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## A bstract

W e study condensation of trapped bosons in the lim it when the num ber of particles tends to in nity. For the non interacting gas we prove that there is no phase transition in any dim ension, but in any dim ension, at any tem perature the system is $100 \%$ condensated into the one-particle ground state. In the case of an interacting gas we show that for a fam ily of suitably scaled pair interactions, the G ross $P$ itaevskii scaling inchuded, a less-than-100\% condensation into a single-particle eigenstate, which may depend on the interaction strength, persists at all tem peratures.

PACS num bers: 0530J, 0550, 7510J
K E Y W O R D S : trapped B ose gas; B ose E instein condensation; scaled interactions

## 1 Introduction

B ose E instein condensation ( BEC ) is one of the $m$ ost fascinating collective phenom ena occurring in P hysics. $M$ ore than three quarters of a century after its discovery, the condensation of a hom ogeneous B ose gas rem ains as enigm atic as ever, both experim entally and theoretically. M eanw hile, the experim entalrealization of condensation in trapped atom ic gases has opened new perspectives for the theory as well. From the point of view of a $m$ athem atical treatm ent, the trapped and the hom ogeneous system $s$ are quite di erent, $m$ ainly due to an energy gap above the \{ at m ost nitely degenerate \{ one-particle ground state of trapped B ose gases, im plying that condensation occurs into a localized state. In the hom ogeneous gas the gap above the ground state vanishes in the them odynam ic lim it. This $m$ akes condensation a subtle $m$ athem aticalproblem already in the noninteracting system, and an unsolved problem in the presence of any realistic interaction. $T$ he $m$ athem atical proof of condensation in a trap show $s$ no com parable subtlety, although the gap endow $s$ the noninteracting gas w ith som e peculiar properties, and condensation into a localized state makes som e sort of scaling of the interaction unavoidable.

A recent im portant developm ent in the theory of trapped gases was obtained by Lieb and Seiringer [1]. For a dilute interacting gas, in the lim it when the particle num ber $N$ tends to in nity and the scattering length a to zero in such a way that N a is xed, these authors proved a $100 \% \mathrm{BEC}$ at zero tem perature into the $H$ artree one-particle w avefunction.

The aim of the present paper is to study BEC in deep traps, both in the free and in the interacting cases. By a deep trap we m ean a trap w th an unbounded potential such that the corresponding one-body H am iltonian $\mathrm{H}^{0}$ has a pure point spectrum and $\exp \left(\mathrm{H}^{0}\right)$ is trace class for any positive. Such a trap gives no possibility of escape to the particle through them alexcitation. In Section 2 we prove a condition on the potential so that it gives rise to a deep trap.

In Section 3 we deel w th the noninteracting gas in the lim it when the particle number, $N$, tends to in nity. $W$ e show that asym ptotically the total free energy is $N$ tim es the energy of the one-particle ground state, plus an $O$ (1) analytic function of. There is no phase transition in any dim ension $d \quad 1$, but the
$m$ ean num ber of particles in excited states rem ains nite as $N$ goes to in nity, whatever be the tem perature. So the density of the condensate is 1, condensation is $100 \%$ at all tem peratures.

In Section 4 we use the results obtained for the noninteracting gas to prove the continuity of the phase diagram as a function of the interaction strength. In a rst part, we de ne condensation into a one-particle state, and show that it is equivalent to having the largest eigenvalue of the one-particle reduced density $m$ atrix of order N. The second part of Section 4 contains the $m$ ain result of the paper. H ere we prove a theorem on Bose $\pm$ instein condensation in an interacting gas. In particular, for a nonnegative interaction we obtain that, if the expectation value of the N -particle interaction energy taken w th the ground state of the noninteracting gas is of the order of $N$, the occupation of at least one of the low -lying eigenstates of the one-particle $H$ am iltonian, which $m$ ay depend on the interaction strength, is $m$ acroscopic. This holds true in any dim ension and at any nite tem perature. T he result allow s a nitely degenerate single-particle ground state (bosons w ith spin) and is nonperturbative in the sense that it does not depend on the size of the gap above the ground state. The occupation of the subspace of one-particle ground states tends to $100 \%$ w th the vanishing interaction strength. In a corollary and in subsequent rem arks we describe a fam ily of nonnegative scaled interactions to which the theorem applies. All these integrable pair interactions are weak, in the sense that their integral vanishes as $1=\mathrm{N}$ w ith an increasing num ber of particles. O ur exam ples include the $G$ ross $P$ itaevskiiscaling lim it in three dim ensions and the opposite of $G$ ross $P$ 止aevskiiscaling in one dim ension.

## 2 O nełoody $H$ am ilton ian for deep traps

The one-particle $H$ am iltonian we are going to use is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}^{0}=\frac{\sim^{2}}{2 m}+V \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $L^{2}\left(R^{d}\right)$, where the potential $V$ is chosen in such a way that $H^{0}$ has a pure point spectrum with discrete eigenvalues of nite multiplicity and e $H^{0}$ is trace class, i.e. tre $H^{0}<1$, for any $>0$. This condition ensures the niteness of the one-particle free energy at any nite tem perature $1=$. Wew ill refer to such a H am iltonian as a deep trap. For the sake of sim plicity, we shall also suppose that the ground state of $H^{0}$ is nondegenerate, so that the eigenvalues of $H^{0}$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
"_{0}<"_{1} \quad "_{2} \quad: \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

A large fam ily of potentials corresponding to deep traps is characterized by the follow ing proposition.
Proposition 2.1 Let $V: R^{d}!R$ be bounded below and suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r!} \frac{\ln \left(r=r_{0}\right)}{V(r)}=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for som e $r_{0}>0$. Then tre $H^{0}<1$ for all $>0$.
C ondition (3) is sharp in the sense that, as the proof will show it, a central or cubic potential which increases logarithm ically leads to an exponentially increasing density of states and, therefore, a diverging trace forsm allpositive. Intuitively, the assertion ofthe proposition holdstrue because $\exp (\mathrm{V}) \mathrm{dr}<1$ for any $>0$, but the connection is not im m ediate. W e present two di erent proofs: $T$ he rst uses the path integral representation of tre ${ }^{H}{ }^{0}$, while the second is based on a sem iclassicalestim ation of the eigenvalues.
$F$ irst proof. Given $>0, \mathrm{x}$ a $\mathrm{V}_{0}>\mathrm{d}=$. Let $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{m}}=\operatorname{infV}(\mathrm{r})>1$. If (3) holds for an $r_{0}>0$ then it holds for any $r_{0}>0$. Choose $r_{0}$ so large that

