnetic intensity should 0 be zero. A lthough this situation occurs for the measurements at the wavenumber k = 3.9 = d, the scattering does not go to zero because of residual intensity from secondary elastic scattering from incoherent processes. Such residual scattering was also observed in CN by Xu et al. [10]. The solid line in the gure then is the scattering intensity predicted using equation (4) with J = 0.45m eV and = 0.27 including secondary scattering, as well as instrum ental resolution and magnetic form factor. It is seen to account very well for the observed scattering intensity. We now consider the two-magnon scattering.

# Two-magnon scattering

Integrated peak intensities, widths and positions extracted using the thing method described above for the two-magnon scattering are also plotted in Fig. 6. The peak positions are plotted in the left panel of Fig. 6 (lled circles). They are nearly dispersionless at an energy of

0.36 m eV. The peak widths are plotted in the top righthand panel. These are largest around k = 2 =d and 4 =d where the continuum is expected to be widest, and are near resolution limited around =d; 3 =d; and 5 =d. A lso shown is the integrated peak intensity d! I (!), where I (!) is the intensity, which peaks around k = 2 =d.

Intensity: The integrated peak intensity of the measured two magnon scattering includes a sum over the two-particle continuum and S = 1 bound mode. Taking account of the density of momentum states with energy transfer, the scattering intensities for the continuum (eqn. (17)) and bound (eqn. (18)) states as described in the Appendix were computed. As interchain e ects are e ectively integrated over in the two-magnon scattering, and this results in line broadening rather than shifts in energy; we thus have implicitly included interchain coupling e ects in our de nition of = 0.27 for (1).

:

R

The energy integrated two-m agnon intensity, d! I(!), (right m iddle panel) shows a more complicated k dependence than the one m agnon scattering. The comparison with the O ( $^2$ ) calculation boks qualitatively sim ilar to the data, how ever it underestim ates the scattering at k 9 =2d and overestim ates it at 2 =d, which m ay indicate that higher order term s in the scattering am plitude are important. It is notable that the two-m agnon intensity is very strongly dependent on the spatial arrangem ent of m agnetic ions.

Center: the tted peak centers are compared with the computed weighted center h! i = d! I(!) ! (gray band) for the O (<sup>2</sup>) perturbation theory in the left panel of F ig. 6. The nearly dispersionless extracted positions (grey led circles) are located at the calculated weighted-average energies (grey band) replotted from F ig. 1. The fact that the weighted center lies below the center of the continuum is a direct result of them ovem ent of scattering weight tow ards the low er boundary due to the m agnonm agnon interaction.

W idth: the peak widths obtained from the ts are shown in the top right-hand panel. The solid line represents that calculated using the perturbation theory. It is the sum-in-quadrature of the instrum ental resolution width in energy and the variance of the theoretical intensity where  $^2 = d! I(!) (! h! i)$ . The calculation is seen to provide a good account of the data.

Bound mode: One of the most interesting aspects of the multiparticle states in the AHC is the existence of the bound mode below the two-magnon continuum. The predicted wavevector dependence of the intensity (see Appendix) is

$$S_{BS}^{+} (Q) = \frac{1}{4} \left[ \begin{array}{c} 2 & 1 & 4\cos^{2}(kd=2) \\ [\sin(Q) & (+d)=2) + 3\sin(Q) & (-d)=2 \end{array} \right]$$

and should be visible around k = 3 =d. The binding energy of the S = 1 state, neglecting interchain coupling, is predicted to be [11]  $E_B = J \frac{1}{4} \frac{13}{32}^2 = 0.017$  m eV for CN. The scattering in CN around k = 3 =d is centered at 0.852 :007 m eV, which gives a binding energy of  $E_B = 0.03$  :02 m eV. In addition the scattering is near resolution limited, as expected for a well-de ned m ode. However, although the energy and intensity around k = 3 =d lend support to binding around this bandwidth m inimum, the experimental error m eans this does not constitute de nitive proof of the e ect in CN.