V (r) $\quad \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{m}}+\mathrm{V}_{0} \ln \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{r}}{\mathrm{r}_{0}}+1 \quad$ for all $\mathrm{r} 2 \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{d}}$ :

By the Feynm an $K$ ac form ula [2],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { tre } H^{\circ}={ }^{Z} \text { hrje } H^{0} \text { jridr }={ }^{Z} P_{00}(d!)^{Z} e^{R}{ }_{0} V(r+!(s)) d s d r: \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he rst integral in the right $m$ em ber goes over (continuous) paths $!$ in $R^{d}$ such that ! $(0)=!()=0$. $P_{X Y}(d!)$ is the conditionalW ienerm easure, generated by $\frac{\tau^{2}}{2 m}$, for the tim e interval $[0 ;]$, de ned on sets of paths $w$ th $!(0)=x$ and $!()=y$. In equation (5) we have $m$ ade use of the translation invariance of $P$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
k!k=\sup _{0} j!(s) j: \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he integral over $r$ can be split in tw o parts. $F$ irst,

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{r<2 k!k}^{Z} e^{{ }_{o}^{R} V(r+!(s)) d s} d r \quad e^{V_{m} V_{d}(2 k!k)^{d}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v_{d}$ is the volum e of the d-dim ensional unit ball. For $r>2 k!k$, we use (4) to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(r+!(s)) \quad V_{m}+V_{0} \ln \frac{r+2 r_{0}}{4 r_{0}}: \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

A fter som e algebra, this yields

$$
{ }_{r>2 k!k} e^{{ }^{R}{ }_{0} V(r+!(s)) d s} d r \frac{e^{\left(V_{m} V_{0} \ln 2\right)} S_{d}}{V_{0} d}\left(2 r_{0}\right)^{d}:
$$

Here $S_{d}$ is the surface area of the unit sphere in $R^{d}$. Putting the tw o parts together,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tre} H^{0} \frac{e^{\left(V_{m} V_{0} \ln 2\right)} S_{d}}{V_{0} d}{\frac{2 r_{0}}{d}+e^{V_{m}} V_{d} 2^{\mathrm{d}} P_{00}(d!)(k!k)^{d} ; ~ ; ~}_{d} \quad{ }^{d} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have substituted
Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{00}(d!)=h 0 j^{\frac{2^{2}}{2 m}} \quad j 0 i=\quad d ; \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$=\sim \sim^{p} \overline{2}=m$ being the therm alde $B$ roglie wave length. $T$ he second term on the right-hand side of (10) is nite: actually, every $m$ om ent of the conditionalW iener $m$ easure is nite. Indeed, from the estim ate (see equations (1.14) and (1.31) of [2])

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{00}(k!k>4 ") \quad \frac{2^{2+d=2}}{d}\left(m_{d}+n_{d}("=\quad)^{d}{ }^{1}\right) e^{n^{2}=4^{2}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{d}}$ and $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{d}}$ depend only on the dim ension d ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{00}(d!)(k!k)^{k}{\frac{2^{2+d=2}}{d}}_{n=0}^{X^{1}}(n+1)^{k}\left(m_{d}+n_{d}(n=4 \quad)^{d}{ }^{1}\right) e^{n^{2}=644^{2}}<1: \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

This concludes the rst proof.
Second proof. W e start, as before, by xing $>0$ and $a V_{0}>d=$. For the sake of convenience, now we choose $r_{0}$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V} \text { (r) } \quad \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{m}}+\mathrm{V}_{0} \ln \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{r}}{\mathrm{~d} r_{0}}+1 \quad \text { for all } \mathrm{r} 2 \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{d}} \text { : } \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The expression in the right $m$ em ber can still be bounded from below, due to the concavity of the square-root and the logarithm.$W$ ith the notation $r=\left(x_{1} ;::: ; x_{d}\right)$, we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V} \text { (r) } \quad \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{m}} \quad \mathrm{~V}_{0} \ln 2+{\frac{\mathrm{V}_{0}}{\mathrm{~d}}}_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{d}}} \ln \quad \frac{\dot{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{i}} j}{\mathrm{r}_{0}}+1 \quad: \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{0}=\frac{\sim^{2}}{2 m} \frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}+\frac{V_{0}}{d} \ln \frac{\dot{x}^{j}}{r_{0}}+1 \quad: \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{0} \quad V_{m} \quad V_{0} \ln 2+X_{i=1}^{X^{d}} h^{0}(i) ; \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

$h^{0}$ (i) acting on functions of $x_{i}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { tre } \left.H^{0} \quad e^{\left(N_{m}\right.} V_{0} \ln 2\right) \text { tre } h^{h^{0} d}: \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $n, n$, be the eigenvalues of $h^{0}$ in increasing order. From Theorem 7.4 of [3], in the case of a logarithm ic potential, it follow s that any $2[\mathrm{n} 1 ; \mathrm{n}]$ satis es an equation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sim}{2}\left(\mathrm{n}+\frac{1}{2}\right)=_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{x} \frac{}{2 \mathrm{~m}(\quad \mathrm{v}(\mathrm{x}))} \mathrm{dx}+\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{)} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X$ is de ned by $v(X)=$. D ropping $O()$, the solution is the $n$th sem iclassicaleigenvalue according to the Bohr-Som $m$ erfeld quantization. For the true $n$th eigenvalue equation (19) yields, after substituting $\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{x})=\left(\mathrm{V}_{0}=\mathrm{d}\right) \ln \left(\mathrm{x} \dot{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{r}_{0}+1\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}=\frac{\mathrm{V}_{0}}{\mathrm{~d}} \ln \mathrm{n}+\frac{1}{2}+\mathrm{O}(\ln \ln (\mathrm{n}+3)) \quad ; \quad \mathrm{n}=0 ; 1 ; 2 ;::: \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

So w ith a suitably chosen c>0 we obtain the bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { tre } h_{n=0}^{x^{0}}=e_{n=0}^{X^{1}} \frac{[n(n+3)]^{c}}{(n+1=2)^{n} V_{0}=d}<1 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

which concludes the proof.
O bserve that for $h^{0}$ and, thus, for the $H$ am iltonian $P_{i=1}^{d} h^{0}(i)$ the density of states can be inferred from equation (20), and show san exponentialgrow th $w$ ith the energy. This is origin of the divergence of the trace for sm all in the case of logarithm ically increasing potentials.