# DISCUSSION

Our measurements establish the feasibility of studying weak multim agnon states using neutron scattering and raise a number of important issues. Firstly the introduction of experimental data highlights the need for

practical techniques for calculating the multiparticle excitation spectra and cross sections for realistic spin m odels. Our perturbation theory, although useful for interpreting results, is of too low order to quantitatively account for ourm easurem ents and in addition does not include interchain coupling. Very powerful linked-cluster-expansion techniques have recently been introduced that allow multiparticle spectra to be calculated to high order [25, 26] for the AHC and the extension of these to calculations of the neutron scattering cross-section would be a signi cant developm ent. A nalytical approaches based on G reen function techniques may also prove fruitful. Secondly, m easurem ent of weak multiparticle signals preludes the access of neutron scattering to measuring bound twom agnon states. Interactions such as next nearest neighbor coupling should further stabilize bound modes and make measurement of these easier. A question which requires further investigation is the stability of such bound modes to thermal uctuations and also interchain coupling. An alternative route for investigating the phenom enology of particle binding is through solitonic system s such as the 1D Ising chain with sm all XY-like term s [27]. In this case the coupling of neutrons to pairs of S = 1=2 solitons (also called spinons) is at zeroth order and therefore strong. Binding of solitons only occurs when extra terms are included in the Ham iltonian, such as exchange m ixing [28], next-nearest neighbor coupling [29], or transverse eld [30]. Evidence for this binding phenomenon in and Ising-like chain has been observed recently in neutron scattering experim ents [28].

T in e-of- ight (TOF) neutron spectrom eters give potentially much better energy resolution than conventional triple-axis instrum entation and could provide de nitive proof of the bound state in the alternating chain by resolving the bound mode from the continuum . P reviously, TOF techniques have proven successful in the study of similar binding e ects at the bandwidth minimum of the two-soliton continuum scattering of the S = 1=2 XXZ Ising chain materialC sC oC  $\lg$  [28]. A lthough limited neutron uxes may make such measurements di cult for CN [10] these should be feasible and we plan to make such measurements in the near future.

# SUM M ARY

In sum m ary, we have used inelastic neutron scattering to investigate the ground and excited states of the nearideal alternating H eisenberg chain m aterial C u (NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>  $2.5D_2O$ , and also derived the scattering analytically to lowest order in perturbation theory. O ur m easurements are consistent with the predictions of this m odel for several m agnetic properties of this system, including the ground state energy, one- and two-m agnon excitation spectra and intensities, and possibly the existence of a



FIG. 6: C om parison of theory and data. Left panel shows tted positions of observed sattering using perturbations theory (see text). R ight low er panel shows tted one m agnon intensity com pared with perturbation theory (see text). R ight upper panel shows a com parison of the two-m agnon intensity with perturbation theory (see text).

two-m agnon bound state. M uch experim ental work rem ains to be done to establish the phenom enology of binding in isotropic 1D system s.

W e wish to thank D rs B. Lebech, R. H azell, B. Lake, P-A Lindgard, and D. M dM orrow for their help and advice and also R is N ational Laboratory for generous support. This work was partly supported by O ak R idge N ational Laboratory, m anaged by UT-B attelle, LLC, for the US Dept. of Energy under contract DE-AC 05-000 R 22725. The NSF supported work at SPINS through DM R-9423101 and work at JHU through DM R-9453362 and DM R-9801742. DHR acknow ledges the generous support of the D avid and Lucile P ackard Foundation W ork at H arvard (KD) was supported by NSF-DM R grants 9981283, 9714725, and 9976621

# APPENDIX

# G round state

Here we apply the perturbation theory of [11] to twom agnon scattering. The single dimer eigenstates (labelled G;1;0;1) are listed in Table I and the ground state of the uncoupled dimers ( = 0) is a direct product of dimer ground states

$${}_{0} = \int_{m=1}^{\Psi^{d}} \mathbf{j} \mathbf{G}_{m} \mathbf{i}; \mathbf{E}_{0} = \frac{3 \mathrm{JN}_{d}}{4} :$$
 (5)

As total spin  $S_T = \frac{P_{M_m^d}}{m=1}S_m$ , and  $S_T^z = \frac{P_{M_m^d}}{m=1}S_m^z$  are constants of them otion for the H am iltonian H they organize the H ilbert space. For notation we introduce dimer creation operators  $a_m^+$   $f_{m}$  i =  $j_{m}$  i where a = 1;0;1 label excited dimer states. In real space we denote the singly excited states with quantum numbers  $(S_T; S_T^z) = (1;a)$  as  $j_{alm} = a_m^+ \ _0$  and the doubly excited with  $j(S_T; S_T^z)$  im; as the C lebsh-G ordan combinations of excitations at dimer sites m and m + i.e.  $j(0;0)i_m$ ;  $= 1 = \frac{P}{2} \overline{3} f 1_m^+ \overline{1}_m^+ \qquad f_m^+ 0_m^+ + \overline{1}_m^+ 1_m^+ + g_0$ ;  $j(1;1)i_m$ ;  $= 1 = 2 \overline{10} f 0_m^+ 1_m^+ + f_m^+ 0_m^+ g_0$ ; etc.