In the forthcom ing proof of BEC at positive tem peratures in interacting B ose gases, we shall m ake use of the follow ing estim ate.

Proposition 2.2 Lete $H^{0}$ be trace class for every $>0$, and suppose that $V$ is bounded below, infV $=V_{m}$. Let ' ${ }_{j}$ be the nom alised eigen state of $H^{0}$ belonging to the eigenvalue $"_{j}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{{ }^{\prime}{ }_{j} k^{\prime}{ }_{j} k_{1}^{2} \quad e^{V_{m}} \frac{m}{2 \sim^{2}}}{ }^{d=2} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{k}_{1}^{2} \quad{\frac{e m\left("{ }_{j} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)}{\mathrm{d} \sim^{2}}}^{\mathrm{d}=2}: \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof.

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\quad P^{i} P_{00}(d!) e^{R}{ }_{0} V(r+!(s)) d s \quad e^{V_{m}} \quad d \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

which, after taking the suprem um on the lefthand side, is (22). M ultiplying by $e^{\text {" }} \mathrm{j}$ and m inim izing the right $m$ em ber $w$ th respect to yields (23).

W e note that for su ciently fast increasing potentials $H^{0}$ is ultracontractive and the norm alized eigenstates are uniform ly bounded [4], 5]. In particular, this obviously holds true for a particle con ned in a rectangular dom ain w ith D irichlet, $N$ eum ann or periodic boundary conditions. A ll our results cover these cases. H ow ever, in the present paper we need only the w eaker bound (22) on the eigenfinctions.

## 3 Free B ose gas in a deep trap

$N$ noninteracting bosons in a deep trap are described by the $H$ am iltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{N}^{0}=X_{i=1}^{X^{N}} H^{0}(i)=T_{N}+X_{i=1}^{N} V\left(r_{i}\right) \quad T_{N}={\frac{\sim^{2}}{2 m}}_{i=1}^{X^{N}} \quad: \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ e can consider $H_{N}^{0}$ directly in in nite space, because $\exp \left(H_{N}^{0}\right)$ is a trace class operator on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d N}\right)$. $T$ herefore, to perform a them odynam ic lim it it rem ains sending $N$ to in nity.

Let $Z\left[H_{N}^{0}\right]$ denote the canonical partition function for $N$ bosons. W e have the follow ing.
Proposition 3.1 The lim it

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{N} e_{1} e^{N 0} Z\left[H_{N}^{0}\right] \quad e^{F_{0}()} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

exists, and $\mathrm{F}_{0}()$ is an analytic function of for any $>0$.
Proof. Let $n_{j} \quad 0$ denote the num ber ofbosons in the $j$ th eigenstate of $H^{0}$. Then

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{N "_{0}} Z\left[H_{N}^{0}\right]=\underset{\mathrm{fn}_{j} g_{j>0} 0^{P} \mathrm{n}_{j} \mathrm{~N}}{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{j}\left(\mathrm{l}_{j} \mathrm{~m}_{0}\right)} ; \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{N!1} e^{N "_{0}} Z\left[H_{N}^{0}\right]=X_{f n_{j} g_{j}>0} \text { : }_{n_{j}<1} e^{P n_{j}\left(n_{j} n_{0}\right)} \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{0}()=\sum_{j=1}^{X^{1}} \ln \left(1 \quad e^{\left({ }_{j} "_{0}\right)}\right): \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

To conclude, we need a lem ma.

Proof. O ne can choose $N$ such that $\dot{a}_{n} j<1=2$ ifn $N$. Then
which proves the lem ma.
Because e $H^{\circ}$ is trace class for any $\vec{P} \quad 0$, the conditions of the lem ma hold for $a_{n}=\exp \left(z\left("_{n} \quad "_{0}\right)\right)$ if $z 2 C, R e z>0$. Thus, for any $>0,{ }_{n=1}^{1} \ln \left(1 \exp \left(z\left("_{n} \quad "_{0}\right)\right)\right.$ ) is uniform ly absolute convergent in the half-plane Rez . Since every term is analytic, the sum will be analytic as well. This nishes the proof of the proposition.

The peculiar feature of the in nite system is clearly show $n$ by equation (26). The total free energy of the gas is

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{1} \ln \mathrm{Z}\left[\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}}^{0}\right]=\mathrm{N} \mathrm{~N}_{0}+\mathrm{F}_{0}()+\mathrm{O}(1): \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his $m$ eans that there is no phase transition and the free energy per particle of the in nite system is "0 at any tem perature. Thus, at any $>0$ the gas is in a low -tem perature phase which is a nonextensive perturbation of the ground state: Allbut a vanishing fraction of the particles are in the condensate! Below we $m$ ake this observation quantitative.