As the alternating chain has translational symmetry plane-wave states prove convenient

$$ai_{k} \quad \frac{1}{N_{d}} \int_{m=1}^{K^{d}} e^{im kd} jai_{m}; \quad (6)$$

and

$$(S;S^{z})i_{k}; \quad \frac{p}{\frac{1}{N_{d}}} \sum_{m=1}^{X^{d}} e^{im kd} j(S;S^{z})i_{m}; : \quad (7)$$

where the allowed momenta are  $k_n = 2n = N_d d$ , where n are integers from  $N_d=2$  to  $N_d=2$ . The action of the H am iltonian on the basis states has been considered in [11] and the ground state to 0 () is

$$_{G} = {}_{0} \left[ {}_{0} \right] \left[ {}_{m} \frac{p_{3}}{3} \overset{X_{d}}{\underset{m=1}{\times}} j(0;0) j_{m;1} \right]$$
(8)

where  $_0 = 1$  (3=128)  $^2N_{d}$ .

m easures Neutron scattering square of the  $S^+$  (Q) = (2N<sub>d</sub>)  $^{1=2}$   $P_{N_d}$ between even the qf operator spin exp (iQ p= mr;p)S<sup>+</sup>,p m = 1 between eigenstates, where Q is the wavevector transfer of the neutron. The action of this spin operator applied to the ground state is

$$S^{+} (Q) j_{G} i = A_{Q} j l i_{k} + B_{Q} j (1;1) i_{k;1};$$

$$A_{Q} = \frac{pl}{2} e^{iQ} = 2 e^{iQ} = 2 1 + \frac{1}{4} \cos(kd) ; \quad (9)$$

$$B_{Q} = \frac{1}{8} e^{iQ} = 2 + e^{iQ} = 2 e^{ikd} 1 :$$

where k = Q & is the wavenum ber of the states excited by this operator. The neutron scattering matrix element at T = 0 to state , is given by S<sup>+</sup> (Q) = D =  $S^+_{0}_{0}_{0}_{0}_{0}$ .

#### One-m agnon states

The one-m agnon wavefunctions to order 0 () are [11]

$$\lim_{n \to ag} = jai_{k} + \frac{p}{2 2} (e^{ikd} + 1)j(1;a)i_{k;1}$$
(10)  
$$\frac{p}{3} X^{d} = e^{im kd}a_{m}^{+} j(0;0)i_{m} o;1$$

and form an S = 1 triplet with an energy gap = J J=2 above the ground state and dispersion

$$!_{1m ag}(k) = J \qquad J=2\cos(kd):$$
 (11)

Application of the  $S^+$  (Q ) operator gives the intensity to 0 ( )

$$S_{1m ag}^{+}(Q) = (1 \cos(Q))$$
  
 $1 + \frac{1}{2} \cos(kd)$ :

## Two-magnon states

Ignoring states higher than two-excited-dimer (as they do not contribute to the neutron-scattering matrix element to lowest order in ), the action of the H am iltonian is [11]

H 
$$j_{a}i_{k} = {}_{k}j_{a}i_{k} + {}^{p}\overline{2} {}_{k}^{y}j(1;a)i_{k};$$

and

$$\begin{array}{l} H \ j(1;a)i_{k;} = \\ p \ 2 \\ j(1;a)i_{k;} + ( )j(1;a)i_{k;1} + \\ j(1;a)i_{k;2}; = 1 \\ (12) \\ (12) \\ j(1;a)i_{k;} + \\ k \ j(1;a)i_{k;+1} + \\ y \\ k \ j(1;a)i_{k;-1}; > 1 \end{array}$$

where  $_{k} = J$  (J=2)  $\cos(kd)$ , = 2J, = J=4,  $_{k} = \frac{J}{4}$  1+ e<sup>ikd</sup>, and y denotes complex conjugation. The excitation spectrum can be calculated by direct diagonalization of a large number of dimers and application of the matrix element above or by analytical solution.

Approxim ate analytical wavefunctions for the S = 1 states can be calculated using elementary scattering theory, see [29]. Ignoring the coupling to the one-exciteddimer states for the time being, the two-magnon wavefunctions are

$$_{2m ag}(k) = b exp(i) j(1;1)i_{k};$$
 (13)  
= 1  
q \_\_\_\_\_

where for =  $i\log(\sum_{k=k}^{y}) = kd=2$  the timeindependent Schrödinger equation reduces to solving the real and symmetric system of equations

$$b_{1} = ( )b_{1} + e_{k}b_{2}$$

$$b_{k} = b_{k} + e_{k}(b_{k+1} + b_{k})$$

$$b_{k} = b_{k} + e_{k}(b_{k+1} + b_{k})$$

$$b_{k} = b_{k} + e_{k}(b_{k+1} + b_{k})$$

$$(14)$$

where  $e_k = \frac{y}{k} = J=2$  jcos(kd=2) j and the term exp(i) serves to transform to the center-of-momentum (center R) fram e where m agnons are at  $r_1 = R = 2$  and  $r_1 = R + =2$  with total momentum  $K = k_1 + k_2 = kd$ .

# M agnon-pair-state solutions

M agnon-pair-states comprise particles that are free at large distances and for particle conservation in onedimension the interactions introduce a phase factor on scattering. This state corresponds to

$$b = X_0 \exp(ip) \exp ip$$
(15)

where the norm alization constant  $X_0$ ,  $1 = \frac{p_{N_d}}{N_d}$ , p is the relative m on entum, and  $= 1;2;:::N_d$  1 index the eigenstates. The phases and m on enta are determ ined by the boundary conditions of the particles and their interaction energy. The standard m ethod of solving for these boundary conditions [29, 31] is to introduce the single site coe cients  $b_0$  and  $b_N$  and set  $b_1 = e_k b_0$  and  $b_{N_d} = e_k b_0$  and  $b_{N_d} = e_k b_0$  and  $b_{N_d} = e_k b_N$  and substitute into equation (14). The m on entum p and phase solve for the constraints on  $b_0$  and  $b_{N_d}$  when

$$e^{i} = \frac{e_{k} + \exp i \frac{+}{N_{d}}}{e_{k} + \exp i \frac{+}{N_{d}}}; p = \frac{+}{N_{d}}$$
(16)

and the eigenvalues are = 2J J  $\cos(kd) \cos(p) + O(1=N_d)$ . In the time-dependent Schrödingerpicture this state corresponds to two particles with wavepackets at positions  $r_i = R + = 2$  and  $r_j = R = 2$  and with momenta  $k_1 = (K + p)=2$  and  $k_2 = (K - p)=2$  that scatter via the S-matrix  $S_{k_1,k_2} = \exp(i_{k_1-k_2})$ , and the eigenspectrum is

$$!_{k_1;k_2} = !_{1m ag}(k_1) + !_{1m ag}(k_2)$$
 (17)

with  $!_{1m ag}(k) = J$  J=2 cos(kd) as above.

Including the coupling to the  $j\!\!\!\! l\, i_k$  states as a perturbation gives the approximate wavefunction

$$2m ag (k; ) = \frac{C_1}{2 2} (1 + e^{ikd}) j l i_k + \int_{=1}^{N_{X_1}} c j(1;1) i_k;$$
(18)

where  $c = b \exp(ikd = 2)$ . The neutron scattering matrix element can then be computed straightforwardly for a large N<sub>d</sub> system by evaluating the closure with equation (8).