Let $P_{H_{N}^{\circ}}^{\circ}(A)$ denote the probability of an event $A$ according to the canonical $G$ ibbs $m$ easure. Let $N^{0}=N \quad n_{0}$, the num ber of particles in the excited states of $H^{0}$. First, notice that in the in nite system the probability that all the particles are in the ground state is positive at any tem perature: From equation (27),

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{H_{N}^{0}}\left(\mathbb{N}^{0}=0\right)=\frac{e^{N_{0}^{0}}}{Z\left[H_{N}^{0}\right]}!e^{F_{0}()} \text { as } N!1 \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

which tends continuously to zero only when ! 0 . M ore precise inform ations can also be obtained. For an integer m betw een 0 and $N$, w ith $P$ roposition 3.1 we nd

A lower bound is obtained by keeping a single term, $n_{1}=m, n_{j}=0$ for $j>1$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{H_{N}^{0}}\left(\mathbb{N}^{0} m\right) \quad P_{H_{N}^{0}}\left(\mathbb{N}^{0}=0\right) e^{m\left("_{1} "_{0}\right)}: \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we replace $m$ by any increasing sequence $a_{N}$, this yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{N!} \inf _{1} \frac{1}{a_{N}} \ln P_{H_{N}^{0}}^{\left(\mathbb{N}^{0} \quad a_{N}\right) \quad\left("_{1} \quad "_{0}\right): ~} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

To obtain an upper bound, choose any with $0<"_{1} "_{0}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}}^{0}}\left(\mathbb{N}^{0} \quad \mathrm{~m}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{H_{N}^{0}}\left(\mathbb{N}^{0}=0\right) e^{m^{Z^{Z}}} \frac{1}{1 e^{\left("_{j} "_{0}\right)}} \\
& =P_{H_{N}^{0}}\left(\mathbb{N}^{0}=0\right) Q(;) e^{m} \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

where $Q(;)$ is de ned by the last equality. Notice that $Q(; 0)=e^{F_{0}(1)}$. The inequality has been obtained by rst bounding e $\mathrm{N}^{0}$ above by $e{ }^{\mathrm{m}}$ and then by extending the sum $m$ ation over $\mathrm{N}^{0}$ from 0 to in nity. A gain, for $m=a_{N}!1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{N!1} \sup _{1} \frac{1}{a_{N}} \ln P_{H_{N}^{0}}^{\left(N^{0} \quad a_{N}\right)} \quad: \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

This being true for all < $"_{1} \quad "_{0}$, it holds also for $="_{1} \quad "_{0}$, so the lowerbound found in (35) is an upper bound as well, and (35) and (37) together yield

Proposition 3.3 If $0<a_{N} N$ and $a_{N}$ tends to in nity, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{N!} \frac{1}{a_{N}} \ln P_{H_{N}^{0}}\left(\mathbb{N}^{0} \quad a_{N}\right)=\quad\left("_{1} \quad "_{0}\right): \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the B orel-C antelli lem ma, inequality (36) im plies that $N^{0}$ is nite $w$ ith probability 1 when $N$ is in nite. $M$ oreover, its expectation value is also nite: for any $2\left(0 ; " 1 \quad "_{0}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h N^{0} i_{H_{N}^{0}} \frac{P_{H_{N}^{0}}\left(\mathbb{N}^{0}=0\right) Q(;)}{(1 \mathrm{e})^{2}} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\lim _{N!} \mathrm{hN}^{0_{i_{H}^{0}}} \quad \inf _{0<N_{1}} \frac{\mathrm{Q}(;)}{\mathrm{Q}(; 0)} \frac{1}{(1} \mathrm{e}\right)^{2}: \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

M ore generally, allm om ents of $\mathrm{N}^{0}$ rem ain nite as N ! 1 :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{N!1} h\left(\mathbb{N}^{0}\right)^{k} i_{H_{N}^{0}} \quad 0<\inf _{<\boldsymbol{N}_{1}} n_{0} \frac{Q(;)}{Q(; 0)} \frac{d^{k}}{d()^{k}} \frac{1}{1-}: \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

This fact willbe used in the proof of $T$ heorem 2.
Let us sum $m$ arize the results of this section:
Theorem 1 N noninteracting bosons in a deep trap with eigenenergies $"_{0}<"_{1} \quad$ have a free energy $N "_{0}+F_{0}()+O(1)$, where $F_{0}$ is an analytic function of for any $>0$. There is no phase transition in any dim ension, however, for any $d \quad 1$, the in nite system is in a low-tem perature phase ( $T_{c}=1$ ): At any nite tem perature, all but a nite expected num ber of bosons are in the one-particle ground state.

The conclusions about Bose $E$ instein condensation are not $m$ odi ed if the ground state of $H^{0}$ is degenerate. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
"_{0}=\quad \text { 于 } \lll "_{J+1} \text {; } \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

we de ne $N^{0}=N \quad\left(n_{0}+\quad+n\right.$ Then, the earlier form ulas rem ain valid if in the sum $m$ ations and products $j>0$ is replaced by $j>J$, and $"_{1} \quad "_{0}$ is replaced by " $J+1 \quad "_{0}$. In particular, allm om ents of $N^{0}$ are bounded and we have a 100\% condensation into the nite dim ensional subspace of ground states. This rem ark is relevant e.g. for bosons w ith an intemal degree of freedom (spin).

## 4 C ondensation of interacting bosons

### 4.1 The order we are looking for

D ue to the pioneering work of $P$ enrose [6] and subsequent papers by $P$ enrose and $O$ nsager [7] and $Y$ ang [8], it is generally understood and agreed that B ose E instein condensation, from a $m$ athem atical point of view, is an intrinsic property of the one-particle reduced density $m$ atrix, 1 , and $m$ eans that the largest eigenvalue of 1 , which is equal to its norm,$k_{1} k$, is of the order of $N$. For the hom ogeneous gas the equivalence of th is physically not very appealing de nition $w$ th the existence of an o -diagonal long-range order, show ing up in the coordinate space representation (integral kemel) of 1 , was dem onstrated in [7]. For a trapped gas it is intuitively $m$ ore satisfactory to de ne BEC as the accum ulation of a $m$ acroscopic num ber of particles in a single-particle state. T he proof that this is m eaningful, whether or not there is interaction, and equivalent w th $\mathrm{k}{ }_{1} \mathrm{k}=\mathrm{O}(\mathbb{N})$, is the subject of this section.

Follow ing the general setting of [8], let be a density m atrix, i.e., a positive operator of trace 1 acting in $H^{N}$, where $H$ is a one-particle separable $H$ ibert space. Perm utations of the $N$ particles can be de ned as unitary operators in $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{N}}$, and is supposed to com m ute w ith all of them. The associated one-particle reduced density $m$ atrix, 1 , is a positive operator of trace $N$ in $H$, obtained by taking the sum of the partial
traces of over the $N$ 1-particle subspaces: If $f^{\prime}{ }_{n} g_{n}^{1}=0$ is any orthonorm albasis in $H$ and and are any elem ents of $H$ then

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i}_{2} ;::: ; \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{N}}}^{\mathrm{X}}\left({ }^{\prime} \mathrm{i}_{2} \quad \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{N}} \prime \prime \quad \prime_{\mathrm{i}_{2}} \quad \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{N}} 9\right. \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

because of the perm utation-invariance of. In (43) the sum $m$ ation over each $i_{k}$ is unrestricted and the $m$ atrix elem ents of are taken $w$ th sim ple (non-sym m etrized) tensor products ( om itted).