#### Bound state solutions

When  $e_k <$ , cannot be solved with = 1 and N<sub>d</sub> 1, and the c<sup>1</sup> and c<sup>N<sub>d</sub> 1</sup> solutions comprise exponentially decaying bound states solutions below the two m agnon continuum. The S = 1 bound state wavefunctions and energy have previously been given by Uhrig and Schulz

[9], and D am le and N agler [13]. It is specified by equation (18) with

$$b^{1} = \frac{e_{k}^{2}}{e_{k}^{2}} \exp();$$
 (19)

where exp() = e which has dispersion energy

$$!_{BS} = 2J - \frac{J}{4} 4\cos^2(kd=2) + 1$$
:

A n interesting feature of the bound state solution is that it only exists over the range  $j_1$  kdj 6 =3, where n is an odd integer, and so there is an S = 1 bound mode only for sm all wavevectors around the narrowest part of the continuum. U sing our wavefunction we calculate the neutron scattering strength from the bound state to be

$$S_{BS}^{+} (Q) = \frac{1}{4} \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} 2 & 1 & 4\cos^{2}(kd=2) \\ [\sin(Q) & (+d)=2) + 3\sin(Q) & (-d)=2 \end{array} \right]$$

- [1] A.Oosawa et al, Physica B 294-295, 34 (2001).
- [2] T.Nikuniet al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 5868 (2000).
- [3] B.C.W atson et al, Phys.Rev.Lett.86, 5168 (2001).
- [4] W .Yu and S.Haas, Phys.Rev.B 62, 344 (2000).
- [5] T.G iam archiand A.M Tsvelik, Phys. Rev. B 59, 11398 (1999).
- [6] I.A eck, Phys. Rev. B 43, 3215 (1991); E. Sorensen and I.A eck, Phys. Rev. Lett 71, 1633 (1993).
- [7] R. Coldea, D A. Tennant, K. Habicht, P. Smeibidl, C. W olters and Z. Tylczynski, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 7203 (2002).
- [8] T.Barnes, cond-m at/0204115 (unpublished).
- [9] G S. Uhrig and H J. Schulz, Phys. Rev. B 54, R 9624 (1996).

- [10] G.Xu, C.Broholm, D.H.Reich, and M.A.Adam s, Phys. Rev.Lett. 84, 4465 (2000).
- [11] T.Bames, J.Riera, and D.A.Tennant.Phys.Rev.B 59, 11384 (1999).
- [12] R R P. Singh and Z. W eihong, Phys. Rev. B 59, 9911 (1999).
- [13] K. Dam le and S. Sachdev, Phys. Rev. B 57, 8307 (1998) K. Dam le and S.E. Nagler, cond-mat/9904438 (unpublished).
- [14] J.Garaj, Acta Chem. Scand. 22, 1710 (1968).
- [15] B.Morosin, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. B 26, 1203 (1970).
- [16] Note that the space group for  $Cu(NO_3)_2$  2.5HO has been incorrectly given in previous work as I1 2/a 1.
- [17] K M. Diederix, J.P. Groen, J.S.J.M. Henkens, T.O. K laassen, and N.J. Poulis, Physics B 93, 99 (1978).
- [18] J.Eckert et al. Phys. Rev. B 20, 4596 (1979).
- [19] K M . Diederix et al. Phys. Rev. B 19, 420 (1979).
- [20] J.C. Bonner et al. Phys. Rev. B 27, 248 (1983).
- [21] W . M arshall and S W . Lovesey, Therm al Neutron Scattering (Clarendon, Oxford, 1971).
- [22] B A. Bemevig, D. G iuliano, and R B. Laughlin, Phys. Rev.Lett. 86, 3392 (2002).
- [23] P J. Brown, in International Tables for Crystallography, edited by A J.C. W ilson and E. Prince (K luwer A cadem ic Publishers, Boston, 1999), Vol.C.
- [24] M . Stone et al. unpublished.
- [25] S. Trebst, H. Monien, C. J. Hamer, W. H. Zheng, R. R. P. Singh, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 4373 (2000).
- [26] W H. Zheng, C J. Ham er, R R P. Singh, S. Trebst, H. Monien, Phys. Rev. B 63, 144410 (2001).
- [27] N. Ishim ura and H. Shiba, Prog. Theor. Phys. 63, 743 (1980).
- [28] JP.Go, DA. Tennant, and SE. Nagler, Phys. Rev. B 52, 15992 (1995).
- [29] F.M atsubara, and S. Inawashiro, Phys. Rev B 43, 796 (1991).
- [30] A.Ghosh, J.Phys.Condens.Matter 13, 5205 (2001).
- [31] D.C. Mattis, \The Theory of Magnetism I", Chapter 5, Springer-Verlag (Berlin) 1988.