Let' o be any norm alized elem ent of $H$. We de ne the $m$ ean (w th respect to ) num ber of particles occupying' 0 as follow s. W e com plete' 0 into an orthonorm albasis $f^{\prime}{ }_{n} g_{n=0}^{1}$ of $H$. In $H^{N}$ we use the product basis

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i}={ }^{\prime} i_{i_{1}} \quad i_{i_{N}} \prime \ddot{\mu}=\left(i_{1} ; \quad{ }_{N}\right) \dot{2} N^{N} g: \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

To'o and iwe assign
which is the number of particles in the state ' 0 am ong $N$ particles occupying the states ${ }^{i_{1}} ; \quad ;:: ;^{\prime}{ }_{i_{N}}$, respectively. We can use (45) to de ne $n\left[\begin{array}{l}{[ }\end{array}\right]$ as a positive operator in $H^{N}$. A ltemately, we can interprete ( $i$; i) as the probability of $i$ and $n[0]$ as a random variable over this probability eld. In any case, the $m$ ean value of $n\left[\begin{array}{l}{[ }\end{array}\right]$ w ith respect to is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i_{1} ;:: ; i_{N} \quad j=1
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{2} \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i=0
\end{aligned}
$$

an intrinsic quantity independent of the basis. R eading equation (46) in the opposite sense, we nd that, whether or not there is interaction, the physicalm eaning of $\left(r_{0} ;_{1}^{\prime} 0\right)$ is the average num ber of particles in the single particle state ${ }^{\prime} 0$. Since $k{ }_{1} k=\sup _{k^{\prime}}{ }_{k=1}\left({ }^{\prime} ; 1^{\prime}\right)$, we obtained the follow ing result.

Proposition 4.1 $T$ here is BEC in the sense that $\lim _{N}!{ }_{1} k{ }_{1} k=N>0$ if and only if there exists a $m$ acroscopically occupied ' 02 H (which $m$ ay depend on $N$ ), i.e. $\lim _{\mathrm{N}}$ ! $1 \mathrm{hn}[\mathrm{l} 0] \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{N}>0$.

The proposition is valid w ith obvious modi cations also in the hom ogeneous case. The choige of the m acroscopically occupied single particle state is not unique. H ighest occupation is obtained for the dom inant eigenvector, ${ }_{1}$, of $1_{1}$ (the one belonging to the largest eigenvalue), in which case hn [ $\left.{ }_{1}\right] i=k_{1} k$. Any other state having a nonvanishing overlap in the $\lim$ it $N!1 \mathrm{w}$ th ${ }_{1}$ can serve for proving BEC.W e can even nd an in nite orthogonal fam ily of vectors, all having a nonvanishing asym ptotic overlap with $\quad$. O ne can speak about a generalized condensation [9] only when the occupation ofm ore than one eigenstate of ${ }_{1}$ becom es asym ptotically divergent. In the noninteracting gas ${ }_{1}$ is just the ground state of the one-body H am iltonian.
 tion invariant interaction, if the gas is con ned in a cube of side $L$ and the boundary condition is periodic. Indeed, in this case 1 is diagonal in $m$ om entum representation, therefore ${ }_{k}^{L}(r)=e^{i k} \underline{\underline{r}}_{L}^{d=2}$ are its eigenstates. On the other hand, the integralkemelhrj $j_{j} j^{0}$ is positive (now we speak about $\exp (H)$ in the
bosonic subspace or $=j$ ih jwhere ( $r 1 ;::: ; r_{N}$ ) is a translation invariant positive symmetric function), and by a suitable generalization of the P erron Frobenius theorem (e.g. [10]) the constant vectorm ust be the dom inant eigenvector. $T$ his is presum ably the only case $w$ hen the ground state of the onebody $H$ am iltonian rem ains the dom inant eigenvector of the one-particle reduced density $m$ atrix for the interacting system, yet there exists no proof of a m acroscopic occupation of this state in the presence of interactions (unless a gap is introduced in the excitation spectrum [11]).

In the case of a trapped gas we do not generally know the dom inant eigenvector of 1 . H ow ever, we can carry through the proofby the use of the low energy eigenstates of $\mathrm{H}^{0}$.

### 4.2 Interacting bosons in a deep trap

In this section we ask about condensation of interacting bosons in a deep trap. Let $U_{N}: R^{d N}$ ! $R$ be a sym $m$ etric function of $r_{1} ;::: ; r_{N}$ which is bounded below, and de ne

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}}=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}}^{0}+\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{N}} \text { : } \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ e can consider $H_{N}$ directly in in nite space, because $\exp \left(H_{N}\right)$ is a trace class operator on $L^{2}\left(R^{d N}\right)$. So as in Section 3, the them odynam ic $\lim$ it $m$ eans $N$ tending to in nity. The canonicalpartition function $w$ ill be denoted by $\mathrm{Z}\left[\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}}\right.$ ]. The density m atrix is

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}}}=\mathrm{Z}\left[\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}}\right] \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{N}^{+}=(1=N!)^{P} \quad 2 S_{N} \quad$ is the orthogonalpro jection to the sym metric subspace of $H^{N}$ and $Z\left[H_{N}\right]=$ $\operatorname{TrP}_{\mathrm{N}}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}}}$.

We want to prove the persistence of BEC in the presence of interaction, that is, the continuity of the low tem perature phase as $U_{N}$ increases from zero to a nite strength. This $w i l l$ be achieved by proving $m$ acroscopic occupation of at least one low-lying eigenstate of $H^{0}$, which $m$ ay depend on the interaction strength. W e cannot expect, and w ill not obtain, a 100\% condensation because the overlap of any eigenstate of $H^{0}$ w ith ${ }_{1} \mathrm{~m}$ ust be sm aller than 1 . ( T he $100 \%$ condensation [1] into GP , the m in im izer of the G ross$P$ itaevskii functional, found for the ground state of interacting gases in the dihute lim it in locally bounded traps, means that ( GP; ${ }_{1}$ ) ! 1 as N ! 1 . In this case $=j$ ih $j$ where is the unknown ground state.)

In the proof of the next theorem we use the basis of the $H^{0}$ eigenstates, given by $H^{0 \prime}{ }_{j}="_{j}{ }_{j}$, and the sym $m$ etric and norm alized eigenstates of $H_{p}^{0}$ : $\eta i=\eta_{0} ; n_{1} ;::$ i is the sym m etrized product state of nom 1 containing $n_{j}$ tim es the factor ${ }^{\prime}{ }_{j}$, where $n_{j}=N$. For the sake of clarity, we restrict the discussion to the case when the ground state of $\mathrm{H}^{0}$ is nondegenerate, and use the notation 0 for the (unique) ground state of $H_{N}^{0}$, given by $n_{0}=N$ and $n_{j}=0, j>0$. Extension to the case of spin- or spatial degeneracy is straightforw ard.

Theorem 2 Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(U) \quad \lim _{N!} \sup _{1} \frac{1}{N}\left[\left(\quad 0 ; U_{N} \quad 0\right) \quad \inf U_{N}\right]: \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any d 1 the follow ing hold true.
(i) If $L(U)<1$, at zero tem perature there is Bose E instein condensation. Nam ely, if J 0 is the unique integer de ned by the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
"_{J} \quad "_{0} \quad L(U)<"_{J+1} \quad "_{0} \text {; } \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $=1$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{N!} \inf _{1} f \frac{1}{N}_{j=0}^{X^{J}} \ln _{j} i_{H_{N}} \quad 1 \quad \frac{L(U)}{"_{J+1} \quad "_{0}}: \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) If $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{N}}$ is a stable integrable pair interaction,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{N}}\left(r_{1} ;::: ;{\left.r_{\mathrm{N}}\right)=}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\substack{i<j \mathrm{~N}}} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{N}}\left(\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad r_{j}\right) ;\right. \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith $k u_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{k}_{1}=\mathrm{O}(1=\mathrm{N})$, then $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{U})<1$ and for any $>0$ there is Bose E instein condensation and (51) holds true.
$W$ e note that in part (i) $U_{N}$ can be any self-adjoint operator in $L^{2}\left(R^{d}\right){ }^{N}$ which is bounded below and leaves the sym $m$ etric subspace invariant.

Proof.
In the rst part of the proof we apply convexity inequalities in a sim ilar manner as they were used in [11].

W e de ne a one-param eter fam ily of one-particle $H$ am ittonians

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{0}()=X_{j=0}^{X^{J}}\left("_{j}+\right) P_{j}+X_{j=J+1}^{X}{ }_{j} P_{j} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is a real param eter and $P_{j}$ is the orthogonalprojection onto ${ }^{\prime}{ }_{j}$. Let $H_{N}^{0}()$ be the corresponding H am iltonian of N noninteracting particles and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}}()=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}}^{0}()+\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{N}}$. For $=0$ we shall keep the original notation. Because
and the second derivative is the variance of ${ }^{P} \underset{j=0}{J} n_{j}, \ln Z\left[H_{N}^{(0)}()\right]$ are convex (decreasing) functions of . Therefore, for any $>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln _{j=0}^{X_{j}^{J} i_{H_{N}}} \quad \frac{1}{\ln } \frac{Z\left[H_{N}\right]}{Z\left[H_{N}()\right]} \quad X_{j=0}^{J} \ln _{j} i_{H_{N}^{0}}() \quad \frac{1}{\ln } \frac{Z\left[H_{N}^{0}\right]}{Z\left[H_{N}^{0}()\right]} \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (55) with a double application of the B ogolinbov convexity inequality [12],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \frac{\mathrm{Z}\left[\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}}\right]}{\mathrm{Z}\left[\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}}^{0}\right]} \quad \mathrm{h} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}}^{0}} \quad \ln \frac{\mathrm{Z}\left[\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}}^{0}(\mathrm{)}]\right.}{\mathrm{Z}\left[\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{)}]\right.} \quad \mathrm{h} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}}()} ; \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

we nd
 $H_{N}^{0}()$. At zero tem perature the inequality (57) reads

$$
\lim _{!}{ }^{X^{J}} \ln _{j=0} i_{H_{N}} \quad N \quad \underline{1}\left[\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 ; U_{N} & 0 \tag{58}
\end{array}\right) \quad \inf U_{N}\right]:
$$

D ividing by $N$, taking the $\lim$ infas $N$ tends to in nity and then letting tend to "J+1 $"_{0}$, we obtain part (i) of the theorem.

Suppose now that the conditions of part (ii) hold true. Stability $m$ eans inf $U_{N} \quad B N$ for som e constant B. On the other hand,
therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
L \text { (U ) } \frac{1}{2} k^{\prime}{ }_{0}^{4} k_{1} \lim \sup \left(N k u_{N} k_{1}\right)+B<1 \text { : } \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix J according to (50). D ividing (57) by $N$, taking the $\lim$ infas $N$ tends to in nity and then letting tend to $"_{J+1} \quad "_{0}$, we arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\lim _{N!} \inf _{1} \frac{1}{N}_{j=0}^{X^{J}} \ln _{j} i_{H_{N}} \quad 1 \quad \frac{1}{T_{J+1} \quad \operatorname{mon}_{0}} \lim _{N!1} \sup _{N} \frac{1}{N} \operatorname{hU}_{N} i_{H_{N}^{0}} \quad \inf U_{N}\right]: \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

 that for any >0

$$
\lim _{N} \frac{1}{N}\left[\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 ; U_{N} & 0 \tag{62}
\end{array}\right) \quad h U_{N} i_{H_{N}^{0}}\right]=0:
$$

Equations (61) and (62) clearly im ply (ii).
Let $a(x)$ and $a(x)$ be the boson eld operators, then $U_{N}$ is the restriction of

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=\frac{1}{2}^{Z} a(x) a(y) u_{N}(x \quad y) a(x) a(y) d x d y \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

to the $N$-particle subspace. D enote $a_{i}$ and $a_{i}$ the annihilation and creation operators of a particle in the state ' ${ }_{i}$, respectively. W e have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i}={ }^{Z},{ }_{i}(x) a(x) d x \quad ; \quad a(x)=X_{i=0}^{X^{B}} a_{i}^{\top}(x) \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=u_{i ; i j k ; l=0} u_{i j k l} a_{i} a_{j} a_{k} a_{l} \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
u_{i j k l}={ }^{Z},{ }_{i}(x)^{\prime}{ }_{j}(y) u_{N}(x \quad y)^{\sqrt{k}}(x)^{\top}{ }_{l}(y) d x d y:
$$

N ow

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln j J j i={ }_{i}^{x} u_{i j i j} n_{i}+{ }_{i<j}^{x}\left(u_{i j i j}+u_{i j j i}\right) n_{i} n_{j} \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus

$$
\begin{align*}
& h U_{N} i_{H_{N}^{0}}=\frac{1}{2}_{i}^{X} u_{i j i i} h n_{i}\left(n_{i} \quad 1\right) i_{H_{N}^{0}}+{ }_{i<j}^{X}\left(u_{i j i j}+u_{i j j i}\right) h n_{i} n_{j} i_{H_{N}^{0}} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} u_{0000} \mathrm{hn}_{0}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{n}_{0} & 1
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}}^{0}}+\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{N}} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{llllll}
0 ; \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{N}} & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad 1 \frac{\mathrm{~N}^{0}}{\mathrm{~N}} \quad 1 \quad \frac{\mathrm{~N}^{0}}{\mathrm{~N} \quad 1} \underset{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}}^{0}}{+\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{N}}} \tag{68}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{N}=\frac{1}{2}_{i>0}^{X} u_{i j i i} h n_{i}\left(n_{i} \quad 1\right) i_{H_{N}^{0}}+\underbrace{X}_{0}\left(u_{i j i j}+u_{i j j i}\right) h n_{i} n_{j} i_{H_{N}^{0}}: \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

$F$ irst we estim ate the residue $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{N}}$. Because

$$
\begin{align*}
& +2_{0}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{k}^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{k}_{1} \mathrm{k}^{\prime}{ }_{j} \mathrm{k}_{1} \mathrm{hn}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}}^{0}} \mathrm{~A}: \tag{71}
\end{align*}
$$

There is som e constant $C_{1}()$ such that for any $i_{i} j>0$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{hn}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad 1\right) \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}}^{0}} \quad \mathrm{C}_{1}() \mathrm{e}^{2\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{n}_{0}\right)} \tag{72}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ hese inequalities can be shown by direct estim ation. A lso, both expectation values can asym ptotically be com puted by using the asym ptotic $(\mathbb{N}!1$ ) factorization of the probability $m$ easure,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.P_{H_{N}^{0}}\left(f n_{j} g_{j>0}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{Y^{\ddagger}}\left(1 \quad e^{\left("_{j}\right.} "_{0}\right)^{\prime}\right) e^{\left("_{j} \quad "_{0}\right) n_{j}}: \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

W ith another suitable constant $c_{2}()$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{2}()^{X^{3}}{ }_{i=1}^{\prime \prime}{ }_{i} k_{1} e^{\left("_{i} \quad "_{0}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the last inequality we used the bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.k^{\prime}{ }_{i} k_{1} e^{\overline{2}\left("_{i}\right.} "_{0}\right) \quad e^{\overline{2}\left("_{0}\right.} V_{m}\right) \quad \frac{m}{2 \sim^{2}} \quad d=4 \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

obtained in Proposition 2.2.The rem aining term


$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{N} \not R_{N} j \quad k u_{N} k_{1} c()+\frac{e()}{N} \quad!0 \text { as } N!1: \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\lim _{\mathrm{N}!1} \frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}}\left(0 ; \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{N}} \quad 0\right) 1 \\
& 1 \frac{\mathrm{~N}^{0}}{\mathrm{~N}} \\
& 1 \frac{\mathrm{~N}^{0}}{\mathrm{~N} \quad 1} \underset{\substack{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}}^{0}}}{\#}=0 \tag{78}
\end{align*}
$$

because the di erence in the square bracket is of order $1=\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{cf} .(41)$, and its prefactor is of order 1 , see (59). This nishes the proof of the theorem.
$N$ otige that in the proof of (77) and (78) we have used only $k u_{N} k_{1}=o(1)$. The condition of integrability of $u_{N}$ could be relaxed. For exam ple, if $u$ is a bounded function (or $u$ is integrable and bounded below), the theorem holds for $u_{N}=(1=N) u$, which is a $m$ ean-eld interaction. $M$ ore interesting exam ples are provided by scaled interactions.

C orollary 4.2 Let $u: R^{d}$ ! $R$ be an integrable nonnegative function. Suppose we are given two positive sequences ${ }_{N}$ and $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{N}}$ satisfying the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
S \sup _{N} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{N}}{ }_{N}{ }^{d_{N}}<1: \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ hen for any $>0$ there is B ose E instein condensation for the interaction

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{N}(x)=\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{V}} u\left({ }_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{x}\right): \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{N}}$ is an integrable stable pair interaction (infU $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{N}}=0$ ) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{ku}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{k}_{1}=\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{N}} \quad{ }_{\mathrm{N}}{ }^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{kuk}_{1} \quad \frac{\mathrm{Skuk}_{1}}{\mathrm{~N}}: \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the conditions of part (ii) of the theorem are veri ed.
Rem arks.

1. If $N$ is constant, we obtain the $m$ ean-eld interaction. If $N$ is strictly $m$ onotonous, it can be inverted and, hence, $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{m}$ ay depend on N only via N . For exam ple, $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{N}}={ }_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{d}}{ }^{1=}$ satisfy (79). 2. If the scattering length of $u$ is a and $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{N}}={\underset{N}{2}}_{2}$ then the scattering length of $u_{N}$ is $a={ }_{N}$. To see this, we recall (cf. [13]) the de nition of the scattering length:

Let $V$ be a spherical nite-range potential such that $\frac{\tau^{2}}{2 m}+V$ has no negative or zero energy bound state. T hen the Schrodinger equation w ritten for zero energy,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sim^{2}}{2 m} \quad(\mathrm{x})+\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{x}) \quad(\mathrm{x})=0 \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a (up to constant $m$ ultipliers) unique spherical sign-keeping solution, 0 . If $r=j x j>R_{0}$, the range of the potential, this solution reads

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
0(x)=\quad \begin{array}{ll}
1 & (a=r)^{d}
\end{array} & \text { if } d \not 2  \tag{83}\\
\ln (r=a) & \text { if } d=2
\end{array}
$$

with som ea $R_{0}$. W ecalla the scattering length ofV and othe de ning solution. To obtain the scattering length of a pair interaction $u$ one has to solve (82) w ith $V=u=2$, the $1 / 2$ accounting for the reduced $m$ ass. For a nonnegative integrable in nite range potential (pair interaction) a nite scattering length still can be de ned by truncating the potential at $a$ nite $R_{0}$ and taking the (nite) lim it of $a\left(R_{0}\right)$ as $R_{0}$ ! 1 , see A ppendix A of [13].

Suppose now that the scattering length of $u$ is $a$. $W$ hat is the scattering length of $u_{N}$, given by (80)? $T$ his is not alw ays easy to tell because the de ning solution for $u_{N}$ is generally in no sim ple relation $w$ ith that one for $u$. However, from equations (82) and (83) it is easily seen that the de ning solutions of $u$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)={ }^{2} u(x) \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

are related by scaling, $0[u \quad](x)=0[u](x)$, and therefore the scattering length of $u$ is $a=$.
3. If ${ }_{N}$ tends to in nity, the scattering length of $u_{N}$ tends to zero, and the operator $\frac{\sim^{2}}{2 m}+u_{N}=2$ converges in norm resolvent sense to the one-particle kinetic energy operator. For this to happen, in two and three dim ensions $u_{N} \quad 0$ is essential. Indeed, in two and three dim ensions with $n$ diverging and $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{N}}$ chosen so that (79) is respected one could de ne point interactions, that is, self-adjoint extensions of the sym $m$ etric operator $\frac{\tau^{2}}{2 m} j_{C_{0}^{1}}\left(R^{d} f 0 g\right)$ with a nonvanishing scattering length [14]. H ow ever, it tums out that for $u_{N} \quad 0$ the only extension is the kinetic energy operator (cf. Theorem s 12.5 and 5.5 of [14]). The result of $T$ heorem 2 and its corollary can be nontrivialbecause the scattering length vanishes in con junction w th a diverging particle num ber.
4. In three dim ensions the $G$ ross $P$ itaevskii scaling lim it is obtained by xing $N a_{N}$, where $a_{N}$ is the scattering length of the pair interaction, while $N!1$. To show BEC, we choose $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{N}}=\underset{\mathrm{N}}{2}$ and $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{N}$,
so that $u_{N}=u{ }_{N}$ w ith scattering length $a_{N}=a_{1}=N$. Observe that $k u{ }_{N} k_{1}=N{ }^{1} k^{k} k_{1}$ for GP scaling in three dim ensions.
5. Let $H_{N}$ V; u]be an $N$-particle $H$ am iltonian $w$ ith an extemal potentialV and pair interaction $u$. Then

If ${ }_{N}$ tends to in nity, the scaled tem perature $(\underset{N}{2})^{1}$ goes to zero and the trap opens. Lieb and Seiringer [1] obtained results on the lim it of the sequence of ground states of (85). Theorem 2 refers to the lim it of the them alequilibrium states generated by (85). It is not obvious that the two lim its de ne the sam e state for $\mathrm{N}=1$. In T heorem 2 there is a rst hint that this m ay hold true: By proving equation (62), we obtain the sam e low er bound (51) on the density of the condensate at positive tem peratures as at zero tem perature. 6. In tw o dim ensions the scattering length of $u_{N}$ is alw ays smaller than $a={ }_{N}$, the scattering length of $u{ }_{\text {w }}$, cf. (84). In general,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{N}(x)=b_{N} \quad N_{N}^{2} u_{N}(x) \quad S N \quad{ }_{N}^{1} \underset{N}{d} u_{N}(x): \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, in two dimensions $u_{N} \quad(S=N) u_{N}$. Because for $u \quad 0$ the scattering length of $u$ increases $w$ ith $>0$, the scattering length of any adm issible $u_{N}$ is smaller than that of $u{ }_{N}$. W e note that in two dim ensions ku $k_{1}=k u k_{1}$, independently of .
7. The sequence n may also decrease w ith N , provided that $\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{N}}$ decreases su ciently rapidly, see e.g. Rem ark 1 for < 0. A curious exam ple in one dim ension is $N_{N}=N^{1}$ and $b_{N}=N \quad{ }^{2}$. Thus, the scattering length increases proportionalto $N$, instead ofgoing to zero. A ccording to equation (85), th is case corresponds to closing the trap and sending the tem perature to in nity \{ just the opposite of the G ross P itaevskii lim it in three dim ensions.
8. Theorem 2 is valid for a gas con ned in a box w ith periodic, $N$ eum ann or $D$ irichlet boundary conditions. $T$ he geom etric con nem ent on a d-torus is interesting because the eigenstates of the one-particle $H$ am iltonian are eigenstates of the one-particle reduced density m atrix as well, see Section 4.1. N ow the inequality (51) im plies that at least ' 0 is $m$ acroscopically occupied and suggests that $\mathrm{hn}_{j} i$ for som e sm all positive $j$ can also be of order $N$. This would $m$ ean a kind of generalized B ose E instein condensation, in contrast to the 100\% condensation into a single state, obtained for locally bounded trap potentials [1].
9. For bosons in a locally bounded potential trap scaling of a nonnegative interaction is unavoidable in order that condensation takes place into a xed localized state'. Particles in ' are con ned in a bounded box w th a probability arbitrarily close to 1 . An unscaled nonnegative interaction would push the particles outside this box and, hence, out of'. In e ect, $w$ ith increasing $N$ the system could dim in ish its interaction energy at the expense of the potential energy, by letting the particles "clim b" a little bit higher up in the potential well.
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